WORKING PAPER ONLY - DO NOT QUOTE
DOCUMENT DE TRAVAIL SEULEMENT - NE PAS CITER

A SURVEY OF THE PUBLIC'S ATTITUDES TOWARD THE ENERGY SITUATION

- VOLUME I -

257-83/JAL

April, 1983

Prepared by:

Consumer Contact Limited

DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER & CORPORATE AFFAIRS
LIBRARY
AUG 12 1983
BIBLIOTHÈQUE
MINISTÈRE DE LA CONSOMMATION ET DES CORPORATIONS

Presented to:

Consumer And Corporate Affairs Canada,
Ottawa, Ontario

The views presented in this paper are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views or positions of the Department of C.C.A.

CONSUMER CONTACT LIMITED

ORDER OF CONTENTS

		Page
A.	BACKGROUND	1
B.	THE SAMPLE	2
C.	THE REPORTING PROCEDURES	3
D.	HIGHLIGHTS	4
E.	GENERAL SUMMARY:	
	- Major Problems in Canada Today	12
	- Views On Selected Problems	13
	- Energy - The Fuels	17
	- Possible Action On Energy	18
	- Claimed Energy-Saving Behaviours	20
	- The CHIP Program	24
	- Insulation And Other Protection	26
	- Energy In The Home	29
	- Attitudes To The Energy Situation	35
	- Number Of Vehicles Kept At Home	39
F.	APPENDICES:	
	Appendix I The Questionnaire	42
	Appendix II The Sample	54
G.	DETAILED TABLES	

A. BACKGROUND

Consumer Contact, on behalf of Consumer and Corporate Affairs Canada, has completed a survey of the public's attitudes towards the energy situation in Canada. The Federal Government has commissioned similar studies annually since 1975.

The stated objectives for the 1983 study were to identify:

* The public's attitudes toward the energy situation, energy prices and energy conservation;

* The public's knowledge of potential energy conserving behaviour;

* The public's current energy conserving practices;

* The public's reaction to general policy alternatives concerning energy and to specific energy conserving behaviours.

B. THE SAMPLE

The data presented in this report are based on telephone interviews carried out in nine centres - Halifax, Moncton, Quebec City, Montreal, Ottawa, Toronto, Winnipeg, Calgary and Vancouver.

The telephone numbers were selected, using a random procedure, from the current telephone directories. Two replicated samples of numbers were selected for each city, each replicate being a random sample in its own right. The first replicate was exhausted before moving into the second one. The samples for all the cities were pre-selected by Supervisory personnel. A one initial call plus one call-back procedure was specified to improve the possibility of contacting people not at home initially. Only the female or male head of household was interviewed, the sex to be interviewed being specified for each questionnaire; where there were two heads of household of the same sex the one with the next birthday was selected.

Standard Consumer Contact monitoring procedures were used in the Central Telephone Facilities in each of the nine cities.

Field work took place March 7th - March 20th; the sample achieved is shown in Appendix II.

C. THE REPORTING PROCEDURES

As indicated earlier, similar studies have taken place annually since 1975. It is customary in reports on tracking studies to make reference to earlier results. However, the sample design was changed substantially for the 1979 wave, in that only male and female heads of household were interviewed whilst on earlier studies all people, 15 years and over, qualified.

This report by Consumer Contact, called Volume I, focusses therefore on the 1983 results, with some comparisons to the earlier data where these are of particular interest. A computer tape of the 1983 data has been supplied to Dr. McDougall and Dr. Keller at Wilfrid Laurier; they will be responsible for Volume II which will draw detailed comparisons between 1983 and the earlier years.

D. HIGHLIGHTS

* Over the past five years we have witnessed a changing perception of the problems and implications of the energy situation insofar as it affected Canada.

1979 and 1980 were the years of increasing awareness (and concern); 1981 suggested that some of this intensity was waning; 1982 showed a dramatic drop in the proportion of people mentioning energy as a major problem facing our country today.

In 1981 it was mentioned by one in five, and in 1982 by one in sixteen and now the 1983 study shows not much movement with one in twelve .

It appears that the harsh reality of unemployment and the obvious disarray in the World oil markets have combined to dilute Canadians' concern over energy.

However, although this dilution has occurred there are still many factors at work.

* There has always been a substantial minority who regarded the energy crisis as a hoax. The last two years are no exception and, in fact, the proportion agreeing with this statement rose to 50 per cent in 1982 and remained at this level in 1983. There is considerable variation over the geographical centres where the study was conducted but even in the least cynical of the nine cities - Ottawa - this figure was more than two people in five.

* In the context of six selected issues, energy shortage was described as "very" or "somewhat" seriously affecting the country by only 42 per cent - the lowest on record in the last five years. By comparison, unemployment rated 98 per cent. Energy shortage was clearly alone in last place of the six issues.

* Despite the non-stop attention given to inflation the mean percentage rise in cost over the last 12 months for gasoline, heating oil, natural gas and electricity - whilst still high - were all perceived as being lower than in the 1982 study.

* A series of statements outlining possible action on the energy front generated varying levels of support. The "easy" ones - program showing how to improve home insulation, fuel consumption stickers on automobiles - which demand little in the way of money from the individual's pocket are well supported. The "tough" options - rationing and price increases - are not greeted with much enthusiasm!

* Regarding energy-saving behaviours certain practices have become common usage, for example, turning off lights and keeping thermostats lower than a few years ago.

  There are still a number of potentially rewarding areas, one of which is maintaining the household water supply at a more modest temperature. Less than half of the respondents claimed an improvement in this area of conservation and this is consistent with earlier studies.

* Other areas where more could be achieved are:
  - car pools with only 21 per cent participating (a declining figure)
  - use of timer controlled thermostat (a mere 12 per cent).

* On the positive side, awareness of the CHIP program is claimed by three in four - comparable to last year. Amongst those aware of CHIP one in four had received a grant; this occurred mainly amongst the older owner-occupied homes.

* In comparison to recent years, there were two new sets of questions regarding adding
  - insulation
  - weather stripping or caulking windows/ doors

  addressed to home-owners, who constituted slightly over three in five of the total sample.

* An impressive 60 per cent of owners had added insulation in the last few years with the real needs of the older home being particularly recognised. The investment was quite high with around 40 per cent spending $500 or more.

* In the less expensive area of weather stripping or caulking, 69 per cent had been active.

* In both additional insulation and weather stripping the "Do-it-Yourself" element was substantial, 50 per cent for the former and 82 per cent for the latter.

* An important element when discussing energy in the home is obviously the principal method of heating. To give an average figure over the nine cities is not very meaningful as there are wide differences across the country such as Halifax with 75 per cent heating oil, Quebec City 56 per cent electricity and Calgary 83 per cent natural gas. No doubt the form of home heating must influence the householder's attitudes to the total energy situation.

* 12 per cent of these currently with home heating other than oil had recently switched from that fuel. 45 per cent of the people who had switched had received assistance under C.O.S.P., a marked improvement on 1982.

* One in four of those currently using oil claimed to have seriously considered switching their heating fuel; around 43 per cent of oil users had heard of C.O.S.P.

* Despite what had been done more than one in three home-owners were planning to spend money on improving the energy efficiency of their homes in the next twelve months. They seemed to be realistic about the cost in that 40 per cent of them expected to spend in excess of $500 in this way. These figures are similar to 1982.

* Only about one-quarter were complacent enough to agree that

> "My home is about as energy efficient as it can be".

* Still in the day-to-day world of home energy the lowering of the thermostat settings observed for day and night in earlier studies has been maintained.

* After discussing these various aspects of energy both within and without the home a number of statements were put to the respondents so that they could register their agreement or disagreement.

* In the continuing fight to conserve energy the massive support (both at 95 per cent) for

  - the importance of the individual
  - home insulation as a good investment

  is tremendously heartening.

* One of the statements in this section was "The energy crisis is a hoax" mentioned earlier.

* It is noteworthy that support for

  "Soon everyone is going to have to use less energy"

  has dropped from 79 per cent in 1982 to 66 per cent currently. Perhaps the causes of O.P.E.C.'s disarray are having some effect.

* Also in the area of less conviction regarding a crisis, support for

  "The solution to the energy situation will mean that all Canadians will have to change their lifestyles quite a bit"

  has gone from 68 to 57 per cent over the 1982/83 studies.

* However, on the more positive side, there is only small support for "technology" or "plenty of gas and oil" coming to the rescue.

* Another example of lessening concern is the trend amongst people agreeing that if we do not reduce our consumption of gas and oil, in a few years we will be too dependent on foreign oil. In 1980 67 per cent agreed, in 1981 58 per cent, in 1982 53 per cent and now in 1983 this has dropped further, down to 43 per cent.

* Three in four of the vehicles usually kept at home are used for work and/or school trips. Quite substantial mileages are involved and indicate that car pools - mentioned earlier as being at a rather disappointing level - could be an influential factor in a worsening energy situation.

E. GENERAL SUMMARY

1. Major Problems in Canada Today

At the beginning of the interview, respondents were asked to state which problems should be of most concern to people living in Canada today. This was a completely open question with no mention of specific topics.

The following table shows that only about one in twelve mentioned energy, either as the sole major problem or in conjunction with other problems:

	Total Sample 1897 %
Energy alone mentioned	1
Energy plus other mentioned	7
	8
Other problems mentioned but not energy	88
None	3

Note: percentages have been rounded to nearest whole number

(See Detailed Tables Pages 1 - 4)

This figure of 8 per cent is reasonably consistent with the 6 per cent shown in 1982; that figure was a dramatic drop from the previous three years when energy was specifically mentioned by around one-in-five each year. Only respondents in Halifax and Moncton raised energy on a significantly higher level than the other geographical locations included in the study.

It is also noteworthy that only a handful - 3 per cent - failed to raise any problems!

2. Views on Selected Problems

A set of six problem areas was then presented and the respondents were invited to express their viewpoint on the severity of these problems in Canada. The following picture emerged, based on those describing the problems as "very" or "somewhat" seriously affecting the Country.

As in the 1982 study, "Unemployment" topped the list at 98%; the biggest shift was "Interest Rates" reflecting reality by plunging from 95% to 67%.

	Total Sample 1897 %
Unemployment	98
Inflation	87
Cost of Energy	85
Pollution	71
Interest Rates	67
Energy Shortage	42

(See Detailed Tables Pages 5 - 28)

Only 42 per cent classified "Energy Shortage" as being a very or somewhat serious problem affecting Canada today. This is the lowest figure recorded in the last five years (49% in 1982) and confirms that new energy discoveries and/or the well-publicised world "oil glut" are diluting the concern which the public felt in the late seventies.

For ease of reference, the more meaningful sub-groups are shown in the following summary tables.

Energy Shortage Seen As "Very" Or "Somewhat" Serious For Canada	Percent "Very" Or "Somewhat"				
	1983	1982	1981	1980	1979
Halifax	31	42	57	72	77
Moncton	32*	-	-	-	-
Quebec City	69	69	75	79	75
Montreal	63	70	76	79	71
Ottawa	43	52	57	60	58
Toronto	32	44	45	61	51
Winnipeg	36	40	44	58	46
Calgary	36	36	53	53	48
Vancouver	32	40	47	60	49

* Additional city in 1983 sample.

Quebec City and Montreal continue to show the most concern but the other centres show a substantial decline from levels of concern in earlier years.

Energy Shortage Seen As "Very" Or "Somewhat" Serious For Canada	Percent "Very" Or "Somewhat"				
	1983	1982	1981	1980	1979
Sex					
Male	34	41	48	59	54
Female	50	56	65	72	65
Age					
Under 35 years	43	54	57	68	60
35 - 44 years	45	41	55	64	61
45 - 54 years	41	49	54	61	59
55 years and over	37	46	60	64	57

Energy Shortage Seen as "Very" Or "Somewhat" Serious For Canada	Percent "Very" Or "Somewhat"				
	1983	1982	1981	1980	1979
Household Income					
Under 10,000	49	63	55	63	60
10,000 - 14,999	41	61	57	71	63
15,000 - 24,999	50	54	55	68	57
25,000 - 39,999	37	44	59	61	60
*40,000 And Over	34	41	52	-	-
Refused	42	47	60	65	58
Status					
Own	37	45	57	62	57
Rent	50	55	56	69	63
Method Of Heating Home					
Oil	40	N.A. →			
Electricity	54	↓			
Natural Gas	36				
Other	38				

*Additional category added in 1981; 25,000 - 39,999 was 25,000 and over.

(These extracts are taken from Detailed Tables, Pages 21 - 24)

There is a general "across-the-board" decreased awareness of energy shortage.

3. Energy - The Fuels

Having raised the issues of cost and shortage of energy we then proceeded to ask about the perceived percentage cost rise of the four fuels in the last twelve months. As noted in earlier years, there was a surprisingly high proportion who were unable to give an estimate, particularly for heating oil and natural gas, and this ignorance is only partly explained by people who may pay fuel costs as part of their rent. However, people who actually used these fuels for heating were, not surprisingly, considerably more knowledgeable! By comparison, people were more willing to offer a figure for electricity and gasoline.

By taking the mid-point of percentage ranges, and excluding those unable to give an estimate, the following "league table" was produced.

	Mean Percentage Rise In Last 12 Months				
	1983	1982	1981	1980	1979
Gasoline	24.45	29.75	19.89	17.65	14.68
Heating Oil	20.35	24.81	19.01	16.97	15.19
Natural Gas	19.31	22.06	16.74	14.20	14.56
Electricity	15.46	18.44	14.09	12.98	14.70

(See Detailed Tables, Pages 29 - 44)

Gasoline and heating oil still hold first and second places but all increases are perceived at a lower level than in the 1982 study although higher than in earlier years.

As we have observed in previous studies, estimates for each fuel varied geographically.

4. Possible Action on Energy

The enquiring then focussed on possible actions on the energy front; a number of such actions were read out and the respondents were invited to indicate support or non-support.

POSSIBLE ACTION	YES, SUPPORT %
4.1 A Program Which Shows People How To Improve The Insulation Of Their Homes	90
4.2 A Program Which Requires Automobile Manufacturers To Put Fuel Consumption Stickers On All New Cars That Are For Sale	81
4.3 A Program that Assists Homeowners In Having Their House Rated For Energy Efficiency. For A Fee of $25 A Certified Person Would Examine The House And Make Recommendations	70
4.4 A Requirement That All Homes, New And Old, Would Have To Be Insulated To A Certain Standard Before They Could Be Sold	68
4.5 A Special Tax On Cars That Get Less Than 20 Miles To The Gallon	35
4.6 A Special Tax On Gasoline To Provide Funds For Oil Exploration And Technology Improvements	17
4.7 Rationing The Amount of Gasoline, Heating Oil And Electricity Each Person Can Use ..	16
4.8 Increasing The Price Of All Heating Fuels, Electricity And Gasoline To Encourage People To Conserve	12

(See Detailed Tables, Pages 45 - 60)

Clearly, these eight possibilities divide equally into two distinct categories - well supported and not well supported. The top three, one notes somewhat cynically, are ones which do not hit the individual's pocket very hard! However, it is interesting to note that a potentially expensive item - the pre-sale insulation of new and old homes - is supported by more than two in three people, comparable to last years' figure of 73 per cent. Homeowners at 63 per cent are less enthusiastic than renters at 77 per cent.

The idea of having homes rated for energy efficiency (4.3) is supported by 70 per cent, apparently up from 45 per cent in 1982. However, the 1982 question used the words "A program that requires" compared to "A program that assists" and this may account for the substantial rise in support.

The special tax on cars (4.5) enlists the support of one-third but this is down from the figure of 45 per cent in 1982. It tends to lose support amongst older people who on the ownership statistics established later in the interview have a somewhat higher ownership of more recently acquired bigger-engined vehicles.

5. Claimed Energy-Saving Behaviours

The interview now moved into specific areas which would be conducive to saving energy and was directed to identify those areas where action had been taken. It was divided into two major sections:

- 5.1 the first covering trends in the individual's behaviour over the last few years.
- 5.2 the second dealing with certain activities.

5.1 Trends in behaviour over the last few years:

ACTION		YES, DONE %
5.1.1	Turning Off Lights In Your Home More Often Than A Few Years Ago	80
5.1.2	Keeping The Thermostat At A Lower Temperature Than A Few Years Ago	75
5.1.3	Driving Less Than A Few Years Ago	50
5.1.4	Using Less Hot Water Than A Few Years Ago .	46
5.1.5	Keeping The Hot Water Heater At A Lower Temperature Than A Few Years Ago	42
5.1.6	Bought A Smaller Car Than The One You Had A Few Years Ago	41
5.1.7	Using Public Transportation More Often Than A Few Years Ago	34

(See Detailed Tables, Pages 61-76)

Whilst these "scores" are similar to those established in 1982 all of them have dropped slightly. This is quite understandable as over a period of years there is a decreasing opportunity, for example, to "use less hot water than a few years ago. (5.1.4) However, on this particular aspect there is a considerable geographic variation with Calgary, at 34 per cent, being the lowest, as noted in earlier studies. It might still be fruitful to continue targeting on this area of conservation.

As far as 5.1.6 (size of car) is concerned it will take several years to work through the establishment of cars, particularly in the current economic conditions where replacement markets must surely be affected more than first-time buyers.

As was found in 1982 people in Montreal and Ottawa "use public transportation more often than a few years ago" at a higher level than the other cities. This may be to some degree a reflection of vehicle ownership. The average figure for non-ownership over the nine cities is 12 per cent whereas Montreal is at 29 per cent and Ottawa at 15 per cent. It also probably reflects the service available in any particular locality.

5.2 Current behaviour in specified activities:

ACTION		YES %
5.2.1	Have Your Car Tuned Up At Least Twice A Year	71
5.2.2	Have Your Furnace Serviced At Least Once A Year	54
5.2.3	Check The Tire Pressures On My Car At Least Once A Month	54
5.2.4	Installed Storm Windows And/Or Doors	48
5.2.5	Often Talk With My Friends Or Neighbours About How To Save Energy	45
5.2.6	Read The Government Booklet "The Fuel Consumption Guide"	37
5.2.7	Read The Government Booklet "The Car Economy Book"	24
5.2.8	Participate In A Car Pool/Sharing A Ride To Work With Others	21
5.2.9	Had A Home Energy Audit Done - This Involves Having A Certified Service Person Examine The House And Rate It For Energy Efficiency	15
5.2.10	Installed A Timer Controlled Thermostat	12

(See Detailed Tables, Pages 77-96)

We will limit our comments to items achieving less than one in three taking action.

5.2.7 Claimed readership of this booklet is at a markedly more modest level than that achieved by "The Fuel Consumption Guide" For both publications there is a considerable geographic variation and in both instances better readership levels are achieved amongst the segments of the population exposed to higher education.

5.2.8 In 1979 29 per cent stated that they participate in some form of car pool. This figure has drifted down steadily over the years to a 1983 level of 21 per cent. No one segment seems to participate more enthusiastically than any other.

5.2.9 Some cities are better than others but at 15 per cent average there appears to be plenty of scope!

5.2.10 The figure in 1982 was 18 per cent, now it is 12 per cent. This idea has never shown a high degree of support and perhaps could be worth more advertising emphasis.

6. The CHIP Program

The awareness of this program, at 73 per cent, is virtually identical to the level recorded in 1982.

The geographical picture, broadly in line with last year's position, is as follows:

	YES, HEARD OF CHIP %	
	1983	1982
Halifax	57	67
Moncton	81	N.A.
Quebec City	73	65
Montreal	57	52
Ottawa	72	80
Toronto	75	73
Winnipeg	91	86
Calgary	82	81
Vancouver	73	77

(See Detailed Tables, Pages 97-101)

The awareness amongst homeowners (82 per cent) as compared to renters (60 per cent) is much higher, even more marked than in 1982.

Amongst those who acknowledge awareness of CHIP 25 per cent had, in fact, received a grant (See Detailed Tables Pages 101 - 104); this suggests a slightly higher figure than last year (21 per cent). As was noted in 1982 it is the older (21 years and over) owner-occupied home which has tended to benefit from this program. It also tends towards oil-heated homes but this is a reflection of oil heating being more important in the older houses.

7. Insulation and Other Protection

At this stage of the interview the occupancy - owned or rented - status of the home was established. Those people who were owner-occupiers were then asked a series of questions concerning:

7.1 Added insulation to the home

7.2 Installing weather stripping or caulking to windows or doors.

In fact, about 62 per cent of those interviewed owned (or were paying off the mortgage) their home (See Detailed Tables, Pages 105-108). Thus, although the sample size was reduced from 1987 to 1173, there still remained an adequate base for a reasonable complexity of analysis in total although in certain cities, particularly Montreal, the base was drastically reduced. It must also be remembered that certain basic demographic characteristics of the sample also change considerably when looking at owner-occupiers only.

7.1 A gratifying level of 60 per cent of owners had added insulation to their homes in the last few years; the geographical spread was reasonably consistent. Again, the recognised need of the older home is apparent (See Detailed Tables, Pages 109-128). This need is accepted across income and education levels.

Over half the insulation work had been done during the past two years and half had been done as a "Do-it-Yourself" project by the homeowners; this, not surprisingly, being particularly the case amongst the younger homeowners.

The cost distribution was:

	Total
	699
	%
Under $500	50
$500 to $999	24
$1,000 and over	15
Don't know	11

(See Detailed Tables, Pages 117-120)

93 per cent felt that the money had been well-spent and only 8 per cent felt that there had been problems with the job, the highest individual complaint being "Poor Workmanship".

Three out of every five who had not added insulation had held back because they judged their present insulation to be sufficient.

7.2 A similarly gratifying level, in this case 69 per cent, had installed weather stripping or caulking on their windows and doors in the past few years (See Detailed Tables, Pages 129-148). The special need of the older home is again recognized.

83 per cent of the work had been done in the past two years and 82 per cent of homeowners had done it themselves - a considerably higher proportion than that noted for "Do-it-Yourself" insulation (see section 7.1). 75 per cent of the work was done for $100 or less and almost everyone (98 per cent) felt the money was well-spent; there were few problems.

The main reason for not doing this work (59 per cent) was that the existing standard was considered to be adequate.

8. Energy In The Home

This section of the enquiry covered certain practical aspects of everyday living and was addressed to the total sample, both owners and renters.

The first area of energy in the home to be examined was the responsibility for payment for heating and electricity.

Expenses Paid For Directly By Respondents Or Someone In The Household	YES	NO
	%	%
Heating	78	22
Electricity	90	10

(See Detailed Tables, Pages 149-152)

These figures are similar to the data in earlier studies. They of course vary considerably between owners and renters.

The second area was the actual cost of the heating, based on all those who paid directly. This was distributed as follows:

	TOTAL %
Under $500	21
$500 - $999	45
$1000 - $1499	21
$1500 and over	1
Don't know	12

(See Detailed Tables, Pages 153-156)

There are some startling geographical differences! Next in this section of the questionnaire the principal method of heating the home was established:

	Total Sample				
	(1983) 1897 %	(1982) 1659 %	(1981) 1599 %	(1980) 1600 %	(1979) 1599 %
Electricity	22	22	17	16	16
Heating Oil	31	31	36	38	42
Natural Gas	37	39	38	36	34
Wood And Other	6	2	3	2	*
Don't Know/Not Applicable ..	3	6	5	8	8

* = Less than 1%

(See Detailed Tables, Pages 157-160)

When looking at the figures over these years it should be remembered that an additional city - Moncton - was added in 1983.

There are, of course, major differences across the country, depending on the availability of particular fuels. For example, Halifax had 75 per cent heating oil, Quebec City 56 per cent electricity, Calgary 83 per cent natural gas. The views on energy of the residents of these various cities will obviously be a function, to some degree, of this pattern.

Younger residences were much stronger in electricity (34%) and natural gas (40%); older residences were biased towards oil (41%).

The people who now use a fuel other than heating oil were asked if they had recently switched from oil for their present home. 12 per cent said "Yes", the main reason being high cost of heating oil (69%), fear of furnace failure or receiving grant under C.O.S.P. Conversions, as in 1982, were heavily weighted to Eastern Canada. In total, about 45 per cent of those switching had received assistance under C.O.S.P. This is up on 1982. (See Detailed Tables, Pages 161-172)

Just over one-in-four of those currently using heating oil in their homes had given serious consideration to switching during the last year; of these oil users 43 per cent had heard of C.O.S.P.

(See Detailed Tables, Pages 173-180)

Attention to possible energy deficiences in the home is not a thing of the past; 36 per cent of homeowners are planning to spend money on improving the energy efficiency of their homes in the next 12 months.

The amount to be spent by the homeowners is distributed:

	All Homeowners Intending To Spend Money On Energy Efficiency
	416
	%
Less than $100	10
$100 to $500	35
Over $500	40
Don't Know	16

(See Detailed Tables, Pages 181 - 188)

The level of expenditure contemplated suggests a realistic approach to the problem! Following this attention to possible future plans the following statements were read out to the respondents with the results noted:

	Agreed 1897 %
My Home Is About As Energy Efficient As It Can Be	26
A Little Improvement Can Be Made	28
Some Improvement Can Be Made	31
A Lot Of Improvement Can Be Made	14
Don't Know	2

(See Detailed Tables, Pages 189-192)

There is, overall, an acceptance by many people that improvements are possible. The level of satisfaction - or dissatisfaction - does vary very considerably over the country.

The final question in this section on energy in the home dealt with day and night thermostat temperature settings.

	DAY	NIGHT
	%	%
Under 60°F/15° c	7	17
60° To 63°F/15 To 17° c	8	19
64 To 66°F/18 To 19° c	18	24
67 To 69°F/About 20° c	32	21
70 To 72°F/21 To 22° c	25	10
Over 72°F/Over 22° c	3	2
Don't Know	2	2
No Thermostat	5	5

(See Detailed Tables, Pages 193 - 196)

The general improvement - i.e., lowering of settings - noted in earlier years has been maintained and some further night temperature improvements achieved.

9. Attitudes To The Energy Situation

At this point in the interview, a number of statements were read out so that people could register their agreement or disagreement, or declare it "not applicable" as far as they were concerned.

STATEMENT		AGREE %
9.1	It is Important For Individuals To Make Efforts To Conserve Energy	95
9.2	Any Homeowner Who Spends Money On Home Insulation Is Making A Good Investment	95
9.3	My Spouse Or I Am Very Handy At Fixing Things Around The House	74
9.4	It's Probably Not That Difficult To Reduce The Amount Of Energy I Use	69
9.5	The Oil Companies Have Profited Unfairly From The Energy Situation	68
9.6	Businesses Waste Far More Energy Than Consumers Do	68
9.7	I Know Where To Get Accurate Information On How To Save Energy	67
9.8	Soon Everyone Is Going To Have To Use Less Energy	66
9.9	I Budget Very Carefully For Fuel And Electricity Bills	59
9.10	The Solution To The Energy Situation Will Mean That All Canadians Will Have To Change Their Lifestyles Quite A Bit	57
9.11	The "Energy Crisis" Was A Hoax	50
9.12	If Canadian Don't Reduce The Amount Of Gas And Oil They Use, In A Few Years Canada Will Be Too Dependent On Foreign Oil Producers	47
9.13	Government And Business Should Be Doing The Energy Saving, Not Consumers	34
9.14	I Resent Utilities And Governments Asking Me To Save More Energy	30
9.15	The High Cost Of Energy Has Caused Me Severe Hardship	28
9.16	There Is No Real Need To Conserve Energy Because Technology Will Solve The Problem	18
9.17	Since We Have Plenty Of Gas And Oil There Is No Real Need To Conserve Energy	14
9.18	It Is Useless For The Individual Consumer To Do Anything About Energy Conservation	12

(See Detailed Tables, Pages 197-220)

Items 9.1 and 9.2 have always been real "winners" and the massive support for both statements is very heartening in the fight to conserve energy.

Item 9.3 is a new statement for this study and the high level of agreement does seem, to some degree, to bear out with the "Do-it'Yourself" seen earlier in this report in insulating homes and installing weather stripping; apparently legitimate claims!

Item 9.4: At 69 per cent this level is very close to that in 1982.

Item 9.5: The figure of 68% is the same as last year. As in previous years we can observe a wide difference in this viewpoint across the country. It is particularly well-supported in the East whereas in Calgary well under half agree.

Item 9.6: Similar to 1982 (will it ever change?)

Item 9.7: This is another new statement and at 2 in 3 agreeing the situation looks quite good.

Item 9.8: This figure has dropped from 79 per cent last year to 66 per cent now. Perhaps the causes of O.P.E.C.'s disarray is having some effect.

Item 9.9: This is another new statement; one might have expected the figure to be appreciably higher than 59 per cent: the East, older people and lower income families were stronger in their agreement.

Item 9.10: Again we see a drop from 1982, 68 per cent down to 57 per cent.

Item 9.11: In reports on the earlier studies in this tracking series it was noted with surprise that around four in ten people regarded the energy crises as a hoax created by the Government, Utilities and Corporations.

In the 1982 and 1983 studies the statement was slightly re-phrased; in both these years one in two believe it to have been a hoax. This feeling is spread across the country from a low of 41 per cent in Ottawa to a high of 62 per cent in Moncton and significantly penetrates all strata analysed.

Item 9.12: Now 47 per cent, was 53 per cent last year. Similarly to 1982, Montreal people (63%) had the highest level of agreement whilst Ottawa people had the lowest, although this year their figure of 37 per cent was shared with Calgary.

Item 9.13: Virtually the same as last year.

Item 9.14: Slightly less agreement than in 1982.

Item 9.15: Again slightly less than last year.

Item 9.16: In 1982 21 per cent agreed; this year 18 per cent.

Item 9.17: The same low figure as in 1982.

Item 9.18: As we have seen in previous years only a small minority agree with this pessimistic statement.

10. Number Of Vehicles Kept At Home

The number of vehicles owned, leased or usually kept at home was recorded (motorcycles excluded).

	Sample 1897 %
One Vehicle	51
Two Vehicles	28
Three Vehicles	6
Four or More	2
None	13

(See Detailed Tables, Pages 221 - 224)

As noted in earlier studies, Montreal had a particularly high "no ownership" category (29 per cent).

Information concerning type of vehicle, number of cylinders, usage and miles for work or school was obtained. (See Detailed Tables, Pages 225 - 260, the figures being presented for the newest vehicle, then the second newest and finally the third newest.)

Combining the three newest vehicles, the type and cylinder breakdown is:

	Total Vehicles				Total Vehicles		
	1983	1982	1981		1983	1982	1981
	2487	2265	2171		2497	2265	2171
	%	%	%		%	%	%
Type:				Cylinders:			
Car	80	80	79	4	28	29	25
Station Wagon ..	6	6	8	5	*	*	*
Light Truck	8	8	8	6	26	25	26
Van	4	3	4	8	39	40	44
Other	1	2	1	Other	*	1	1
Don't Know/ Not Stated ...	*	*	*	Don't Know/ Not Stated	5	5	4

* = Less than 1%.

Only 626 (25 per cent) of the 2,497 vehicles were not used for school or work trips, a figure similar to last year.

Considering the 1871 vehicles used for school or work trips 1131 (60 per cent) covered less than 100 miles on those trips and 285 (15 per cent) covered between 100 and 150 miles per week. These figures are similar to 1982 and illustrate that car pools could be an appropriate factor in the energy picture.

F. APPENDICES

1. Of all problems facing people living in Canada, which ones do you feel we should be most concerned about today? (PROBE) Are there any other areas of concern?

_____

_____

_____

2. I would like you to think about the following issues and tell me how seriously you feel each one is affecting us in Canada today. Let's start with (READ * PHRASE). Would you say it is very seriously affecting Canada, somewhat seriously, not too seriously or not seriously at all? (CONTINUE DOWN LIST, AND FROM TOP DOWN, UNTIL ALL HAVE BEEN READ.)

	VERY SERIOUSLY	SOMEWHAT SERIOUSLY	NOT TOO SERIOUSLY	NOT SERIOUSLY AT ALL	DON'T KNOW	NOT APPLI-CABLE
Inflation	1	2	3	4	DK	5
Cost Of Energy	1	2	3	4	DK	5
Unemployment	1	2	3	4	DK	5
* Pollution	1	2	3	4	DK	5
Energy Shortage	1	2	3	4	DK	5
Interest Rates	1	2	3	4	DK	5

3. Now I'd like to ask you about the cost of energy? By about what percentage do you think the cost of (FIRST * PHRASE) has increased in the last twelve months. You may not know exactly, but I'd like your best guess.

	LESS THAN 5%	5 TO 10%	11 TO 15%	16 TO 20%	21 TO 30%	31 TO 40%	41 TO 50%	MORE THAN 50%	DON'T KNOW	NOT APPLI-CABLE
Heating Oil	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	DK	9
Electricity	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	DK	9
* Gasoline	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	DK	9
Natural Gas	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	DK	9

I would like to read to you a few suggestions that people have made while discussing the energy situation. As I read each one, would you tell me whether you would support or not support the suggestion. (READ * STATEMENT) Would you support or not support it? (IF RESPONDENT QUALIFIES ANSWER BY SAYING WOULD SUPPORT IN A CRISIS, EXPLAIN EACH SUGGESTION REFERS TO PRESENT TIME.)

	AGREE	DISAGREE	NOT APPLICABLE
* A Special Tax on Cars That Get Less Than 20 Miles To The Gallon	1	2	3
A Program that Assists Homeowners In Having Their House Rated for Energy Efficiency. For A Fee of $25 A Certified Person Would Examine The House and Make Recommendations	1	2	3
Increasing the Price of All Heating Fuels, Electricity And Gasoline To Encourage People To Conserve	1	2	3
A Special Tax On Gasoline To Provide Funds For Oil Exploration And Technology Improvements	1	2	3
A Program Which Requires Automobile Manufacturers To Put Fuel Consumption Stickers On All New Cars That Are For Sale	1	2	3
A Program Which Shows People How To Improve The Insulation Of Their Homes	1	2	3
Rationing The Amount of Gasoline, Heating Oil And Electricity Each Person Can Use	1	2	3
A Requirement That All Homes, New and Old, Would Have To Be Insulated To A Certain Standard Before They Could Be Sold	1	2	3

5. Since the start of the energy situation a few years ago, some people have changed some things they do. I will now read to you a list of things people have or have not done to conserve energy. Please tell me "YES" or "NO" for each statement as it applies to you.

	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE
Keeping The Thermostat At A Lower Temperature Than A Few Years Ago	1	2	3
Turning Off Lights In Your Home More Often Than A Few Years Ago	1	2	3
Keeping The Hot Water Heater At A Lower Temperature Than A Few Years Ago	1	2	3
Using Less Hot Water Than A Few Years Ago	1	2	3
Driving Less Than A Few Years Ago	1	2	3
Bought A Smaller Car Than The One You Had A Few Years Ago	1	2	3
Using Public Transportation More Often Than A Few Years Ago	1	2	3

6. Now, could you please tell me "YES" or "NO" for the following statements as they apply to you? (READ OUT)

	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE
Have Your Furnace Serviced At Least Once A Year	1	2	3
Participate In A Car Pool/Sharing A Ride To Work With Others	1	2	3
Check The Tire Pressures On My Car At Least Once A Month	1	2	3
Have Your Car Tuned Up At Least Twice A Year	1	2	3
Had A Home Energy Audit Done - This Involves Having A Certified Service Person Examine The House And Rate It For Energy Efficiency	1	2	3
Installed Storm Windows And/Or Doors	1	2	3
Installed A Timer Controlled Thermostat	1	2	3
Often Talk With My Friends Or Neighbours About How To Save Energy	1	2	3
Read The Government Booklet "The Fuel Consumption Guide"	1	2	3
Read The Government Booklet "The Car Economy Book"	1	2	3

7-a) Have you heard of the Canadian Home Insulation Program, often called CHIP?

YES .... [Y - GO TO Q.7b)]

NO ..... [N - SKIP TO Q.8]

-b) Have you received a grant under the CHIP Program?

YES .... Y

NO ..... N → ASK Q.8

8. Do you own or rent your home?

Own/paying off the mortgage ..... 1 - ASK Q.9

Rent 2

REFUSED ..... R → GO TO Q.25

9. In the last few years, have you added any insulation to your home?

YES	1	- ASK Q.10
NO	2	- GO TO Q.16
N/A	3	- GO TO Q.17

10. When was this done? (MOST RECENT)

Within the last 2 years	1
Between 2 and 5 years ago	2
More than 5 years ago	3
DON'T KNOW	DK

11. Did you or your spouse do the work or was it done by a contractor?

HOMEOWNER	1
CONTRACTOR	2
OTHER	3
DON'T KNOW	DK

12. Approximately how much was the total cost?

Under $500	1
$500 - $999	2
$1000 - $2000	3
Over $2000	4
DON'T KNOW	DK

13. Do you think this money was well spent?

YES	1
NO	2
DON'T KNOW .	DK

14. Were there any problems with the job?

YES	1	- GO TO Q.15
NO	2	→ GO TO Q.17
N/A	3	

15. What were they? (DO NOT READ LIST)

Urea Formaldehyde Foam Insulation (U.F.F.I.)	1	
Poor quality materials	2	
Poor workmanship	3	
Too expensive	4	→ GO TO Q.17
Took too long	5	
Messy	6	
Hard to do	7	
OTHER (Write In) _____		
_____		
_____		

16. We have found that a number of homeowners have not added insulation to their homes for a variety of reasons. Could you tell me the main reason why you have not added insulation? (DO NOT READ LIST)

Insulation sufficient	1
Not worth the investment ..	2
Don't know how	3
Too busy	4
Not interested	5
No money	6
Other (Write In)	

_____

_____

17. In the last few years have you installed weather stripping or caulking on your windows or doors?

YES	1 - GO TO Q.18
NO	2 - GO TO Q.24
N/A	3 - GO TO Q.25

18. When was this done? (MOST RECENT)

Within the last 2 years ..	1
Between 2 & 5 years ago ..	2
More than 5 years ago	3
Don't Know	DK

19. Did you or your spouse do the work or was it done by a contractor?

Homeowner	1
Contractor	2
Other	3
Don't Know	DK

20. Approximately how much was the total cost?

Under $50	1
$50 - $100 ...	2
Over $100	3
Don't Know ...	DK

21. Do you think this money was well spent?

YES	1
NO	2
DON'T KNOW	DK

22. Were there any problems with the job?

YES	1	- GO TO Q.23
NO	2	→ GO TO Q.25
N/A	3	

23. What were they? (DO NOT READ LIST)

Poor quality materials	1	→ GO TO Q.25
Poor workmanship	2	
Too expensive	3	
Messy	4	
Hard to do	5	
Other (Write In) _____		

24. We have found that a number of homeowners have not installed weather stripping or caulking for a variety of reasons. Could you tell me the main reason why you have not? (DO NOT READ LIST)

Weather stripping/ caulking sufficient	1
Not worth the investment ...	2
Don't know how	3
Too busy	4
Not interested	5
No money.....	6
Other (Write In)	

ASK ALL

25. Which of the following expenses are paid for directly, by you or someone in your household?

a) Electricity	YES	1	
	NO	2	
	N/A	3	
b) Heating	YES	1	- ASK Q.26
	NO	2	→ SKIP TO Q.27
	N/A	3	

26. About how much did it cost you to heat your home in the last 12 months?

Under $500	1
$500 - $999	2
$1000 - $1499	3
$1500 - $2000	3
Don't Know.....	DK

27. How is your home heated? By ... (IF TWO ANSWERS GIVEN, ASK FOR PRINCIPAL METHOD ONLY)?

Heating Oil	1	GO TO Q.31
Electricty	2	
Natural Gas	3	GO TO Q.28
Wood	4	
Other (PLEASE SPECIFY) _____	5	
NOT APPLICABLE	6	GO TO Q.33
DON'T KNOW	DK	

28. Within the last 2 years have you switched from heating oil as a means of heating your present home?

YES	Y	GO TO Q.29
NO	N	SKIP TO Q.33
DON'T KNOW	DK	

29. Why did you switch from heating oil? (DO NOT READ LIST)

Afraid of shortage of heating oil ..	1	→ GO TO Q.30
High cost of heating oil	2	
Fear of furnace failure	3	
Electricity/natural gas pollutes less	4	
Other (WRITE IN) _____		
_____		
Received grant under Canada Oil Substitution Program (COSP)	9	- SKIP TO Q.33

30. Did you receive a grant under the Canada Oil Substitution Program, often called COSP?

YES	Y	- GO TO Q.33
NO	N	

31. In the last year have you seriously considered switching to natural gas, electricty or wood to heat your home?

YES	Y	→ GO TO Q.32
NO	N	
DON'T KNOW .	DK	

32. Have you heard of the Canada Oil Substitution Program, often called COSP?

YES	Y
NO	N

ASK ALL

33. During the next 12 months are you planning to spend any money to improve the energy efficiency of your home or not?

YES	1	- GO TO Q.34
NO	2	→ GO TO Q.35
NOT APPLICABLE .	3	
DON'T KNOW	DK	

34. About how much do you think you will be spending (READ LIST)

Under $100? ...	1	→ GO TO Q.35
$100 - $500? ...	2	
Over $500?.....	3	
Don't Know	DK	

ASK ALL

35. Which of the following statements best describes the energy efficiency of your home today? (READ OUT)

My home is about as energy efficient as it can be	1
A little improvement can be made	2
Some improvement can be made	3
A lot of improvement can be made	4
Don't know	DK

36. At What temperature do you set your thermostat during the day? During the night? (IF MORE THAN ONE THERMOSTAT IN HOME, ASK FOR AVERAGE TEMPERATURE GENERALLY SET AT.)

	DURING THE DAY	DURING THE NIGHT
Under 60° F (Under 15° C)	1	1
60 to 63° F (15 to 17° C)	2	2
64 to 66° F (18 to 19° C)	3	3
67 to 69° F (About 20° C)	4	4
70 to 72° F (21 to 22° C)	5	5
Over 72° F (Over 22° C)	6	6
DON'T KNOW	DK	DK
NO THERMOSTAT	7	7

37. I am going to read some statements made about the energy situation. I'd like to know, for each, whether you agree or disagree. (READ * STATEMENT) Do you agree or disagree. (CONTINUE DOWN LIST AND FROM TOP DOWN, UNTIL ALL HAVE BEEN READ)

	AGREE	DISAGREE	NOT APPLICABLE
The "Energy Crisis" Was A Hoax	1	2	3
There Is No Real Need To Conserve Energy Because Technology Will Solve The Problem	1	2	3
It's probably Not That Difficult To Reduce The Amount Of Energy I Use	1	2	3
I Budget Very Carefully For Fuel And Electricity Bills	1	2	3
Soon Everyone Is Going To Have To Use Less Energy	1	2	3
It Is Useless For The Individual Consumer To Do Anything About Energy Conservation	1	2	3
Since We Have Plenty Of Gas And Oil There Is No Real Need To Conserve Energy	1	2	3
I Resent Utilities And Governments Asking Me To Save More Energy	1	2	3
If Canadians Don't Reduce The Amount Of Gas And Oil They Use, In A Few Years Canada Will Be Too Dependent On Foreign Oil Producers	1	2	3
* I Know Where To Get Accurate Information On How To Save Energy	1	2	3
Businesses Waste Far More Energy Than Consumers Do	1	2	3
Government And Business Should Be Doing The Energy Saving, Not Consumers	1	2	3
The Solution To The Energy Situation Will Mean That All Canadians Will Have To Change Their Lifestyles Quite A Bit	1	2	3
Any Homeowner Who Spends Money On Home Insulation Is Making A Good Investment	1	2	3
It Is Important For Individuals To Make Efforts To Conserve Energy	1	2	3
The Oil Companies Have Profited Unfairly From The Energy Situation	1	2	3
The High Cost Of Energy Has Caused Me Severe Hardship	1	2	3
My Spouse Or I Am Very Handy At Fixing Things Around The House	1	2	3

38-a) How many vehicles are owned, leased or usually kept at your home by the people in your household? (VEHICLES--LIGHT TRUCKS, VANS, CARS BUT NOT MOTORCYCLES)

ONE	1	FOUR OR MORE	4
TWO	2	NONE	5
THREE	3		

-b) (ASK FOR A MAXIMUM OF THE THREE MOST RECENTLY ACQUIRED VEHICLES AND RECORD ANSWERS BELOW.)

Thinking about the (newest) vehicle kept at your home is it a car, station wagon, light truck, van or some other kind of vehicle?

How many cylinders does it have?

How many days per week is it driven to work or school or isn't it used for those kind of trips?

(IF DRIVEN TO WORK AND/OR SCHOOL:) And about how many miles per week is it driven for this/those kind(s) of trip?

-c) Now the second newest vehicle kept at your home; is it a car, station wagon, light truck, van or some other kind?

How many cylinders does it have?

How many days per week is it driven to work or school or isn't it used for those kind of trips?

(IF DRIVEN TO WORK AND/OR SCHOOL:) And about how many miles per week is it driven for this/those kind(s) of trip?

-d) Finally, the third newest vehicle kept at your home is it a car, station wagon, light truck, van or some other kind of vehicle?

How many cylinders does it have?

How many days per week is it driven to work or school or isn't it used for those kind of trips?

(IF DRIVEN TO WORK AND/OR SCHOOL:)) And about how many miles per week is it driven for this/those kind(s) of trip?

	38-b) NEWEST	38-c) SECOND NEWEST	38-d) THIRD NEWEST
TYPE OF VEHICLE:			
Car	1	1	1
Station Wagon	2	2	2
Light Truck	3	3	3
Van	4	4	4
Other	5	5	5
CYLINDERS:			
4	1	1	1
5	2	2	2
6	3	3	3
8	4	4	4
Other (Write In) _____	5	5	5
Don't Know	DK	DK	DK
NUMBER OF DAYS:			
1	1	1	1
2	2	2	2
3	3	3	3
4	4	4	4
5	5	5	5
6	6	6	6
7	7	7	7
Not used for work or school trips	8	8	8
Don't Know	DK	DK	DK
MILES DRIVEN:			
Less than 50 miles	1	1	1
50 - 99 miles	2	2	2
100 - 149 miles	3	3	3
150 - 199 miles	4	4	4
200 - 249 miles	5	5	5
250 and over	6	6	6
Don't Know	DK	DK	DK

50

BASIC DATA

Now, a few questions about you, to help us to classify your answers.

39. What is your present marital status?

Never Married (Single)	1
Now Married	2
Separated	3
Divorced	4
Widowed	5
Other (Specify) _____	6
REFUSED	R

40. In which of the following age groups do you fall? (READ LIST)

Under 18	1
18 to 24	2
25 to 34	3
35 to 44	4
45 to 54	5
55 to 64	6
65 and over	7
REFUSED	R

41. Sex:

MALE	1
FEMALE	2

42. What is the highest level of education you have completed? (READ LIST)

No formal education	1
Elementary school	2
Secondary/Technical school	3
College/CEGEP	4
University	5
REFUSED	R

43. Are you currently:

Employed full-time	1
Employed part-time (less than 20 hours per week)	2
Unemployed	3
Retired	4
Homemaker	5
Student	6
REFUSED	R

44-a) What was the total income before taxes of all members of your household in 1982? I just need to record the grouping; I'm not interested in the exact income, so first tell me please whether it is $15,000 or more a year, or under $15,000 a year.

$15,000 OR MORE ..... □

-b) Is it $20,000 or more?

NO 1

YES ..... □

-c) Is it $25,000 or more?

NO 2

YES ..... □

-d) Is it $30,000 or more?

NO 3

YES ..... □

-e) Is it $40,000 or more?

NO 4

YES 5

UNDER $15,000 ..... □

Is it under $10,000?

NO 6

YES ..... □

Is it under $6,000?

NO 7

YES 8

REFUSED ... R

GO TO Q.45

45-a) In the last twelve months, has your family income increased or decreased or has it stayed the same?

INCREASED ... 1 DECREASED .. 2 STAYED THE SAME .. 3 DON'T KNOW .. DK

-b) (IF HAS INCREASED OR DECREASED) By about what percentage has your family income (INCREASED/DECREASED) in the past twelve months?

Amount:
- Less than 3% 1
- 3 to 7% 2
- 8 to 12% 3
- 13 to 17% 4
- 18 to 22% 5
- More than 22% 6
- DON'T KNOW ..... DK

46. What type of home do you live in? (READ LIST)

- Single detached house 1
- Semi-detached or side-by-side double 2
- Duplex, triplex, fourplex 3
- Rowhouse or townhouse 4
- Apartment or flat 5
- Other (Please Specify) _____ 6
- REFUSED ..... R

47. Would you say your home is ...

Less than 5 years old	1
5 to 10 years old	2
11 to 20 years old	3
21 to 30 years old	4
31 to 50 years old	5
51 years of age and over	6
Don't know	DK
REFUSED	R

48. What language do you use most often in your home?

French	1
English	2
Other	3
REFUSED	R

49-a) How many people usually live in your household?

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 or more

-b) And how many of these people have a full-time job outside the home?

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 or more

50. City:

Halifax	1
Moncton	2
Quebec City	3
Montreal	4
Ottawa	5
Toronto	6
Winnipeg	7
Calgary	8
Vancouver	9

NAME OF RESPONDENT: _____ DATE: _____

"I hereby certify that this interview was conducted according to the questionnaire and instructions for this study and that the answers recorded are as given to me by this respondent.

I also realize that a proportion of my work will be checked back with the respondent for verification."

_____ _____

(INTERVIEWER'S SIGNATURE) (INTERVIEWER'S NAME - PLEASE PRINT)

APPENDIX II

THE SAMPLE

The following tables show the actual sample achieved, covering both the profile of the respondents and the utilisation of the telephone numbers selected.

1. Profiles

	Total Sample
	1897
	%
Marital Status:	
Never Married (Single)	22
Now Married	63
Separated	3
Divorced	4
Widowed	7
Other	1
Refused	*
Age:	
24 And Under	12
25 To 34	32
35 To 44	22
45 To 54	14
55 To 64	11
65 And Over	9
Refused	*

* = Less than 1%.

	%
Highest Level Of Education Completed:	
Elementary School	11
Secondary/Technical	51
College/C.E.G.E.P.	13
University	25
Refused	*
Sex:	
Male	50
Female	50
Employment:	
Employed Full-Time	59
Employed Part-Time (Less Than 20 Hours Per Week)	7
Unemployed	8
Retired	11
Homemaker	12
Student	3
Refused	*
Type Of House:	
Single Detached House	55
Semi-Detached Or Side-By-Side Double	5
Duplex, Triplex, Fourplex	10
Rowhouse Or Townhouse	4
Apartment Or Flat	24
Other	2
Refused	*

* = Less than 1%.

	%
Home Status:	
Own/Paying Off The Mortgage	62
Rent	38
Refused	*
Household Income:	
Under $10,000	6
$10,000 To $14,999	12
$15,000 To $24,999	24
$25,000 To $39,999	30
$40,000 And Over	12
Refused	16
Age Of Home:	
Less Than 11 Years Old	31
Between 11 And 20 Years Old	26
21 And Over	41
Don't Know/Refused	3
Language Used Most Often In Home:	
French	25
English	73
Other	2
Refused	*

* = Less than 1%.

	%
Number In Household	
One	14
Two	31
Three	20
Four Or More	35
Number In Household With A Full-Time Job:	
One	48
Two	30
Three Or More	6
None	16

2. Completions

The following table gives the result of using the one initial call/1 call-back procedure:

Total Telephone Numbers	6582	100%
Not In Service/Recording	362	6
No Ring	16	*
Busy	201	3
No Answer	1132	17
Answer	4871	74

Total Answer	4871	100%
Non-residential	96	2
Language Difficulty	197	4
Potential	4578	94

Total Potential	4578	100%
Completed Interview	1897	41
Selected Individual Not Available	510	11
Household Refusal	1049	23
Selected Individual Refusal ...	1021	22
Selected Individual Break-Off .	101	3

* = Less than 1%

G. DETAILED TABLES

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CONSUMER CONTACT LIMITED - #257-83 ENERGY STUDY 1983

PAGE NO	
1	PROBLEMS FACING PEOPLE IN CANADA (SUMMARY CODING) Q.1
5	SERIOUSNESS OF SELECTED ISSUES Q.2
29	PERCENTAGE COST INCREASE OVER PAST 12 MONTHS Q.3
45	SUPPORT FOR ENERGY RELATED SUGGESTIONS Q.4
61	CLAIMED ENERGY SAVING BEHAVIOUR Q.5
77	PRACTICAL ENERGY BEHAVIOUR AND READERSHIP Q.6
97	AWARENESS OF CANADIAN HOME INSULATION PROGRAM (CHIP) Q.7A
101	RECEIVED A GRANT UNDER THE CANADIAN HOME INSULATION PROGRAM Q.7B
105	HOME STATUS Q.8
109	WHETHER ADDED ANY INSULATION TO HOME IN LAST FEW YEARS Q.9
113	WHEN INSULATION WAS ADDED TO HOME/WHO INSTALLED INSULATION Q.10/11
117	APPROXIMATE COST OF ADDING INSULATION/WHETHER MONEY WAS WELL SPENT/WHETHER THERE WERE PROBLEMS WITH JOB Q.12/13/14
121	PROBLEMS ENCOUNTERED WHEN ADDING INSULATION TO HOME Q.15
125	MAIN REASON FOR NOT ADDING INSULATION TO HOME Q.16
129	WHETHER INSTALLED WEATHER STRIPPING/CAULKING IN LAST FEW YEARS Q17
133	WHEN INSTALLED WEATHER STRIPPING OR CAULKING/WHO INSTALLED Q.18/19
137	COST OF INSTALLING WEATHER STRIPPING/WHETHER MONEY WAS WELL SPENT/WHETHER THERE WERE PROBLEMS WITH JOB Q.20/21/22
141	PROBLEMS ENCOUNTERED WHEN INSTALLING WEATHER STRIPPING OR CAULKING Q.23
145	MAIN REASON FOR NOT INSTALLING WEATHER STRIPPING OR CAULKING Q.24
149	EXPENSES PAID DIRECTLY BY SOMEONE IN THE HOUSEHOLD Q.25
153	COST OF HEATING HOME IN PAST 12 MONTHS Q.26
157	METHOD OF HEATING THE HOME Q.27
161	RECENTLY SWITCHED FROM HOME HEATING OIL Q.28
165	REASONS FOR SWITCHING FROM HEATING OIL Q.29
169	RECEIVED A GRANT UNDER CANADA OIL SUBSTITUTION PROGRAM Q.30
173	CONSIDERATION GIVEN TO SWITCHING AWAY FROM HOME HEATING OIL Q.31

CONSUMER CONTACT LIMITED - #257-83 ENERGY STUDY 1983

PAGE NO

177 AWARENESS OF CANADA OIL SUBSTITUTION PROGRAM (COSP) Q.32

181 PLANS TO SPEND MONEY IN NEXT 12 MONTHS TO IMPROVE ENERGY EFFICIENCY OF HOME Q.33

185 HOW MUCH TO BE SPENT ON IMPROVING HOME ENERGY EFFICIENCY Q.34

189 DESCRIPTION OF ENERGY EFFICIENCY OF HOME TODAY Q.35

193 TEMPERATURE SETTING DURING DAY AND NIGHT Q.36

197 AGREEMENT OR DISAGREEMENT WITH STATEMENTS ON ENERGY SITUATION Q.37

221 NUMBER OF VEHICLES KEPT AT HOME Q.38A

225 -NEWEST VEHICLE - TYPE AND NUMBER OF CYLINDERS Q.38B

229 -NEWEST VEHICLE - NUMBER OF DAYS DRIVEN PER WEEK Q.38B

233 -NEWEST VEHICLE -NUMBER OF MILES DRIVEN PER WEEK Q.38B

237 -SECOND NEWEST - TYPE AND NUMBER OF CYLINDERS Q.38C

241 -SECOND NEWEST - NUMBER OF DAYS DRIVEN PER WEEK Q.38C

245 -SECOND NEWEST - NUMBER OF MILES DRIVEN PER WEEK Q.38C

249 -THIRD NEWEST - TYPE AND NUMBER OF CYLINDERS Q.38D

253 -THIRD NEWEST - NUMBER OF DAYS DRIVEN PER WEEK Q.38D

257 -THIRD NEWEST - NUMBER OF MILES DRIVEN PER WEEK Q.38D

269 HOUSEHOLD INCOME AND CHANGE IN HOUSEHOLD INCOME OVER PAST YEAR Q.44/45A

273 PERCENTAGE INCREASE IN FAMILY INCOME Q.45B

277 PERCENTAGE DECREASE IN FAMILY INCOME Q.45B

PROBLEMS FACING PEOPLE IN CANADA (SUMMARY CODING) Q.1
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY CITY AND AGE

		CITY									AGE			
	TOTAL	HALI -FAX	MONC -TON	QUE. CITY	MONT- REAL	OTT- AWA	TOR- ONTO	WINN- IPEG	CAL- GARY	VANC- OUVER	UNDER 35	35-44	45-54	55 & OVER
TOTAL	1897	206	210	212	213	210	213	212	210	209	828	416	260	388
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
ENERGY ONLY	24	9	1	1	3	2	4	1	2	1	8	4	3	9
	1.3	4.4	.5	.5	1.4	1.0	1.9	.5	1.0	.5	1.0	1.0	1.2	2.3
ENERGY AND OTHER	135	23	30	14	15	20	6	9	11	7	56	31	19	27
	7.1	11.2	14.3	6.6	7.1	9.5	2.8	4.2	5.2	3.4	6.8	7.4	7.3	7.0
OTHER NOT ENERGY	1673	167	171	188	186	186	192	194	193	194	732	366	234	338
	88.2	81.0	81.4	88.7	87.3	88.6	90.1	91.5	91.9	92.8	88.4	88.0	90.0	87.1
DON'T KNOW	65	7	8	9	9	2	11	8	4	7	32	15	4	14
	3.4	3.4	3.8	4.2	4.2	.9	5.2	3.8	1.9	3.3	3.8	3.6	1.5	3.6

PROBLEMS FACING PEOPLE IN CANADA (SUMMARY CODING) Q.1
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY SEX, AGE OF HOME AND TYPE OF HOME

		SEX		AGE OF HOME				TYPE OF HOME						
	TOTAL	MALE	FE-MALE	LESS THAN 11	11-20 YEARS	21 AND OVER	DON'T KNOW/ REF.	DET-ACHED HOUSE	SEMI-DET-ACHED	2/3/4 PLEX	ROW/ TOWN HOUSE	FLAT/ APART-MENT	ALL OTHER	D/K REF-USED
TOTAL	1897	933	942	581	484	775	57	1042	87	181	78	457	47	5
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
ENERGY ONLY	24	16	8	4	6	13	1	17	-	1	2	3	1	-
	1.3	1.7	.9	.7	1.2	1.7	1.7	1.6		.5	2.6	.7	2.2	
ENERGY AND OTHER	135	84	50	46	37	47	5	86	8	8	7	25	1	-
	7.1	9.0	5.3	7.9	7.7	6.1	8.8	8.3	9.2	4.4	9.0	5.5	2.1	
OTHER NOT ENERGY	1673	802	850	513	425	689	46	906	73	167	67	411	44	5
	88.2	86.0	90.2	88.3	87.8	88.9	80.7	86.9	83.9	92.3	85.9	89.9	93.6	100.0
DON'T KNOW	65	31	34	18	16	26	5	33	6	5	2	18	1	-
	3.4	3.3	3.6	3.1	3.3	3.3	8.8	3.2	6.9	2.8	2.5	3.9	2.1	

PROBLEMS FACING PEOPLE IN CANADA (SUMMARY CODING) Q.1
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY HOME STATUS, HOUSEHOLD INCOME AND EDUCATION

		HOME STATUS			HOUSEHOLD INCOME						EDUCATION				
	TOTAL	OWN	RENT	DK/ REF- USED	UNDER $10M	$10M TO $14.9	$15M TO $24.9	$25M TO $39.9	$40M AND OVER	REF- USED	ELEM- ENT- ARY	SECON -DARY	COLL- EGE/ CEGEP	UNIVE- RSITY	REF- USED
TOTAL	1897	1173	723	1	104	227	458	574	235	299	218	960	243	465	11
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
ENERGY ONLY	24	20	4	-	-	2	9	5	3	5	2	10	2	10	-
	1.3	1.7	.6			.9	2.0	.9	1.3	1.7	.9	1.1	.8	2.1	
ENERGY AND OTHER	135	99	36	-	6	9	39	40	17	24	20	77	12	25	1
	7.1	8.5	5.0		5.8	4.0	8.5	7.0	7.2	8.0	9.2	8.0	5.0	5.4	9.1
OTHER NOT ENERGY	1673	1016	656	1	96	207	394	508	211	257	182	838	219	424	10
	88.2	86.6	90.7	100.0	92.3	91.2	86.0	88.5	89.8	86.0	83.5	87.3	90.1	91.2	90.9
DON'T KNOW	65	38	27	-	2	9	16	21	4	13	14	35	10	6	-
	3.4	3.2	3.7		1.9	3.9	3.5	3.6	1.7	4.3	6.4	3.6	4.1	1.3	

PROBLEMS FACING PEOPLE IN CANADA (SUMMARY CODING) Q.1
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY METHOD OF HEATING HOME AND SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE

		METHOD OF HEATING HOME				SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE				
	TOTAL	HEAT -ING OIL	ELEC- TRIC- ITY	NATU- RAL GAS	OTHER	VERY	SOME- WHAT	NOT TOO	NOT AT ALL	DK/ NOT APPL
TOTAL	1897	589	418	708	120	257	533	600	424	83
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
ENERGY ONLY	24	13	3	7	-	4	5	5	8	2
	1.3	2.2	.7	1.0		1.6	.9	.8	1.9	2.4
ENERGY AND OTHER	135	58	30	32	12	30	36	33	33	3
	7.1	9.9	7.2	4.5	10.0	11.7	6.8	5.5	7.8	3.6
OTHER NOT ENERGY	1673	505	365	646	103	210	473	546	370	74
	88.2	85.7	87.3	91.3	85.8	81.7	88.7	91.0	87.3	89.2
DON'T KNOW	65	13	20	23	5	13	19	16	13	4
	3.4	2.2	4.8	3.2	4.2	5.0	3.6	2.7	3.0	4.8

CO. ER CONTACT LIMITED - #257-83 ENERGY STUDY 1983

SERIOUSNESS OF SELECTED ISSUES Q.2
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY CITY AND AGE

		CITY									AGE			
	TOTAL	HALI-FAX	MONC-TON	QUE. CITY	MONT-REAL	OTT-AWA	TOR-ONTO	WINN-IPEG	CAL-GARY	VANC-OUVER	UNDER 35	35-44	45-54	55 & OVER
TOTAL	1897	206	210	212	213	210	213	212	210	209	828	416	260	388
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
INFLATION														
VERY SERIOUSLY --------- X4	997	106	147	80	73	134	119	126	122	88	403	225	145	220
	52.6	51.4	70.0	37.7	34.3	63.8	55.8	59.4	58.1	42.1	48.7	54.1	55.8	56.7
3	655	76	43	108	111	63	56	62	54	82	313	146	85	110
	34.5	36.9	20.5	51.0	52.1	30.0	26.3	29.3	25.7	39.3	37.8	35.1	32.7	28.4
NOT TOO SERIOUSLY 2	203	22	15	20	27	13	27	20	27	32	98	37	22	46
	10.7	10.7	7.1	9.4	12.7	6.2	12.7	9.4	12.9	15.3	11.8	8.9	8.5	11.8
NOT SERIOUSLY AT ALL --- X1	26	1	2	3	2	-	7	3	5	3	9	7	6	4
	1.4	.5	1.0	1.4	.9		3.3	1.4	2.4	1.4	1.1	1.7	2.3	1.0
DON'T KNOW/NOT APPLICABLE	16	1	3	1	-	-	4	1	2	4	5	1	2	8
	.8	.5	1.4	.5			1.9	.5	.9	1.9	.6	.2	.7	2.1
*** MEAN (EXCLUDES DK/NOT APP)	3.39	3.40	3.62	3.26	3.20	3.58	3.37	3.47	3.41	3.24	3.35	3.42	3.43	3.44
S.D.	.75	.69	.65	.66	.67	.58	.84	.74	.79	.78	.72	.71	.74	.72
S.E.	.01	.04	.04	.04	.04	.04	.05	.05	.05	.05	.02	.03	.04	.03

SERIOUSNESS OF SELECTED ISSUES Q.2
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY SEX, AGE OF HOME AND TYPE OF HOME

		SEX		AGE OF HOME				TYPE OF HOME						
	TOTAL	MALE	FE-MALE	LESS THAN 11	11-20 YEARS	21 AND OVER	DON'T KNOW/ REF.	DET-ACHED HOUSE	SEMI-DET-ACHED	2/3/4 PLEX	ROW/ TOWN HOUSE	FLAT/ APART-MENT	ALL OTHER	D/K REF-USED
TOTAL	1897	933	942	581	484	775	57	1042	87	181	78	457	47	5
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
INFLATION														
VERY SERIOUSLY --------- X4	997	427	557	288	265	411	33	555	50	90	39	235	26	2
	52.6	45.8	59.1	49.5	54.8	53.1	57.9	53.3	57.5	49.7	50.0	51.4	55.3	40.0
SOMEWHAT SERIOUSLY 3	655	340	307	219	167	252	17	342	27	71	24	169	19	3
	34.5	36.4	32.6	37.7	34.5	32.5	29.8	32.8	31.0	39.2	30.8	37.0	40.4	60.0
NOT TOO SERIOUSLY 2	203	134	68	65	46	87	5	117	8	18	14	44	2	-
	10.7	14.4	7.2	11.2	9.5	11.2	8.8	11.2	9.2	9.9	17.9	9.6	4.3	
NOT SERIOUSLY AT ALL --- X1	26	22	4	8	4	14	-	20	-	1	1	4	-	-
	1.4	2.3	.4	1.4	.8	1.8		1.9		.6	1.3	.9		
DON'T KNOW/NOT APPLICABLE	16	10	6	1	2	11	2	8	2	1	-	5	-	-
	.8	1.1	.7	.2	.4	1.4	3.5	.8	2.3	.6		1.1		
*** MEAN (EXCLUDES DK/NOT APP)	3.39	3.27	3.51	3.36	3.44	3.39	3.51	3.38	3.49	3.39	3.29	3.40	3.51	3.40
S.D.	.75	.79	.66	.71	.68	.74	.65	.78	.68	.68	.82	.72	.58	.49
S.E.	.01	.02	.02	.03	.03	.02	.08	.02	.07	.05	.09	.03	.08	.21

SERIOUSNESS OF SELECTED ISSUES Q.2
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY HOME STATUS, HOUSEHOLD INCOME AND EDUCATION

		HOME STATUS			HOUSEHOLD INCOME						EDUCATION				
	TOTAL	OWN	RENT	DK/ REF- USED	UNDER $10M	$10M TO $14.9	$15M TO $24.9	$25M TO $39.9	$40M AND OVER	REF- USED	ELEM- ENT- ARY	SECON -DARY	COLL- EGE/ CEGEP	UNIVE- RSITY	REF- USED
TOTAL	1897	1173	723	1	104	227	458	574	235	299	218	960	243	465	11
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
INFLATION															
VERY SERIOUSLY --------- X4	997	613	383	1	64	108	261	285	95	184	139	521	118	214	5
	52.6	52.2	53.0	100.0	61.5	47.6	57.0	49.7	40.4	61.5	63.8	54.3	48.6	46.0	45.4
SOMEWHAT SERIOUSLY 3	655	399	256	-	29	79	158	208	101	80	61	319	94	177	4
	34.5	34.0	35.4		27.9	34.8	34.5	36.2	43.0	26.8	28.0	33.2	38.7	38.1	36.4
NOT TOO SERIOUSLY 2	203	130	73	-	8	32	37	69	32	25	13	101	24	64	1
	10.7	11.1	10.1		7.7	14.1	8.1	12.0	13.6	8.4	5.9	10.5	9.9	13.8	9.1
NOT SERIOUSLY AT ALL --- X1	26	22	4	-	1	3	1	10	5	6	2	12	5	7	-
	1.4	1.9	.5		1.0	1.3	.2	1.8	2.1	2.0	.9	1.3	2.0	1.5	
DON'T KNOW/NOT APPLICABLE	16	9	7	-	2	5	1	2	2	4	3	7	2	3	1
	.8	.8	1.0		1.9	2.2	.2	.3	.9	1.3	1.4	.7	.8	.6	9.1
*** MEAN (EXCLUDES DK/NOT APP)	3.39	3.38	3.42	4.00	3.53	3.32	3.49	3.34	3.23	3.50	3.57	3.42	3.35	3.29	3.40
S.D.	.75	.74	.70	-	.67	.74	.62	.76	.75	.72	.63	.70	.73	.77	.66
S.E.	.01	.02	.02	-	.06	.05	.03	.03	.04	.04	.04	.02	.04	.03	.20

SERIOUSNESS OF SELECTED ISSUES Q.2
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY METHOD OF HEATING HOME AND SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE

		METHOD OF HEATING HOME					SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE				
	TOTAL	HEAT-ING OIL	ELEC-TRIC-ITY	NATU-RAL GAS	OTHER	VERY	SOME-WHAT	NOT TOO	NOT AT ALL	DK/ NOT APPL
TOTAL	1897	589	418	708	120	257	533	600	424	83
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
INFLATION										
VERY SERIOUSLY --------- X4	997	316	206	373	66	183	284	296	190	44
	52.6	53.7	49.3	52.7	55.0	71.2	53.3	49.3	44.8	53.0
SOMEWHAT SERIOUSLY 3	655	204	162	228	41	60	221	215	136	23
	34.5	34.6	38.7	32.2	34.2	23.3	41.5	35.8	32.1	27.7
NOT TOO SERIOUSLY 2	203	58	43	86	11	12	23	82	74	12
	10.7	9.8	10.3	12.2	9.2	4.7	4.3	13.7	17.4	14.5
NOT SERIOUSLY AT ALL --- X1	26	7	5	13	1	1	3	3	19	-
	1.4	1.2	1.2	1.8	.8	.4	.5	.5	4.5	
DON'T KNOW/NOT APPLICABLE	16	4	2	8	1	1	2	4	5	4
	.8	.7	.5	1.1	.8	.4	.4	.7	1.2	4.8
*** MEAN (EXCLUDES DK/NOT APP)	3.39	3.42	3.37	3.37	3.45	3.66	3.48	3.35	3.19	3.41
S.D.	.75	.70	.70	.78	.67	.58	.60	.72	.86	.71
S.E.	.01	.02	.03	.03	.06	.03	.02	.03	.04	.08

0008

SERIOUSNESS OF SELECTED ISSUES Q.2
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY CITY AND AGE

		CITY									AGE			
	TOTAL	HALI-FAX	MONC-TON	QUE. CITY	MONT-REAL	OTT-AWA	TOR-ONTO	WINN-IPEG	CAL-GARY	VANC-OUVER	UNDER 35	35-44	45-54	55 & OVER
TOTAL	1897	206	210	212	213	210	213	212	210	209	828	416	260	388
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
COST OF ENERGY														
VERY SERIOUSLY --------- X4	868	124	144	82	67	78	81	109	91	90	302	208	132	224
	45.7	60.2	68.6	38.7	31.5	37.2	38.0	51.4	43.3	43.1	36.5	50.0	50.8	57.7
SOMEWHAT SERIOUSLY 3	743	57	50	105	117	100	88	73	76	77	384	149	99	108
	39.2	27.7	23.8	49.5	54.9	47.6	41.3	34.4	36.2	36.8	46.4	35.8	38.1	27.8
NOT TOO SERIOUSLY 2	225	17	12	21	22	26	30	24	35	38	110	46	21	48
	11.9	8.3	5.7	9.9	10.3	12.4	14.1	11.3	16.7	18.2	13.3	11.1	8.1	12.4
NOT SERIOUSLY AT ALL --- X1	32	5	-	3	2	3	6	5	6	2	18	6	5	3
	1.7	2.4		1.4	.9	1.4	2.8	2.4	2.9	1.0	2.1	1.4	1.9	.8
DON'T KNOW/NOT APPLICABLE	29	3	4	1	5	3	8	1	2	2	14	7	3	5
	1.5	1.4	1.9	.5	2.4	1.4	3.8	.5	.9	.9	1.7	1.7	1.1	1.3
*** MEAN (EXCLUDES DK/NOT APP)	3.31	3.48	3.64	3.26	3.20	3.22	3.19	3.36	3.21	3.23	3.19	3.37	3.39	3.44
S.D.	.74	.74	.59	.69	.63	.72	.78	.75	.82	.78	.75	.72	.73	.75
S.E.	.01	.05	.04	.04	.04	.05	.05	.05	.05	.05	.02	.03	.04	.03

SERIOUSNESS OF SELECTED ISSUES Q.2
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY SEX, AGE OF HOME AND TYPE OF HOME

		SEX		AGE OF HOME				TYPE OF HOME						
	TOTAL	MALE	FE-MALE	LESS THAN 11	11-20 YEARS	21 AND OVER	DON'T KNOW/ REF.	DET-ACHED HOUSE	SEMI-DET-ACHED	2/3/4 PLEX	ROW/ TOWN HOUSE	FLAT/ APART-MENT	ALL OTHER	D/K REF-USED
TOTAL	1897	933	942	581	484	775	57	1042	87	181	78	457	47	5
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
COST OF ENERGY														
VERY SERIOUSLY --------- X4	868	412	447	253	214	367	34	520	40	80	30	173	22	3
	45.7	44.1	47.5	43.6	44.2	47.4	59.6	49.9	46.0	44.2	38.5	37.9	46.8	60.0
SOMEWHAT SERIOUSLY 3	743	357	374	241	189	297	16	366	28	82	38	205	23	1
	39.2	38.3	39.7	41.5	39.1	38.3	28.1	35.1	32.2	45.3	48.7	44.9	48.9	20.0
NOT TOO SERIOUSLY 2	225	128	96	70	70	82	3	130	16	13	7	56	2	1
	11.9	13.7	10.2	12.0	14.5	10.6	5.3	12.5	18.4	7.2	9.0	12.2	4.3	20.0
NOT SERIOUSLY AT ALL --- X1	32	23	9	8	7	17	-	18	-	4	2	8	-	-
	1.7	2.5	.9	1.4	1.4	2.2		1.7		2.2	2.5	1.7		
DON'T KNOW/NOT APPLICABLE	29	13	16	9	4	12	4	8	3	2	1	15	-	-
	1.5	1.4	1.7	1.5	.8	1.5	7.0	.8	3.4	1.1	1.3	3.3		
*** MEAN (EXCLUDES DK/NOT APP)	3.31	3.26	3.36	3.29	3.27	3.33	3.58	3.34	3.29	3.33	3.25	3.23	3.43	3.40
S.D.	.74	.78	.70	.74	.76	.75	.62	.77	.74	.70	.70	.72	.54	.80
S.E.	.01	.02	.02	.03	.03	.02	.08	.02	.08	.05	.08	.03	.08	.35

0010

SERIOUSNESS OF SELECTED ISSUES Q.2
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY HOME STATUS, HOUSEHOLD INCOME AND EDUCATION

		HOME STATUS			HOUSEHOLD INCOME						EDUCATION				
	TOTAL	OWN	RENT	DK/ REF-USED	UNDER $10M	$10M TO $14.9	$15M TO $24.9	$25M TO $39.9	$40M AND OVER	REF-USED	ELEM-ENT-ARY	SECON-DARY	COLL-EGE/ CEGEP	UNIVE-RSITY	REF-USED
TOTAL	1897	1173	723	1	104	227	458	574	235	299	218	960	243	465	11
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
COST OF ENERGY															
VERY SERIOUSLY --------- X4	868	580	288	-	55	87	238	246	91	151	135	463	96	169	5
	45.7	49.5	39.8		52.9	38.3	52.0	42.8	38.8	50.5	61.9	48.2	39.5	36.3	45.5
SOMEWHAT SERIOUSLY 3	743	431	312	-	36	90	163	245	106	103	63	353	116	206	5
	39.2	36.7	43.2		34.6	39.7	35.6	42.7	45.1	34.5	28.9	36.8	47.7	44.3	45.4
NOT TOO SERIOUSLY 2	225	131	93	1	7	39	47	67	32	33	16	119	23	67	-
	11.9	11.2	12.9	100.0	6.7	17.2	10.2	11.7	13.6	11.0	7.4	12.4	9.5	14.4	
NOT SERIOUSLY AT ALL --- X1	32	20	12	-	1	5	5	12	5	4	-	13	5	13	1
	1.7	1.7	1.6		1.0	2.2	1.1	2.1	2.1	1.3		1.4	2.1	2.8	9.1
DON'T KNOW/NOT APPLICABLE	29	11	18	-	5	6	5	4	1	8	4	12	3	10	-
	1.5	.9	2.5		4.8	2.6	1.1	.7	.4	2.7	1.8	1.2	1.2	2.2	
*** MEAN (EXCLUDES DK/NOT APP)	3.31	3.35	3.24	2.00	3.46	3.17	3.40	3.27	3.21	3.38	3.56	3.34	3.26	3.17	3.27
S.D.	.74	.75	.75	-	.69	.80	.71	.75	.75	.72	.60	.72	.72	.76	.87
S.E.	.01	.02	.02	-	.07	.05	.03	.03	.04	.04	.04	.02	.04	.03	.26

SERIOUSNESS OF SELECTED ISSUES Q.2
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY METHOD OF HEATING HOME AND SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE

		METHOD OF HEATING HOME				SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE				
	TOTAL	HEAT-ING OIL	ELEC-TRIC-ITY	NATU-RAL GAS	OTHER	VERY	SOME-WHAT	NOT TOO	NOT AT ALL	DK/ NOT APPL
TOTAL	1897	589	418	708	120	257	533	600	424	83
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
COST OF ENERGY										
VERY SERIOUSLY --------- X4	868	318	164	305	56	186	219	216	210	37
	45.7	54.0	39.3	43.1	46.7	72.4	41.1	36.0	49.5	44.6
SOMEWHAT SERIOUSLY 3	743	206	194	274	45	61	276	244	131	31
	39.2	35.0	46.4	38.7	37.5	23.7	51.8	40.7	30.9	37.4
NOT TOO SERIOUSLY 2	225	50	44	111	13	9	30	127	53	6
	11.9	8.5	10.5	15.7	10.8	3.5	5.6	21.2	12.5	7.2
NOT SERIOUSLY AT ALL --- X1	32	7	8	10	5	-	3	6	22	1
	1.7	1.2	1.9	1.4	4.2		.6	1.0	5.2	1.2
DON'T KNOW/NOT APPLICABLE	29	8	8	8	1	1	5	7	8	8
	1.5	1.3	1.9	1.1	.8	.4	.9	1.1	1.9	9.6
*** MEAN (EXCLUDES DK/NOT APP)	3.31	3.44	3.25	3.25	3.28	3.69	3.35	3.13	3.27	3.39
S.D.	.74	.68	.73	.76	.80	.54	.59	.77	.88	.67
S.E.	.01	.02	.03	.02	.07	.03	.02	.03	.04	.07

SERIOUSNESS OF SELECTED ISSUES Q.2
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY CITY AND AGE

		CITY									AGE			
	TOTAL	HALI -FAX	MONC -TON	QUE. CITY	MONT- REAL	OTT- AWA	TOR- ONTO	WINN- IPEG	CAL- GARY	VANC- OUVER	UNDER 35	35-44	45-54	55 & OVER
TOTAL	1897	206	210	212	213	210	213	212	210	209	828	416	260	388
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
UNEMPLOYMENT														
VERY SERIOUSLY --------- X4	1616	188	200	171	159	142	184	187	199	184	678	364	229	341
	85.2	91.2	95.2	80.7	74.6	67.6	86.4	88.2	94.8	88.0	81.9	87.5	88.1	87.9
SOMEWHAT SERIOUSLY 3	237	12	9	38	50	58	20	20	8	22	127	45	28	36
	12.5	5.8	4.3	17.9	23.5	27.6	9.4	9.4	3.8	10.5	15.3	10.8	10.8	9.3
NOT TOO SERIOUSLY 2	30	2	1	2	4	7	6	3	3	2	18	3	2	7
	1.6	1.0	.5	.9	1.9	3.3	2.8	1.4	1.4	1.0	2.2	.7	.7	1.8
NOT SERIOUSLY AT ALL --- X1	7	2	-	1	-	2	1	1	-	-	3	3	-	1
	.4	1.0		.5		1.0	.5	.5			.4	.7		.2
DON'T KNOW/NOT APPLICABLE	7	2	-	-	-	1	2	1	-	1	2	1	1	3
	.3	1.0				.5	.9	.5		.5	.2	.3	.4	.8
*** MEAN (EXCLUDES DK/NOT APP)	3.83	3.89	3.95	3.79	3.73	3.63	3.83	3.86	3.93	3.88	3.79	3.86	3.88	3.86
S.D.	.45	.43	.20	.44	.46	.57	.50	.44	.34	.29	.49	.38	.31	.43
S.E.	.01	.03	.01	.03	.03	.04	.03	.03	.02	.02	.01	.02	.02	.02

CONSUMER CONTACT LIMITED - #257-83 ENERGY STUDY 1983

SERIOUSNESS OF SELECTED ISSUES Q.2
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY SEX, AGE OF HOME AND TYPE OF HOME

		SEX		AGE OF HOME				TYPE OF HOME						
	TOTAL	MALE	FE-MALE	LESS THAN 11	11-20 YEARS	21 AND OVER	DON'T KNOW/ REF.	DET-ACHED HOUSE	SEMI-DET-ACHED	2/3/4 PLEX	ROW/ TOWN HOUSE	FLAT/ APART-MENT	ALL OTHER	D/K REF-USED
TOTAL	1897	933	942	581	484	775	57	1042	87	181	78	457	47	5
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
UNEMPLOYMENT														
VERY SERIOUSLY --------- X4	1616	779	827	493	417	661	45	901	72	150	68	378	43	4
	85.2	83.5	87.8	84.9	86.2	85.3	78.9	86.5	82.8	82.9	87.2	82.7	91.5	80.0
SOMEWHAT SERIOUSLY 3	237	122	107	75	57	96	9	120	10	26	9	68	3	1
	12.5	13.1	11.4	12.9	11.8	12.4	15.8	11.5	11.5	14.4	11.5	14.9	6.4	20.0
NOT TOO SERIOUSLY 2	30	23	5	9	7	12	2	14	4	4	1	7	-	-
	1.6	2.5	.5	1.6	1.4	1.5	3.5	1.3	4.6	2.2	1.3	1.5		
NOT SERIOUSLY AT ALL --- X1	7	5	1	2	3	2	-	5	-	1	-	1	-	-
	.4	.5	.1	.3	.6	.3		.5		.5		.2		
DON'T KNOW/NOT APPLICABLE	7	4	2	2	-	4	1	2	1	-	-	3	1	-
	.3	.4	.2	.3		.5	1.8	.2	1.1			.7	2.1	
*** MEAN (EXCLUDES DK/NOT APP)	3.83	3.80	3.87	3.83	3.83	3.84	3.77	3.84	3.79	3.80	3.86	3.81	3.93	3.80
S.D.	.45	.51	.38	.43	.49	.39	.48	.46	.51	.45	.37	.46	.31	.40
S.E.	.01	.01	.01	.01	.02	.01	.06	.01	.05	.03	.04	.02	.04	.17

SERIOUSNESS OF SELECTED ISSUES Q.2
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY HOME STATUS, HOUSEHOLD INCOME AND EDUCATION

		HOME STATUS				HOUSEHOLD INCOME						EDUCATION				
	TOTAL	OWN	RENT	DK/ REF- USED	UNDER $10M	$10M TO $14.9	$15M TO $24.9	$25M TO $39.9	$40M AND OVER	REF- USED	ELEM- ENT- ARY	SECON -DARY	COLL- EGE/ CEGEP	UNIVE- RSITY	REF- USED
TOTAL	1897	1173	723	1	104	227	458	574	235	299	218	960	243	465	11
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
UNEMPLOYMENT															
VERY SERIOUSLY --------- X4	1616	1009	606	1	87	199	391	485	210	244	185	832	189	402	8
	85.2	86.0	83.8	100.0	83.6	87.7	85.4	84.5	89.4	81.6	84.9	86.7	77.8	86.5	72.7
SOMEWHAT SERIOUSLY 3	237	136	101	-	16	23	58	73	20	47	28	113	50	44	2
	12.5	11.6	14.0		15.4	10.1	12.7	12.7	8.5	15.7	12.8	11.8	20.6	9.5	18.2
NOT TOO SERIOUSLY 2	30	20	10	-	-	5	7	9	5	4	2	11	3	14	-
	1.6	1.7	1.4			2.2	1.5	1.6	2.1	1.3	.9	1.1	1.2	3.0	
NOT SERIOUSLY AT ALL --- X1	7	6	1	-	-	-	1	4	-	2	2	3	-	2	-
	.4	.5	.1				.2	.7		.7	.9	.3		.4	
DON'T KNOW/NOT APPLICABLE	7	2	5	-	1	-	1	3	-	2	1	1	1	3	1
	.3	.2	.7		1.0		.2	.5		.7	.5	.1	.4	.6	9.1
*** MEAN (EXCLUDES DK/NOT APP)	3.83	3.83	3.83	4.00	3.84	3.85	3.84	3.82	3.87	3.79	3.82	3.85	3.77	3.83	3.80
S.D.	.45	.48	.39	-	.40	.45	.38	.46	.41	.51	.50	.41	.43	.48	.40
S.E.	.01	.01	.01	-	.04	.03	.01	.02	.02	.03	.03	.01	.02	.02	.12

SERIOUSNESS OF SELECTED ISSUES Q.2
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY METHOD OF HEATING HOME AND SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE

		METHOD OF HEATING HOME				SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE				
	TOTAL	HEAT-ING OIL	ELEC-TRIC-ITY	NATU-RAL GAS	OTHER	VERY	SOME-WHAT	NOT TOO	NOT AT ALL	DK/ NOT APPL
TOTAL	1897	589	418	708	120	257	533	600	424	83
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
UNEMPLOYMENT										
VERY SERIOUSLY --------- X4	1616	512	332	619	106	239	455	482	369	71
	85.2	86.9	79.4	87.4	88.4	93.0	85.4	80.3	87.0	85.6
SOMEWHAT SERIOUSLY 3	237	66	78	70	12	15	70	100	44	8
	12.5	11.2	18.7	9.9	10.0	5.8	13.1	16.7	10.4	9.6
NOT TOO SERIOUSLY 2	30	10	5	13	1	2	6	14	7	1
	1.6	1.7	1.2	1.9	.8	.8	1.1	2.3	1.7	1.2
NOT SERIOUSLY AT ALL --- X1	7	1	3	3	-	1	-	3	3	-
	.4	.2	.7	.4		.4		.5	.7	
DON'T KNOW/NOT APPLICABLE	7	-	-	3	1	-	2	1	1	3
	.3			.4	.8		.4	.2	.2	3.6
*** MEAN (EXCLUDES DK/NOT APP)	3.83	3.85	3.77	3.85	3.88	3.91	3.85	3.77	3.84	3.88
S.D.	.45	.40	.47	.44	.37	.38	.34	.51	.47	.30
S.E.	.01	.01	.02	.01	.03	.02	.01	.02	.02	.03

SERIOUSNESS OF SELECTED ISSUES Q.2
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY CITY AND AGE

		CITY									AGE			
	TOTAL	HALI -FAX	MONC -TON	QUE. CITY	MONT- REAL	OTT- AWA	TOR- ONTO	WINN- IPEG	CAL- GARY	VANC- OUVER	UNDER 35	35-44	45-54	55 & OVER
TOTAL	1897	206	210	212	213	210	213	212	210	209	828	416	260	388
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
POLLUTION														
VERY SERIOUSLY --------- X4	585	62	65	79	55	48	79	65	70	60	222	143	79	139
	30.9	30.1	31.0	37.3	25.8	22.9	37.1	30.7	33.3	28.7	26.8	34.4	30.4	35.8
SOMEWHAT SERIOUSLY 3	767	82	74	98	99	75	80	84	86	89	346	173	111	136
	40.4	39.8	35.2	46.2	46.5	35.7	37.6	39.6	41.0	42.6	41.8	41.6	42.7	35.1
NOT TOO SERIOUSLY 2	446	42	57	27	51	80	46	50	44	49	218	82	57	87
	23.5	20.4	27.1	12.7	24.0	38.1	21.6	23.6	21.0	23.5	26.3	19.7	21.9	22.4
NOT SERIOUSLY AT ALL --- X1	59	11	6	5	5	5	5	8	7	7	28	13	8	10
	3.1	5.3	2.9	2.4	2.3	2.4	2.3	3.8	3.3	3.3	3.4	3.1	3.1	2.6
DON'T KNOW/NOT APPLICABLE	40	9	8	3	3	2	3	5	3	4	14	5	5	16
	2.1	4.4	3.8	1.4	1.4	.9	1.4	2.3	1.4	1.9	1.7	1.2	1.9	4.1
*** MEAN (EXCLUDES DK/NOT APP)	3.01	2.99	2.98	3.20	2.97	2.80	3.11	3.00	3.06	2.99	2.94	3.09	3.02	3.09
S.D.	.83	.86	.85	.75	.77	.81	.82	.82	.81	.80	.80	.79	.82	.82
S.E.	.02	.06	.06	.05	.05	.05	.05	.05	.05	.05	.02	.03	.05	.04

SERIOUSNESS OF SELECTED ISSUES Q.2
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY SEX, AGE OF HOME AND TYPE OF HOME

		SEX		AGE OF HOME				TYPE OF HOME						
	TOTAL	MALE	FE-MALE	LESS THAN 11	11-20 YEARS	21 AND OVER	DON'T KNOW/ REF.	DET-ACHED HOUSE	SEMI-DET-ACHED	2/3/4 PLEX	ROW/ TOWN HOUSE	FLAT/ APART-MENT	ALL OTHER	D/K REF-USED
TOTAL	1897	933	942	581	484	775	57	1042	87	181	78	457	47	5
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
POLLUTION														
VERY SERIOUSLY --------- X4	585	274	306	159	149	265	12	333	22	51	19	141	17	2
	30.9	29.4	32.5	27.4	30.8	34.2	21.1	32.0	25.3	28.2	24.3	30.9	36.2	40.0
SOMEWHAT SERIOUSLY 3	767	363	400	229	207	315	16	423	39	74	35	177	17	2
	40.4	38.9	42.5	39.4	42.7	40.6	28.1	40.6	44.8	40.9	44.9	38.7	36.2	40.0
NOT TOO SERIOUSLY 2	446	241	193	161	103	160	22	233	22	48	22	111	9	1
	23.5	25.8	20.5	27.7	21.3	20.6	38.6	22.4	25.3	26.5	28.2	24.3	19.1	20.0
NOT SERIOUSLY AT ALL --- X1	59	38	21	25	14	19	1	35	3	4	-	15	2	-
	3.1	4.1	2.2	4.3	2.9	2.5	1.7	3.3	3.5	2.2		3.3	4.3	
DON'T KNOW/NOT APPLICABLE	40	17	22	7	11	16	6	18	1	4	2	13	2	-
	2.1	1.8	2.3	1.2	2.3	2.1	10.5	1.7	1.1	2.2	2.6	2.8	4.2	
*** MEAN (EXCLUDES DK/NOT APP)	3.01	2.95	3.08	2.91	3.04	3.09	2.76	3.03	2.93	2.97	2.96	3.00	3.09	3.20
S.D.	.83	.86	.78	.84	.79	.80	.84	.82	.80	.81	.73	.83	.86	.74
S.E.	.02	.02	.02	.03	.03	.02	.11	.02	.08	.06	.08	.04	.12	.33

SERIOUSNESS OF SELECTED ISSUES Q.2
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY HOME STATUS, HOUSEHOLD INCOME AND EDUCATION

		HOME STATUS			HOUSEHOLD INCOME						EDUCATION				
	TOTAL	OWN	RENT	DK/ REF-USED	UNDER $10M	$10M TO $14.9	$15M TO $24.9	$25M TO $39.9	$40M AND OVER	REF-USED	ELEM-ENT-ARY	SECON-DARY	COLL-EGE/ CEGEP	UNIVE-RSITY	REF-USED
TOTAL	1897	1173	723	1	104	227	458	574	235	299	218	960	243	465	11
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
POLLUTION															
VERY SERIOUSLY ---------- X4	585	364	221	-	37	69	154	150	76	99	63	282	69	166	5
	30.9	31.0	30.6		35.6	30.4	33.6	26.1	32.3	33.1	28.9	29.4	28.4	35.7	45.4
SOMEWHAT SERIOUSLY 3	767	481	285	1	32	91	180	259	98	107	88	389	104	183	3
	40.4	41.0	39.4	100.0	30.8	40.1	39.3	45.1	41.7	35.8	40.4	40.5	42.8	39.3	27.3
NOT TOO SERIOUSLY 2	446	272	174	-	22	57	103	139	51	74	49	240	58	97	2
	23.5	23.2	24.1		21.1	25.1	22.5	24.2	21.7	24.8	22.5	25.0	23.9	20.9	18.2
NOT SERIOUSLY AT ALL --- X1	59	36	23	-	3	6	14	16	8	12	6	30	8	14	1
	3.1	3.1	3.2		2.9	2.6	3.1	2.8	3.4	4.0	2.7	3.1	3.3	3.0	9.1
DON'T KNOW/NOT APPLICABLE	40	20	20	-	10	4	7	10	2	7	12	19	4	5	-
	2.1	1.7	2.7		9.6	1.8	1.5	1.8	.9	2.3	5.5	2.0	1.6	1.1	
*** MEAN (EXCLUDES DK/NOT APP)	3.01	3.02	3.00	3.00	3.10	3.00	3.05	2.96	3.04	3.00	3.01	2.98	2.98	3.09	3.09
S.D.	.83	.81	.83	-	.84	.82	.83	.80	.82	.88	.81	.82	.81	.82	.99
S.E.	.02	.02	.03	-	.08	.05	.03	.03	.05	.05	.05	.02	.05	.03	.30

SERIOUSNESS OF SELECTED ISSUES Q.2
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY METHOD OF HEATING HOME AND SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE

		METHOD OF HEATING HOME					SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE				
	TOTAL	HEAT -ING OIL	ELEC- TRIC- ITY	NATU- RAL GAS	OTHER	VERY	SOME- WHAT	NOT TOO	NOT AT ALL	DK/ NOT APPL
TOTAL	1897	589	418	708	120	257	533	600	424	83
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
POLLUTION										
VERY SERIOUSLY --------- X4	585	176	129	225	36	134	176	128	123	24
	30.9	29.9	30.8	31.8	30.0	52.2	33.0	21.3	29.0	28.9
SOMEWHAT SERIOUSLY 3	767	230	173	286	50	89	262	240	143	33
	40.4	39.0	41.4	40.4	41.7	34.6	49.2	40.0	33.7	39.8
NOT TOO SERIOUSLY 2	446	147	99	161	29	27	84	211	115	9
	23.5	25.0	23.7	22.7	24.2	10.5	15.8	35.2	27.1	10.8
NOT SERIOUSLY AT ALL --- X1	59	18	7	26	5	6	6	11	35	1
	3.1	3.1	1.7	3.7	4.1	2.3	1.1	1.8	8.3	1.2
DON'T KNOW/NOT APPLICABLE	40	18	10	10	-	1	5	10	8	16
	2.1	3.0	2.4	1.4		.4	.9	1.7	1.9	19.3
*** MEAN (EXCLUDES DK/NOT APP)	3.01	2.99	3.04	3.02	2.98	3.37	3.15	2.82	2.85	3.19
S.D.	.83	.82	.78	.82	.82	.76	.72	.79	.94	.73
S.E.	.02	.03	.03	.03	.07	.04	.03	.03	.04	.09

SERIOUSNESS OF SELECTED ISSUES Q.2
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY CITY AND AGE

		CITY									AGE			
	TOTAL	HALI -FAX	MONC -TON	QUE. CITY	MONT- REAL	OTT- AWA	TOR- ONTO	WINN- IPEG	CAL- GARY	VANC- OUVER	UNDER 35	35-44	45-54	55 & OVER
TOTAL	1897	206	210	212	213	210	213	212	210	209	828	416	260	388
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
ENERGY SHORTAGE														
VERY SERIOUSLY --------- X4	257	15	34	52	34	31	25	21	30	15	97	65	38	56
	13.5	7.3	16.2	24.5	16.0	14.8	11.8	9.9	14.3	7.2	11.7	15.6	14.6	14.4
SOMEWHAT SERIOUSLY 3	533	49	33	94	100	60	42	56	46	52	256	122	68	86
	28.1	23.8	15.7	44.3	46.9	28.6	19.7	26.4	21.9	24.9	30.9	29.3	26.2	22.2
NOT TOO SERIOUSLY 2	600	54	63	51	60	95	81	57	55	83	283	125	73	117
	31.6	26.2	30.0	24.1	28.2	45.2	38.0	26.9	26.2	39.7	34.2	30.1	28.1	30.2
NOT SERIOUSLY AT ALL --- X1	424	67	69	10	13	22	49	69	73	52	170	86	70	97
	22.4	32.5	32.9	4.7	6.1	10.5	23.0	32.6	34.8	24.9	20.5	20.7	26.9	25.0
DON'T KNOW/NOT APPLICABLE	83	21	11	5	6	2	16	9	6	7	22	18	11	32
	4.4	10.2	5.2	2.4	2.8	.9	7.5	4.2	2.8	3.3	2.7	4.3	4.2	8.2
*** MEAN (EXCLUDES DK/NOT APP)	2.34	2.06	2.16	2.91	2.75	2.48	2.22	2.14	2.16	2.15	2.35	2.42	2.30	2.28
S.D.	.99	.98	1.08	.81	.79	.87	.95	1.01	1.07	.88	.93	.99	1.03	1.03
S.E.	.02	.07	.07	.05	.05	.06	.06	.07	.07	.06	.03	.05	.06	.05

	TOTAL	SEX: MALE	SEX: FE-MALE	AGE OF HOME: LESS THAN 11	AGE OF HOME: 11-20 YEARS	AGE OF HOME: 21 AND OVER	AGE OF HOME: DON'T KNOW/ REF.	TYPE OF HOME: DET-ACHED HOUSE	TYPE OF HOME: SEMI-DET-ACHED	TYPE OF HOME: 2/3/4 PLEX	TYPE OF HOME: ROW/ TOWN HOUSE	TYPE OF HOME: FLAT/ APART-MENT	TYPE OF HOME: ALL OTHER	TYPE OF HOME: D/K REF-USED
TOTAL	1897	933	942	581	484	775	57	1042	87	181	78	457	47	5
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
ENERGY SHORTAGE														
VERY SERIOUSLY --------- X4	257	102	151	81	64	102	10	125	15	32	8	69	8	-
	13.5	10.9	16.0	13.9	13.2	13.2	17.6	12.0	17.3	17.7	10.2	15.1	17.0	
SOMEWHAT SERIOUSLY 3	533	213	316	161	141	212	19	254	23	55	21	161	17	2
	28.1	22.8	33.6	27.7	29.2	27.3	33.3	24.4	26.4	30.4	26.9	35.2	36.2	40.0
NOT TOO SERIOUSLY 2	600	303	285	180	171	232	17	323	35	54	30	146	10	2
	31.6	32.5	30.3	31.0	35.3	29.9	29.8	31.0	40.2	29.8	38.5	32.0	21.3	40.0
NOT SERIOUSLY AT ALL --- X1	424	285	137	138	95	185	6	290	10	31	17	65	10	1
	22.4	30.6	14.5	23.8	19.6	23.9	10.5	27.8	11.5	17.1	21.8	14.2	21.3	20.0
DON'T KNOW/NOT APPLICABLE	83	30	53	21	13	44	5	50	4	9	2	16	2	-
	4.4	3.2	5.6	3.6	2.7	5.7	8.8	4.8	4.6	5.0	2.6	3.5	4.2	
*** MEAN (EXCLUDES DK/NOT APP)	2.34	2.15	2.54	2.33	2.37	2.32	2.63	2.22	2.52	2.51	2.26	2.53	2.51	2.20
S.D.	.99	.98	.94	1.00	.95	.99	.93	.99	.91	.99	.93	.92	1.02	.74
S.E.	.02	.03	.03	.04	.04	.03	.12	.03	.10	.07	.10	.04	.15	.33

SERIOUSNESS OF SELECTED ISSUES Q.2
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY HOME STATUS, HOUSEHOLD INCOME AND EDUCATION

		HOME STATUS			HOUSEHOLD INCOME						EDUCATION				
	TOTAL	OWN	RENT	DK/ REF- USED	UNDER $10M	$10M TO $14.9	$15M TO $24.9	$25M TO $39.9	$40M AND OVER	REF- USED	ELEM- ENT- ARY	SECON -DARY	COLL- EGE/ CEGEP	UNIVE- RSITY	REF- USED
TOTAL	1897	1173	723	1	104	227	458	574	235	299	218	960	243	465	11
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
ENERGY SHORTAGE															
VERY SERIOUSLY --------- X4	257	143	114	-	18	27	76	57	27	52	41	141	34	39	2
	13.5	12.2	15.8		17.3	11.9	16.6	9.9	11.5	17.4	18.8	14.7	14.0	8.4	18.2
SOMEWHAT SERIOUSLY 3	533	286	247	-	33	66	152	157	52	73	61	263	83	123	3
	28.1	24.4	34.2		31.7	29.1	33.2	27.3	22.1	24.4	28.0	27.4	34.2	26.4	27.3
NOT TOO SERIOUSLY 2	600	376	223	1	26	77	131	202	74	90	58	291	73	174	4
	31.6	32.1	30.8	100.0	25.0	33.9	28.6	35.2	31.5	30.1	26.6	30.3	30.0	37.4	36.3
NOT SERIOUSLY AT ALL --- X1	424	316	108	-	16	51	81	145	70	61	39	227	38	118	2
	22.4	26.9	14.9		15.4	22.5	17.7	25.3	29.8	20.4	17.9	23.6	15.6	25.4	18.2
DON'T KNOW/NOT APPLICABLE	83	52	31	-	11	6	18	13	12	23	19	38	15	11	-
	4.4	4.4	4.3		10.6	2.6	3.9	2.3	5.1	7.7	8.7	4.0	6.2	2.4	
*** MEAN (EXCLUDES DK/NOT APP)	2.34	2.23	2.53	2.00	2.57	2.31	2.51	2.22	2.16	2.42	2.52	2.34	2.50	2.18	2.45
S.D.	.99	.99	.94	-	.98	.96	.97	.95	1.00	1.03	1.03	1.02	.92	.92	.99
S.E.	.02	.03	.03	-	.10	.06	.04	.04	.06	.06	.07	.03	.06	.04	.30

SERIOUSNESS OF SELECTED ISSUES Q.2
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY METHOD OF HEATING HOME AND SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE

		METHOD OF HEATING HOME					SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE				
	TOTAL	HEAT -ING OIL	ELEC- TRIC- ITY	NATU- RAL GAS	OTHER	VERY	SOME- WHAT	NOT TOO	NOT AT ALL	DK/ NOT APPL
TOTAL	1897	589	418	708	120	257	533	600	424	83
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
ENERGY SHORTAGE										
VERY SERIOUSLY --------- X4	257	82	76	72	17	257	-	-	-	-
	13.5	13.9	18.2	10.2	14.2	100.0				
SOMEWHAT SERIOUSLY 3	533	152	151	181	28	-	533	-	-	-
	28.1	25.8	36.1	25.6	23.3		100.0			
NOT TOO SERIOUSLY 2	600	184	117	244	39	-	-	600	-	-
	31.6	31.2	28.0	34.5	32.5			100.0		
NOT SERIOUSLY AT ALL --- X1	424	133	59	188	34	-	-	-	424	-
	22.4	22.6	14.1	26.5	28.3				100.0	
DON'T KNOW/NOT APPLICABLE	83	38	15	23	2	-	-	-	-	83
	4.4	6.5	3.6	3.2	1.7					100.0
*** MEAN (EXCLUDES DK/NOT APP)	2.34	2.33	2.61	2.20	2.24	4.00	3.00	2.00	1.00	-
S.D.	.99	1.00	.94	.95	1.01	-	-	-	-	-
S.E.	.02	.04	.04	.03	.09	-	-	-	-	-

SERIOUSNESS OF SELECTED ISSUES Q.2
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY CITY AND AGE

		CITY									AGE			
	TOTAL	HALI -FAX	HONC -TON	QUE. CITY	MONT- REAL	OTT- AWA	TOR- ONTO	WINN- IPEG	CAL- GARY	VANC- OUVER	UNDER 35	35-44	45-54	55 & OVER
TOTAL	1897	206	210	212	213	210	213	212	210	209	828	416	260	388
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
INTEREST RATES														
VERY SERIOUSLY --------- X4	581	62	95	45	28	84	59	67	67	72	244	126	99	110
	30.6	30.1	45.2	21.2	13.1	40.0	27.7	31.6	31.9	34.4	29.5	30.3	38.1	28.4
SOMEWHAT SERIOUSLY 3	690	79	73	61	82	80	76	88	70	81	300	156	82	150
	36.4	38.3	34.8	28.8	38.5	38.1	35.7	41.5	33.4	38.8	36.2	37.5	31.5	38.6
NOT TOO SERIOUSLY 2	519	55	37	97	86	40	57	44	58	45	240	116	62	101
	27.4	26.7	17.6	45.8	40.4	19.0	26.7	20.8	27.6	21.5	29.0	27.9	23.9	26.0
NOT SERIOUSLY AT ALL --- X1	73	3	4	7	14	5	14	9	11	6	36	12	13	12
	3.8	1.5	1.9	3.3	6.6	2.4	6.6	4.2	5.2	2.9	4.3	2.9	5.0	3.1
DON'T KNOW/NOT APPLICABLE	34	7	1	2	3	1	7	4	4	5	8	6	4	15
	1.8	3.4	.5	.9	1.4	.5	3.3	1.9	1.9	2.4	1.0	1.4	1.5	3.9
*** MEAN (EXCLUDES DK/NOT APP)	2.95	3.01	3.24	2.69	2.59	3.16	2.87	3.02	2.94	3.07	2.92	2.97	3.04	2.96
S.D.	.87	.78	.80	.82	.80	.82	.91	.85	.89	.84	.86	.82	.92	.83
S.E.	.02	.05	.05	.05	.05	.05	.06	.05	.06	.05	.03	.04	.05	.04

SERIOUSNESS OF SELECTED ISSUES Q.2
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY SEX, AGE OF HOME AND TYPE OF HOME

		SEX		AGE OF HOME				TYPE OF HOME						
	TOTAL	MALE	FE-MALE	LESS THAN 11	11-20 YEARS	21 AND OVER	DON'T KNOW/ REF.	DET-ACHED HOUSE	SEMI-DET-ACHED	2/3/4 PLEX	ROW/ TOWN HOUSE	FLAT/ APART-MENT	ALL OTHER	D/K REF-USED
TOTAL	1897	933	942	581	484	775	57	1042	87	181	78	457	47	5
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
INTEREST RATES														
VERY SERIOUSLY --------- X4	581	259	313	185	147	229	20	325	28	62	22	125	19	-
	30.6	27.7	33.2	31.9	30.4	29.5	35.1	31.2	32.2	34.3	28.2	27.4	40.5	
SOMEWHAT SERIOUSLY 3	690	332	350	189	178	296	27	389	32	55	28	165	19	2
	36.4	35.6	37.2	32.5	36.8	38.2	47.4	37.4	36.8	30.4	35.9	36.1	40.4	40.0
NOT TOO SERIOUSLY 2	519	274	240	176	129	206	8	270	22	58	23	135	8	3
	27.4	29.4	25.5	30.3	26.6	26.6	14.0	25.9	25.3	32.0	29.5	29.5	17.0	60.0
NOT SERIOUSLY AT ALL --- X1	73	59	14	27	19	26	1	42	3	4	4	19	1	-
	3.8	6.3	1.5	4.6	3.9	3.4	1.8	4.0	3.4	2.2	5.1	4.2	2.1	
DON'T KNOW/NOT APPLICABLE	34	9	25	4	11	18	1	16	2	2	1	13	-	-
	1.8	1.0	2.6	.7	2.3	2.3	1.7	1.5	2.3	1.1	1.3	2.8		
*** MEAN (EXCLUDES DK/NOT APP)	2.95	2.86	3.05	2.92	2.96	2.96	3.18	2.97	3.00	2.98	2.88	2.89	3.19	2.40
S.D.	.87	.88	.81	.90	.85	.84	.72	.86	.85	.86	.89	.86	.79	.49
S.E.	.02	.03	.02	.03	.03	.03	.09	.02	.09	.06	.10	.04	.11	.21

SERIOUSNESS OF SELECTED ISSUES Q.2
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY HOME STATUS, HOUSEHOLD INCOME AND EDUCATION

		HOME STATUS			HOUSEHOLD INCOME						EDUCATION				
	TOTAL	OWN	RENT	DK/ REF-USED	UNDER $10M	$10M TO $14.9	$15M TO $24.9	$25M TO $39.9	$40M AND OVER	REF-USED	ELEM-ENT-ARY	SECON-DARY	COLL-EGE/ CEGEP	UNIVE-RSITY	REF-USED
TOTAL	1697	1173	723	1	104	227	458	574	235	299	218	960	243	465	11
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
INTEREST RATES															
VERY SERIOUSLY ---------- X4	581	370	211	-	36	62	147	173	70	93	75	296	68	139	3
	30.6	31.6	29.2		34.6	27.3	32.1	30.1	29.8	31.1	34.4	30.8	28.0	29.9	27.3
SOMEWHAT SERIOUSLY 3	690	429	261	-	37	79	169	195	86	124	83	348	90	164	5
	36.4	36.6	36.1		35.6	34.8	36.9	34.0	36.6	41.5	38.1	36.3	37.0	35.3	45.4
NOT TOO SERIOUSLY 2	519	309	210	-	25	67	120	178	64	65	46	268	68	135	2
	27.4	26.3	29.1		24.0	29.5	26.2	31.0	27.2	21.7	21.1	27.9	28.0	29.0	18.2
NOT SERIOUSLY AT ALL --- X1	73	51	22	-	1	12	15	25	12	8	2	36	14	20	1
	3.8	4.3	3.0		1.0	5.3	3.3	4.4	5.1	2.7	.9	3.8	5.8	4.3	9.1
DON'T KNOW/NOT APPLICABLE	34	14	19	1	5	7	7	3	3	9	12	12	3	7	-
	1.8	1.2	2.6	100.0	4.8	3.1	1.5	.5	1.3	3.0	5.5	1.2	1.2	1.5	
*** MEAN (EXCLUDES DK/NOT APP)	2.95	2.96	2.94	-	3.09	2.87	2.99	2.90	2.92	3.04	3.12	2.95	2.88	2.92	2.91
S.D.	.87	.88	.84	-	.80	.88	.86	.89	.89	.81	.78	.87	.89	.88	.89
S.E.	.02	.02	.03	-	.08	.05	.04	.03	.05	.04	.05	.02	.05	.04	.27

SERIOUSNESS OF SELECTED ISSUES Q.2
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY METHOD OF HEATING HOME AND SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE

		METHOD OF HEATING HOME				SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE				
	TOTAL	HEAT-ING OIL	ELEC-TRIC-ITY	NATU-RAL GAS	OTHER	VERY	SOME-WHAT	NOT TOO	NOT AT ALL	DK/ NOT APPL
TOTAL	1897	589	418	708	120	257	533	600	424	83
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
INTEREST RATES										
VERY SERIOUSLY --------- X4	581	180	113	218	47	133	151	152	122	23
	30.6	30.6	27.1	30.8	39.2	51.7	28.3	25.3	28.8	27.7
SOMEWHAT SERIOUSLY 3	690	227	130	272	41	91	235	214	127	23
	36.4	38.5	31.1	38.4	34.2	35.4	44.1	35.7	30.0	27.7
NOT TOO SERIOUSLY 2	519	156	148	172	29	28	130	202	138	21
	27.4	26.5	35.4	24.3	24.1	10.9	24.4	33.7	32.5	25.3
NOT SERIOUSLY AT ALL --- X1	73	19	21	28	3	3	11	21	31	7
	3.8	3.2	5.0	4.0	2.5	1.2	2.1	3.5	7.3	8.4
DON'T KNOW/NOT APPLICABLE	34	7	6	18	-	2	6	11	6	9
	1.8	1.2	1.4	2.5		.8	1.1	1.8	1.4	10.9
*** MEAN (EXCLUDES DK/NOT APP)	2.95	2.98	2.81	2.99	3.10	3.39	3.00	2.84	2.81	2.84
S.D.	.87	.82	.90	.83	.85	.71	.77	.86	.95	.96
S.E.	.02	.03	.04	.03	.07	.04	.03	.03	.04	.11

PERCENTAGE COST INCREASE OVER PAST 12 MONTHS Q.3
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY CITY AND AGE

		CITY									AGE			
	TOTAL	HALI -FAX	MONC -TON	QUE. CITY	MONT- REAL	OTT- AWA	TOR- ONTO	WINN- IPEG	CAL- GARY	VANC- OUVER	UNDER 35	35-44	45-54	55 & OVER
TOTAL	1897	206	210	212	213	210	213	212	210	209	828	416	260	388
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
HEATING OIL														
LESS THAN 5%	41	1	6	6	7	3	5	2	-	11	26	3	8	4
	2.2	.5	2.9	2.9	3.3	1.4	2.4	.9		5.3	3.2	.7	3.1	1.0
5 TO 10%	231	25	33	34	31	18	25	23	15	27	109	53	32	37
	12.2	12.1	15.7	16.0	14.6	8.6	11.7	10.8	7.2	12.9	13.2	12.8	12.3	9.5
11 TO 15%	245	37	29	27	27	45	20	19	24	17	117	60	23	44
	12.9	18.0	13.8	12.7	12.7	21.4	9.4	9.0	11.4	8.1	14.1	14.4	8.8	11.4
16 TO 20%	222	22	35	17	17	40	29	17	22	23	101	46	40	34
	11.7	10.7	16.7	8.0	8.0	19.1	13.6	8.0	10.5	11.0	12.2	11.1	15.4	8.8
21 TO 30%	247	32	32	16	22	58	22	31	19	15	108	66	25	47
	13.0	15.5	15.2	7.6	10.3	27.6	10.3	14.6	9.0	7.2	13.0	15.9	9.6	12.1
31 TO 40%	97	13	11	10	3	22	13	12	7	6	42	12	20	23
	5.1	6.3	5.2	4.7	1.4	10.5	6.1	5.7	3.3	2.9	5.1	2.9	7.7	5.9
41 TO 50%	57	3	13	2	2	1	10	11	9	6	20	11	9	15
	3.0	1.5	6.2	1.0	.9	.5	4.7	5.2	4.3	2.9	2.4	2.6	3.5	3.9
MORE THAN 50%	66	15	6	6	6	3	8	8	3	11	19	18	12	17
	3.5	7.3	2.9	2.8	2.8	1.4	3.8	3.8	1.4	5.2	2.3	4.3	4.6	4.4
DON'T KNOW/NOT APPLICABLE	691	58	45	94	98	20	81	89	111	93	286	147	91	167
	36.4	28.1	21.4	44.3	46.0	9.5	38.0	42.0	52.9	44.5	34.5	35.3	35.0	43.0
*** MEAN (EXCLUDES DK/NOT APP)	20.35	21.98	20.23	17.59	17.04	20.18	21.61	23.11	21.09	19.87	18.87	20.50	21.52	22.73
S.D.	13.14	14.20	12.93	12.80	12.31	9.52	14.01	14.07	12.34	15.43	12.11	13.09	14.19	14.21
S.E.	.38	1.17	1.01	1.18	1.15	.69	1.22	1.27	1.24	1.43	.52	.80	1.09	.96

PERCENTAGE COST INCREASE OVER PAST 12 MONTHS Q.3
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY SEX, AGE OF HOME AND TYPE OF HOME

		SEX		AGE OF HOME				TYPE OF HOME						
	TOTAL	MALE	FE-MALE	LESS THAN 11	11-20 YEARS	21 AND OVER	DON'T KNOW/ REF.	DET-ACHED HOUSE	SEMI-DET-ACHED	2/3/4 PLEX	ROW/ TOWN HOUSE	FLAT/ APART -MENT	ALL OTHER	D/K REF-USED
TOTAL	1897	933	942	581	484	775	57	1042	87	181	78	457	47	5
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
HEATING OIL														
LESS THAN 5%	41	20	19	12	8	20	1	17	3	5	3	13	-	-
	2.2	2.1	2.0	2.1	1.7	2.6	1.7	1.6	3.5	2.8	3.9	2.8		
5 TO 10%	231	118	110	65	66	97	3	145	10	22	5	46	3	-
	12.2	12.6	11.7	11.2	13.6	12.5	5.3	13.9	11.5	12.2	6.4	10.1	6.4	
11 TO 15%	245	133	106	69	68	99	9	128	12	28	11	60	5	1
	12.9	14.3	11.3	11.9	14.0	12.8	15.8	12.3	13.8	15.5	14.1	13.1	10.6	20.0
16 TO 20%	222	125	93	64	67	87	4	139	18	20	4	36	5	-
	11.7	13.4	9.9	11.0	13.8	11.2	7.0	13.3	20.7	11.0	5.1	7.9	10.6	
21 TO 30%	247	138	105	87	60	95	5	135	7	30	16	47	11	1
	13.0	14.8	11.1	15.0	12.4	12.3	8.8	13.0	8.1	16.6	20.5	10.3	23.4	20.0
31 TO 40%	97	49	47	28	27	41	1	57	5	8	6	17	3	1
	5.1	5.3	5.0	4.8	5.6	5.3	1.7	5.5	5.7	4.4	7.7	3.7	6.4	20.0
41 TO 50%	57	34	23	21	11	22	3	35	5	4	1	10	2	-
	3.0	3.6	2.4	3.6	2.3	2.8	5.3	3.4	5.7	2.2	1.3	2.2	4.3	
MORE THAN 50%	66	30	35	21	17	26	2	44	6	4	1	11	-	-
	3.5	3.2	3.7	3.6	3.5	3.3	3.5	4.2	6.9	2.2	1.3	2.4		
DON'T KNOW/NOT APPLICABLE	691	286	404	214	160	288	29	342	21	60	31	217	18	2
	36.4	30.7	42.9	36.8	33.1	37.2	50.9	32.8	24.1	33.1	39.7	47.5	38.3	40.0
*** MEAN (EXCLUDES DK/NOT APP)	20.35	20.29	20.55	21.13	19.79	20.06	21.88	20.75	22.01	19.07	20.48	19.09	22.16	24.17
S.D.	13.14	12.75	13.62	13.30	12.70	13.18	14.49	13.50	14.96	11.68	11.23	12.80	9.91	9.20
S.E.	.38	.50	.59	.69	.71	.60	2.74	.51	1.84	1.06	1.64	.83	1.84	5.31

PERCENTAGE COST INCREASE OVER PAST 12 MONTHS Q.3
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY HOME STATUS, HOUSEHOLD INCOME AND EDUCATION

		HOME STATUS			HOUSEHOLD INCOME						EDUCATION				
	TOTAL	OWN	RENT	DK/ REF- USED	UNDER $10M	$10M TO $14.9	$15M TO $24.9	$25M TO $39.9	$40M AND OVER	REF- USED	ELEM- ENT- ARY	SECON -DARY	COLL- EGE/ CEGEP	UNIVE- RSITY	REF- USED
TOTAL	1897	1173	723	1	104	227	458	574	235	299	218	960	243	465	11
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
HEATING OIL															
LESS THAN 5%	41	20	21	-	-	8	9	12	5	7	5	16	10	10	-
	2.2	1.7	2.9			3.5	2.0	2.1	2.1	2.3	2.3	1.7	4.1	2.2	
5 TO 10%	231	160	71	-	8	26	59	77	30	31	23	120	29	57	2
	12.2	13.6	9.8		7.7	11.5	12.9	13.4	12.8	10.4	10.6	12.5	11.9	12.3	18.2
11 TO 15%	245	155	90	-	9	21	62	78	30	45	19	109	40	76	1
	12.9	13.2	12.5		8.7	9.2	13.5	13.6	12.8	15.1	8.7	11.4	16.5	16.4	9.1
16 TO 20%	222	161	61	-	8	27	52	79	30	26	18	99	28	74	3
	11.7	13.7	8.4		7.7	11.9	11.4	13.8	12.8	8.7	8.3	10.3	11.5	15.9	27.3
21 TO 30%	247	156	91	-	10	30	56	83	25	43	32	125	35	55	-
	13.0	13.3	12.6		9.6	13.2	12.2	14.4	10.6	14.4	14.7	13.0	14.4	11.8	
31 TO 40%	97	67	30	-	6	14	29	20	13	15	11	55	8	23	-
	5.1	5.7	4.1		5.8	6.2	6.3	3.5	5.5	5.0	5.0	5.7	3.3	4.9	
41 TO 50%	57	43	14	-	2	8	9	18	9	11	7	30	4	15	1
	3.0	3.7	1.9		1.9	3.5	2.0	3.1	3.8	3.7	3.2	3.1	1.7	3.2	9.1
MORE THAN 50%	66	49	17	-	4	4	14	17	12	15	7	41	4	13	1
	3.5	4.2	2.4		3.8	1.8	3.0	3.0	5.1	5.0	3.2	4.3	1.6	2.8	9.1
DON'T KNOW/NOT APPLICABLE	691	362	328	1	57	89	168	190	81	106	96	365	85	142	3
	36.4	30.9	45.4	100.0	54.8	39.2	36.7	33.1	34.5	35.4	44.0	38.0	35.0	30.5	27.2
*** MEAN (EXCLUDES DK/NOT APP)	20.35	20.81	19.41	-	23.03	20.07	19.79	19.49	21.32	21.70	21.50	21.33	17.64	19.40	22.50
S.D.	13.14	13.43	12.47	-	13.93	12.53	12.66	12.41	14.35	14.18	13.51	13.83	11.03	12.25	16.54
S.E.	.38	.47	.63	-	2.03	1.07	.74	.63	1.16	1.02	1.22	.57	.88	.68	5.85

PERCENTAGE COST INCREASE OVER PAST 12 MONTHS Q.3
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY METHOD OF HEATING HOME AND SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE

		METHOD OF HEATING HOME				SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE				
	TOTAL	HEAT-ING OIL	ELEC-TRIC-ITY	NATU-RAL GAS	OTHER	VERY	SOME-WHAT	NOT TOO	NOT AT ALL	DK/ NOT APPL
TOTAL	1897	589	418	708	120	257	533	600	424	83
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
HEATING OIL										
LESS THAN 5%	41	17	11	11	2	6	12	15	7	1
	2.2	2.9	2.6	1.5	1.7	2.3	2.2	2.5	1.7	1.2
5 TO 10%	231	91	45	77	12	30	83	57	52	9
	12.2	15.4	10.8	10.9	10.0	11.7	15.6	9.5	12.3	10.9
11 TO 15%	245	93	46	84	16	43	74	67	51	10
	12.9	15.8	11.0	11.9	13.4	16.7	13.9	11.2	12.0	12.1
16 TO 20%	222	89	44	67	19	19	51	89	57	6
	11.7	15.1	10.5	9.5	15.8	7.4	9.6	14.9	13.4	7.2
21 TO 30%	247	87	53	90	10	38	66	89	47	7
	13.0	14.8	12.7	12.7	8.3	14.8	12.4	14.8	11.1	8.4
31 TO 40%	97	40	17	31	6	13	21	40	18	5
	5.1	6.8	4.1	4.4	5.0	5.0	3.9	6.7	4.2	6.0
41 TO 50%	57	18	3	32	1	11	8	20	13	5
	3.0	3.1	.7	4.5	.8	4.3	1.5	3.3	3.1	6.0
MORE THAN 50%	66	30	7	20	7	12	11	20	21	2
	3.5	5.1	1.7	2.8	5.8	4.7	2.1	3.3	4.9	2.4
DON'T KNOW/NOT APPLICABLE	691	124	192	296	47	85	207	203	158	38
	36.4	21.0	45.9	41.8	39.2	33.1	38.8	33.8	37.3	45.8
*** MEAN (EXCLUDES DK/NOT APP)	20.35	20.39	18.36	21.12	20.79	21.34	17.85	21.37	21.01	21.89
S.D.	13.14	13.47	11.18	13.38	13.98	14.10	11.68	12.82	14.02	14.16
S.E.	.38	.62	.74	.66	1.64	1.08	.65	.64	.86	2.11

PERCENTAGE COST INCREASE OVER PAST 12 MONTHS Q.3
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY CITY AND AGE

		CITY									AGE			
	TOTAL	HALI -FAX	MONC -TON	QUE. CITY	MONT- REAL	OTT- AWA	TOR- ONTO	WINN- IPEG	CAL- GARY	VANC- OUVER	UNDER 35	35-44	45-54	55 & OVER
TOTAL	1897	206	210	212	213	210	213	212	210	209	828	416	260	388
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
ELECTRICITY														
LESS THAN 5%	167	13	42	5	9	4	10	67	6	11	74	34	24	35
	8.8	6.3	20.0	2.3	4.2	1.9	4.7	31.6	2.9	5.3	8.9	8.2	9.2	9.0
5 TO 10%	491	36	76	72	76	29	66	48	41	46	243	100	67	80
	25.9	17.5	36.2	34.0	35.7	13.8	31.0	22.7	19.5	22.0	29.4	24.0	25.8	20.6
11 TO 15%	313	31	28	43	39	41	33	21	38	38	156	71	34	52
	16.5	15.0	13.3	20.3	18.3	19.5	15.5	9.9	18.1	18.2	18.9	17.1	13.1	13.4
16 TO 20%	255	30	18	39	26	44	22	16	30	30	98	63	33	59
	13.5	14.6	8.6	18.4	12.2	21.0	10.3	7.6	14.3	14.4	11.8	15.2	12.7	15.2
21 TO 30%	219	35	12	12	16	55	16	18	32	23	85	48	37	49
	11.5	17.0	5.7	5.7	7.5	26.2	7.5	8.5	15.2	11.0	10.3	11.5	14.2	12.6
31 TO 40%	81	19	3	2	4	20	8	3	13	9	23	21	16	20
	4.3	9.2	1.4	.9	1.9	9.5	3.8	1.4	6.2	4.3	2.8	5.1	6.2	5.2
41 TO 50%	36	1	6	4	1	1	2	2	12	7	12	8	3	13
	1.9	.5	2.9	1.9	.5	.5	.9	.9	5.7	3.3	1.4	1.9	1.1	3.4
MORE THAN 50%	44	4	2	3	5	1	5	3	13	8	16	16	7	5
	2.3	1.9	1.0	1.4	2.3	.5	2.4	1.4	6.2	3.8	1.9	3.8	2.7	1.3
DON'T KNOW/NOT APPLICABLE	291	37	23	32	37	15	51	34	25	37	121	55	39	75
	15.3	18.0	10.9	15.1	17.4	7.1	23.9	16.0	11.9	17.7	14.6	13.2	15.0	19.3
*** MEAN (EXCLUDES DK/NOT APP)	15.46	17.87	11.36	13.82	13.61	18.90	14.58	10.56	20.72	17.54	14.18	16.62	16.15	16.47
S.D.	11.54	11.26	10.25	9.28	10.07	9.01	11.16	10.46	14.26	12.90	10.67	12.55	11.97	11.66
S.E.	.29	.87	.75	.69	.76	.65	.88	.78	1.05	.98	.40	.66	.80	.66

PERCENTAGE COST INCREASE OVER PAST 12 MONTHS Q.3
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY SEX, AGE OF HOME AND TYPE OF HOME

		SEX		AGE OF HOME				TYPE OF HOME						
	TOTAL	MALE	FE-MALE	LESS THAN 11	11-20 YEARS	21 AND OVER	DON'T KNOW/ REF.	DET-ACHED HOUSE	SEMI-DET-ACHED	2/3/4 PLEX	ROW/ TOWN HOUSE	FLAT/ APART-MENT	ALL OTHER	D/K REF-USED
TOTAL	1897	933	942	581	484	775	57	1042	87	181	78	457	47	5
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
ELECTRICITY														
LESS THAN 5%	167	98	68	56	39	67	5	115	6	13	2	27	4	-
	8.8	10.5	7.2	9.6	8.1	8.6	8.8	11.0	6.9	7.2	2.6	5.9	8.5	
5 TO 10%	491	254	233	144	135	202	10	267	24	53	13	120	12	2
	25.9	27.2	24.7	24.8	27.9	26.1	17.6	25.6	27.6	29.3	16.7	26.3	25.6	40.0
11 TO 15%	313	172	136	110	81	112	10	167	15	35	15	73	8	-
	16.5	18.4	14.4	18.9	16.7	14.4	17.5	16.0	17.3	19.3	19.2	16.0	17.0	
16 TO 20%	255	134	117	71	74	106	4	138	13	25	17	58	4	-
	13.5	14.4	12.4	12.2	15.3	13.7	7.0	13.3	15.0	13.8	21.8	12.7	8.5	
21 TO 30%	219	106	106	71	52	90	6	128	11	18	13	40	9	-
	11.5	11.4	11.3	12.2	10.7	11.6	10.5	12.3	12.6	9.9	16.7	8.8	19.2	
31 TO 40%	81	35	46	23	14	40	4	47	5	7	8	12	1	1
	4.3	3.8	4.9	4.0	2.9	5.2	7.0	4.5	5.7	3.9	10.2	2.6	2.1	20.0
41 TO 50%	36	18	18	9	6	20	1	21	2	4	-	8	1	-
	1.9	1.9	1.9	1.6	1.2	2.6	1.8	2.0	2.3	2.2		1.7	2.1	
MORE THAN 50%	44	13	30	19	10	13	2	27	1	4	-	11	1	-
	2.3	1.4	3.2	3.3	2.1	1.7	3.5	2.6	1.1	2.2		2.4	2.1	
DON'T KNOW/NOT APPLICABLE	291	103	188	78	73	125	15	132	10	22	10	108	7	2
	15.3	11.0	20.0	13.4	15.1	16.1	26.3	12.7	11.5	12.2	12.8	23.6	14.9	40.0
*** MEAN (EXCLUDES DK/NOT APP)	15.46	14.51	16.43	15.64	14.67	15.70	17.32	15.46	15.65	15.09	17.54	15.13	15.75	16.67
S.D.	11.54	10.58	12.46	12.01	10.67	11.55	13.37	11.88	10.77	11.21	8.83	11.40	11.35	12.96
S.E.	.29	.37	.45	.54	.53	.45	2.06	.39	1.23	.89	1.07	.61	1.79	7.48

PERCENTAGE COST INCREASE OVER PAST 12 MONTHS Q.3
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY HOME STATUS, HOUSEHOLD INCOME AND EDUCATION

		HOME STATUS			HOUSEHOLD INCOME						EDUCATION				
	TOTAL	OWN	RENT	DK/ REF- USED	UNDER $10M	$10M TO $14.9	$15M TO $24.9	$25M TO $39.9	$40M AND OVER	REF- USED	ELEM- ENT- ARY	SECON -DARY	COLL- EGE/ CEGEP	UNIVE- RSITY	REF- USED
TOTAL	1897	1173	723	1	104	227	458	574	235	299	218	960	243	465	11
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
ELECTRICITY															
LESS THAN 5%	167	123	44	-	9	18	39	52	24	25	26	79	15	46	1
	8.8	10.5	6.1		8.7	7.9	8.5	9.1	10.2	8.4	11.9	8.2	6.2	9.9	9.1
5 TO 10%	491	299	192	-	20	63	127	161	63	57	52	235	83	121	-
	25.9	25.5	26.5		19.2	27.8	27.8	28.0	26.8	19.1	23.9	24.5	34.2	26.0	
11 TO 15%	313	203	110	-	13	37	77	93	49	44	34	140	39	97	3
	16.5	17.3	15.2		12.5	16.3	16.8	16.2	20.9	14.7	15.6	14.6	16.1	20.9	27.3
16 TO 20%	255	162	93	-	6	29	59	84	39	38	29	128	28	67	3
	13.5	13.8	12.9		5.8	12.8	12.9	14.6	16.6	12.7	13.3	13.3	11.5	14.4	27.3
21 TO 30%	219	141	78	-	12	27	44	71	20	45	24	114	36	44	1
	11.5	12.0	10.8		11.5	11.9	9.6	12.4	8.5	15.0	11.0	11.9	14.8	9.5	9.1
31 TO 40%	81	56	25	-	5	5	22	19	10	20	12	41	9	19	-
	4.3	4.8	3.4		4.8	2.2	4.8	3.3	4.3	6.7	5.5	4.3	3.7	4.1	
41 TO 50%	36	23	13	-	2	2	7	13	7	5	4	23	2	7	-
	1.9	1.9	1.8		1.9	.9	1.5	2.3	3.0	1.7	1.8	2.4	.8	1.5	
MORE THAN 50%	44	29	15	-	4	6	11	7	6	10	5	26	2	11	-
	2.3	2.5	2.1		3.9	2.6	2.4	1.2	2.5	3.3	2.3	2.7	.8	2.3	
DON'T KNOW/NOT APPLICABLE	291	137	153	1	33	40	72	74	17	55	32	174	29	53	3
	15.3	11.7	21.2	100.0	31.7	17.6	15.7	12.9	7.2	18.4	14.7	18.1	11.9	11.4	27.2
*** MEAN (EXCLUDES DK/NOT APP)	15.46	15.48	15.42		- 17.25	14.75	15.12	14.76	15.24	17.64	15.39	16.16	14.26	14.79	14.69
S.D.	11.54	11.69	11.27		- 13.88	11.06	11.51	10.57	11.68	12.63	11.86	12.16	9.55	11.11	6.05
S.E.	.29	.36	.47		- 1.65	.81	.59	.47	.79	.81	.87	.43	.65	.55	2.14

PERCENTAGE COST INCREASE OVER PAST 12 MONTHS Q.3
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY METHOD OF HEATING HOME AND SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE

		METHOD OF HEATING HOME				SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE				
	TOTAL	HEAT-ING OIL	ELEC-TRIC-ITY	NATU-RAL GAS	OTHER	VERY	SOME-WHAT	NOT TOO	NOT AT ALL	DK/ NOT APPL
TOTAL	1897	589	418	708	120	257	533	600	424	83
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
ELECTRICITY										
LESS THAN 5%	167	40	33	78	10	15	36	56	56	4
	8.8	6.8	7.9	11.0	8.3	5.8	6.8	9.4	13.2	4.8
5 TO 10%	491	149	132	164	31	60	163	143	107	18
	25.9	25.3	31.6	23.2	25.8	23.4	30.6	23.8	25.3	21.7
11 TO 15%	313	95	78	116	17	51	84	101	68	9
	16.5	16.1	18.7	16.4	14.2	19.9	15.7	16.8	16.0	10.9
16 TO 20%	255	87	54	94	17	35	73	81	53	13
	13.5	14.8	12.9	13.3	14.2	13.6	13.7	13.5	12.5	15.7
21 TO 30%	219	77	35	90	13	26	62	82	40	9
	11.5	13.1	8.4	12.7	10.8	10.1	11.6	13.7	9.4	10.8
31 TO 40%	81	34	11	26	5	8	16	30	23	4
	4.3	5.8	2.6	3.7	4.2	3.1	3.0	5.0	5.4	4.8
41 TO 50%	36	12	2	17	5	8	8	11	9	-
	1.9	2.0	.5	2.4	4.2	3.1	1.5	1.8	2.1	
MORE THAN 50%	44	11	8	20	3	15	10	7	11	1
	2.3	1.9	1.9	2.8	2.5	5.8	1.9	1.2	2.6	1.2
DON'T KNOW/NOT APPLICABLE	291	84	65	103	19	39	81	89	57	25
	15.3	14.2	15.5	14.5	15.8	15.2	15.2	14.8	13.5	30.1
*** MEAN (EXCLUDES DK/NOT APP)	15.46	16.21	13.55	15.78	16.41	17.67	14.74	15.41	15.08	15.60
S.D.	11.54	11.32	9.98	12.13	12.55	13.83	10.68	10.76	12.18	10.05
S.E.	.29	.50	.53	.49	1.25	.94	.50	.48	.64	1.32

PERCENTAGE COST INCREASE OVER PAST 12 MONTHS Q.3
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY CITY AND AGE

		CITY									AGE			
	TOTAL	HALI-FAX	MONC-TON	QUE. CITY	MONT-REAL	OTT-AWA	TOR-ONTO	WINN-IPEG	CAL-GARY	VANC-OUVER	UNDER 35	35-44	45-54	55 & OVER
TOTAL	1897	206	210	212	213	210	213	212	210	209	828	416	260	388
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
GASOLINE														
LESS THAN 5%	32	2	5	1	7	-	6	-	7	4	21	4	3	4
	1.7	1.0	2.4	.5	3.3		2.8		3.3	1.9	2.5	1.0	1.2	1.0
5 TO 10%	236	23	37	33	24	9	25	25	35	24	130	43	18	45
	12.4	11.2	17.6	15.6	11.3	4.3	11.8	11.8	16.7	11.5	15.7	10.3	6.9	11.6
11 TO 15%	239	22	25	34	37	26	22	31	21	21	111	63	27	37
	12.6	10.7	11.9	16.0	17.4	12.4	10.3	14.6	10.0	10.0	13.4	15.2	10.4	9.5
16 TO 20%	297	26	30	39	35	40	25	28	37	37	132	75	46	44
	15.7	12.6	14.3	18.4	16.4	19.1	11.7	13.2	17.6	17.7	16.0	18.0	17.7	11.4
21 TO 30%	338	33	30	35	29	41	43	46	47	33	164	67	52	54
	17.8	16.0	14.3	16.5	13.6	19.5	20.2	21.7	22.4	15.8	19.8	16.1	20.0	13.9
31 TO 40%	181	20	23	18	15	50	12	14	15	14	75	38	31	37
	9.5	9.7	10.9	8.5	7.0	23.8	5.6	6.6	7.1	6.7	9.1	9.1	11.9	9.5
41 TO 50%	142	16	17	10	8	25	22	17	11	16	56	30	21	33
	7.5	7.7	8.1	4.7	3.8	11.9	10.3	8.0	5.2	7.7	6.8	7.2	8.1	8.5
MORE THAN 50%	151	15	13	11	14	8	17	25	14	34	60	36	19	36
	8.0	7.3	6.2	5.2	6.6	3.8	8.0	11.8	6.7	16.3	7.2	8.7	7.3	9.3
DON'T KNOW/NOT APPLICABLE	281	49	30	31	44	11	41	26	23	26	79	60	43	98
	14.8	23.8	14.3	14.6	20.6	5.2	19.3	12.3	11.0	12.4	9.5	14.4	16.5	25.3
*** MEAN (EXCLUDES DK/NOT APP)	24.45	25.33	23.13	21.64	21.61	27.30	25.20	26.05	22.22	27.35	23.06	24.63	26.08	26.52
S.D.	14.78	14.90	14.84	13.25	14.19	12.32	15.41	15.48	14.01	16.88	14.45	14.75	13.94	15.83
S.E.	.37	1.19	1.11	.98	1.09	.87	1.17	1.14	1.02	1.25	.53	.78	.95	.93

PERCENTAGE COST INCREASE OVER PAST 12 MONTHS Q.3
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY SEX, AGE OF HOME AND TYPE OF HOME

		SEX		AGE OF HOME				TYPE OF HOME						
	TOTAL	MALE	FE-MALE	LESS THAN 11	11-20 YEARS	21 AND OVER	DON'T KNOW/ REF.	DET-ACHED HOUSE	SEMI-DET-ACHED	2/3/4 PLEX	ROW/ TOWN HOUSE	FLAT/ APART-MENT	ALL OTHER	D/K REF-USED
TOTAL	1897	933	942	581	484	775	57	1042	87	181	78	457	47	5
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
GASOLINE														
LESS THAN 5%	32	15	17	9	7	13	3	13	-	6	-	10	3	-
	1.7	1.6	1.8	1.6	1.5	1.7	5.3	1.2		3.3		2.2	6.4	
5 TO 10%	236	122	114	72	50	103	11	125	13	20	11	62	4	1
	12.4	13.1	12.1	12.4	10.3	13.3	19.3	12.0	15.0	11.1	14.1	13.6	8.5	20.0
11 TO 15%	239	128	104	75	63	95	6	134	11	28	6	52	7	1
	12.6	13.7	11.1	12.9	13.0	12.3	10.5	12.9	12.7	15.5	7.7	11.4	14.9	20.0
16 TO 20%	297	162	130	94	92	105	6	170	10	34	11	64	7	1
	15.7	17.4	13.8	16.2	19.0	13.5	10.5	16.3	11.5	18.8	14.1	14.0	14.9	20.0
21 TO 30%	338	187	146	117	91	125	5	197	15	27	19	69	10	1
	17.8	20.0	15.5	20.1	18.8	16.1	8.8	18.9	17.2	14.9	24.3	15.1	21.3	20.0
31 TO 40%	181	100	78	62	42	73	4	105	10	18	12	30	6	-
	9.5	10.7	8.3	10.7	8.7	9.4	7.0	10.1	11.5	9.9	15.4	6.5	12.8	
41 TO 50%	142	68	73	38	33	67	4	76	7	9	7	37	5	1
	7.5	7.3	7.7	6.5	6.8	8.6	7.0	7.3	8.0	5.0	9.0	8.1	10.6	20.0
MORE THAN 50%	151	80	70	53	36	57	5	90	10	12	6	32	1	-
	8.0	8.6	7.4	9.1	7.4	7.4	8.8	8.6	11.5	6.6	7.7	7.0	2.1	
DON'T KNOW/NOT APPLICABLE	281	71	210	61	70	137	13	132	11	27	6	101	4	-
	14.8	7.6	22.3	10.5	14.5	17.7	22.8	12.7	12.6	14.9	7.7	22.1	8.5	
*** MEAN (EXCLUDES DK/NOT APP)	24.45	24.39	24.57	24.74	24.16	24.55	22.50	24.82	26.32	22.60	26.25	23.76	22.97	21.50
S.D.	14.78	14.57	15.10	14.72	14.19	15.03	16.78	14.72	15.78	14.13	14.05	15.16	13.25	13.10
S.E.	.37	.50	.56	.65	.70	.60	2.53	.49	1.81	1.14	1.66	.80	2.02	5.86

PERCENTAGE COST INCREASE OVER PAST 12 MONTHS Q.3
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY HOME STATUS, HOUSEHOLD INCOME AND EDUCATION

		HOME STATUS			HOUSEHOLD INCOME						EDUCATION				
	TOTAL	OWN	RENT	DK/ REF-USED	UNDER $10M	$10M TO $14.9	$15M TO $24.9	$25M TO $39.9	$40M AND OVER	REF-USED	ELEM-ENT-ARY	SECON-DARY	COLL-EGE/ CEGEP	UNIVE-RSITY	REF-USED
TOTAL	1897	1173	723	1	104	227	458	574	235	299	218	960	243	465	11
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
GASOLINE															
LESS THAN 5%	32	16	16	-	2	5	8	9	3	5	-	12	7	13	-
	1.7	1.4	2.2		1.9	2.2	1.8	1.6	1.3	1.7		1.3	2.9	2.8	
5 TO 10%	236	134	102	-	10	35	55	75	32	29	24	129	21	61	1
	12.4	11.4	14.1		9.6	15.4	12.0	13.1	13.6	9.7	11.0	13.4	8.6	13.1	9.1
11 TO 15%	239	153	86	-	8	21	62	93	27	28	29	96	39	74	1
	12.6	13.0	11.9		7.7	9.3	13.5	16.2	11.5	9.4	13.3	10.0	16.0	15.9	9.1
16 TO 20%	297	193	104	-	8	34	73	96	47	39	21	144	43	87	2
	15.7	16.4	14.4		7.7	15.0	15.9	16.7	20.0	13.0	9.6	15.0	17.7	18.7	18.2
21 TO 30%	338	225	113	-	18	38	77	107	47	51	30	171	49	86	2
	17.8	19.2	15.6		17.3	16.7	16.8	18.6	20.0	17.0	13.8	17.8	20.2	18.5	18.2
31 TO 40%	181	124	57	-	3	17	39	70	21	31	20	93	27	41	-
	9.5	10.6	7.9		2.9	7.5	8.5	12.2	8.9	10.4	9.2	9.7	11.1	8.8	
41 TO 50%	142	94	48	-	10	18	38	28	14	34	21	75	14	29	3
	7.5	8.0	6.6		9.6	7.9	8.3	4.9	6.0	11.4	9.6	7.8	5.8	6.2	27.2
MORE THAN 50%	151	96	55	-	9	21	31	44	18	28	15	90	15	31	-
	8.0	8.2	7.6		8.7	9.3	6.8	7.7	7.6	9.4	6.9	9.4	6.2	6.7	
DON'T KNOW/NOT APPLICABLE	281	138	142	1	36	38	75	52	26	54	58	150	28	43	2
	14.8	11.8	19.7	100.0	34.6	16.7	16.4	9.0	11.1	18.0	26.6	15.6	11.5	9.3	18.2
### MEAN (EXCLUDES DK/NOT APP)	24.45	24.94	23.59	-	26.77	24.56	24.00	23.43	23.62	27.32	25.81	25.40	23.44	22.59	26.67
S.D.	14.78	14.59	15.06	-	16.25	15.64	14.57	14.11	14.12	15.46	15.18	15.27	13.60	14.01	13.94
S.E.	.37	.45	.62	-	1.97	1.14	.74	.62	.98	.99	1.20	.54	.93	.68	4.65

CO[illegible]R CONTACT LIMITED - 8257-83 ENERGY STUDY 1983

PERCENTAGE COST INCREASE OVER PAST 12 MONTHS Q.3
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY METHOD OF HEATING HOME AND SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE

		METHOD OF HEATING HOME				SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE				
	TOTAL	HEAT-ING OIL	ELEC-TRIC-ITY	NATU-RAL GAS	OTHER	VERY	SOME-WHAT	NOT TOO	NOT AT ALL	DK/ NOT APPL
TOTAL	1897 100.0	569 100.0	418 100.0	708 100.0	120 100.0	257 100.0	533 100.0	600 100.0	424 100.0	83 100.0
GASOLINE										
LESS THAN 5%	32 1.7	9 1.5	9 2.2	14 2.0	-	3 1.2	11 2.1	12 2.0	4 .9	2 2.4
5 TO 10%	236 12.4	65 11.0	50 12.0	93 13.1	21 17.5	23 8.9	75 14.1	73 12.2	59 13.9	6 7.2
11 TO 15%	239 12.6	80 13.6	61 14.6	81 11.5	10 8.4	44 17.1	70 13.1	67 11.2	47 11.1	11 13.3
16 TO 20%	297 15.7	76 12.9	88 21.0	113 16.0	16 13.4	44 17.1	92 17.3	88 14.7	65 15.3	8 9.6
21 TO 30%	338 17.8	106 18.0	62 14.8	145 20.5	19 15.8	41 16.0	80 15.0	122 20.3	84 19.8	11 13.3
31 TO 40%	181 9.5	62 10.5	34 8.1	63 8.9	15 12.5	21 8.2	45 8.4	71 11.8	35 8.3	9 10.8
41 TO 50%	142 7.5	54 9.2	21 5.0	47 6.6	10 8.3	15 5.8	35 6.6	53 8.8	38 9.0	1 1.2
MORE THAN 50%	151 8.0	36 6.1	20 4.8	76 10.7	16 13.3	27 10.5	33 6.2	46 7.7	42 9.9	3 3.6
DON'T KNOW/NOT APPLICABLE	281 14.8	101 17.2	73 17.5	76 10.7	13 10.8	39 15.2	92 17.2	68 11.3	50 11.8	32 38.6
*** MEAN (EXCLUDES DK/NOT APP)	24.45	24.73	21.70	25.08	27.03	24.86	22.77	25.20	25.46	22.11
S.D.	14.78	14.41	13.23	15.31	16.28	15.19	14.34	14.61	15.30	12.98
S.E.	.37	.65	.71	.61	1.57	1.03	.68	.63	.79	1.82

PERCENTAGE COST INCREASE OVER PAST 12 MONTHS Q.3
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY CITY AND AGE

		CITY									AGE			
	TOTAL	HALI -FAX	MONC -TON	QUE. CITY	MONT- REAL	OTT- AWA	TOR- ONTO	WINN- IPEG	CAL- GARY	VANC- OUVER	UNDER 35	35-44	45-54	55 & OVER
TOTAL	1897	206	210	212	213	210	213	212	210	209	828	416	260	388
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
NATURAL GAS														
LESS THAN 5%	74	-	11	8	14	16	5	4	3	13	36	21	5	12
	3.9		5.2	3.8	6.6	7.6	2.3	1.9	1.4	6.2	4.4	5.0	1.9	3.1
5 TO 10%	202	8	13	19	28	8	34	26	22	42	114	35	26	26
	10.6	3.9	6.2	9.0	13.1	3.8	16.0	12.3	10.5	20.1	13.8	8.4	10.0	6.7
11 TO 15%	154	2	2	10	15	21	20	27	33	24	91	30	11	22
	8.1	1.0	1.0	4.7	7.0	10.0	9.4	12.7	15.7	11.5	11.0	7.2	4.2	5.7
16 TO 20%	158	2	1	3	11	41	29	24	33	14	77	32	23	25
	8.3	1.0	.5	1.4	5.2	19.5	13.6	11.3	15.7	6.7	9.3	7.7	8.9	6.4
21 TO 30%	192	3	3	4	9	54	17	41	35	26	82	37	27	46
	10.1	1.4	1.4	1.9	4.2	25.7	8.0	19.3	16.7	12.4	9.9	8.9	10.4	11.9
31 TO 40%	64	1	3	3	1	19	8	16	7	6	19	17	14	14
	3.4	.5	1.4	1.4	.5	9.0	3.8	7.6	3.3	2.9	2.3	4.1	5.4	3.6
41 TO 50%	49	-	2	2	1	1	8	12	18	5	16	10	9	14
	2.6		1.0	.9	.5	.5	3.7	5.7	8.6	2.4	1.9	2.4	3.5	3.6
MORE THAN 50%	45	3	-	-	3	2	3	9	19	6	16	19	4	6
	2.4	1.4			1.4	1.0	1.4	4.2	9.1	2.9	1.9	4.6	1.5	1.5
DON'T KNOW/NOT APPLICABLE	959	187	175	163	131	48	89	53	40	73	377	215	141	223
	50.6	90.8	83.3	76.9	61.5	22.9	41.8	25.0	19.0	34.9	45.5	51.7	54.2	57.5
*** MEAN (EXCLUDES DK/NOT APP)	19.31	20.79	12.50	12.96	13.35	20.06	18.19	22.53	24.34	16.97	17.17	21.22	21.32	21.44
S.D.	13.40	16.76	12.59	10.69	11.42	10.03	12.26	13.53	15.38	13.06	12.17	15.42	13.12	13.30
S.E.	.44	3.85	2.13	1.53	1.26	.79	1.10	1.07	1.18	1.12	.57	1.09	1.20	1.04

PERCENTAGE COST INCREASE OVER PAST 12 MONTHS Q.3
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY SEX, AGE OF HOME AND TYPE OF HOME

		SEX		AGE OF HOME				TYPE OF HOME						
	TOTAL	MALE	FEMALE	LESS THAN 11	11-20 YEARS	21 AND OVER	DON'T KNOW/ REF.	DETACHED HOUSE	SEMI-DETACHED	2/3/4 PLEX	ROW/ TOWN HOUSE	FLAT/ APART-MENT	ALL OTHER	D/K REFUSED
TOTAL	1897	933	942	581	484	775	57	1042	87	181	78	457	47	5
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
NATURAL GAS														
LESS THAN 5%	74	40	33	16	22	32	4	32	6	14	5	17	-	-
	3.9	4.3	3.5	2.8	4.6	4.1	7.0	3.1	6.9	7.7	6.4	3.7		
5 TO 10%	202	101	99	61	52	85	4	107	12	17	5	52	9	-
	10.6	10.8	10.5	10.5	10.7	11.0	7.0	10.3	13.8	9.4	6.4	11.4	19.2	
11 TO 15%	154	90	60	65	33	51	5	92	4	18	11	28	1	-
	8.1	9.6	6.4	11.2	6.8	6.6	8.8	8.8	4.6	9.9	14.1	6.1	2.1	
16 TO 20%	156	89	64	49	51	55	3	91	14	12	10	28	3	-
	8.3	9.5	6.8	8.4	10.5	7.1	5.3	8.7	16.1	6.6	12.8	6.1	6.4	
21 TO 30%	192	94	92	62	44	83	3	116	10	17	10	32	5	2
	10.1	10.1	9.8	10.7	9.1	10.7	5.3	11.1	11.5	9.4	12.8	7.0	10.6	40.0
31 TO 40%	64	33	31	21	11	32	-	40	4	5	7	8	-	-
	3.4	3.5	3.3	3.6	2.3	4.1		3.8	4.6	2.8	9.0	1.8		
41 TO 50%	49	24	25	18	11	19	1	29	2	4	4	9	1	-
	2.6	2.6	2.6	3.1	2.3	2.5	1.7	2.8	2.3	2.2	5.1	2.0	2.1	
MORE THAN 50%	45	22	22	24	7	14	-	33	3	1	3	4	1	-
	2.4	2.4	2.3	4.1	1.4	1.8		3.2	3.4	.6	3.9	.9	2.1	
DON'T KNOW/NOT APPLICABLE	959	440	516	265	253	404	37	502	32	93	23	279	27	3
	50.6	47.2	54.8	45.6	52.3	52.1	64.9	48.2	36.8	51.4	29.5	61.0	57.5	60.0
*** MEAN (EXCLUDES DK/NOT APP)	19.31	18.94	19.75	20.83	17.81	19.24	13.75	20.45	19.00	16.28	21.86	16.73	17.88	25.00
S.D.	13.40	13.12	13.80	14.35	12.30	13.17	10.17	13.78	13.51	11.72	14.01	12.15	12.97	-
S.E.	.44	.59	.67	.81	.81	.68	2.27	.59	1.82	1.25	1.89	.91	2.90	-

CON[illegible]ER CONTACT LIMITED - 8257-83 ENERGY STUDY 1983

PERCENTAGE COST INCREASE OVER PAST 12 MONTHS Q.3
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY HOME STATUS, HOUSEHOLD INCOME AND EDUCATION

		HOME STATUS			HOUSEHOLD INCOME						EDUCATION				
	TOTAL	OWN	RENT	DK/ REF-USED	UNDER $10M	$10M TO $14.9	$15M TO $24.9	$25M TO $39.9	$40M AND OVER	REF-USED	ELEM-ENT-ARY	SECON-DARY	COLL-EGE/ CEGEP	UNIVE-RSITY	REF-USED
TOTAL	1897	1173	723	1	104	227	458	574	235	299	218	960	243	465	11
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
NATURAL GAS															
LESS THAN 5%	74	38	36	-	7	6	13	24	8	16	4	43	11	16	-
	3.9	3.2	5.0		6.7	2.7	2.8	4.2	3.4	5.3	1.8	4.5	4.5	3.4	
5 TO 10%	202	126	76	-	9	33	51	66	29	14	11	87	35	68	1
	10.6	10.8	10.5		8.7	14.5	11.1	11.5	12.3	4.7	5.1	9.1	14.4	14.6	9.1
11 TO 15%	154	97	57	-	2	15	41	48	28	20	8	73	18	54	1
	8.1	8.3	7.9		1.9	6.6	9.0	8.4	11.9	6.7	3.7	7.6	7.4	11.6	9.1
16 TO 20%	158	107	51	-	5	16	31	58	22	26	11	79	16	49	3
	8.3	9.1	7.1		4.8	7.1	6.8	10.1	9.4	8.7	5.1	8.2	6.6	10.5	27.3
21 TO 30%	192	128	64	-	5	19	41	75	17	35	19	106	29	38	-
	10.1	10.9	8.8		4.8	8.4	9.0	13.1	7.2	11.7	8.7	11.1	11.9	8.2	
31 TO 40%	64	51	13	-	2	5	16	18	11	12	9	34	8	13	-
	3.4	4.3	1.8		1.9	2.2	3.5	3.1	4.7	4.0	4.1	3.5	3.3	2.8	
41 TO 50%	49	33	16	-	6	10	3	15	10	5	7	25	7	10	-
	2.6	2.8	2.2		5.8	4.4	.6	2.6	4.3	1.7	3.2	2.6	2.9	2.2	
MORE THAN 50%	45	34	11	-	1	6	6	14	7	11	2	28	4	11	-
	2.4	2.9	1.5		1.0	2.6	1.3	2.4	3.0	3.7	.9	2.9	1.7	2.4	
DON'T KNOW/NOT APPLICABLE	959	559	399	1	67	117	256	256	103	160	147	485	115	206	6
	50.6	47.7	55.2	100.0	64.4	51.5	55.9	44.6	43.8	53.5	67.4	50.5	47.3	44.3	54.5
*** MEAN (EXCLUDES DK/NOT APP)	19.31	20.30	17.42	-	19.39	19.64	17.43	19.25	19.83	21.40	22.54	20.13	18.24	17.54	14.50
S.D.	13.40	13.65	12.70	-	15.79	14.50	11.55	12.91	14.15	14.26	13.18	13.89	12.92	12.57	4.00
S.E.	.44	.55	.71	-	2.60	1.38	.81	.72	1.23	1.21	1.56	.64	1.14	.78	1.79

PERCENTAGE COST INCREASE OVER PAST 12 MONTHS Q.3
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY METHOD OF HEATING HOME AND SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE

		METHOD OF HEATING HOME				SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE				
	TOTAL	HEAT-ING OIL	ELEC-TRIC-ITY	NATU-RAL GAS	OTHER	VERY	SOME-WHAT	NOT TOO	NOT AT ALL	DK/ NOT APPL
TOTAL	1897	589	418	708	120	257	533	600	424	83
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
NATURAL GAS										
LESS THAN 5%	74	24	16	31	2	16	20	23	13	2
	3.9	4.1	3.8	4.4	1.7	6.2	3.8	3.8	3.1	2.5
5 TO 10%	202	43	37	109	10	26	75	54	44	3
	10.6	7.3	8.9	15.4	8.3	10.1	14.1	9.0	10.4	3.6
11 TO 15%	154	17	28	102	5	25	45	43	38	3
	8.1	2.9	6.7	14.4	4.2	9.7	8.4	7.2	9.0	3.6
16 TO 20%	158	28	25	95	7	15	42	59	38	4
	8.3	4.8	6.0	13.4	5.8	5.8	7.9	9.8	8.9	4.8
21 TO 30%	192	30	31	118	9	19	50	84	36	3
	10.1	5.1	7.4	16.7	7.5	7.4	9.4	14.0	8.5	3.6
31 TO 40%	64	12	9	34	5	7	14	22	20	1
	3.4	2.0	2.2	4.8	4.2	2.7	2.6	3.7	4.7	1.2
41 TO 50%	49	2	5	37	4	5	14	17	12	1
	2.6	.3	1.2	5.2	3.3	2.0	2.6	2.8	2.8	1.2
MORE THAN 50%	45	3	4	34	3	10	5	9	19	2
	2.4	.5	.9	4.8	2.5	3.9	.9	1.5	4.5	2.4
DON'T KNOW/NOT APPLICABLE	959	430	263	148	75	134	268	289	204	64
	50.6	73.0	62.9	20.9	62.5	52.2	50.3	48.2	48.1	77.1
*** MEAN (EXCLUDES DK/NOT APP)	19.31	15.79	17.03	20.55	22.44	18.74	17.19	19.82	21.31	21.05
S.D.	13.40	11.42	11.88	13.89	14.72	15.00	11.95	12.29	14.93	15.61
S.E.	.44	.91	.95	.59	2.20	1.35	.73	.70	1.01	3.58

SUPPORT FOR ENERGY RELATED SUGGESTIONS Q.4
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY CITY AND AGE

		CITY									AGE			
	TOTAL	HALI-FAX	MONC-TON	QUE. CITY	MONT-REAL	OTT-AWA	TOR-ONTO	WINN-IPEG	CAL-GARY	VANC-OUVER	UNDER 35	35-44	45-54	55 & OVER
TOTAL	1897	206	210	212	213	210	213	212	210	209	828	416	260	388
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
A SPECIAL TAX ON CARS THAT GET LESS THAN 20 MILES TO THE GALLON														
SUPPORT	660	69	79	64	70	72	88	70	65	83	314	165	73	106
	34.8	33.5	37.6	30.2	32.9	34.3	41.3	33.0	30.9	39.7	37.9	39.7	28.1	27.3
NOT SUPPORT	1187	125	129	142	129	135	120	138	143	124	505	245	179	255
	62.6	60.7	61.4	67.0	60.5	64.3	56.3	65.1	68.1	59.3	61.0	58.9	68.8	65.7
NOT APPLICABLE	40	6	2	4	14	3	5	4	1	1	7	5	7	21
	2.1	2.9	1.0	1.9	6.6	1.4	2.4	1.9	.5	.5	.9	1.2	2.7	5.4
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	10	6	-	2	-	-	-	-	1	1	2	1	1	6
	.5	2.9		.9					.5	.5	.2	.2	.4	1.6
A PROGRAM THAT ASSISTS HOMEOWNERS IN HAVING THEIR HOUSE RATED FOR ENERGY EFFICIENCY. FOR A FEE OF $25 A CERTIFIED PERSON WOULD EXAMINE THE HOUSE AND MAKE RECOMMENDATIONS														
SUPPORT	1332	148	147	153	159	130	150	148	158	137	633	290	166	238
	70.2	71.8	70.0	72.2	74.7	61.9	70.4	69.8	75.2	65.6	76.4	69.7	63.8	61.3
NOT SUPPORT	516	50	63	46	41	77	59	60	50	70	182	119	87	128
	27.2	24.3	30.0	21.7	19.2	36.7	27.7	28.3	23.8	33.5	22.0	28.6	33.5	33.0
NOT APPLICABLE	39	3	-	11	12	3	4	3	1	2	10	6	6	17
	2.1	1.5		5.2	5.6	1.4	1.9	1.4	.5	.9	1.2	1.5	2.3	4.4
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	10	5	-	2	1	-	-	1	1	-	3	1	1	5
	.5	2.4		.9	.5			.5	.5		.4	.2	.4	1.3

SUPPORT FOR ENERGY RELATED SUGGESTIONS Q.4
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY SEX, AGE OF HOME AND TYPE OF HOME

		SEX		AGE OF HOME				TYPE OF HOME						
	TOTAL	MALE	FE-MALE	LESS THAN 11	11-20 YEARS	21 AND OVER	DON'T KNOW/ REF.	DET-ACHED HOUSE	SEMI-DET-ACHED	2/3/4 PLEX	ROW/ TOWN HOUSE	FLAT/ APART-MENT	ALL OTHER	D/K REF-USED
TOTAL	1897	933	942	581	484	775	57	1042	87	181	78	457	47	5
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
A SPECIAL TAX ON CARS THAT GET LESS THAN 20 MILES TO THE GALLON														
SUPPORT	660	347	304	200	165	273	22	355	30	62	28	167	16	2
	34.8	37.2	32.3	34.4	34.1	35.2	38.6	34.1	34.5	34.3	35.9	36.5	34.1	40.0
NOT SUPPORT	1187	572	602	371	315	471	30	667	56	110	47	274	30	3
	62.6	61.3	63.9	63.9	65.1	60.8	52.6	64.0	64.4	60.8	60.3	60.0	63.8	60.0
NOT APPLICABLE	40	11	29	7	4	26	3	14	1	8	3	13	1	-
	2.1	1.2	3.1	1.2	.8	3.4	5.3	1.3	1.1	4.4	3.8	2.8	2.1	
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	10	3	7	3	-	5	2	6	-	1	-	3	-	-
	.5	.3	.7	.5		.6	3.5	.6		.5		.7		
A PROGRAM THAT ASSISTS HOMEOWNERS IN HAVING THEIR HOUSE RATED FOR ENERGY EFFICIENCY. FOR A FEE OF $25 A CERTIFIED PERSON WOULD EXAMINE THE HOUSE AND MAKE RECOMMENDATIONS														
SUPPORT	1332	630	693	423	341	533	35	698	56	139	58	342	36	3
	70.2	67.5	73.6	72.8	70.5	68.8	61.4	67.0	64.4	76.8	74.4	74.9	76.6	60.0
NOT SUPPORT	516	287	216	149	130	221	16	324	30	38	19	92	11	2
	27.2	30.8	22.9	25.7	26.8	28.5	28.1	31.1	34.5	21.0	24.3	20.1	23.4	40.0
NOT APPLICABLE	39	15	24	7	10	16	6	15	1	4	1	18	-	-
	2.1	1.6	2.5	1.2	2.1	2.1	10.5	1.4	1.1	2.2	1.3	3.9		
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	10	1	9	2	3	5	-	5	-	-	-	5	-	-
	.5	.1	1.0	.3	.6	.6		.5				1.1		

SUPPORT FOR ENERGY RELATED SUGGESTIONS Q.4
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY HOME STATUS, HOUSEHOLD INCOME AND EDUCATION

		HOME STATUS			HOUSEHOLD INCOME						EDUCATION				
	TOTAL	OWN	RENT	DK/ REF- USED	UNDER $10M	$10M TO $14.9	$15M TO $24.9	$25M TO $39.9	$40M AND OVER	REF- USED	ELEM- ENT- ARY	SECON -DARY	COLL- EGE/ CEGEP	UNIVE- RSITY	REF- USED
TOTAL	1897	1173	723	1	104	227	458	574	235	299	218	960	243	465	11
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
A SPECIAL TAX ON CARS THAT GET LESS THAN 20 MILES TO THE GALLON															
SUPPORT	660	393	266	1	35	75	164	210	86	90	67	287	95	207	4
	34.8	33.5	36.8	100.0	33.7	33.0	35.8	36.6	36.6	30.1	30.7	29.9	39.1	44.5	36.4
NOT SUPPORT	1187	755	432	-	61	143	282	355	145	201	132	649	146	253	7
	62.6	64.4	59.8		58.6	63.0	61.6	61.8	61.7	67.2	60.6	67.6	60.1	54.4	63.6
NOT APPLICABLE	40	19	21	-	6	8	11	5	3	7	15	19	2	4	-
	2.1	1.6	2.9		5.8	3.5	2.4	.9	1.3	2.4	6.9	2.0	.8	.9	
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	10	6	4	-	2	1	1	4	1	1	4	5	-	1	-
	.5	.5	.5		1.9	.5	.2	.7	.4	.3	1.8	.5		.2	
A PROGRAM THAT ASSISTS HOMEOWNERS IN HAVING THEIR HOUSE RATED FOR ENERGY EFFICIENCY. FOR A FEE OF $25 A CERTIFIED PERSON WOULD EXAMINE THE HOUSE AND MAKE RECOMMENDATIONS															
SUPPORT	1332	791	540	1	71	165	338	410	166	182	139	653	189	343	8
	70.2	67.5	74.7	100.0	68.3	72.7	73.8	71.4	70.7	60.9	63.8	68.0	77.8	73.8	72.7
NOT SUPPORT	516	364	152	-	28	52	105	158	64	109	67	281	49	116	3
	27.2	31.0	21.0		26.9	22.9	22.9	27.5	27.2	36.4	30.7	29.3	20.2	24.9	27.3
NOT APPLICABLE	39	13	26	-	5	8	10	4	4	8	10	20	4	5	-
	2.1	1.1	3.6		4.8	3.5	2.2	.7	1.7	2.7	4.6	2.1	1.6	1.1	
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	10	5	5	-	-	2	5	2	1	-	2	6	1	1	-
	.5	.4	.7			.9	1.1	.4	.4		.9	.6	.4	.2	

SUPPORT FOR ENERGY RELATED SUGGESTIONS Q.4
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY METHOD OF HEATING HOME AND SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE

		METHOD OF HEATING HOME				SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE				
	TOTAL	HEAT-ING OIL	ELEC-TRIC-ITY	NATU-RAL GAS	OTHER	VERY	SOME-WHAT	NOT TOO	NOT AT ALL	DK/ NOT APPL
TOTAL	1897	589	418	708	120	257	533	600	424	83
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
A SPECIAL TAX ON CARS THAT GET LESS THAN 20 MILES TO THE GALLON										
SUPPORT	660	198	144	250	42	91	209	210	128	22
	34.8	33.6	34.5	35.3	35.0	35.4	39.2	35.0	30.2	26.5
NOT SUPPORT	1187	369	263	444	77	158	306	382	291	50
	62.6	62.6	62.9	62.7	64.2	61.5	57.4	63.7	68.6	60.3
NOT APPLICABLE	40	18	8	12	1	6	16	6	4	8
	2.1	3.1	1.9	1.7	.8	2.3	3.0	1.0	1.0	9.6
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	10	4	3	2	-	2	2	2	1	3
	.5	.7	.7	.3		.8	.4	.3	.2	3.6
A PROGRAM THAT ASSISTS HOMEOWNERS IN HAVING THEIR HOUSE RATED FOR ENERGY EFFICIENCY. FOR A FEE OF $25 A CERTIFIED PERSON WOULD EXAMINE THE HOUSE AND MAKE RECOMMENDATIONS										
SUPPORT	1332	410	305	489	83	178	403	443	256	52
	70.2	69.6	73.0	69.1	69.2	69.3	75.6	73.8	60.4	62.7
NOT SUPPORT	516	160	97	207	36	73	112	144	160	27
	27.2	27.2	23.2	29.2	30.0	28.4	21.0	24.0	37.7	32.5
NOT APPLICABLE	39	12	15	10	1	4	16	9	7	3
	2.1	2.0	3.6	1.4	.8	1.5	3.0	1.5	1.7	3.6
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	10	7	1	2	-	2	2	4	1	1
	.5	1.2	.2	.3		.8	.4	.7	.2	1.2

SUPPORT FOR ENERGY RELATED SUGGESTIONS Q.4
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY CITY AND AGE

		CITY									AGE			
	TOTAL	HALI -FAX	MONC -TON	QUE. CITY	MONT- REAL	OTT- AWA	TOR- ONTO	WINN- IPEG	CAL- GARY	VANC- OUVER	UNDER 35	35-44	45-54	55 & OVER
TOTAL	1897	206	210	212	213	210	213	212	210	209	828	416	260	388
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
INCREASING THE PRICE OF ALL HEATING FUELS, ELECTRICITY AND GASOLINE TO ENCOURAGE PEOPLE TO CONSERVE														
SUPPORT	218	17	8	34	33	13	28	25	38	22	101	42	20	55
	11.5	8.3	3.8	16.0	15.5	6.2	13.1	11.8	18.1	10.5	12.2	10.1	7.7	14.2
NOT SUPPORT	1665	183	201	176	179	197	184	187	170	186	722	370	239	329
	87.8	88.8	95.7	83.0	84.0	93.8	86.4	88.2	81.0	89.0	87.2	88.9	91.9	84.8
NOT APPLICABLE	11	3	1	2	1	-	1	-	2	1	4	4	1	2
	.6	1.5	.5	1.0	.5		.5		.9	.5	.5	1.0	.4	.5
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	3	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	2
	.1	1.4									.1			.5
A SPECIAL TAX ON GASOLINE TO PROVIDE FOR OIL EXPLORATION AND TECHNOLOGY IMPROVEMENTS														
SUPPORT	313	31	31	23	35	19	46	35	51	42	168	63	28	54
	16.5	15.0	14.8	10.8	16.5	9.0	21.6	16.5	24.3	20.1	20.3	15.1	10.8	13.9
NOT SUPPORT	1545	168	177	184	174	189	163	172	154	162	645	342	228	326
	81.4	81.6	84.3	86.8	81.7	90.0	76.5	81.1	73.3	77.5	77.9	82.2	87.7	84.0
NOT APPLICABLE	22	4	2	4	2	2	2	-	3	3	7	7	4	4
	1.2	1.9	.9	1.9	.9	1.0	1.0		1.4	1.4	.8	1.7	1.5	1.1
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	17	3	-	1	2	-	2	5	2	2	8	4	-	4
	.9	1.5		.5	.9		.9	2.4	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0		1.0

SUPPORT FOR ENERGY RELATED SUGGESTIONS Q.4
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY SEX, AGE OF HOME AND TYPE OF HOME

		SEX		AGE OF HOME				TYPE OF HOME						
	TOTAL	MALE	FE-MALE	LESS THAN 11	11-20 YEARS	21 AND OVER	DON'T KNOW/ REF.	DET-ACHED HOUSE	SEMI-DET-ACHED	2/3/4 PLEX	ROW/ TOWN HOUSE	FLAT/ APART-MENT	ALL OTHER	D/K REF-USED
TOTAL	1897	933	942	581	484	775	57	1042	87	181	78	457	47	5
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
INCREASING THE PRICE OF ALL HEATING FUELS, ELECTRICITY AND GASOLINE TO ENCOURAGE PEOPLE TO CONSERVE														
SUPPORT	218	118	99	81	43	83	11	101	6	21	10	69	11	-
	11.5	12.7	10.5	13.9	8.9	10.7	19.3	9.7	6.9	11.6	12.8	15.1	23.4	
NOT SUPPORT	1665	809	835	496	438	687	44	935	81	158	68	383	36	4
	87.8	86.7	88.7	85.4	90.5	88.6	77.2	89.7	93.1	87.3	87.2	83.8	76.6	80.0
NOT APPLICABLE	11	6	5	4	2	3	2	5	-	1	-	4	-	1
	.6	.6	.5	.7	.4	.4	3.5	.5		.6		.9		20.0
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	3	-	3	-	1	2	-	1	-	1	-	1	-	-
	.1		.3		.2	.3		.1		.5		.2		
A SPECIAL TAX ON GASOLINE TO PROVIDE FOR OIL EXPLORATION AND TECHNOLOGY IMPROVEMENTS														
SUPPORT	313	163	148	119	68	112	14	161	17	27	20	82	6	-
	16.5	17.5	15.7	20.5	14.1	14.5	24.6	15.5	19.6	14.9	25.6	17.9	12.8	
NOT SUPPORT	1545	757	768	453	403	648	41	859	69	153	57	362	40	5
	81.4	81.1	81.5	78.0	83.3	83.6	71.9	82.4	79.3	84.5	73.1	79.2	85.1	100.0
NOT APPLICABLE	22	8	14	5	6	10	1	11	1	1	-	8	1	-
	1.2	.9	1.5	.8	1.2	1.3	1.8	1.1	1.1	.6		1.8	2.1	
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	17	5	12	4	7	5	1	11	-	-	1	5	-	-
	.9	.5	1.3	.7	1.4	.6	1.7	1.0			1.3	1.1		

SUPPORT FOR ENERGY RELATED SUGGESTIONS Q.4
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY HOME STATUS, HOUSEHOLD INCOME AND EDUCATION

		HOME STATUS			HOUSEHOLD INCOME						EDUCATION				
	TOTAL	OWN	RENT	DK/ REF-USED	UNDER $10M	$10M TO $14.9	$15M TO $24.9	$25M TO $39.9	$40M AND OVER	REF-USED	ELEM-ENT-ARY	SECON-DARY	COLL-EGE/ CEGEP	UNIVE-RSITY	REF-USED
TOTAL	1897	1173	723	1	104	227	458	574	235	299	218	960	243	465	11
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
INCREASING THE PRICE OF ALL HEATING FUELS, ELECTRICITY AND GASOLINE TO ENCOURAGE PEOPLE TO CONSERVE															
SUPPORT	218	110	108	-	17	37	54	60	32	18	25	107	36	50	-
	11.5	9.4	15.0		16.3	16.3	11.8	10.4	13.6	6.0	11.5	11.2	14.8	10.8	
NOT SUPPORT	1665	1056	609	-	86	187	401	509	203	279	192	845	204	413	11
	87.8	90.0	84.2		82.7	82.4	87.6	88.7	86.4	93.3	88.1	88.0	84.0	88.8	100.0
NOT APPLICABLE	11	5	5	1	-	3	2	5	-	1	1	6	2	2	-
	.6	.4	.7	100.0		1.3	.4	.9		.4	.4	.6	.8	.4	
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	3	2	1	-	1	-	1	-	-	1	-	2	1	-	-
	.1	.2	.1		1.0		.2			.3		.2	.4		
A SPECIAL TAX ON GASOLINE TO PROVIDE FOR OIL EXPLORATION AND TECHNOLOGY IMPROVEMENTS															
SUPPORT	313	174	138	1	22	40	78	102	38	33	33	153	47	80	-
	16.5	14.8	19.1	100.0	21.1	17.6	17.0	17.8	16.2	11.0	15.1	15.9	19.4	17.2	
NOT SUPPORT	1545	981	564	-	78	185	374	460	193	255	183	787	191	373	11
	81.4	83.6	78.0		75.0	81.5	81.7	80.1	82.1	85.3	84.0	82.0	78.6	80.2	100.0
NOT APPLICABLE	22	10	12	-	3	-	2	9	1	7	-	14	2	6	-
	1.2	.9	1.7		2.9		.4	1.6	.4	2.4		1.5	.8	1.3	
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	17	8	9	-	1	2	4	3	3	4	2	6	3	6	-
	.9	.7	1.2		1.0	.9	.9	.5	1.3	1.3	.9	.6	1.2	1.3	

SUPPORT FOR ENERGY RELATED SUGGESTIONS Q.4
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY METHOD OF HEATING HOME AND SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE

		METHOD OF HEATING HOME				SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE				
	TOTAL	HEAT-ING OIL	ELEC-TRIC-ITY	NATU-RAL GAS	OTHER	VERY	SOME-WHAT	NOT TOO	NOT AT ALL	DK/ NOT APPL
TOTAL	1897	589	418	708	120	257	533	600	424	83
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
INCREASING THE PRICE OF ALL HEATING FUELS, ELECTRICITY AND GASOLINE TO ENCOURAGE PEOPLE TO CONSERVE										
SUPPORT	218	49	57	91	10	44	71	63	31	9
	11.5	8.3	13.6	12.8	8.3	17.1	13.3	10.5	7.3	10.9
NOT SUPPORT	1665	535	357	615	108	213	458	532	390	72
	87.8	90.9	85.4	86.9	90.0	82.9	85.9	88.7	92.0	86.7
NOT APPLICABLE	11	2	4	2	2	-	4	4	3	-
	.6	.3	1.0	.3	1.7		.8	.7	.7	
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	3	3	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	2
	.1	.5						.1		2.4
A SPECIAL TAX ON GASOLINE TO PROVIDE FOR OIL EXPLORATION AND TECHNOLOGY IMPROVEMENTS										
SUPPORT	313	83	74	127	18	53	95	91	65	9
	16.5	14.1	17.7	17.9	15.0	20.6	17.8	15.2	15.3	10.9
NOT SUPPORT	1545	495	336	565	100	200	421	502	353	69
	81.4	84.0	80.4	79.8	83.4	77.8	79.0	83.7	83.3	83.1
NOT APPLICABLE	22	8	5	7	1	4	8	5	2	3
	1.2	1.4	1.2	1.0	.8	1.6	1.5	.8	.5	3.6
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	17	3	3	9	1	-	9	2	4	2
	.9	.5	.7	1.3	.8		1.7	.3	.9	2.4

SUPPORT FOR ENERGY RELATED SUGGESTIONS Q.4
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY CITY AND AGE

		CITY									AGE			
	TOTAL	HALI-FAX	MONC-TON	QUE. CITY	MONT-REAL	OTT-AWA	TOR-ONTO	WINN-IPEG	CAL-GARY	VANC-OUVER	UNDER 35	35-44	45-54	55 & OVER
TOTAL	1897	206	210	212	213	210	213	212	210	209	828	416	260	388
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
A PROGRAM WHICH REQUIRES AUTOMOBILE MANUFACTURERS TO PUT FUEL CONSUMPTION STICKERS ON ALL NEW CARS THAT ARE FOR SALE														
SUPPORT	1535	171	173	184	182	157	163	179	164	160	672	336	220	303
	80.9	83.0	82.4	86.8	85.5	74.8	76.5	84.4	78.1	76.6	81.1	80.8	84.6	78.1
NOT SUPPORT	326	28	36	24	26	49	46	29	43	45	144	76	34	71
	17.2	13.6	17.1	11.3	12.2	23.3	21.6	13.7	20.5	21.5	17.4	18.3	13.1	18.3
NOT APPLICABLE	27	3	1	1	5	3	4	3	3	4	9	4	6	8
	1.4	1.5	.5	.5	2.3	1.4	1.9	1.4	1.4	1.9	1.1	.9	2.3	2.1
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	9	4	-	3	-	1	-	1	-	-	3	-	-	6
	.5	1.9		1.4		.5		.5			.4			1.5
A PROGRAM WHICH SHOWS PEOPLE HOW TO IMPROVE THE INSULATION OF THEIR HOMES														
SUPPORT	1715	184	193	194	195	193	193	195	190	176	764	377	240	329
	90.4	89.3	91.9	91.5	91.6	91.9	90.6	92.0	90.5	84.2	92.3	90.6	92.3	84.8
NOT SUPPORT	166	21	17	15	16	16	17	15	19	30	58	37	20	51
	8.8	10.2	8.1	7.1	7.5	7.6	8.0	7.1	9.0	14.4	7.0	8.9	7.7	13.1
NOT APPLICABLE	12	-	-	2	2	-	3	2	-	3	4	1	-	7
	.6			.9	.9		1.4	.9		1.4	.5	.3		1.8
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	4	1	-	1	-	1	-	-	1		2	1	-	1
	.2	.5		.5		.5			.5		.2	.2		.3

SUPPORT FOR ENERGY RELATED SUGGESTIONS Q.4
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY SEX, AGE OF HOME AND TYPE OF HOME

		SEX		AGE OF HOME				TYPE OF HOME						
	TOTAL	MALE	FEMALE	LESS THAN 11	11-20 YEARS	21 AND OVER	DON'T KNOW/ REF.	DETACHED HOUSE	SEMI-DETACHED	2/3/4 PLEX	ROW/ TOWN HOUSE	FLAT/ APARTMENT	ALL OTHER	D/K REFUSED
TOTAL	1897	933	942	581	484	775	57	1042	87	181	78	457	47	5
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
A PROGRAM WHICH REQUIRES AUTOMOBILE MANUFACTURERS TO PUT FUEL CONSUMPTION STICKERS ON ALL NEW CARS THAT ARE FOR SALE														
SUPPORT	1535	729	787	464	413	618	40	847	68	150	61	373	32	4
	80.9	78.1	83.6	79.9	85.3	79.7	70.2	81.3	78.2	82.9	78.2	81.6	68.1	80.0
NOT SUPPORT	326	188	135	108	61	146	11	183	17	26	16	72	11	1
	17.2	20.2	14.3	18.6	12.6	18.8	19.3	17.6	19.5	14.4	20.5	15.8	23.4	20.0
NOT APPLICABLE	27	12	15	7	8	9	3	9	2	5	1	7	3	-
	1.4	1.3	1.6	1.2	1.7	1.2	5.3	.8	2.3	2.7	1.3	1.5	6.4	
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	9	4	5	2	2	2	3	3	-	-	-	5	1	-
	.5	.4	.5	.3	.4	.3	5.2	.3				1.1	2.1	
A PROGRAM WHICH SHOWS PEOPLE HOW TO IMPROVE THE INSULATION OF THEIR HOMES														
SUPPORT	1715	834	860	535	441	688	51	939	79	160	72	422	38	5
	90.4	89.4	91.3	92.1	91.1	88.8	89.5	90.1	90.8	88.4	92.3	92.3	80.9	100.0
NOT SUPPORT	166	93	72	43	39	80	4	95	8	19	5	30	9	-
	8.8	10.0	7.7	7.4	8.1	10.3	7.0	9.1	9.2	10.5	6.4	6.6	19.1	
NOT APPLICABLE	12	5	7	1	2	7	2	8	-	1	-	3	-	-
	.6	.5	.7	.2	.4	.9	3.5	.8		.6		.7		
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	4	1	3	2	2	-	-	-	-	1	1	2	-	-
	.2	.1	.3	.3	.4					.5	1.3	.4		

SUPPORT FOR ENERGY RELATED SUGGESTIONS Q.4
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY HOME STATUS, HOUSEHOLD INCOME AND EDUCATION

		HOME STATUS			HOUSEHOLD INCOME						EDUCATION				
	TOTAL	OWN	RENT	DK/ REF-USED	UNDER $10M	$10M TO $14.9	$15M TO $24.9	$25M TO $39.9	$40M AND OVER	REF-USED	ELEM-ENT-ARY	SECON-DARY	COLL-EGE/ CEGEP	UNIVE-RSITY	REF-USED
TOTAL	1897	1173	723	1	104	227	458	574	235	299	218	960	243	465	11
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
A PROGRAM WHICH REQUIRES AUTOMOBILE MANUFACTURERS TO PUT FUEL CONSUMPTION STICKERS ON ALL NEW CARS THAT ARE FOR SALE															
SUPPORT	1535	945	589	1	88	189	386	448	199	225	182	771	193	381	8
	80.9	80.6	81.5	100.0	84.6	83.3	84.3	78.1	84.7	75.3	83.5	80.3	79.4	81.9	72.7
NOT SUPPORT	326	212	114	-	11	35	63	117	34	66	27	175	44	78	2
	17.2	18.1	15.8		10.6	15.4	13.7	20.4	14.5	22.1	12.4	18.2	18.1	16.8	18.2
NOT APPLICABLE	27	13	14	-	3	2	9	7	2	4	4	12	5	5	1
	1.4	1.1	1.9		2.9	.9	2.0	1.2	.8	1.3	1.8	1.3	2.1	1.1	9.1
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	9	3	6	-	2	1	-	2	-	4	5	2	1	1	-
	.5	.2	.8		1.9	.4		.3		1.3	2.3	.2	.4	.2	
A PROGRAM WHICH SHOWS PEOPLE HOW TO IMPROVE THE INSULATION OF THEIR HOMES															
SUPPORT	1715	1050	664	1	94	202	428	521	212	258	200	855	223	427	10
	90.4	89.5	91.8	100.0	90.4	89.0	93.4	90.8	90.2	86.3	91.7	89.1	91.8	91.8	90.9
NOT SUPPORT	166	114	52	-	9	22	26	48	21	40	17	97	18	33	1
	8.8	9.7	7.2		8.6	9.7	5.7	8.4	8.9	13.4	7.8	10.1	7.4	7.1	9.1
NOT APPLICABLE	12	7	5	-	1	2	3	3	2	1	1	7	1	3	-
	.6	.6	.7		1.0	.9	.7	.5	.9	.3	.5	.7	.4	.7	
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	4	2	2	-	-	1	1	2	-	-	-	1	1	2	-
	.2	.2	.3			.4	.2	.3				.1	.4	.4	

CONSUMER CONTACT LIMITED - 8257-83 ENERGY STUDY 1983

SUPPORT FOR ENERGY RELATED SUGGESTIONS Q.4
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY METHOD OF HEATING HOME AND SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE

		METHOD OF HEATING HOME				SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE				
	TOTAL	HEAT-ING OIL	ELEC-TRIC-ITY	NATU-RAL GAS	OTHER	VERY	SOME-WHAT	NOT TOO	NOT AT ALL	DK/ NOT APPL
TOTAL	1897 100.0	589 100.0	418 100.0	708 100.0	120 100.0	257 100.0	533 100.0	600 100.0	424 100.0	83 100.0
A PROGRAM WHICH REQUIRES AUTOMOBILE MANUFACTURERS TO PUT FUEL CONSUMPTION STICKERS ON ALL NEW CARS THAT ARE FOR SALE										
SUPPORT	1535 80.9	494 83.9	341 81.6	559 79.0	98 81.7	200 77.8	457 85.7	492 82.0	321 75.7	65 78.3
NOT SUPPORT	326 17.2	86 14.6	70 16.8	141 19.9	17 14.2	53 20.6	61 11.4	100 16.7	99 23.4	13 15.7
NOT APPLICABLE	27 1.4	6 1.0	6 1.4	7 1.0	4 3.3	4 1.6	11 2.1	7 1.2	4 .9	1 1.2
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	9 .5	3 .5	1 .2	1 .1	1 .8	-	4 .8	1 .1	-	4 4.8
A PROGRAM WHICH SHOWS PEOPLE HOW TO IMPROVE THE INSULATION OF THEIR HOMES										
SUPPORT	1715 90.4	541 91.9	382 91.4	624 88.1	111 92.5	236 91.8	497 93.3	549 91.5	362 85.4	71 85.5
NOT SUPPORT	166 8.8	45 7.6	33 7.9	76 10.7	8 6.7	21 8.2	30 5.6	44 7.3	60 14.1	11 13.3
NOT APPLICABLE	12 .6	1 .2	3 .7	7 1.0	1 .8	-	5 .9	4 .7	2 .5	1 1.2
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	4 .2	2 .3	-	1 .2	-	-	1 .2	3 .5	-	-

SUPPORT FOR ENERGY RELATED SUGGESTIONS Q.4
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY CITY AND AGE

		CITY									AGE			
	TOTAL	HALI-FAX	MONC-TON	QUE. CITY	MONT-REAL	OTT-AWA	TOR-ONTO	WINN-IPEG	CAL-GARY	VANC-OUVER	UNDER 35	35-44	45-54	55 & OVER
TOTAL	1897	206	210	212	213	210	213	212	210	209	828	416	260	388
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
RATIONING THE AMOUNT OF GASOLINE, HEATING OIL AND ELECTRICITY EACH PERSON CAN USE														
SUPPORT	295	20	24	56	64	18	39	26	24	24	147	56	41	51
	15.5	9.7	11.4	26.4	30.1	8.6	18.3	12.3	11.4	11.5	17.8	13.5	15.8	13.1
NOT SUPPORT	1572	182	185	155	144	189	171	184	181	180	672	349	219	327
	82.9	88.4	88.1	73.1	67.6	90.0	80.3	86.8	86.2	86.1	81.1	83.9	84.2	84.3
NOT APPLICABLE	19	4	1	-	5	1	1	-	2	4	6	5	-	8
	1.0	1.9	.5		2.3	.5	.5		1.0	1.9	.7	1.2		2.1
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	11	-	-	1	-	2	2	2	3	1	3	6	-	2
	.6			.5		.9	.9	.9	1.4	.5	.4	1.4		.5
A REQUIREMENT THAT ALL HOMES, NEW AND OLD, WOULD HAVE TO BE INSULATED TO A CERTAIN STANDARD BEFORE THEY COULD BE SOLD														
SUPPORT	1294	128	142	158	174	126	143	135	159	128	608	281	171	231
	68.2	62.1	67.6	74.5	81.7	60.0	67.1	63.7	75.7	61.2	73.4	67.5	65.8	59.5
NOT SUPPORT	574	73	67	51	34	83	69	70	46	80	210	131	82	149
	30.3	35.4	31.9	24.1	16.0	39.5	32.4	33.0	21.9	38.3	25.4	31.5	31.5	38.4
NOT APPLICABLE	17	1	1	2	5	-	-	5	2	1	7	2	3	5
	.9	.5	.5	.9	2.3			2.4	1.0	.5	.8	.5	1.2	1.3
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	12	4	-	1	-	1	1	2	3	-	3	2	4	3
	.6	2.0		.5		.5	.5	.9	1.4		.4	.5	1.5	.8

SUPPORT FOR ENERGY RELATED SUGGESTIONS Q.4
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY SEX, AGE OF HOME AND TYPE OF HOME

		SEX		AGE OF HOME				TYPE OF HOME						
	TOTAL	MALE	FE-MALE	LESS THAN 11	11-20 YEARS	21 AND OVER	DON'T KNOW/ REF.	DET-ACHED HOUSE	SEMI-DET-ACHED	2/3/4 PLEX	ROW/ TOWN HOUSE	FLAT/ APART-MENT	ALL OTHER	D/K REF-USED
TOTAL	1897	933	942	581	484	775	57	1042	87	181	78	457	47	5
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
RATIONING THE AMOUNT OF GASOLINE, HEATING OIL AND ELECTRICITY EACH PERSON CAN USE														
SUPPORT	295	124	170	101	73	108	13	129	13	32	9	104	8	-
	15.5	13.3	18.1	17.4	15.1	13.9	22.8	12.4	15.0	17.7	11.5	22.8	17.0	
NOT SUPPORT	1572	801	752	475	404	652	41	898	72	147	66	345	39	5
	82.9	85.9	79.8	81.8	83.5	84.1	71.9	86.2	82.8	81.2	84.6	75.5	83.0	100.0
NOT APPLICABLE	19	4	14	2	4	10	3	9	1	2	1	6	-	-
	1.0	.4	1.5	.3	.8	1.3	5.3	.8	1.1	1.1	1.3	1.3		
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	11	4	6	3	3	5	-	6	1	-	2	2	-	-
	.6	.4	.6	.5	.6	.7		.6	1.1		2.6	.4		
A REQUIREMENT THAT ALL HOMES, NEW AND OLD, WOULD HAVE TO BE INSULATED TO A CERTAIN STANDARD BEFORE THEY COULD BE SOLD														
SUPPORT	1294	582	705	416	352	484	42	659	56	133	59	352	31	4
	68.2	62.4	74.8	71.6	72.7	62.5	73.7	63.2	64.4	73.5	75.6	77.0	66.0	80.0
NOT SUPPORT	574	344	215	161	123	277	13	367	30	44	19	97	16	1
	30.3	36.9	22.8	27.7	25.4	35.7	22.8	35.2	34.5	24.3	24.4	21.2	34.0	20.0
NOT APPLICABLE	17	4	13	3	4	8	2	8	-	3	-	6	-	-
	.9	.4	1.4	.5	.8	1.0	3.5	.8		1.7		1.3		
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	12	3	9	1	5	6	-	8	1	1	-	2	-	-
	.6	.3	1.0	.2	1.1	.8		.8	1.1	.5		.5		

SUPPORT FOR ENERGY RELATED SUGGESTIONS Q.4
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY HOME STATUS, HOUSEHOLD INCOME AND EDUCATION

		HOME STATUS			HOUSEHOLD INCOME						EDUCATION				
	TOTAL	OWN	RENT	DK/ REF- USED	UNDER $10M	$10M TO $14.9	$15M TO $24.9	$25M TO $39.9	$40M AND OVER	REF- USED	ELEM- ENT- ARY	SECON -DARY	COLL- EGE/ CEGEP	UNIVE- RSITY	REF- USED
TOTAL	1897	1173	723	1	104	227	458	574	235	299	218	960	243	465	11
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
RATIONING THE AMOUNT OF GASOLINE, HEATING OIL AND ELECTRICITY EACH PERSON CAN USE															
SUPPORT	295	143	152	-	26	50	94	70	20	35	38	152	51	53	1
	15.5	12.2	21.1		25.0	22.0	20.5	12.2	8.5	11.7	17.4	15.9	21.0	11.4	9.1
NOT SUPPORT	1572	1017	554	1	76	173	356	497	213	257	175	792	186	409	10
	82.9	86.7	76.6	100.0	73.1	76.2	77.7	86.6	90.7	86.0	80.3	82.5	76.5	88.0	90.9
NOT APPLICABLE	19	8	11	-	2	3	4	3	1	6	3	9	5	2	-
	1.0	.7	1.5		1.9	1.3	.9	.5	.4	2.0	1.4	.9	2.1	.4	
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	11	5	6	-	-	1	4	4	1	1	2	7	1	1	-
	.6	.4	.8			.5	.9	.7	.4	.3	.9	.7	.4	.2	
A REQUIREMENT THAT ALL HOMES, NEW AND OLD, WOULD HAVE TO BE INSULATED TO A CERTAIN STANDARD BEFORE THEY COULD BE SOLD															
SUPPORT	1294	737	556	1	79	159	337	376	150	193	150	703	162	274	5
	68.2	62.8	76.9	100.0	76.0	70.0	73.6	65.5	63.8	64.5	68.8	73.2	66.7	58.9	45.5
NOT SUPPORT	574	419	155	-	22	66	113	191	81	101	61	246	80	181	6
	30.3	35.7	21.4		21.1	29.1	24.7	33.3	34.5	33.8	28.0	25.6	32.9	38.9	54.5
NOT APPLICABLE	17	8	9	-	2	2	6	4	1	2	3	9	-	5	-
	.9	.7	1.3		1.9	.9	1.3	.7	.4	.7	1.4	1.0		1.1	
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	12	9	3	-	1	-	2	3	3	3	4	2	1	5	-
	.6	.8	.4		1.0		.4	.5	1.3	1.0	1.8	.2	.4	1.1	

SUPPORT FOR ENERGY RELATED SUGGESTIONS Q.4
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY METHOD OF HEATING HOME AND SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE

		METHOD OF HEATING HOME				SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE				
	TOTAL	HEAT -ING OIL	ELEC- TRIC- ITY	NATU- RAL GAS	OTHER	VERY	SOME- WHAT	NOT TOO	NOT AT ALL	DK/ NOT APPL
TOTAL	1897	589	418	708	120	257	533	600	424	83
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
RATIONING THE AMOUNT OF GASOLINE, HEATING OIL AND ELECTRICITY EACH PERSON CAN USE										
SUPPORT	295	86	90	91	17	64	102	86	30	13
	15.5	14.6	21.5	12.9	14.2	24.9	19.1	14.3	7.1	15.7
NOT SUPPORT	1572	497	323	602	102	188	419	508	390	67
	82.9	84.4	77.3	85.0	85.0	73.1	78.6	84.7	92.0	80.7
NOT APPLICABLE	19	6	3	8	-	3	8	4	2	2
	1.0	1.0	.7	1.1		1.2	1.5	.7	.5	2.4
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	11	-	2	7	1	2	4	2	2	1
	.6		.5	1.0	.8	.8	.8	.3	.4	1.2
A REQUIREMENT THAT ALL HOMES, NEW AND OLD, WOULD HAVE TO BE INSULATED TO A CERTAIN STANDARD BEFORE THEY COULD BE SOLD										
SUPPORT	1294	368	307	484	88	193	397	405	246	53
	68.2	62.5	73.5	68.4	73.3	75.1	74.5	67.5	58.0	63.9
NOT SUPPORT	574	212	102	213	32	62	126	187	173	26
	30.3	36.0	24.4	30.1	26.7	24.1	23.6	31.2	40.8	31.3
NOT APPLICABLE	17	5	6	6	-	1	7	6	2	1
	.9	.8	1.4	.8		.4	1.3	1.0	.5	1.2
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	12	4	3	5	-	1	3	2	3	3
	.6	.7	.7	.7		.4	.6	.3	.7	3.6

CLAIMED ENERGY SAVING BEHAVIOUR Q.5
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY CITY AND AGE

		CITY									AGE			
	TOTAL	HALI-FAX	MONC-TON	QUE. CITY	MONT-REAL	OTT-AWA	TOR-ONTO	WINN-IPEG	CAL-GARY	VANC-OUVER	UNDER 35	35-44	45-54	55 & OVER
TOTAL	1897	206	210	212	213	210	213	212	210	209	828	416	260	388
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
KEEPING THE THERMOSTAT AT A LOWER TEMPERATURE THAN A FEW YEARS AGO														
YES	1415	159	170	146	142	176	151	155	144	170	625	325	189	272
	74.6	77.2	80.9	68.9	66.7	83.8	70.9	73.1	68.6	81.3	75.5	78.1	72.7	70.1
NO	400	38	39	52	56	30	37	54	60	34	159	80	61	99
	21.1	18.4	18.6	24.5	26.3	14.3	17.4	25.5	28.6	16.3	19.2	19.2	23.5	25.5
NOT APPLICABLE	81	9	1	14	15	4	25	3	5	5	43	11	10	17
	4.3	4.4	.5	6.6	7.0	1.9	11.7	1.4	2.4	2.4	5.2	2.7	3.8	4.4
DON'T KNOW/NOT STATED	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	1	-	-	-
	*								.4		.1			
TURNING OFF LIGHTS IN YOUR HOME MORE OFTEN THAN A FEW YEARS AGO														
YES	1514	168	171	156	153	190	178	162	160	174	679	337	196	299
	79.8	81.5	81.4	73.6	71.8	90.5	83.6	76.4	76.2	83.3	82.0	81.0	75.4	77.1
NO	378	36	39	56	60	19	34	50	49	35	148	77	63	88
	19.9	17.5	18.6	26.4	28.2	9.0	16.0	23.6	23.3	16.7	17.9	18.5	24.2	22.7
NOT APPLICABLE	4	2	-	-	-	-	1	-	1	-	1	1	1	1
	.2	1.0					.4		.5		.1	.3	.4	.2
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	1	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-
	.1					.5						.2		

CLAIMED ENERGY SAVING BEHAVIOUR Q.5
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY SEX, AGE OF HOME AND TYPE OF HOME

		SEX		AGE OF HOME				TYPE OF HOME						
	TOTAL	MALE	FE-MALE	LESS THAN 11	11-20 YEARS	21 AND OVER	DON'T KNOW/ REF.	DET-ACHED HOUSE	SEMI-DET-ACHED	2/3/4 PLEX	ROW/ TOWN HOUSE	FLAT/ APART-MENT	ALL OTHER	D/K REF-USED
TOTAL	1897	933	942	581	484	775	57	1042	87	181	78	457	47	5
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
KEEPING THE THERMOSTAT AT A LOWER TEMPERATURE THAN A FEW YEARS AGO														
YES	1415	679	717	428	367	582	38	807	62	142	62	303	35	4
	74.6	72.8	76.1	73.7	75.8	75.1	66.7	77.4	71.3	78.4	79.5	66.3	74.5	80.0
NO	400	218	179	137	97	151	15	224	23	34	15	93	11	-
	21.1	23.4	19.0	23.6	20.1	19.5	26.3	21.5	26.4	18.8	19.2	20.4	23.4	
NOT APPLICABLE	81	35	46	16	20	41	4	10	2	5	1	61	1	1
	4.3	3.7	4.9	2.7	4.1	5.3	7.0	1.0	2.3	2.8	1.3	13.3	2.1	20.0
DON'T KNOW/NOT STATED	1	1	-	-	-	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
	*	.1				.1		.1						
TURNING OFF LIGHTS IN YOUR HOME MORE OFTEN THAN A FEW YEARS AGO														
YES	1514	722	772	458	396	620	40	838	72	141	69	357	32	5
	79.8	77.4	82.0	78.8	81.8	80.0	70.2	80.4	82.8	77.9	88.5	78.1	68.1	100.0
NO	378	208	169	122	87	152	17	202	15	39	9	98	15	-
	19.9	22.3	17.9	21.0	18.0	19.6	29.8	19.4	17.2	21.5	11.5	21.5	31.9	
NOT APPLICABLE	4	3	1	1	1	2	-	2	-	-	-	2	-	-
	.2	.3	.1	.2	.2	.3		.2				.4		
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	1	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
	.1					.1				.6				

CLAIMED ENERGY SAVING BEHAVIOUR Q.5
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY HOME STATUS, HOUSEHOLD INCOME AND EDUCATION

		HOME STATUS			HOUSEHOLD INCOME						EDUCATION				
	TOTAL	OWN	RENT	DK/ REF- USED	UNDER $10M	$10M TO $14.9	$15M TO $24.9	$25M TO $39.9	$40M AND OVER	REF- USED	ELEM- ENT- ARY	SECON -DARY	COLL- EGE/ CEGEP	UNIVE- RSITY	REF- USED
TOTAL	1897	1173	723	1	104	227	458	574	235	299	218	960	243	465	11
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
KEEPING THE THERMOSTAT AT A LOWER TEMPERATURE THAN A FEW YEARS AGO															
YES	1415	906	508	1	74	168	341	431	188	213	152	709	185	360	9
	74.6	77.3	70.3	100.0	71.2	74.0	74.5	75.1	80.0	71.2	69.7	73.8	76.1	77.4	81.8
NO	400	255	145	-	18	42	95	126	46	73	56	210	45	88	1
	21.1	21.7	20.1		17.3	18.5	20.7	21.9	19.6	24.4	25.7	21.9	18.5	18.9	9.1
NOT APPLICABLE	81	12	69	-	12	17	22	17	-	13	10	41	13	16	1
	4.3	1.0	9.5		11.5	7.5	4.8	3.0		4.4	4.6	4.3	5.4	3.5	9.1
DON'T KNOW/NOT STATED	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	1	-
	*		.1						.4					.2	
TURNING OFF LIGHTS IN YOUR HOME MORE OFTEN THAN A FEW YEARS AGO															
YES	1514	941	572	1	75	184	379	454	187	235	162	776	201	367	8
	79.8	80.2	79.1	100.0	72.1	81.1	82.8	79.1	79.6	78.6	74.3	80.8	82.7	78.9	72.7
NO	378	230	148	-	28	43	79	117	48	63	56	180	41	98	3
	19.9	19.6	20.5		26.9	18.9	17.2	20.4	20.4	21.1	25.7	18.8	16.9	21.1	27.3
NOT APPLICABLE	4	1	3	-	1	-	-	2	-	1	-	3	1	-	-
	.2	.1	.4		1.0			.3		.3		.3	.4		
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
	.1	.1						.2				.1			

CLAIMED ENERGY SAVING BEHAVIOUR Q.5
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY METHOD OF HEATING HOME AND SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE

		METHOD OF HEATING HOME				SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE				
	TOTAL	HEAT-ING OIL	ELEC-TRIC-ITY	NATU-RAL GAS	OTHER	VERY	SOME-WHAT	NOT TOO	NOT AT ALL	DK/ NOT APPL
TOTAL	1897	589	418	708	120	257	533	600	424	83
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
KEEPING THE THERMOSTAT AT A LOWER TEMPERATURE THAN A FEW YEARS AGO										
YES	1415	463	301	521	90	206	400	449	299	61
	74.6	78.6	72.0	73.6	75.0	80.2	75.0	74.8	70.5	73.5
NO	400	102	105	165	19	41	106	126	111	16
	21.1	17.3	25.1	23.3	15.8	15.9	19.9	21.0	26.2	19.3
NOT APPLICABLE	81	24	12	21	11	10	26	25	14	6
	4.3	4.1	2.9	3.0	9.2	3.9	4.9	4.2	3.3	7.2
DON'T KNOW/NOT STATED	1	-	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	-
	*			.1			.2			
TURNING OFF LIGHTS IN YOUR HOME MORE OFTEN THAN A FEW YEARS AGO										
YES	1514	484	324	572	86	209	445	487	309	64
	79.8	82.2	77.5	80.8	71.7	81.3	83.5	81.2	72.9	77.1
NO	378	103	94	135	32	48	87	112	112	19
	19.9	17.5	22.5	19.1	26.7	18.7	16.3	18.7	26.4	22.9
NOT APPLICABLE	4	1	-	1	2	-	1	-	3	-
	.2	.2		.1	1.6		.2		.7	
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-
	.1	.1						.1		

CLAIMED ENERGY SAVING BEHAVIOUR Q.5
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY CITY AND AGE

		CITY									AGE			
	TOTAL	HALI -FAX	MONC -TON	QUE. CITY	MONT- REAL	OTT- AWA	TOR- ONTO	WINN- IPEG	CAL- GARY	VANC- OUVER	UNDER 35	35-44	45-54	55 & OVER
TOTAL	1897	206	210	212	213	210	213	212	210	209	828	416	260	388
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
KEEPING THE HOT WATER HEATER AT A LOWER TEMPERATURE THAN A FEW YEARS AGO														
YES	793	102	112	69	83	90	72	92	64	107	311	197	100	184
	41.8	49.5	53.3	32.5	39.0	42.9	33.8	43.4	30.5	51.2	37.6	47.3	38.5	47.4
NO	844	63	77	92	108	105	89	110	117	83	366	195	126	153
	44.5	30.6	36.7	43.4	50.7	50.0	41.8	51.9	55.7	39.7	44.2	46.9	48.5	39.4
NOT APPLICABLE	258	41	21	51	22	15	51	10	28	19	151	24	32	51
	13.6	19.9	10.0	24.1	10.3	7.1	23.9	4.7	13.3	9.1	18.2	5.8	12.3	13.2
DON'T KNOW/NOT STATED	2	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	1	-	-	-	2	-
	.1						.5		.5				.7	
USING LESS HOT WATER THAN A FEW YEARS AGO														
YES	873	88	115	114	117	102	87	74	72	102	322	202	124	223
	46.0	42.7	54.8	53.8	54.9	48.6	40.8	34.9	34.3	48.8	38.9	48.6	47.7	57.5
NO	998	109	95	98	95	107	122	135	133	104	492	209	134	160
	52.6	52.9	45.2	46.2	44.6	50.9	57.3	63.7	63.3	49.8	59.4	50.2	51.5	41.2
NOT APPLICABLE	24	9	-	-	1	-	4	2	5	3	12	5	2	5
	1.3	4.4			.5		1.9	.9	2.4	1.4	1.5	1.2	.8	1.3
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	2	-	-	-	-	1	-	1	-	-	2	-	-	-
	.1					.5		.5			.2			

CLAIMED ENERGY SAVING BEHAVIOUR Q.5
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY SEX, AGE OF HOME AND TYPE OF HOME

		SEX		AGE OF HOME				TYPE OF HOME						
	TOTAL	MALE	FEMALE	LESS THAN 11	11-20 YEARS	21 AND OVER	DON'T KNOW/ REF.	DETACHED HOUSE	SEMI-DETACHED	2/3/4 PLEX	ROW/ TOWN HOUSE	FLAT/ APARTMENT	ALL OTHER	D/K REFUSED
TOTAL	1897	933	942	581	484	775	57	1042	87	181	78	457	47	5
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
KEEPING THE HOT WATER HEATER AT A LOWER TEMPERATURE THAN A FEW YEARS AGO														
YES	793	368	411	231	191	349	22	497	42	75	34	127	17	1
	41.8	39.4	43.7	39.8	39.5	45.0	38.6	47.7	48.3	41.4	43.6	27.8	36.2	20.0
NO	844	459	378	267	207	351	19	514	43	87	37	138	22	3
	44.5	49.2	40.1	45.9	42.8	45.3	33.3	49.3	49.4	48.1	47.4	30.2	46.8	60.0
NOT APPLICABLE	258	106	151	82	86	74	16	29	2	19	7	192	8	1
	13.6	11.4	16.0	14.1	17.7	9.6	28.1	2.8	2.3	10.5	9.0	42.0	17.0	20.0
DON'T KNOW/NOT STATED	2	-	2	1	-	1	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	-
	.1		.2	.2		.1		.2						
USING LESS HOT WATER THAN A FEW YEARS AGO														
YES	873	384	475	243	226	370	34	474	39	95	32	205	24	4
	46.0	41.2	50.4	41.8	46.7	47.8	59.6	45.5	44.8	52.5	41.0	44.9	51.1	80.0
NO	998	537	454	328	250	397	23	560	47	86	45	236	23	1
	52.6	57.5	48.2	56.5	51.7	51.2	40.4	53.7	54.0	47.5	57.7	51.6	48.9	20.0
NOT APPLICABLE	24	11	12	9	8	7	-	7	-	-	1	16	-	-
	1.3	1.2	1.3	1.5	1.6	.9		.7			1.3	3.5		
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	2	1	1	1	-	1	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
	.1	.1	.1	.2		.1		.1	1.2					

CONSUMER CONTACT LIMITED - #257-83 ENERGY STUDY 1983

CLAIMED ENERGY SAVING BEHAVIOUR Q.5
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY HOME STATUS, HOUSEHOLD INCOME AND EDUCATION

		HOME STATUS			HOUSEHOLD INCOME						EDUCATION				
	TOTAL	OWN	RENT	DK/ REF-USED	UNDER $10M	$10M TO $14.9	$15M TO $24.9	$25M TO $39.9	$40M AND OVER	REF-USED	ELEM-ENT-ARY	SECON-DARY	COLL-EGE/ CEGEP	UNIVE-RSITY	REF-USED
TOTAL	1897	1173	723	1	104	227	458	574	235	299	218	960	243	465	11
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
KEEPING THE HOT WATER HEATER AT A LOWER TEMPERATURE THAN A FEW YEARS AGO															
YES	793	553	240	-	45	97	196	235	94	126	99	402	91	196	5
	41.8	47.2	33.2		43.3	42.7	42.8	41.0	40.0	42.2	45.4	41.9	37.4	42.2	45.5
NO	844	580	263	1	36	79	184	294	119	132	88	430	109	212	5
	44.5	49.4	36.4	100.0	34.6	34.8	40.2	51.2	50.6	44.1	40.4	44.8	44.9	45.6	45.4
NOT APPLICABLE	258	38	220	-	23	51	76	45	22	41	30	127	43	57	1
	13.6	3.2	30.4		22.1	22.5	16.6	7.8	9.4	13.7	13.8	13.2	17.7	12.2	9.1
DON'T KNOW/NOT STATED	2	2	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-
	.1	.2					.4				.4	.1			
USING LESS HOT WATER THAN A FEW YEARS AGO															
YES	873	546	326	1	58	104	243	230	101	137	127	443	101	195	7
	46.0	46.5	45.1	100.0	55.8	45.8	53.1	40.1	43.0	45.8	58.3	46.2	41.6	41.9	63.6
NO	998	618	380	-	46	120	209	333	132	158	89	506	141	258	4
	52.6	52.7	52.6		44.2	52.9	45.6	58.0	56.2	52.9	40.8	52.7	58.0	55.5	36.4
NOT APPLICABLE	24	7	17	-	-	3	5	10	2	4	2	11	1	10	-
	1.3	.6	2.3			1.3	1.1	1.7	.8	1.3	.9	1.1	.4	2.2	
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	2	2	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	2	-
	.1	.2					.2	.2						.4	

CLAIMED ENERGY SAVING BEHAVIOUR Q.5
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY METHOD OF HEATING HOME AND SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE

		METHOD OF HEATING HOME				SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE				
	TOTAL	HEATING OIL	ELECTRICITY	NATURAL GAS	OTHER	VERY	SOMEWHAT	NOT TOO	NOT AT ALL	DK/ NOT APPL
TOTAL	1897	589	418	708	120	257	533	600	424	83
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
KEEPING THE HOT WATER HEATER AT A LOWER TEMPERATURE THAN A FEW YEARS AGO										
YES	793	283	158	299	43	133	210	254	166	30
	41.8	48.0	37.8	42.2	35.8	51.8	39.4	42.4	39.1	36.1
NO	844	239	185	361	42	96	239	260	209	40
	44.5	40.6	44.3	51.0	35.0	37.3	44.8	43.3	49.3	48.2
NOT APPLICABLE	258	66	75	47	35	28	84	86	47	13
	13.6	11.2	17.9	6.6	29.2	10.9	15.8	14.3	11.1	15.7
DON'T KNOW/NOT STATED	2	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	2	-
	.1	.2		.2					.5	
USING LESS HOT WATER THAN A FEW YEARS AGO										
YES	873	297	204	281	65	144	255	276	161	37
	46.0	50.4	48.8	39.7	54.2	56.0	47.8	46.0	38.0	44.6
NO	998	287	209	417	52	111	270	317	258	42
	52.6	48.7	50.0	58.9	43.3	43.2	50.7	52.8	60.8	50.6
NOT APPLICABLE	24	5	5	8	3	2	7	7	5	3
	1.3	.9	1.2	1.1	2.5	.8	1.3	1.2	1.2	3.6
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	2	-	-	2	-	-	1	-	-	1
	.1			.3			.2			1.2

CON[illegible]ER CONTACT LIMITED - 8257-83 ENERGY STUDY 1983

CLAIMED ENERGY SAVING BEHAVIOUR Q.5
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY CITY AND AGE

		CITY									AGE			
	TOTAL	HALI-FAX	MONC-TON	QUE. CITY	MONT-REAL	OTT-AWA	TOR-ONTO	WINN-IPEG	CAL-GARY	VANC-OUVER	UNDER 35	35-44	45-54	55 & OVER
TOTAL	1897 100.0	206 100.0	210 100.0	212 100.0	213 100.0	210 100.0	213 100.0	212 100.0	210 100.0	209 100.0	828 100.0	416 100.0	260 100.0	388 100.0
DRIVING LESS THAN A FEW YEARS AGO														
YES	949 50.0	102 49.5	128 61.0	105 49.5	94 44.1	108 51.4	104 48.8	108 50.9	95 45.2	104 49.8	358 43.2	220 52.9	145 55.8	223 57.5
NO	746 39.3	82 39.8	66 31.4	73 34.5	66 31.0	82 39.1	86 40.4	90 42.5	103 49.1	97 46.4	393 47.5	172 41.4	97 37.3	82 21.1
NOT APPLICABLE	199 10.5	22 10.7	16 7.6	34 16.0	53 24.9	19 9.0	23 10.8	12 5.7	12 5.7	8 3.8	75 9.1	23 5.5	18 6.9	83 21.4
DON'T KNOW/NOT STATED	3 .2	-	-	-	-	1 .5	-	2 .9	-	-	2 .2	1 .2	-	-
BOUGHT A SMALLER CAR THAN THE ONE YOU HAD A FEW YEARS AGO														
YES	781 41.2	90 43.7	71 33.8	98 46.2	82 38.5	95 45.3	99 46.5	83 39.1	85 40.5	78 37.3	356 43.0	171 41.1	113 43.5	140 36.1
NO	857 45.2	85 41.3	119 56.7	68 32.1	68 31.9	83 39.5	88 41.3	117 55.2	107 50.9	120 57.4	359 43.4	213 51.2	121 46.5	161 41.5
NOT APPLICABLE	256 13.5	30 14.5	20 9.5	45 21.2	63 29.6	32 15.2	26 12.2	11 5.2	18 8.6	11 5.3	112 13.5	32 7.7	26 10.0	86 22.2
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	3 .1	1 .5	-	1 .5	-	-	-	1 .5	-	-	1 .1	-	-	1 .2

CLAIMED ENERGY SAVING BEHAVIOUR Q.5
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY SEX, AGE OF HOME AND TYPE OF HOME

		SEX		AGE OF HOME				TYPE OF HOME						
	TOTAL	MALE	FE-MALE	LESS THAN 11	11-20 YEARS	21 AND OVER	DON'T KNOW/ REF.	DET-ACHED HOUSE	SEMI-DET-ACHED	2/3/4 PLEX	ROW/ TOWN HOUSE	FLAT/ APART-MENT	ALL OTHER	D/K REF-USED
TOTAL	1897	933	942	581	484	775	57	1042	87	181	78	457	47	5
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
DRIVING LESS THAN A FEW YEARS AGO														
YES	949	507	427	272	242	410	25	557	40	81	36	209	25	1
	50.0	54.3	45.3	46.8	50.0	52.9	43.8	53.5	46.0	44.8	46.2	45.7	53.2	20.0
NO	746	376	364	271	202	257	16	441	42	76	33	133	18	3
	39.3	40.3	38.7	46.7	41.7	33.2	28.1	42.3	48.3	42.0	42.3	29.1	38.3	60.0
NOT APPLICABLE	199	50	149	38	40	105	16	41	5	24	9	115	4	1
	10.5	5.4	15.8	6.5	8.3	13.5	28.1	3.9	5.7	13.2	11.5	25.2	8.5	20.0
DON'T KNOW/NOT STATED	3	-	2	-	-	3	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	-
	.2		.2			.4		.3						
BOUGHT A SMALLER CAR THAN THE ONE YOU HAD A FEW YEARS AGO														
YES	781	389	379	261	192	305	23	437	39	74	31	173	23	4
	41.2	41.7	40.2	44.9	39.7	39.4	40.3	41.9	44.8	40.9	39.8	37.9	48.9	80.0
NO	857	457	391	266	228	345	18	544	42	74	37	144	15	1
	45.2	49.0	41.5	45.8	47.1	44.5	31.6	52.2	48.3	40.9	47.4	31.5	31.9	20.0
NOT APPLICABLE	256	87	169	53	62	125	16	58	6	33	10	140	9	-
	13.5	9.3	18.0	9.1	12.8	16.1	28.1	5.6	6.9	18.2	12.8	30.6	19.2	
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	3	-	3	1	2	-	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	-
	.1		.3	.2	.4			.3						

CLAIMED ENERGY SAVING BEHAVIOUR Q.5
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY HOME STATUS, HOUSEHOLD INCOME AND EDUCATION

		HOME STATUS			HOUSEHOLD INCOME						EDUCATION				
	TOTAL	OWN	RENT	DK/ REF- USED	UNDER $10M	$10M TO $14.9	$15M TO $24.9	$25M TO $39.9	$40M AND OVER	REF- USED	ELEM- ENT- ARY	SECON -DARY	COLL- EGE/ CEGEP	UNIVE- RSITY	REF- USED
TOTAL	1897	1173	723	1	104	227	458	574	235	299	218	960	243	465	11
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
DRIVING LESS THAN A FEW YEARS AGO															
YES	949	621	327	1	48	118	235	286	102	160	122	467	117	238	5
	50.0	52.9	45.2	100.0	46.2	52.0	51.3	49.8	43.4	53.5	56.0	48.7	48.1	51.2	45.5
NO	746	501	245	-	20	62	165	271	129	99	48	392	102	199	5
	39.3	42.7	33.9		19.2	27.3	36.0	47.2	54.9	33.1	22.0	40.8	42.0	42.8	45.4
NOT APPLICABLE	199	49	150	-	36	46	58	16	4	39	48	98	24	28	1
	10.5	4.2	20.8		34.6	20.3	12.7	2.8	1.7	13.1	22.0	10.2	9.9	6.0	9.1
DON'T KNOW/NOT STATED	3	2	1	-	-	1	-	1	-	1	-	3	-	-	-
	.2	.2	.1			.4		.2		.3		.3			
BOUGHT A SMALLER CAR THAN THE ONE YOU HAD A FEW YEARS AGO															
YES	781	504	277	-	23	81	183	268	104	122	73	400	113	192	3
	41.2	43.0	38.3		22.1	35.7	40.0	46.7	44.3	40.8	33.5	41.7	46.5	41.3	27.3
NO	857	596	261	-	43	90	206	274	117	127	95	433	95	227	7
	45.2	50.8	36.1		41.3	39.7	45.0	47.7	49.8	42.5	43.6	45.1	39.1	48.8	63.6
NOT APPLICABLE	256	71	184	1	37	55	68	32	14	50	48	126	35	46	1
	13.5	6.0	25.5	100.0	35.6	24.2	14.8	5.6	5.9	16.7	22.0	13.1	14.4	9.9	9.1
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	3	2	1	-	1	1	1	-	-	-	2	1	-	-	-
	.1	.2	.1		1.0	.4	.2				.9	.1			

CLAIMED ENERGY SAVING BEHAVIOUR Q.5
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY METHOD OF HEATING HOME AND SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE

		METHOD OF HEATING HOME				SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE				
	TOTAL	HEAT-ING OIL	ELEC-TRIC-ITY	NATU-RAL GAS	OTHER	VERY	SOME-WHAT	NOT TOO	NOT AT ALL	DK/ NOT APPL
TOTAL	1897	589	418	708	120	257	533	600	424	83
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
DRIVING LESS THAN A FEW YEARS AGO										
YES	949	322	206	336	59	130	253	305	212	49
	50.0	54.7	49.3	47.5	49.2	50.6	47.5	50.9	50.0	59.0
NO	746	203	153	319	55	90	195	260	180	21
	39.3	34.5	36.6	45.0	45.8	35.0	36.6	43.3	42.5	25.3
NOT APPLICABLE	199	64	58	51	6	36	84	35	32	12
	10.5	10.8	13.9	7.2	5.0	14.0	15.7	5.8	7.5	14.5
DON'T KNOW/NOT STATED	3	-	1	2	-	1	1	-	-	1
	.2		.2	.3		.4	.2			1.2
BOUGHT A SMALLER CAR THAN THE ONE YOU HAD A FEW YEARS AGO										
YES	781	246	185	285	42	112	219	261	153	36
	41.2	41.8	44.3	40.3	35.0	43.6	41.1	43.5	36.1	43.4
NO	857	260	154	365	61	102	208	290	224	33
	45.2	44.1	36.8	51.6	50.8	39.7	39.0	48.3	52.8	39.7
NOT APPLICABLE	256	82	78	57	17	43	104	49	47	13
	13.5	13.9	18.7	8.0	14.2	16.7	19.5	8.2	11.1	15.7
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	3	1	1	1	-	-	2	-	-	1
	.1	.2	.2	.1			.4			1.2

CLAIMED ENERGY SAVING BEHAVIOUR Q.5
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY CITY AND AGE

		CITY									AGE			
	TOTAL	HALI-FAX	MONC-TON	QUE. CITY	MONT-REAL	OTT-AWA	TOR-ONTO	WINN-IPEG	CAL-GARY	VANC-OUVER	UNDER 35	35-44	45-54	55 & OVER
TOTAL	1897	206	210	212	213	210	213	212	210	209	828	416	260	388
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
USING PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION MORE OFTEN THAN A FEW YEARS AGO														
YES	650	53	41	70	99	105	94	58	68	62	291	131	77	149
	34.3	25.7	19.5	33.0	46.5	50.0	44.1	27.3	32.4	29.7	35.2	31.5	29.6	38.4
NO	1178	142	147	136	101	96	113	153	141	147	516	264	180	215
	62.1	69.0	70.0	64.2	47.4	45.7	53.1	72.2	67.1	70.3	62.3	63.5	69.2	55.4
NOT APPLICABLE	69	11	22	6	13	9	6	1	1	-	21	21	3	24
	3.6	5.3	10.5	2.8	6.1	4.3	2.8	.5	.5		2.5	5.0	1.2	6.2

CLAIMED ENERGY SAVING BEHAVIOUR Q.5
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY SEX, AGE OF HOME AND TYPE OF HOME

		SEX		AGE OF HOME				TYPE OF HOME						
	TOTAL	MALE	FE-MALE	LESS THAN 11	11-20 YEARS	21 AND OVER	DON'T KNOW/ REF.	DET-ACHED HOUSE	SEMI-DET-ACHED	2/3/4 PLEX	ROW/ TOWN HOUSE	FLAT/ APART-MENT	ALL OTHER	D/K REF-USED
TOTAL	1897	933	942	581	484	775	57	1042	87	181	78	457	47	5
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
USING PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION MORE OFTEN THAN A FEW YEARS AGO														
YES	650	302	338	168	164	293	25	289	32	68	36	212	11	2
	34.3	32.4	35.9	28.9	33.9	37.8	43.9	27.7	36.8	37.6	46.2	46.4	23.4	40.0
NO	1178	603	565	393	308	446	31	720	54	98	42	230	31	3
	62.1	64.6	60.0	67.7	63.6	57.6	54.4	69.1	62.1	54.1	53.8	50.3	66.0	60.0
NOT APPLICABLE	69	28	39	20	12	36	1	33	1	15	-	15	5	-
	3.6	3.0	4.1	3.4	2.5	4.6	1.7	3.2	1.1	8.3		3.3	10.6	

CLAIMED ENERGY SAVING BEHAVIOUR Q.5
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY HOME STATUS, HOUSEHOLD INCOME AND EDUCATION

		HOME STATUS			HOUSEHOLD INCOME						EDUCATION				
	TOTAL	OWN	RENT	DK/ REF-USED	UNDER $10M	$10M TO $14.9	$15M TO $24.9	$25M TO $39.9	$40M AND OVER	REF-USED	ELEM-ENT-ARY	SECON-DARY	COLL-EGE/ CEGEP	UNIVE-RSITY	REF-USED
TOTAL	1897	1173	723	1	104	227	458	574	235	299	218	960	243	465	11
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
USING PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION MORE OFTEN THAN A FEW YEARS AGO															
YES	650	329	321	-	41	95	171	171	65	107	74	306	100	163	7
	34.3	28.0	44.4		39.4	41.9	37.3	29.8	27.7	35.8	33.9	31.9	41.2	35.1	63.6
NO	1178	802	375	1	57	122	271	384	166	178	131	621	134	288	4
	62.1	68.4	51.9	100.0	54.8	53.7	59.2	66.9	70.6	59.5	60.1	64.7	55.1	61.9	36.4
NOT APPLICABLE	69	42	27	-	6	10	16	19	4	14	13	33	9	14	-
	3.6	3.6	3.7		5.8	4.4	3.5	3.3	1.7	4.7	6.0	3.4	3.7	3.0	

CLAIMED ENERGY SAVING BEHAVIOUR Q.5
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY METHOD OF HEATING HOME AND SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE

		METHOD OF HEATING HOME				SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE				
	TOTAL	HEAT-ING OIL	ELEC-TRIC-ITY	NATU-RAL GAS	OTHER	VERY	SOME-WHAT	NOT TOO	NOT AT ALL	DK/ NOT APPL
TOTAL	1897	589	418	708	120	257	533	600	424	83
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
USING PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION MORE OFTEN THAN A FEW YEARS AGO										
YES	650	185	159	249	31	106	192	208	115	29
	34.3	31.4	38.0	35.2	25.8	41.2	36.0	34.7	27.1	35.0
NO	1178	370	237	453	83	139	324	372	295	48
	62.1	62.8	56.7	64.0	69.2	54.1	60.8	62.0	69.6	57.8
NOT APPLICABLE	69	34	22	6	6	12	17	20	14	6
	3.6	5.8	5.3	.8	5.0	4.7	3.2	3.3	3.3	7.2

CO[illegible]ER CONTACT LIMITED - #257-83 ENERGY STUDY 1983

PRACTICAL ENERGY BEHAVIOUR AND READERSHIP Q.6
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY CITY AND AGE

		CITY									AGE			
	TOTAL	HALI-FAX	MONC-TON	QUE. CITY	MONT-REAL	OTT-AWA	TOR-ONTO	WINN-IPEG	CAL-GARY	VANC-OUVER	UNDER 35	35-44	45-54	55 & OVER
TOTAL	1897	206	210	212	213	210	213	212	210	209	828	416	260	388
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
HAVE YOUR FURNACE SERVICED AT LEAST ONCE A YEAR														
YES	1021	136	141	75	75	135	116	133	108	101	359	251	166	241
	53.8	66.0	67.1	35.4	35.2	64.3	54.5	62.7	51.4	48.3	43.4	60.3	63.8	62.1
NO	323	13	14	10	36	19	34	65	63	69	174	69	29	50
	17.0	6.3	6.7	4.7	16.9	9.0	15.9	30.7	30.0	33.0	21.0	16.6	11.2	12.9
NOT APPLICABLE	553	57	55	127	102	56	63	14	39	39	295	96	65	97
	29.2	27.7	26.2	59.9	47.9	26.7	29.6	6.6	18.6	18.7	35.6	23.1	25.0	25.0
PARTICIPATE IN A CAR POOL/SHARING A RIDE TO WORK WITH OTHERS														
YES	392	39	44	43	44	33	53	51	39	45	212	88	46	44
	20.7	19.0	20.9	20.3	20.7	15.7	24.9	24.0	18.6	21.5	25.6	21.2	17.7	11.3
NO	1232	135	135	127	133	131	134	142	152	142	553	298	184	194
	64.9	65.5	64.3	59.9	62.4	62.4	62.9	67.0	72.4	68.0	66.8	71.6	70.8	50.0
NOT APPLICABLE	273	32	31	42	36	46	26	19	19	22	63	30	30	150
	14.4	15.5	14.8	19.8	16.9	21.9	12.2	9.0	9.0	10.5	7.6	7.2	11.5	38.7

PRACTICAL ENERGY BEHAVIOUR AND READERSHIP Q.6
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY SEX, AGE OF HOME AND TYPE OF HOME

		SEX		AGE OF HOME				TYPE OF HOME						
	TOTAL	MALE	FE-MALE	LESS THAN 11	11-20 YEARS	21 AND OVER	DON'T KNOW/ REF.	DET-ACHED HOUSE	SEMI-DET-ACHED	2/3/4 PLEX	ROW/ TOWN HOUSE	FLAT/ APART-MENT	ALL OTHER	D/K REF-USED
TOTAL	1897	933	942	581	484	775	57	1042	87	181	78	457	47	5
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
HAVE YOUR FURNACE SERVICED AT LEAST ONCE A YEAR														
YES	1021	494	512	246	259	496	20	723	59	94	41	77	24	3
	53.8	53.0	54.4	42.4	53.5	64.0	35.1	69.4	67.8	51.9	52.6	16.8	51.1	60.0
NO	323	183	138	135	69	115	4	195	19	26	13	62	8	-
	17.0	19.6	14.6	23.2	14.3	14.8	7.0	18.7	21.8	14.4	16.7	13.6	17.0	
NOT APPLICABLE	553	256	292	200	156	164	33	124	9	61	24	318	15	2
	29.2	27.4	31.0	34.4	32.2	21.2	57.9	11.9	10.4	33.7	30.7	69.6	31.9	40.0
PARTICIPATE IN A CAR POOL/SHARING A RIDE TO WORK WITH OTHERS														
YES	392	193	196	139	102	140	11	213	17	41	18	94	9	-
	20.7	20.7	20.8	23.9	21.1	18.1	19.3	20.4	19.6	22.7	23.1	20.6	19.1	
NO	1232	651	563	396	321	484	31	703	59	118	56	260	31	5
	64.9	69.8	59.8	68.2	66.3	62.4	54.4	67.5	67.8	65.2	71.8	56.9	66.0	100.0
NOT APPLICABLE	273	89	183	46	61	151	15	126	11	22	4	103	7	-
	14.4	9.5	19.4	7.9	12.6	19.5	26.3	12.1	12.6	12.1	5.1	22.5	14.9	

PRACTICAL ENERGY BEHAVIOUR AND READERSHIP Q.6
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY HOME STATUS, HOUSEHOLD INCOME AND EDUCATION

		HOME STATUS			HOUSEHOLD INCOME						EDUCATION				
	TOTAL	OWN	RENT	DK/ REF-USED	UNDER $10M	$10M TO $14.9	$15M TO $24.9	$25M TO $39.9	$40M AND OVER	REF-USED	ELEM-ENT-ARY	SECON-DARY	COLL-EGE/ CEGEP	UNIVE-RSITY	REF-USED
TOTAL	1897	1173	723	1	104	227	458	574	235	299	218	960	243	465	11
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
HAVE YOUR FURNACE SERVICED AT LEAST ONCE A YEAR															
YES	1021	800	220	1	43	104	222	336	139	177	124	518	117	254	8
	53.8	68.2	30.4	100.0	41.4	45.8	48.5	58.5	59.2	59.2	56.9	54.0	48.2	54.6	72.7
NO	323	213	110	-	17	38	70	110	48	40	19	174	39	90	1
	17.0	18.2	15.2		16.3	16.8	15.3	19.2	20.4	13.4	8.7	18.1	16.0	19.4	9.1
NOT APPLICABLE	553	160	393	-	44	85	166	128	48	82	75	268	87	121	2
	29.2	13.6	54.4		42.3	37.4	36.2	22.3	20.4	27.4	34.4	27.9	35.8	26.0	18.2
PARTICIPATE IN A CAR POOL/SHARING A RIDE TO WORK WITH OTHERS															
YES	392	233	158	1	17	52	105	128	39	51	42	203	53	93	1
	20.7	19.9	21.9	100.0	16.4	22.9	22.9	22.3	16.6	17.1	19.3	21.2	21.8	20.0	9.1
NO	1232	798	434	-	54	129	277	402	180	190	119	626	158	320	9
	64.9	68.0	60.0		51.9	56.8	60.5	70.0	76.6	63.5	54.6	65.2	65.0	68.8	81.8
NOT APPLICABLE	273	142	131	-	33	46	76	44	16	58	57	131	32	52	1
	14.4	12.1	18.1		31.7	20.3	16.6	7.7	6.8	19.4	26.1	13.6	13.2	11.2	9.1

PRACTICAL ENERGY BEHAVIOUR AND READERSHIP Q.6
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY METHOD OF HEATING HOME AND SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE

		METHOD OF HEATING HOME				SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE				
	TOTAL	HEAT-ING OIL	ELEC-TRIC-ITY	NATU-RAL GAS	OTHER	VERY	SOME-WHAT	NOT TOO	NOT AT ALL	DK/ NOT APPL
TOTAL	1897	589	418	708	120	257	533	600	424	83
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
HAVE YOUR FURNACE SERVICED AT LEAST ONCE A YEAR										
YES	1021	459	85	425	51	140	254	328	249	50
	53.8	77.9	20.3	60.0	42.5	54.5	47.7	54.7	58.7	60.3
NO	323	34	55	212	16	36	84	113	80	10
	17.0	5.8	13.2	30.0	13.3	14.0	15.7	18.8	18.9	12.0
NOT APPLICABLE	553	96	278	71	53	81	195	159	95	23
	29.2	16.3	66.5	10.0	44.2	31.5	36.6	26.5	22.4	27.7
PARTICIPATE IN A CAR POOL/SHARING A RIDE TO WORK WITH OTHERS										
YES	392	106	106	147	26	50	131	120	79	12
	20.7	18.0	25.4	20.8	21.7	19.5	24.6	20.0	18.7	14.5
NO	1232	378	256	478	85	164	322	399	294	53
	64.9	64.2	61.2	67.5	70.8	63.8	60.4	66.5	69.3	63.8
NOT APPLICABLE	273	105	56	83	9	43	80	81	51	18
	14.4	17.8	13.4	11.7	7.5	16.7	15.0	13.5	12.0	21.7

0080

PRACTICAL ENERGY BEHAVIOUR AND READERSHIP Q.6
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY CITY AND AGE

		CITY									AGE			
	TOTAL	HALI-FAX	MONC-TON	QUE. CITY	MONT-REAL	OTT-AWA	TOR-ONTO	WINN-IPEG	CAL-GARY	VANC-OUVER	UNDER 35	35-44	45-54	55 & OVER
TOTAL	1897	206	210	212	213	210	213	212	210	209	828	416	260	388
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
CHECK THE TIRE PRESSURE ON MY CAR AT LEAST ONCE A MONTH														
YES	1019	112	106	98	113	107	117	112	117	135	434	244	143	195
	53.7	54.4	50.5	46.2	53.0	51.0	54.9	52.8	55.7	64.6	52.4	58.7	55.0	50.2
NO	636	65	83	73	43	74	71	85	78	64	300	147	88	100
	33.5	31.5	39.5	34.4	20.2	35.2	33.4	40.1	37.2	30.6	36.2	35.3	33.8	25.8
NOT APPLICABLE	241	29	21	40	57	29	25	15	15	10	94	25	28	93
	12.7	14.1	10.0	18.9	26.8	13.8	11.7	7.1	7.1	4.8	11.4	6.0	10.8	24.0
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-
	.1			.5									.4	
HAVE YOUR CAR TUNED UP AT LEAST TWICE A YEAR														
YES	1339	145	149	143	130	138	150	155	165	162	603	319	188	226
	70.6	70.4	71.0	67.5	61.1	65.7	70.4	73.1	78.6	77.5	72.8	76.7	72.3	58.2
NO	312	33	38	30	25	41	37	43	29	36	128	70	44	69
	16.4	16.0	18.1	14.1	11.7	19.5	17.4	20.3	13.8	17.2	15.5	16.8	16.9	17.8
NOT APPLICABLE	243	28	23	39	58	30	26	14	15	10	96	25	28	93
	12.8	13.6	10.9	18.4	27.2	14.3	12.2	6.6	7.1	4.8	11.6	6.0	10.8	24.0
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	3	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	1	1	1	2	-	-
	.2					.5			.5	.5	.1	.5		

PRACTICAL ENERGY BEHAVIOUR AND READERSHIP Q.6
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY SEX, AGE OF HOME AND TYPE OF HOME

		SEX		AGE OF HOME				TYPE OF HOME						
	TOTAL	MALE	FE-MALE	LESS THAN 11	11-20 YEARS	21 AND OVER	DON'T KNOW/ REF.	DET-ACHED HOUSE	SEMI-DET-ACHED	2/3/4 PLEX	ROW/ TOWN HOUSE	FLAT/ APART-MENT	ALL OTHER	D/K REF-USED
TOTAL	1897	933	942	581	484	775	57	1042	87	181	78	457	47	5
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
CHECK THE TIRE PRESSURE ON MY CAR AT LEAST ONCE A MONTH														
YES	1019	563	446	328	264	401	26	598	44	99	41	204	30	3
	53.7	60.3	47.3	56.5	54.5	51.7	45.6	57.4	50.6	54.7	52.6	44.7	63.8	60.0
NO	636	306	319	211	167	244	14	385	38	54	29	118	11	1
	33.5	32.8	33.9	36.3	34.5	31.5	24.6	36.9	43.7	29.8	37.2	25.8	23.4	20.0
NOT APPLICABLE	241	64	176	41	53	130	17	59	5	28	8	134	6	1
	12.7	6.9	18.7	7.0	11.0	16.8	29.8	5.7	5.7	15.5	10.2	29.3	12.8	20.0
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	1	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-
	.1		.1	.2								.2		
HAVE YOUR CAR TUNED UP AT LEAST TWICE A YEAR														
YES	1339	665	658	426	348	536	29	785	68	132	60	256	34	4
	70.6	71.3	69.8	73.3	71.9	69.2	50.9	75.3	78.2	72.9	76.9	56.0	72.4	80.0
NO	312	200	108	114	80	107	11	194	14	20	10	66	8	-
	16.4	21.4	11.5	19.6	16.5	13.8	19.3	18.6	16.1	11.0	12.8	14.5	17.0	
NOT APPLICABLE	243	67	175	41	53	132	17	61	5	28	8	135	5	1
	12.8	7.2	18.6	7.1	11.0	17.0	29.8	5.9	5.7	15.5	10.3	29.5	10.6	20.0
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	3	1	1	-	3	-	-	2	-	1	-	-	-	-
	.2	.1	.1		.6			.2		.6				

PRACTICAL ENERGY BEHAVIOUR AND READERSHIP Q.6
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY HOME STATUS, HOUSEHOLD INCOME AND EDUCATION

		HOME STATUS			HOUSEHOLD INCOME						EDUCATION				
	TOTAL	OWN	RENT	DK/ REF-USED	UNDER $10M	$10M TO $14.9	$15M TO $24.9	$25M TO $39.9	$40M AND OVER	REF-USED	ELEM-ENT-ARY	SECON-DARY	COLL-EGE/ CEGEP	UNIVE-RSITY	REF-USED
TOTAL	1897	1173	723	1	104	227	458	574	235	299	218	960	243	465	11
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
CHECK THE TIRE PRESSURE ON MY CAR AT LEAST ONCE A MONTH															
YES	1019	668	350	1	43	124	230	327	140	155	108	525	130	249	7
	53.7	57.0	48.4	100.0	41.4	54.6	50.2	56.9	59.6	51.9	49.5	54.7	53.5	53.6	63.6
NO	636	438	198	-	23	51	158	222	88	94	56	313	83	181	3
	33.5	37.3	27.4		22.1	22.5	34.5	38.7	37.4	31.4	25.7	32.6	34.2	38.9	27.3
NOT APPLICABLE	241	67	174	-	38	52	70	24	7	50	53	122	30	35	1
	12.7	5.7	24.1		36.5	22.9	15.3	4.2	3.0	16.7	24.3	12.7	12.3	7.5	9.1
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
	.1		.1					.2			.5				
HAVE YOUR CAR TUNED UP AT LEAST TWICE A YEAR															
YES	1339	893	446	-	50	136	316	443	195	199	126	681	171	351	10
	70.6	76.1	61.7		48.1	59.9	69.0	77.2	83.0	66.6	57.8	70.9	70.4	75.5	90.9
NO	312	211	100	1	17	38	71	104	33	49	40	157	42	73	-
	16.4	18.0	13.8	100.0	16.3	16.8	15.5	18.1	14.0	16.4	18.3	16.4	17.3	15.7	
NOT APPLICABLE	243	67	176	-	37	52	70	27	6	51	52	120	29	41	1
	12.8	5.7	24.4		35.6	22.9	15.3	4.7	2.6	17.0	23.9	12.5	11.9	8.8	9.1
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	3	2	1	-	-	1	1	-	1	-	-	2	1	-	-
	.2	.2	.1			.4	.2		.4			.2	.4		

PRACTICAL ENERGY BEHAVIOUR AND READERSHIP Q.6
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY METHOD OF HEATING HOME AND SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE

		METHOD OF HEATING HOME				SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE				
	TOTAL	HEAT-ING OIL	ELEC-TRIC-ITY	NATU-RAL GAS	OTHER	VERY	SOME-WHAT	NOT TOO	NOT AT ALL	DK/ NOT APPL
TOTAL	1897	589	418	708	120	257	533	600	424	83
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
CHECK THE TIRE PRESSURE ON MY CAR AT LEAST ONCE A MONTH										
YES	1019	315	219	393	64	143	283	315	240	38
	53.7	53.5	52.4	55.5	53.3	55.6	53.1	52.5	56.6	45.8
NO	636	193	130	253	47	73	153	239	142	29
	33.5	32.8	31.1	35.7	39.2	28.4	28.7	39.8	33.5	34.9
NOT APPLICABLE	241	80	69	62	9	40	97	46	42	16
	12.7	13.6	16.5	8.8	7.5	15.6	18.2	7.7	9.9	19.3
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	1	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
	.1	.1				.4				
HAVE YOUR CAR TUNED UP AT LEAST TWICE A YEAR										
YES	1339	408	281	528	89	172	372	446	295	54
	70.6	69.3	67.2	74.6	74.2	66.9	69.8	74.3	69.6	65.1
NO	312	95	69	118	22	44	64	103	89	12
	16.4	16.1	16.5	16.6	18.3	17.1	12.0	17.2	21.0	14.4
NOT APPLICABLE	243	85	68	60	9	40	97	49	40	17
	12.8	14.4	16.3	8.5	7.5	15.6	18.2	8.2	9.4	20.5
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	3	1	-	2	-	1	-	2	-	-
	.2	.2		.3		.4		.3		

PRACTICAL ENERGY BEHAVIOUR AND READERSHIP Q.6
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY CITY AND AGE

		CITY									AGE			
	TOTAL	HALI-FAX	MONC-TON	QUE. CITY	MONT-REAL	OTT-AWA	TOR-ONTO	WINN-IPEG	CAL-GARY	VANC-OUVER	UNDER 35	35-44	45-54	55 & OVER
TOTAL	1897	206	210	212	213	210	213	212	210	209	828	416	260	388
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
HAD A HOME ENERGY AUDIT DONE - THIS INVOLVES HAVING A CERTIFIED SERVICE PERSON EXAMINE THE HOUSE AND RATE IT FOR ENERGY EFFICIENCY														
YES	290	9	39	51	28	32	37	34	33	26	101	68	52	67
	15.3	4.4	18.6	24.1	13.2	15.2	17.4	16.0	15.7	12.4	12.2	16.4	20.0	17.3
NO	1239	147	152	76	121	140	132	169	143	159	507	300	177	252
	65.3	71.3	72.4	35.8	56.8	66.7	62.0	79.7	68.1	76.1	61.2	72.1	68.1	64.9
NOT APPLICABLE	367	49	19	85	64	38	44	9	34	24	219	48	31	69
	19.3	23.8	9.0	40.1	30.0	18.1	20.6	4.3	16.2	11.5	26.5	11.5	11.9	17.8
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
	.1	.5									.1			
INSTALLED STORM WINDOWS AND/OR DOORS														
YES	902	113	95	79	99	123	114	124	85	69	362	212	128	198
	47.6	54.9	45.2	37.3	46.5	58.6	53.5	58.5	40.5	33.0	43.7	51.0	49.2	51.0
NO	687	39	96	75	96	46	58	77	82	117	300	151	97	136
	36.2	18.9	45.7	35.4	45.1	21.9	27.2	36.3	39.0	56.0	36.2	36.3	37.3	35.1
NOT APPLICABLE	308	54	19	58	18	41	41	11	43	23	166	53	35	54
	16.2	26.2	9.1	27.3	8.4	19.5	19.3	5.2	20.5	11.0	20.1	12.7	13.5	13.9

PRACTICAL ENERGY BEHAVIOUR AND READERSHIP Q.6
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY SEX, AGE OF HOME AND TYPE OF HOME

		SEX		AGE OF HOME				TYPE OF HOME						
	TOTAL	MALE	FE-MALE	LESS THAN 11	11-20 YEARS	21 AND OVER	DON'T KNOW/ REF.	DET-ACHED HOUSE	SEMI-DET-ACHED	2/3/4 PLEX	ROW/ TOWN HOUSE	FLAT/ APART-MENT	ALL OTHER	D/K REF-USED
TOTAL	1897	933	942	581	484	775	57	1042	87	181	78	457	47	5
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
HAD A HOME ENERGY AUDIT DONE - THIS INVOLVES HAVING A CERTIFIED SERVICE PERSON EXAMINE THE HOUSE AND RATE IT FOR ENERGY EFFICIENCY														
YES	290	146	142	72	76	134	8	187	20	33	9	33	8	-
	15.3	15.7	15.1	12.4	15.7	17.3	14.0	18.0	23.0	18.2	11.5	7.2	17.0	
NO	1239	642	580	404	291	521	23	832	61	111	59	141	32	3
	65.3	68.8	61.6	69.5	60.1	67.2	40.4	79.8	70.1	61.3	75.7	30.9	68.1	60.0
NOT APPLICABLE	367	144	220	104	117	120	26	23	6	37	10	282	7	2
	19.3	15.4	23.3	17.9	24.2	15.5	45.6	2.2	6.9	20.5	12.8	61.7	14.9	40.0
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	1	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-
	.1	.1		.2								.2		
INSTALLED STORM WINDOWS AND/OR DOORS														
YES	902	442	443	237	206	439	20	601	55	94	33	98	19	2
	47.6	47.4	47.0	40.8	42.6	56.6	35.1	57.7	63.2	51.9	42.3	21.5	40.4	40.0
NO	687	369	317	239	184	247	17	398	26	63	34	146	19	1
	36.2	39.5	33.7	41.1	38.0	31.9	29.8	38.2	29.9	34.8	43.6	31.9	40.4	20.0
NOT APPLICABLE	308	122	182	105	94	89	20	43	6	24	11	213	9	2
	16.2	13.1	19.3	18.1	19.4	11.5	35.1	4.1	6.9	13.3	14.1	46.6	19.2	40.0

PRACTICAL ENERGY BEHAVIOUR AND READERSHIP Q.6
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY HOME STATUS, HOUSEHOLD INCOME AND EDUCATION

		HOME STATUS			HOUSEHOLD INCOME						EDUCATION				
	TOTAL	OWN	RENT	DK/ REF- USED	UNDER $10M	$10M TO $14.9	$15M TO $24.9	$25M TO $39.9	$40M AND OVER	REF- USED	ELEM- ENT- ARY	SECON -DARY	COLL- EGE/ CEGEP	UNIVE- RSITY	REF- USED
TOTAL	1897	1173	723	1	104	227	458	574	235	299	218	960	243	465	11
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
HAD A HOME ENERGY AUDIT DONE - THIS INVOLVES HAVING A CERTIFIED SERVICE PERSON EXAMINE THE HOUSE AND RATE IT FOR ENERGY EFFICIENCY															
YES	290	221	68	1	14	29	66	94	39	48	36	146	37	68	3
	15.3	18.8	9.4	100.0	13.5	12.8	14.4	16.4	16.6	16.0	16.5	15.2	15.2	14.6	27.3
NO	1239	929	310	-	52	126	278	414	175	194	134	633	149	316	7
	65.3	79.2	42.9		50.0	55.5	60.7	72.1	74.5	64.9	61.5	65.9	61.3	68.0	63.6
NOT APPLICABLE	367	23	344	-	38	72	114	65	21	57	48	181	57	80	1
	19.3	2.0	47.6		36.5	31.7	24.9	11.3	8.9	19.1	22.0	18.9	23.5	17.2	9.1
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	1	-
	.1		.1					.2						.2	
INSTALLED STORM WINDOWS AND/OR DOORS															
YES	902	699	202	1	37	99	205	302	107	152	105	459	106	226	6
	47.6	59.6	27.9	100.0	35.6	43.6	44.8	52.6	45.5	50.8	48.2	47.8	43.6	48.6	54.5
NO	687	431	256	-	38	78	163	206	106	96	79	340	92	172	4
	36.2	36.7	35.4		36.5	34.4	35.6	35.9	45.1	32.1	36.2	35.4	37.9	37.0	36.4
NOT APPLICABLE	308	43	265	-	29	50	90	66	22	51	34	161	45	67	1
	16.2	3.7	36.7		27.9	22.0	19.6	11.5	9.4	17.1	15.6	16.8	18.5	14.4	9.1

CONSUMER CONTACT LIMITED - #257-83 ENERGY STUDY 1983

PRACTICAL ENERGY BEHAVIOUR AND READERSHIP Q.6
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY METHOD OF HEATING HOME AND SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE

		METHOD OF HEATING HOME				SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE				
	TOTAL	HEAT-ING OIL	ELEC-TRIC-ITY	NATU-RAL GAS	OTHER	VERY	SOME-WHAT	NOT TOO	NOT AT ALL	DK/ NOT APPL
TOTAL	1897	589	418	708	120	257	533	600	424	83
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
HAD A HOME ENERGY AUDIT DONE - THIS INVOLVES HAVING A CERTIFIED SERVICE PERSON EXAMINE THE HOUSE AND RATE IT FOR ENERGY EFFICIENCY										
YES	290	105	65	107	11	59	65	94	64	8
	15.3	17.8	15.5	15.1	9.2	23.0	12.2	15.7	15.1	9.6
NO	1239	397	221	535	75	145	329	394	308	63
	65.3	67.4	52.9	75.6	62.5	56.4	61.7	65.7	72.6	75.9
NOT APPLICABLE	367	87	132	66	34	53	138	112	52	12
	19.3	14.8	31.6	9.3	28.3	20.6	25.9	18.6	12.3	14.5
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	1	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
	.1						.2			
INSTALLED STORM WINDOWS AND/OR DOORS										
YES	902	324	169	362	45	129	241	293	201	38
	47.6	55.0	40.5	51.1	37.5	50.2	45.2	48.9	47.4	45.8
NO	687	185	159	283	47	81	201	209	165	31
	36.2	31.4	38.0	40.0	39.2	31.5	37.7	34.8	38.9	37.3
NOT APPLICABLE	308	80	90	63	28	47	91	98	58	14
	16.2	13.6	21.5	8.9	23.3	18.3	17.1	16.3	13.7	16.9

PRACTICAL ENERGY BEHAVIOUR AND READERSHIP Q.6
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY CITY AND AGE

		CITY									AGE			
	TOTAL	HALI -FAX	MONC -TON	QUE. CITY	MONT- REAL	OTT- AWA	TOR- ONTO	WINN- IPEG	CAL- GARY	VANC- OUVER	UNDER 35	35-44	45-54	55 & OVER
TOTAL	1897	206	210	212	213	210	213	212	210	209	828	416	260	388
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
INSTALLED A TIMER CONTROLLED THERMOSTAT														
YES	225	17	16	15	29	31	52	31	16	17	88	45	26	66
	11.9	8.2	7.6	7.1	13.6	14.8	24.4	14.6	7.6	8.1	10.6	10.8	10.0	17.0
NO	1426	146	175	147	166	147	132	176	169	167	605	331	207	278
	75.2	70.9	83.3	69.3	77.9	70.0	62.0	83.0	80.5	79.9	73.1	79.6	79.6	71.7
NOT APPLICABLE	246	43	19	50	18	32	29	5	25	25	135	40	27	44
	12.9	20.9	9.1	23.6	8.5	15.2	13.6	2.4	11.9	12.0	16.3	9.6	10.4	11.3
OFTEN TALK WITH MY FRIENDS OR NEIGHBOURS ABOUT HOW TO SAVE ENERGY														
YES	860	114	120	123	98	92	77	97	66	72	357	216	124	163
	45.3	55.3	57.1	58.0	46.0	43.8	36.2	45.7	31.4	34.4	43.1	51.9	47.7	42.0
NO	1026	91	90	86	114	114	136	114	144	136	465	198	136	222
	54.1	44.2	42.9	40.6	53.5	54.3	63.8	53.8	68.6	65.1	56.2	47.6	52.3	57.2
NOT APPLICABLE	10	1	-	3	-	4	-	1	-	1	5	2	-	3
	.5	.5		1.4		1.9		.5		.5	.6	.5		.8
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
	.1				.5						.1			

0089

PRACTICAL ENERGY BEHAVIOUR AND READERSHIP Q.6
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY SEX, AGE OF HOME AND TYPE OF HOME

		SEX		AGE OF HOME				TYPE OF HOME						
	TOTAL	MALE	FE-MALE	LESS THAN 11	11-20 YEARS	21 AND OVER	DON'T KNOW/ REF.	DET-ACHED HOUSE	SEMI-DET-ACHED	2/3/4 PLEX	ROW/ TOWN HOUSE	FLAT/ APART-MENT	ALL OTHER	D/K REF-USED
TOTAL	1897	933	942	581	484	775	57	1042	87	181	78	457	47	5
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
INSTALLED A TIMER CONTROLLED THERMOSTAT														
YES	225	119	101	74	52	93	6	136	14	20	11	40	4	-
	11.9	12.8	10.7	12.7	10.8	12.0	10.5	13.1	16.1	11.0	14.1	8.8	8.5	
NO	1426	711	702	432	349	611	34	882	70	139	60	234	38	3
	75.2	76.2	74.5	74.4	72.1	78.8	59.7	84.6	80.5	76.8	76.9	51.2	80.9	60.0
NOT APPLICABLE	246	103	139	75	83	71	17	24	3	22	7	183	5	2
	12.9	11.0	14.8	12.9	17.1	9.2	29.8	2.3	3.4	12.2	9.0	40.0	10.6	40.0
OFTEN TALK WITH MY FRIENDS OR NEIGHBOURS ABOUT HOW TO SAVE ENERGY														
YES	860	450	404	258	227	354	21	506	40	70	31	187	24	2
	45.3	48.3	42.9	44.4	46.9	45.7	36.8	48.6	46.0	38.7	39.7	40.9	51.1	40.0
NO	1026	479	531	321	255	414	36	534	47	109	46	264	23	3
	54.1	51.3	56.4	55.3	52.7	53.4	63.2	51.2	54.0	60.2	59.0	57.8	48.9	60.0
NOT APPLICABLE	10	4	6	2	2	6	-	2	-	1	1	6	-	-
	.5	.4	.6	.3	.4	.8		.2		.6	1.3	1.3		
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	1	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
	.1		.1			.1				.5				

PRACTICAL ENERGY BEHAVIOUR AND READERSHIP Q.6
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY HOME STATUS, HOUSEHOLD INCOME AND EDUCATION

		HOME STATUS			HOUSEHOLD INCOME						EDUCATION				
	TOTAL	OWN	RENT	DK/ REF-USED	UNDER $10M	$10M TO $14.9	$15M TO $24.9	$25M TO $39.9	$40M AND OVER	REF-USED	ELEM-ENT-ARY	SECON-DARY	COLL-EGE/ CEGEP	UNIVE-RSITY	REF-USED
TOTAL	1897	1173	723	1	104	227	458	574	235	299	218	960	243	465	11
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
INSTALLED A TIMER CONTROLLED THERMOSTAT															
YES	225	156	68	1	13	31	55	61	32	33	27	115	28	52	3
	11.9	13.3	9.4	100.0	12.5	13.7	12.0	10.6	13.6	11.0	12.4	12.0	11.5	11.2	27.3
NO	1426	997	429	-	65	154	328	468	185	226	161	727	179	352	7
	75.2	85.0	59.3		62.5	67.8	71.6	81.6	78.7	75.6	73.8	75.7	73.7	75.7	63.6
NOT APPLICABLE	246	20	226	-	26	42	75	45	18	40	30	118	36	61	1
	12.9	1.7	31.3		25.0	18.5	16.4	7.8	7.7	13.4	13.8	12.3	14.8	13.1	9.1
OFTEN TALK WITH MY FRIENDS OR NEIGHBOURS ABOUT HOW TO SAVE ENERGY															
YES	860	571	288	1	50	114	208	276	98	114	108	440	106	206	-
	45.3	48.7	39.9	100.0	48.1	50.2	45.4	48.1	41.7	38.1	49.5	45.8	43.6	44.3	
NO	1026	600	426	-	53	111	248	298	137	179	110	514	134	257	11
	54.1	51.1	58.9		51.0	48.9	54.2	51.9	58.3	59.9	50.5	53.6	55.2	55.3	100.0
NOT APPLICABLE	10	2	8	-	1	2	2	-	-	5	-	5	3	2	-
	.5	.2	1.1		.9	.9	.4			1.7		.5	1.2	.4	
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	1	-	-	-
	.1		.1							.3		.1			

PRACTICAL ENERGY BEHAVIOUR AND READERSHIP Q.6
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY METHOD OF HEATING HOME AND SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE

		METHOD OF HEATING HOME				SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE				
	TOTAL	HEATING OIL	ELECTRICITY	NATURAL GAS	OTHER	VERY	SOMEWHAT	NOT TOO	NOT AT ALL	DK/ NOT APPL
TOTAL	1897	589	418	708	120	257	533	600	424	83
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
INSTALLED A TIMER CONTROLLED THERMOSTAT										
YES	225	71	45	99	8	36	60	73	46	10
	11.9	12.1	10.8	14.0	6.7	14.0	11.3	12.2	10.9	12.1
NO	1426	453	296	566	90	187	401	444	330	64
	75.2	76.9	70.8	79.9	75.0	72.8	75.2	74.0	77.8	77.1
NOT APPLICABLE	246	65	77	43	22	34	72	83	48	9
	12.9	11.0	18.4	6.1	18.3	13.2	13.5	13.8	11.3	10.8
OFTEN TALK WITH MY FRIENDS OR NEIGHBOURS ABOUT HOW TO SAVE ENERGY										
YES	860	304	204	280	54	135	245	262	190	28
	45.3	51.6	48.8	39.5	45.0	52.5	46.0	43.7	44.8	33.7
NO	1026	282	210	426	65	121	287	332	231	55
	54.1	47.9	50.3	60.2	54.2	47.1	53.8	55.3	54.5	66.3
NOT APPLICABLE	10	3	3	2	1	1	-	6	3	-
	.5	.5	.7	.3	.8	.4		1.0	.7	
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	1	-	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
	.1		.2				.2			

PRACTICAL ENERGY BEHAVIOUR AND READERSHIP Q.6
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY CITY AND AGE

		CITY									AGE			
	TOTAL	HALI -FAX	MONC -TON	QUE. CITY	MONT- REAL	OTT- AWA	TOR- ONTO	WINN- IPEG	CAL- GARY	VANC- OUVER	UNDER 35	35-44	45-54	55 & OVER
TOTAL	1897	206	210	212	213	210	213	212	210	209	828	416	260	388
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
READ THE GOVERNMENT BOOKLET "THE FUEL CONSUMPTION GUIDE"														
YES	692	96	78	56	54	92	85	87	69	74	228	183	113	166
	36.5	46.6	37.1	26.4	25.3	43.8	39.9	41.0	32.9	35.4	27.5	44.0	43.5	42.8
NO	1191	103	132	155	158	115	128	124	141	134	596	230	146	216
	62.8	50.0	62.9	73.1	74.2	54.8	60.1	58.5	67.1	64.1	72.0	55.3	56.1	55.7
NOT APPLICABLE	12	5	-	1	1	3	-	1	-	1	3	2	1	6
	.6	2.4		.5	.5	1.4		.5		.5	.4	.5	.4	1.5
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	2	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-
	.1	1.0									.1	.2		
READ THE GOVERNMENT BOOKLET "THE CAR ECONOMY BOOK"														
YES	455	47	44	38	40	62	55	65	48	55	172	109	75	98
	24.0	22.8	21.0	17.9	18.8	29.5	25.8	30.7	22.9	26.3	20.8	26.2	28.8	25.3
NO	1399	149	160	171	171	141	152	139	162	153	645	301	182	267
	73.7	72.3	76.2	80.7	80.3	67.2	71.4	65.6	77.1	73.2	77.9	72.4	70.0	68.8
NOT APPLICABLE	41	10	6	3	2	7	6	6	-	1	9	6	3	23
	2.2	4.9	2.8	1.4	.9	3.3	2.8	2.8		.5	1.1	1.4	1.2	5.9
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	2	-	-	-
	.1							.9			.2			

PRACTICAL ENERGY BEHAVIOUR AND READERSHIP Q.6
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY SEX, AGE OF HOME AND TYPE OF HOME

		SEX		AGE OF HOME				TYPE OF HOME						
	TOTAL	MALE	FE-MALE	LESS THAN 11	11-20 YEARS	21 AND OVER	DON'T KNOW/ REF.	DET-ACHED HOUSE	SEMI-DET-ACHED	2/3/4 PLEX	ROW/ TOWN HOUSE	FLAT/ APART-MENT	ALL OTHER	D/K REF-USED
TOTAL	1897	933	942	581	484	775	57	1042	87	181	78	457	47	5
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
READ THE GOVERNMENT BOOKLET "THE FUEL CONSUMPTION GUIDE"														
YES	692	378	305	211	172	293	16	449	32	62	34	97	17	1
	36.5	40.5	32.4	36.3	35.5	37.8	28.1	43.1	36.8	34.3	43.6	21.2	36.2	20.0
NO	1191	549	629	369	310	473	39	588	55	117	43	355	29	4
	62.8	58.9	66.8	63.5	64.1	61.1	68.4	56.4	63.2	64.6	55.1	77.7	61.7	80.0
NOT APPLICABLE	12	6	6	1	1	8	2	3	-	2	1	5	1	-
	.6	.6	.6	.2	.2	1.0	3.5	.3		1.1	1.3	1.1	2.1	
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	2	-	2	-	1	1	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	-
	.1		.2		.2	.1		.2						
READ THE GOVERNMENT BOOKLET "THE CAR ECONOMY BOOK"														
YES	455	267	183	150	114	183	8	272	21	38	21	81	20	2
	24.0	28.6	19.4	25.8	23.6	23.6	14.0	26.1	24.1	21.0	26.9	17.7	42.6	40.0
NO	1399	651	731	426	363	566	44	751	65	138	56	360	26	3
	73.7	69.8	77.6	73.3	75.0	73.1	77.2	72.1	74.7	76.2	71.8	78.8	55.3	60.0
NOT APPLICABLE	41	14	27	5	6	25	5	17	1	5	1	16	1	-
	2.2	1.5	2.9	.9	1.2	3.2	8.8	1.6	1.2	2.8	1.3	3.5	2.1	
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	2	1	1	-	1	1	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	-
	.1	.1	.1		.2	.1		.2						

PRACTICAL ENERGY BEHAVIOUR AND READERSHIP Q.6
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY HOME STATUS, HOUSEHOLD INCOME AND EDUCATION

		HOME STATUS			HOUSEHOLD INCOME						EDUCATION				
	TOTAL	OWN	RENT	DK/ REF- USED	UNDER $10M	$10M TO $14.9	$15M TO $24.9	$25M TO $39.9	$40M AND OVER	REF- USED	ELEM- ENT- ARY	SECON -DARY	COLL- EGE/ CEGEP	UNIVE- RSITY	REF- USED
TOTAL	1897	1173	723	1	104	227	458	574	235	299	218	960	243	465	11
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
READ THE GOVERNMENT BOOKLET "THE FUEL CONSUMPTION GUIDE"															
YES	692	517	174	1	27	80	144	241	85	115	74	310	95	208	5
	36.5	44.1	24.1	100.0	26.0	35.3	31.4	42.0	36.2	38.5	33.9	32.3	39.1	44.7	45.5
NO	1191	651	540	-	76	144	311	332	148	180	140	644	147	254	6
	62.8	55.5	74.7		73.1	63.4	67.9	57.8	63.0	60.2	64.2	67.1	60.5	54.6	54.5
NOT APPLICABLE	12	3	9	-	1	3	3	-	1	4	3	5	1	3	-
	.6	.2	1.2		.9	1.3	.7		.4	1.3	1.4	.5	.4	.7	
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	2	2	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	1	1	-	-	-
	.1	.2						.2	.4		.5	.1			
READ THE GOVERNMENT BOOKLET "THE CAR ECONOMY BOOK"															
YES	455	313	142	-	15	57	100	152	63	68	35	208	63	147	2
	24.0	26.7	19.7		14.4	25.1	21.9	26.5	26.8	22.8	16.1	21.7	25.9	31.6	18.2
NO	1399	836	562	1	80	161	350	415	171	222	172	731	176	312	8
	73.7	71.3	77.7	100.0	76.9	70.9	76.4	72.3	72.8	74.2	78.9	76.1	72.4	67.1	72.7
NOT APPLICABLE	41	22	19	-	9	9	7	7	1	8	11	19	4	6	1
	2.2	1.9	2.6		8.7	4.0	1.5	1.2	.4	2.7	5.0	2.0	1.7	1.3	9.1
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	2	2	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	1	-	2	-	-	-
	.1	.1					.2			.3		.2			

PRACTICAL ENERGY BEHAVIOUR AND READERSHIP Q.6
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY METHOD OF HEATING HOME AND SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE

		METHOD OF HEATING HOME				SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE				
	TOTAL	HEAT-ING OIL	ELEC-TRIC-ITY	NATU-RAL GAS	OTHER	VERY	SOME-WHAT	NOT TOO	NOT AT ALL	DK/ NOT APPL
TOTAL	1897	589	418	708	120	257	533	600	424	83
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
READ THE GOVERNMENT BOOKLET "THE FUEL CONSUMPTION GUIDE"										
YES	692	234	126	283	37	93	151	250	174	24
	36.5	39.7	30.1	40.0	30.9	36.2	28.3	41.7	41.1	28.9
NO	1191	350	288	424	82	164	379	346	246	56
	62.8	59.4	68.9	59.9	68.3	63.8	71.1	57.7	58.0	67.5
NOT APPLICABLE	12	3	4	1	1	-	2	4	3	3
	.6	.5	1.0	.1	.8		.4	.6	.7	3.6
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	2	2	-	-	-	-	1	-	1	-
	.1	.4					.2		.2	
READ THE GOVERNMENT BOOKLET "THE CAR ECONOMY BOOK"										
YES	455	139	87	193	27	49	113	169	113	11
	24.0	23.6	20.8	27.2	22.5	19.1	21.2	28.2	26.7	13.3
NO	1399	433	323	501	91	202	409	420	298	70
	73.7	73.5	77.3	70.8	75.8	78.6	76.7	70.0	70.3	84.3
NOT APPLICABLE	41	17	8	12	2	6	11	10	12	2
	2.2	2.9	1.9	1.7	1.7	2.3	2.1	1.7	2.8	2.4
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	2	-	-	2	-	-	-	1	1	-
	.1			.3				.1	.2	

AWARENESS OF CANADIAN HOME INSULATION PROGRAM (CHIP) Q.7A
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY CITY AND AGE

		CITY									AGE			
	TOTAL	HALI-FAX	MONC-TON	QUE. CITY	MONT-REAL	OTT-AWA	TOR-ONTO	WINN-IPEG	CAL-GARY	VANC-OUVER	UNDER 35	35-44	45-54	55 & OVER
TOTAL	1897	206	210	212	213	210	213	212	210	209	828	416	260	388
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
YES	1392	117	170	155	122	152	159	192	172	152	573	322	194	299
	73.4	56.8	81.0	73.1	57.3	72.4	74.6	90.6	81.9	72.7	69.2	77.4	74.6	77.1
NO	505	89	40	57	91	58	54	20	38	57	255	94	66	89
	26.6	43.2	19.0	26.9	42.7	27.6	25.4	9.4	18.1	27.3	30.8	22.6	25.4	22.9

AWARENESS OF CANADIAN HOME INSULATION PROGRAM (CHIP) Q.7A
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY SEX, AGE OF HOME AND TYPE OF HOME

		SEX		AGE OF HOME				TYPE OF HOME						
	TOTAL	MALE	FE-MALE	LESS THAN 11	11-20 YEARS	21 AND OVER	DON'T KNOW/ REF.	DET-ACHED HOUSE	SEMI-DET-ACHED	2/3/4 PLEX	ROW/ TOWN HOUSE	FLAT/ APART-MENT	ALL OTHER	D/K REF-USED
TOTAL	1897	933	942	581	484	775	57	1042	87	181	78	457	47	5
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
YES	1392	726	648	414	335	616	27	857	61	126	46	263	36	3
	73.4	77.8	68.8	71.3	69.2	79.5	47.4	82.2	70.1	69.6	59.0	57.5	76.6	60.0
NO	505	207	294	167	149	159	30	185	26	55	32	194	11	2
	26.6	22.2	31.2	28.7	30.8	20.5	52.6	17.8	29.9	30.4	41.0	42.5	23.4	40.0

AWARENESS OF CANADIAN HOME INSULATION PROGRAM (CHIP) Q.7A
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY HOME STATUS, HOUSEHOLD INCOME AND EDUCATION

		HOME STATUS			HOUSEHOLD INCOME						EDUCATION				
	TOTAL	OWN	RENT	DK/ REF- USED	UNDER $10M	$10M TO $14.9	$15M TO $24.9	$25M TO $39.9	$40M AND OVER	REF- USED	ELEM- ENT- ARY	SECON -DARY	COLL- EGE/ CEGEP	UNIVE- RSITY	REF- USED
TOTAL	1897	1173	723	1	104	227	458	574	235	299	218	960	243	465	11
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
YES	1392	959	433	-	68	155	333	437	191	208	148	683	176	379	6
	73.4	81.8	59.9		65.4	68.3	72.7	76.1	81.3	69.6	67.9	71.1	72.4	81.5	54.5
NO	505	214	290	1	36	72	125	137	44	91	70	277	67	86	5
	26.6	18.2	40.1	100.0	34.6	31.7	27.3	23.9	18.7	30.4	32.1	28.9	27.6	18.5	45.5

AWARENESS OF CANADIAN HOME INSULATION PROGRAM (CHIP) Q.7A
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY METHOD OF HEATING HOME AND SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE

		METHOD OF HEATING HOME				SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE				
	TOTAL	HEAT -ING OIL	ELEC- TRIC- ITY	NATU- RAL GAS	OTHER	VERY	SOME- WHAT	NOT TOO	NOT AT ALL	DK/ NOT APPL
TOTAL	1897	589	418	708	120	257	533	600	424	83
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
YES	1392	411	280	577	90	182	374	433	348	55
	73.4	69.8	67.0	81.5	75.0	70.8	70.2	72.2	82.1	66.3
NO	505	178	138	131	30	75	159	167	76	28
	26.6	30.2	33.0	18.5	25.0	29.2	29.8	27.8	17.9	33.7

0100

RECEIVED A GRANT UNDER THE CANADIAN HOME INSULATION PROGRAM Q.7B
BASE: THOSE AWARE OF THE CANADIAN HOME INSULATION PROGRAM
BY CITY AND AGE

		CITY									AGE			
	TOTAL	HALI-FAX	MONC-TON	QUE. CITY	MONT-REAL	OTT-AWA	TOR-ONTO	WINN-IPEG	CAL-GARY	VANC-OUVER	UNDER 35	35-44	45-54	55 & OVER
TOTAL	1392	117	170	155	122	152	159	192	172	152	573	322	194	299
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
YES	343	36	49	31	28	33	47	50	35	33	102	78	70	91
	24.7	30.8	28.8	20.0	23.0	21.7	29.6	26.0	20.3	21.7	17.8	24.2	36.1	30.5
NO	1047	79	121	124	94	119	112	142	137	119	470	244	124	207
	75.2	67.5	71.2	80.0	77.0	78.3	70.4	74.0	79.7	78.3	82.0	75.8	63.9	69.2
DON'T KNOW	2	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	1
	.1	1.7									.2			.3

0101

RECEIVED A GRANT UNDER THE CANADIAN HOME INSULATION PROGRAM Q.7B
BASE: THOSE AWARE OF THE CANADIAN HOME INSULATION PROGRAM
BY SEX, AGE OF HOME AND TYPE OF HOME

		SEX		AGE OF HOME				TYPE OF HOME						
	TOTAL	MALE	FE-MALE	LESS THAN 11	11-20 YEARS	21 AND OVER	DON'T KNOW/ REF.	DET-ACHED HOUSE	SEMI-DET-ACHED	2/3/4 PLEX	ROW/ TOWN HOUSE	FLAT/ APART-MENT	ALL OTHER	D/K REF-USED
TOTAL	1392	726	648	414	335	616	27	857	61	126	46	263	36	3
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
YES	343	164	174	25	85	230	3	278	14	24	4	15	8	-
	24.7	22.6	26.9	6.0	25.4	37.3	11.1	32.5	23.0	19.0	8.7	5.7	22.2	
NO	1047	562	472	388	250	385	24	577	47	102	42	248	28	3
	75.2	77.4	72.8	93.7	74.6	62.5	88.9	67.3	77.0	81.0	91.3	94.3	77.8	100.0
DON'T KNOW	2	-	2	1	-	1	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	-
	.1		.3	.3		.2		.2						

0102

RECEIVED A GRANT UNDER THE CANADIAN HOME INSULATION PROGRAM Q.7B
BASE: THOSE AWARE OF THE CANADIAN HOME INSULATION PROGRAM
BY HOME STATUS, HOUSEHOLD INCOME AND EDUCATION

		HOME STATUS			HOUSEHOLD INCOME						EDUCATION				
	TOTAL	OWN	RENT	DK/ REF- USED	UNDER $10M	$10M TO $14.9	$15M TO $24.9	$25M TO $39.9	$40M AND OVER	REF- USED	ELEM- ENT- ARY	SECON -DARY	COLL- EGE/ CEGEP	UNIVE- RSITY	REF- USED
TOTAL	1392	959	433	-	68	155	333	437	191	208	148	683	176	379	6
	100.0	100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
YES	343	314	29	-	11	31	73	123	51	54	46	166	41	87	3
	24.7	32.8	6.7		16.2	20.0	21.9	28.1	26.7	26.0	31.1	24.3	23.3	23.0	50.0
NO	1047	643	404	-	57	124	260	314	140	152	102	515	135	292	3
	75.2	67.0	93.3		83.8	80.0	78.1	71.9	73.3	73.1	68.9	75.4	76.7	77.0	50.0
DON'T KNOW	2	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	2	-	-	-
	.1	.2								.9		.3			

RECEIVED A GRANT UNDER THE CANADIAN HOME INSULATION PROGRAM Q.7B
BASE: THOSE AWARE OF THE CANADIAN HOME INSULATION PROGRAM
BY METHOD OF HEATING HOME AND SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE

		METHOD OF HEATING HOME				SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE				
	TOTAL	HEAT-ING OIL	ELEC-TRIC-ITY	NATU-RAL GAS	OTHER	VERY	SOME-WHAT	NOT TOO	NOT AT ALL	DK/ NOT APPL
TOTAL	1392	411	280	577	90	182	374	433	348	55
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
YES	343	132	45	144	21	47	73	98	111	14
	24.7	32.1	16.1	25.0	23.3	25.8	19.5	22.6	31.9	25.5
NO	1047	277	235	433	69	135	300	335	237	40
	75.2	67.4	83.9	75.0	76.7	74.2	80.2	77.4	68.1	72.7
DON'T KNOW	2	2	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	1
	.1	.5					.3			1.8

HOME STATUS Q.8
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY CITY AND AGE

		CITY									AGE			
	TOTAL	HALI -FAX	MONC -TON	QUE. CITY	MONT- REAL	OTT- AWA	TOR- ONTO	WINN- IPEG	CAL- GARY	VANC- OUVER	UNDER 35	35-44	45-54	55 & OVER
TOTAL	1897	206	210	212	213	210	213	212	210	209	828	416	260	388
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
OWN/PAYING OFF MORTGAGE	1173	131	164	116	70	135	119	177	119	141	389	314	192	275
	61.8	63.6	78.1	54.7	32.9	64.3	55.9	83.5	56.7	67.5	47.0	75.5	73.8	70.9
RENT	723	75	46	96	143	75	94	35	91	67	439	101	68	113
	38.1	36.4	21.9	45.3	67.1	35.7	44.1	16.5	43.3	32.0	53.0	24.3	26.2	29.1
REFUSED/NOT STATED	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	1	-	-
	.1									.5		.2		

HOME STATUS Q.8
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY SEX, AGE OF HOME AND TYPE OF HOME

		SEX		AGE OF HOME				TYPE OF HOME						
	TOTAL	MALE	FE-MALE	LESS THAN 11	11-20 YEARS	21 AND OVER	DON'T KNOW/ REF.	DET-ACHED HOUSE	SEMI-DET-ACHED	2/3/4 PLEX	ROW/ TOWN HOUSE	FLAT/ APART-MENT	ALL OTHER	D/K REF-USED
TOTAL	1897	933	942	581	484	775	57	1042	87	181	78	457	47	5
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
OWN/PAYING OFF MORTGAGE	1173	597	557	377	268	516	12	940	61	77	32	23	37	3
	61.8	64.0	59.1	64.9	55.4	66.6	21.1	90.2	70.1	42.5	41.0	5.0	78.7	60.0
RENT	723	336	384	203	216	259	45	101	26	104	46	434	10	2
	38.1	36.0	40.8	34.9	44.6	33.4	78.9	9.7	29.9	57.5	59.0	95.0	21.3	40.0
REFUSED/NOT STATED	1	-	1	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
	.1		.1	.2				.1						

HOME STATUS Q.8
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY HOME STATUS, HOUSEHOLD INCOME AND EDUCATION

		HOME STATUS			HOUSEHOLD INCOME						EDUCATION				
	TOTAL	OWN	RENT	DK/ REF- USED	UNDER $10M	$10M TO $14.9	$15M TO $24.9	$25M TO $39.9	$40M AND OVER	REF- USED	ELEM- ENT- ARY	SECON -DARY	COLL- EGE/ CEGEP	UNIVE- RSITY	REF- USED
TOTAL	1897	1173	723	1	104	227	458	574	235	299	218	960	243	465	11
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
OWN/PAYING OFF MORTGAGE	1173	1173	-	-	33	102	241	423	181	193	134	583	137	313	6
	61.8	100.0			31.7	44.9	52.6	73.7	77.0	64.5	61.5	60.7	56.4	67.3	54.5
RENT	723	-	723	-	71	124	217	151	54	106	84	376	106	152	5
	38.1		100.0		68.3	54.6	47.4	26.3	23.0	35.5	38.5	39.2	43.6	32.7	45.5
REFUSED/NOT STATED	1	-	-	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
	.1			100.0		.5						.1			

HOME STATUS Q.8
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY METHOD OF HEATING HOME AND SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE

		METHOD OF HEATING HOME				SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE				
	TOTAL	HEAT -ING OIL	ELEC- TRIC- ITY	NATU- RAL GAS	OTHER	VERY	SOME- WHAT	NOT TOO	NOT AT ALL	DK/ NOT APPL
TOTAL	1897	589	418	708	120	257	533	600	424	83
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
OWN/PAYING OFF MORTGAGE	1173	399	205	506	63	143	286	376	316	52
	61.8	67.7	49.0	71.5	52.5	55.6	53.7	62.7	74.5	62.7
RENT	723	190	213	201	57	114	247	223	108	31
	38.1	32.3	51.0	28.4	47.5	44.4	46.3	37.2	25.5	37.3
REFUSED/NOT STATED	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	1	-	-
	.1			.1				.1		

WHETHER ADDED ANY INSULATION TO HOME IN LAST FEW YEARS Q.9
BASE: THOSE WHO OWN HOME
BY CITY AND AGE

		CITY									AGE			
	TOTAL	HALI -FAX	MONC -TON	QUE. CITY	MONT- REAL	OTT- AWA	TOR- ONTO	WINN- IPEG	CAL- GARY	VANC- OUVER	UNDER 35	35-44	45-54	55 & OVER
TOTAL	1173	131	164	116	70	135	119	177	119	141	389	314	192	275
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
YES	699	76	98	67	36	83	72	120	61	85	203	199	115	180
	59.6	58.0	59.8	57.8	51.4	61.5	60.5	67.8	51.3	60.3	52.2	63.4	59.9	65.5
NO	465	53	66	49	33	50	46	57	56	55	184	112	75	93
	39.6	40.5	40.2	42.2	47.2	37.0	38.7	32.2	47.0	39.0	47.3	35.7	39.1	33.8
NOT APPLICABLE	9	2	-	-	1	2	1	-	2	1	2	3	2	2
	.8	1.5			1.4	1.5	.8		1.7	.7	.5	.9	1.0	.7

WHETHER ADDED ANY INSULATION TO HOME IN LAST FEW YEARS Q.9
BASE: THOSE WHO OWN HOME
BY SEX, AGE OF HOME AND TYPE OF HOME

		SEX		AGE OF HOME				TYPE OF HOME						
	TOTAL	MALE	FE-MALE	LESS THAN 11	11-20 YEARS	21 AND OVER	DON'T KNOW/ REF.	DET-ACHED HOUSE	SEMI-DET-ACHED	2/3/4 PLEX	ROW/ TOWN HOUSE	FLAT/ APART-MENT	ALL OTHER	D/K REF-USED
TOTAL	1173	597	557	377	268	516	12	940	61	77	32	23	37	3
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
YES	699	347	338	135	167	390	7	581	35	46	11	10	15	1
	59.6	58.1	60.7	35.8	62.3	75.6	58.3	61.8	57.4	59.7	34.4	43.5	40.6	33.3
NO	465	247	213	235	100	125	5	356	26	31	21	12	17	2
	39.6	41.4	38.2	62.3	37.3	24.2	41.7	37.9	42.6	40.3	65.6	52.2	45.9	66.7
NOT APPLICABLE	9	3	6	7	1	1	-	3	-	-	-	1	5	-
	.8	.5	1.1	1.9	.4	.2		.3				4.3	13.5	

WHETHER ADDED ANY INSULATION TO HOME IN LAST FEW YEARS Q.9
BASE: THOSE WHO OWN HOME
BY HOME STATUS, HOUSEHOLD INCOME AND EDUCATION

		HOME STATUS			HOUSEHOLD INCOME						EDUCATION				
	TOTAL	OWN	RENT	DK/ REF- USED	UNDER $10M	$10M TO $14.9	$15M TO $24.9	$25M TO $39.9	$40M AND OVER	REF- USED	ELEM- ENT- ARY	SECON -DARY	COLL- EGE/ CEGEP	UNIVE- RSITY	REF- USED
TOTAL	1173	1173	-	-	33	102	241	423	181	193	134	583	137	313	6
	100.0	100.0			100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
YES	699	699	-	-	17	65	146	249	107	115	87	356	82	170	4
	59.6	59.6			51.5	63.7	60.6	58.9	59.1	59.6	64.9	61.1	59.9	54.3	66.7
NO	465	465	-	-	16	37	94	171	70	77	47	223	53	140	2
	39.6	39.6			48.5	36.3	39.0	40.4	38.7	39.9	35.1	38.2	38.7	44.7	33.3
NOT APPLICABLE	9	9	-	-	-	-	1	3	4	1	-	4	2	3	-
	.8	.8					.4	.7	2.2	.5		.7	1.4	1.0	

WHETHER ADDED ANY INSULATION TO HOME IN LAST FEW YEARS Q.9
BASE: THOSE WHO OWN HOME
BY METHOD OF HEATING HOME AND SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE

		METHOD OF HEATING HOME				SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE				
	TOTAL	HEAT-ING OIL	ELEC-TRIC-ITY	NATU-RAL GAS	OTHER	VERY	SOME-WHAT	NOT TOO	NOT AT ALL	DK/ NOT APPL
TOTAL	1173	399	205	506	63	143	286	376	316	52
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
YES	699	271	91	306	31	80	169	225	200	25
	59.6	67.9	44.4	60.5	49.2	55.9	59.1	59.8	63.3	48.1
NO	465	127	109	197	32	61	113	150	114	27
	39.6	31.8	53.2	38.9	50.8	42.7	39.5	39.9	36.1	51.9
NOT APPLICABLE	9	1	5	3	-	2	4	1	2	-
	.8	.3	2.4	.6		1.4	1.4	.3	.6	

WHEN INSULATION WAS ADDED TO HOME/WHO INSTALLED INSULATION Q.10/11
BASE: THOSE WHO HAVE ADDED INSULATION TO HOME IN LAST FEW YEARS
BY CITY AND AGE

		CITY									AGE			
	TOTAL	HALI -FAX	MONC -TON	QUE. CITY	MONT- REAL	OTT- AWA	TOR- ONTO	WINN- IPEG	CAL- GARY	VANC- OUVER	UNDER 35	35-44	45-54	55 & OVER
TOTAL	699	76	98	67	36	83	72	120	61	85	203	199	115	180
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
WHEN INSULATION WAS ADDED TO HOME														
WITHIN THE LAST 2 YEARS	384	34	52	38	25	44	31	70	39	51	136	104	58	85
	54.9	44.7	53.1	56.7	69.4	53.0	43.1	58.3	64.0	60.0	67.0	52.3	50.4	47.2
BETWEEN 2 AND 5 YEARS AGO	279	39	39	24	10	33	37	45	21	30	63	88	52	75
	39.9	51.3	39.8	35.8	27.8	39.8	51.4	37.5	34.4	35.3	31.0	44.2	45.2	41.7
MORE THAN 5 YEARS AGO	36	3	7	5	1	6	4	5	1	4	4	7	5	20
	5.2	4.0	7.1	7.5	2.8	7.2	5.5	4.2	1.6	4.7	2.0	3.5	4.4	11.1
WHO INSTALLED INSULATION														
HOMEOWNER	355	44	49	26	18	35	24	72	34	53	120	115	51	69
	50.8	57.9	50.0	38.8	50.0	42.2	33.3	60.0	55.7	62.4	59.1	57.8	44.3	38.3
CONTRACTOR	331	30	48	39	17	46	48	45	27	30	80	79	64	106
	47.4	39.5	49.0	58.2	47.2	55.4	66.7	37.5	44.3	35.3	39.4	39.7	55.7	58.9
OTHER	12	2	-	2	1	2	-	3	-	2	3	4	-	5
	1.7	2.6		3.0	2.8	2.4		2.5		2.3	1.5	2.0		2.8
DON'T KNOW	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-
	.1		1.0									.5		

CONSUMER CONTACT LIMITED - #257-83 ENERGY STUDY 1983

WHEN INSULATION WAS ADDED TO HOME/WHO INSTALLED INSULATION Q.10/11
BASE: THOSE WHO HAVE ADDED INSULATION TO HOME IN LAST FEW YEARS
BY SEX, AGE OF HOME AND TYPE OF HOME

		SEX		AGE OF HOME				TYPE OF HOME						
	TOTAL	MALE	FE-MALE	LESS THAN 11	11-20 YEARS	21 AND OVER	DON'T KNOW/ REF.	DET-ACHED HOUSE	SEMI-DET-ACHED	2/3/4 PLEX	ROW/ TOWN HOUSE	FLAT/ APART-MENT	ALL OTHER	D/K REF-USED
TOTAL	699	347	338	135	167	390	7	581	35	46	11	10	15	1
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
WHEN INSULATION WAS ADDED TO HOME														
WITHIN THE LAST 2 YEARS	384	196	182	90	92	200	2	318	19	28	5	5	9	-
	54.9	56.5	53.9	66.7	55.1	51.3	28.6	54.7	54.3	60.9	45.5	50.0	60.0	
BETWEEN 2 AND 5 YEARS AGO	279	139	134	45	64	166	4	230	16	16	5	5	6	1
	39.9	40.1	39.6	33.3	38.3	42.6	57.1	39.6	45.7	34.8	45.4	50.0	40.0	100.0
MORE THAN 5 YEARS AGO	36	12	22	-	11	24	1	33	-	2	1	-	-	-
	5.2	3.4	6.5		6.6	6.1	14.3	5.7		4.3	9.1			
WHO INSTALLED INSULATION														
HOMEOWNER	355	201	146	101	86	168	-	306	10	20	4	5	10	-
	50.8	57.9	43.2	74.8	51.5	43.1		52.7	28.6	43.5	36.4	50.0	66.7	
CONTRACTOR	331	142	183	34	77	214	6	263	25	26	7	5	5	-
	47.4	40.9	54.1	25.2	46.1	54.9	85.7	45.3	71.4	56.5	63.6	50.0	33.3	
OTHER	12	3	9	-	4	8	-	12	-	-	-	-	-	-
	1.7	.9	2.7		2.4	2.0		2.0						
DON'T KNOW	1	1	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	1
	.1	.3					14.3							100.0

WHEN INSULATION WAS ADDED TO HOME/WHO INSTALLED INSULATION Q.10/11
BASE: THOSE WHO HAVE ADDED INSULATION TO HOME IN LAST FEW YEARS
BY HOME STATUS, HOUSEHOLD INCOME AND EDUCATION

		HOME STATUS			HOUSEHOLD INCOME						EDUCATION				
	TOTAL	OWN	RENT	DK/ REF- USED	UNDER $10M	$10M TO $14.9	$15M TO $24.9	$25M TO $39.9	$40M AND OVER	REF- USED	ELEM- ENT- ARY	SECON -DARY	COLL- EGE/ CEGEP	UNIVE- RSITY	REF- USED
TOTAL	699	699	-	-	17	65	146	249	107	115	87	356	82	170	4
	100.0	100.0			100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
WHEN INSULATION WAS ADDED TO HOME															
WITHIN THE LAST 2 YEARS	384	384	-	-	9	31	84	144	60	56	43	201	47	91	2
	54.9	54.9			52.9	47.7	57.5	57.8	56.1	48.7	49.4	56.5	57.3	53.5	50.0
BETWEEN 2 AND 5 YEARS AGO	279	279	-	-	7	28	56	96	43	49	36	137	32	72	2
	39.9	39.9			41.2	43.1	38.4	38.6	40.2	42.6	41.4	38.5	39.0	42.4	50.0
MORE THAN 5 YEARS AGO	36	36	-	-	1	6	6	9	4	10	8	18	3	7	-
	5.2	5.2			5.9	9.2	4.1	3.6	3.7	8.7	9.2	5.0	3.7	4.1	
WHO INSTALLED INSULATION															
HOMEOWNER	355	355	-	-	5	33	67	126	67	57	34	186	45	89	1
	50.8	50.8			29.4	50.8	45.9	50.6	62.6	49.6	39.1	52.2	54.9	52.4	25.0
CONTRACTOR	331	331	-	-	11	32	76	120	37	55	52	162	34	80	3
	47.4	47.4			64.7	49.2	52.1	48.2	34.6	47.8	59.8	45.5	41.5	47.0	75.0
OTHER	12	12	-	-	1	-	3	3	3	2	1	7	3	1	-
	1.7	1.7			5.9		2.0	1.2	2.8	1.7	1.1	2.0	3.6	.6	
DON'T KNOW	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	1	-	-	-
	.1	.1								.9		.3			

WHEN INSULATION WAS ADDED TO HOME/WHO INSTALLED INSULATION Q.10/11
BASE: THOSE WHO HAVE ADDED INSULATION TO HOME IN LAST FEW YEARS
BY METHOD OF HEATING HOME AND SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE

		METHOD OF HEATING HOME				SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE				
	TOTAL	HEAT-ING OIL	ELEC-TRIC-ITY	NATU-RAL GAS	OTHER	VERY	SOME-WHAT	NOT TOO	NOT AT ALL	DK/ NOT APPL
TOTAL	699	271	91	306	31	80	169	225	200	25
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
WHEN INSULATION WAS ADDED TO HOME										
WITHIN THE LAST 2 YEARS	384	141	57	169	17	56	92	118	105	13
	54.9	52.0	62.6	55.2	54.8	70.0	54.4	52.4	52.5	52.0
BETWEEN 2 AND 5 YEARS AGO	279	114	27	124	14	23	66	92	87	11
	39.9	42.1	29.7	40.5	45.2	28.8	39.1	40.9	43.5	44.0
MORE THAN 5 YEARS AGO	36	16	7	13	-	1	11	15	8	1
	5.2	5.9	7.7	4.3		1.2	6.5	6.7	4.0	4.0
WHO INSTALLED INSULATION										
HOMEOWNER	355	128	44	165	18	41	89	111	102	12
	50.8	47.2	48.4	53.9	58.1	51.3	52.7	49.3	51.0	48.0
CONTRACTOR	331	138	45	137	11	37	78	109	94	13
	47.4	50.9	49.4	44.8	35.5	46.2	46.1	48.5	47.0	52.0
OTHER	12	5	2	4	1	2	2	5	3	-
	1.7	1.9	2.2	1.3	3.2	2.5	1.2	2.2	1.5	
DON'T KNOW	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	1	-
	.1				3.2				.5	

APPROXIMATE COST OF ADDING INSULATION/WHETHER MONEY WAS WELL SPENT/WHETHER THERE WERE PROBLEMS WITH JOB Q.12/13/14
BASE: THOSE WHO HAVE ADDED INSULATION TO HOME IN LAST FEW YEARS
BY CITY AND AGE

		CITY									AGE			
	TOTAL	HALI-FAX	MONC-TON	QUE. CITY	MONT-REAL	OTT-AWA	TOR-ONTO	WINN-IPEG	CAL-GARY	VANC-OUVER	UNDER 35	35-44	45-54	55 & OVER
TOTAL	699	76	98	67	36	83	72	120	61	85	203	199	115	180
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
COST OF ADDING INSULATION														
UNDER $500	347	34	42	35	15	42	41	66	31	41	96	101	57	92
	49.6	44.7	42.8	52.2	41.7	50.6	56.9	55.0	50.8	48.2	47.3	50.8	49.6	51.1
$500 - $999	170	7	23	21	13	23	17	34	13	18	52	54	32	32
	24.3	9.2	23.5	31.3	36.1	27.7	23.6	28.3	21.3	21.2	25.6	27.1	27.8	17.8
$1000 - $2000	63	10	19	1	2	9	3	14	1	4	17	16	7	22
	9.0	13.2	19.4	1.5	5.6	10.9	4.2	11.7	1.6	4.7	8.4	8.1	6.1	12.2
OVER $2000	43	13	6	6	3	1	2	-	4	8	9	11	9	14
	6.2	17.1	6.1	9.0	8.3	1.2	2.8		6.6	9.4	4.4	5.5	7.8	7.8
DON'T KNOW	76	12	8	4	3	8	9	6	12	14	29	17	10	20
	10.9	15.8	8.2	6.0	8.3	9.6	12.5	5.0	19.7	16.5	14.3	8.5	8.7	11.1
WHETHER MONEY WAS WELL SPENT														
YES	647	73	92	63	32	76	66	108	57	79	191	182	105	168
	92.6	96.1	93.9	94.0	88.9	91.6	91.7	90.0	93.4	93.0	94.1	91.5	91.3	93.3
NO	31	2	4	1	2	4	5	8	2	3	8	11	5	7
	4.4	2.6	4.1	1.5	5.6	4.8	6.9	6.7	3.3	3.5	3.9	5.5	4.4	3.9
DON'T KNOW	21	1	2	3	2	3	1	4	2	3	4	6	5	5
	3.0	1.3	2.0	4.5	5.5	3.6	1.4	3.3	3.3	3.5	2.0	3.0	4.3	2.8
WHETHER THERE WERE PROBLEMS WITH JOB														
YES	53	6	8	3	5	8	5	10	3	5	15	14	7	17
	7.6	7.9	8.2	4.5	13.9	9.6	6.9	8.3	4.9	5.9	7.4	7.0	6.1	9.4
NO	643	70	90	64	31	74	66	110	58	79	187	184	107	163
	92.0	92.1	91.8	95.5	86.1	89.2	91.7	91.7	95.1	92.9	92.1	92.5	93.0	90.6
NOT APPLICABLE	2	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	1	1	1	-	-
	.3						1.4			1.2	.5	.5		
DON'T KNOW	1	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-
	.1					1.2							.9	

CON[illegible]ER CONTACT LIMITED - #257-83 ENERGY STUDY 1983

APPROXIMATE COST OF ADDING INSULATION/WHETHER MONEY WAS WELL SPENT/WHETHER THERE WERE PROBLEMS WITH JOB Q.12/13/14
BASE: THOSE WHO HAVE ADDED INSULATION TO HOME IN LAST FEW YEARS
BY SEX, AGE OF HOME AND TYPE OF HOME

		SEX		AGE OF HOME				TYPE OF HOME						
	TOTAL	MALE	FE-MALE	LESS THAN 11	11-20 YEARS	21 AND OVER	DON'T KNOW/ REF.	DET-ACHED HOUSE	SEMI-DET-ACHED	2/3/4 PLEX	ROW/ TOWN HOUSE	FLAT/ APART-MENT	ALL OTHER	D/K REF-USED
	699	347	338	135	167	390	7	581	35	46	11	10	15	1
TOTAL	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
COST OF ADDING INSULATION														
UNDER $500	347	199	144	83	89	174	1	289	16	20	7	6	9	-
	49.6	57.3	42.6	61.5	53.3	44.6	14.3	49.7	45.7	43.5	63.6	60.0	60.0	
$500 - $999	170	83	84	23	44	101	2	141	11	12	3	1	2	-
	24.3	23.9	24.8	17.0	26.3	25.9	28.6	24.3	31.4	26.1	27.3	10.0	13.3	
$1000 - $2000	63	29	30	11	12	38	2	49	1	8	1	3	1	-
	9.0	8.4	8.9	8.1	7.2	9.7	28.6	8.4	2.9	17.4	9.1	30.0	6.7	
OVER $2000	43	18	25	4	4	35	-	33	1	6	-	-	3	-
	6.2	5.2	7.4	3.0	2.4	9.0		5.7	2.9	13.0			20.0	
DON'T KNOW	76	18	55	14	18	42	2	69	6	-	-	-	-	1
	10.9	5.2	16.3	10.4	10.8	10.8	28.5	11.9	17.1					100.0
WHETHER MONEY WAS WELL SPENT														
YES	647	320	313	126	160	356	5	538	31	43	11	9	14	1
	92.6	92.2	92.6	93.3	95.8	91.3	71.4	92.6	88.6	93.5	100.0	90.0	93.3	100.0
NO	31	15	16	4	1	25	1	25	3	2	-	-	1	-
	4.4	4.3	4.7	3.0	.6	6.4	14.3	4.3	8.6	4.3			6.7	
DON'T KNOW	21	12	9	5	6	9	1	18	1	1	-	1	-	-
	3.0	3.5	2.7	3.7	3.6	2.3	14.3	3.1	2.8	2.2		10.0		
WHETHER THERE WERE PROBLEMS WITH JOB														
YES	53	28	24	9	9	34	1	36	3	8	1	2	3	-
	7.6	8.1	7.1	6.7	5.4	8.7	14.3	6.2	8.6	17.4	9.1	20.0	20.0	
NO	643	318	312	126	157	354	6	543	32	37	10	8	12	1
	92.0	91.6	92.3	93.3	94.0	90.8	85.7	93.4	91.4	80.4	90.9	80.0	80.0	100.0
NOT APPLICABLE	2	1	1	-	-	2	-	1	-	1	-	-	-	-
	.3	.3	.3			.5		.2		2.2				
DON'T KNOW	1	-	1	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
	.1		.3		.6			.2						

APPROXIMATE COST OF ADDING INSULATION/WHETHER MONEY WAS WELL SPENT/WHETHER THERE WERE PROBLEMS WITH JOB Q.12/13/14
BASE: THOSE WHO HAVE ADDED INSULATION TO HOME IN LAST FEW YEARS
BY HOME STATUS, HOUSEHOLD INCOME AND EDUCATION

		HOME STATUS			HOUSEHOLD INCOME						EDUCATION				
	TOTAL	OWN	RENT	DK/ REF- USED	UNDER $10M	$10M TO $14.9	$15M TO $24.9	$25M TO $39.9	$40M AND OVER	REF- USED	ELEM- ENT- ARY	SECON -DARY	COLL- EGE/ CEGEP	UNIVE- RSITY	REF- USED
TOTAL	699	699	-	-	17	65	146	249	107	115	87	356	82	170	4
	100.0	100.0			100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
COST OF ADDING INSULATION															
UNDER $500	347	347	-	-	10	32	66	122	64	53	39	177	42	87	2
	49.6	49.6			58.8	49.2	45.2	49.0	59.8	46.1	44.8	49.7	51.2	51.2	50.0
$500 - $999	170	170	-	-	3	10	40	68	29	20	21	80	17	51	1
	24.3	24.3			17.6	15.4	27.4	27.3	27.1	17.4	24.1	22.5	20.8	30.0	25.0
$1000 - $2000	63	63	-	-	1	7	15	23	2	15	10	32	10	11	-
	9.0	9.0			5.9	10.8	10.3	9.3	1.9	13.0	11.5	9.0	12.2	6.5	
OVER $2000	43	43	-	-	1	7	7	15	5	8	9	19	6	9	-
	6.2	6.2			5.9	10.8	4.8	6.0	4.7	7.0	10.4	5.3	7.3	5.3	
DON'T KNOW	76	76	-	-	2	9	18	21	7	19	8	48	7	12	1
	10.9	10.9			11.8	13.8	12.3	8.4	6.5	16.5	9.2	13.5	8.5	7.0	25.0
WHETHER MONEY WAS WELL SPENT															
YES	647	647	-	-	17	61	137	226	102	104	80	330	79	156	2
	92.6	92.6			100.0	93.9	93.8	90.8	95.3	90.4	92.0	92.7	96.4	91.8	50.0
NO	31	31	-	-	-	3	6	14	2	6	5	18	1	6	1
	4.4	4.4				4.6	4.1	5.6	1.9	5.2	5.7	5.1	1.2	3.5	25.0
DON'T KNOW	21	21	-	-	-	1	3	9	3	5	2	8	2	8	1
	3.0	3.0				1.5	2.1	3.6	2.8	4.4	2.3	2.2	2.4	4.7	25.0
WHETHER THERE WERE PROBLEMS WITH JOB															
YES	53	53	-	-	2	8	10	14	7	12	8	35	-	10	-
	7.6	7.6			11.8	12.3	6.8	5.6	6.5	10.4	9.2	9.8		5.9	
NO	643	643	-	-	15	57	136	233	100	102	79	319	82	159	4
	92.0	92.0			88.2	87.7	93.2	93.6	93.5	88.7	90.8	89.6	100.0	93.5	100.0
NOT APPLICABLE	2	2	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	1	-	1	-	1	-
	.3	.3						.4		.9		.3		.6	
DON'T KNOW	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
	.1	.1						.4				.3			

APPROXIMATE COST OF ADDING INSULATION/WHETHER MONEY WAS WELL SPENT/WHETHER THERE WERE PROBLEMS WITH JOB Q.12/13/14
BASE: THOSE WHO HAVE ADDED INSULATION TO HOME IN LAST FEW YEARS
BY METHOD OF HEATING HOME AND SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE

		METHOD OF HEATING HOME				SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE				
	TOTAL	HEAT-ING OIL	ELEC-TRIC-ITY	NATU-RAL GAS	OTHER	VERY	SOME-WHAT	NOT TOO	NOT AT ALL	DK/ NOT APPL
TOTAL	699	271	91	306	31	80	169	225	200	25
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
COST OF ADDING INSULATION										
UNDER $500	347	128	41	163	15	38	81	114	104	10
	49.6	47.2	45.0	53.3	48.4	47.5	47.9	50.7	52.0	40.0
$500 - $999	170	63	27	74	6	19	45	60	40	6
	24.3	23.3	29.7	24.2	19.3	23.8	26.6	26.7	20.0	24.0
$1000 - $2000	63	31	7	21	4	8	13	20	21	1
	9.0	11.4	7.7	6.9	12.9	10.0	7.7	8.9	10.5	4.0
OVER $2000	43	23	7	10	3	4	10	10	15	4
	6.2	8.5	7.7	3.2	9.7	5.0	5.9	4.4	7.5	16.0
DON'T KNOW	76	26	9	38	3	11	20	21	20	4
	10.9	9.6	9.9	12.4	9.7	13.7	11.9	9.3	10.0	16.0
WHETHER MONEY WAS WELL SPENT										
YES	647	252	83	282	30	77	158	210	177	25
	92.6	93.0	91.2	92.1	96.8	96.3	93.5	93.3	88.5	100.0
NO	31	11	5	14	1	2	8	7	14	-
	4.4	4.1	5.5	4.6	3.2	2.5	4.7	3.1	7.0	
DON'T KNOW	21	8	3	10	-	1	3	8	9	-
	3.0	2.9	3.3	3.3		1.2	1.8	3.6	4.5	
WHETHER THERE WERE PROBLEMS WITH JOB										
YES	53	21	7	24	1	3	12	16	22	-
	7.6	7.7	7.7	7.9	3.2	3.8	7.1	7.1	11.0	
NO	643	248	84	281	30	75	157	209	177	25
	92.0	91.5	92.3	91.8	96.8	93.8	92.9	92.9	88.5	100.0
NOT APPLICABLE	2	1	-	1	-	1	-	-	1	-
	.3	.4		.3		1.2			.5	
DON'T KNOW	1	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
	.1	.4				1.2				

PROBLEMS ENCOUNTERED WHEN ADDING INSULATION TO HOME Q.15
BASE: THOSE WHO HAD PROBLEMS ADDING INSULATION TO HOME
BY CITY AND AGE

		CITY									AGE			
	TOTAL	HALI-FAX	MONC-TON	QUE. CITY	MONT-REAL	OTT-AWA	TOR-ONTO	WINN-IPEG	CAL-GARY	VANC-OUVER	UNDER 35	35-44	45-54	55 & OVER
TOTAL	53	6	8	3	5	8	5	10	3	5	15	14	7	17
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
UREA FORMALDEHYDE FOAM INSULATION	11	1	2	1	1	1	-	3	-	2	1	3	3	4
	20.8	16.7	25.0	33.3	20.0	12.5		30.0		40.0	6.7	21.4	42.9	23.5
POOR QUALITY MATERIALS	5	1	2	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	2	1	1	1
	9.4	16.7	25.0					10.0	33.4		13.3	7.1	14.3	5.9
POOR WORKMANSHIP	18	5	3	-	2	3	2	2	-	1	5	3	3	7
	34.0	83.3	37.5		40.0	37.5	40.0	20.0		20.0	33.3	21.4	42.9	41.2
TOO EXPENSIVE	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	1	1	1	-	-
	3.8							10.0		20.0	6.7	7.1		
TOOK TOO LONG	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-
	1.9									20.0	6.7			
MESSY	7	-	2	-	-	2	1	-	1	1	3	3	-	1
	13.2		25.0			25.0	20.0		33.3	20.0	20.0	21.4		5.9
HARD TO DO	5	-	-	-	2	-	1	2	-	-	2	1	-	2
	9.4				40.0		20.0	20.0			13.3	7.1		11.7
OTHER	10	-	1	2	-	2	1	2	1	1	3	4	2	1
	18.9		12.5	66.7		25.0	20.0	20.0	33.3	20.0	20.0	28.6	28.6	5.9
NOT STATED	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	1
	1.9							10.0						5.9

PROBLEMS ENCOUNTERED WHEN ADDING INSULATION TO HOME Q.15
BASE: THOSE WHO HAD PROBLEMS ADDING INSULATION TO HOME
BY SEX, AGE OF HOME AND TYPE OF HOME

		SEX		AGE OF HOME				TYPE OF HOME						
	TOTAL	MALE	FE-MALE	LESS THAN 11	11-20 YEARS	21 AND OVER	DON'T KNOW/ REF.	DET-ACHED HOUSE	SEMI-DET-ACHED	2/3/4 PLEX	ROW/ TOWN HOUSE	FLAT/ APART-MENT	ALL OTHER	D/K REF-USED
TOTAL	53	28	24	9	9	34	1	36	3	8	1	2	3	-
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
UREA FORMALDEHYDE FOAM INSULATION	11	5	6	-	1	9	1	8	-	3	-	-	-	-
	20.8	17.9	25.0		11.1	26.5	100.0	22.2		37.5				
POOR QUALITY MATERIALS	5	3	2	2	1	2	-	2	-	1	-	-	2	-
	9.4	10.7	8.3	22.2	11.1	5.9		5.6		12.5			66.7	
POOR WORKMANSHIP	18	9	8	2	2	14	-	11	1	4	-	1	1	-
	34.0	32.1	33.3	22.2	22.2	41.2		30.6	33.3	50.0		50.0	33.3	
TOO EXPENSIVE	2	2	-	-	-	2	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	-
	3.8	7.1				5.9		5.6						
TOOK TOO LONG	1	1	-	-	-	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
	1.9	3.6				2.9		2.8						
MESSY	7	3	4	3	1	3	-	3	2	1	1	-	-	-
	13.2	10.7	16.7	33.3	11.1	8.8		8.3	66.7	12.5	100.0			
HARD TO DO	5	4	1	1	1	3	-	4	-	1	-	-	-	-
	9.4	14.3	4.2	11.1	11.1	8.8		11.1		12.5				
OTHER	10	8	2	2	3	5	-	8	-	-	-	1	1	-
	18.9	28.6	8.3	22.2	33.4	14.7		22.2				50.0	33.3	
NOT STATED	1	-	1	-	-	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
	1.9		4.2			2.9		2.8						

PROBLEMS ENCOUNTERED WHEN ADDING INSULATION TO HOME Q.15
BASE: THOSE WHO HAD PROBLEMS ADDING INSULATION TO HOME
BY HOME STATUS, HOUSEHOLD INCOME AND EDUCATION

		HOME STATUS			HOUSEHOLD INCOME						EDUCATION				
	TOTAL	OWN	RENT	DK/ REF- USED	UNDER $10M	$10M TO $14.9	$15M TO $24.9	$25M TO $39.9	$40M AND OVER	REF- USED	ELEM- ENT- ARY	SECON -DARY	COLL- EGE/ CEGEP	UNIVE- RSITY	REF- USED
TOTAL	53	53	-	-	2	8	10	14	7	12	8	35	-	10	-
	100.0	100.0			100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		100.0	
UREA FORMALDEHYDE FOAM INSULATION	11	11	-	-	-	3	3	2	-	3	2	8	-	1	-
	20.8	20.8				37.5	30.0	14.3		25.0	25.0	22.9		10.0	
POOR QUALITY MATERIALS	5	5	-	-	1	2	1	1	-	-	2	3	-	-	-
	9.4	9.4			50.0	25.0	10.0	7.1			25.0	8.6			
POOR WORKMANSHIP	18	18	-	-	1	1	4	6	2	4	5	11	-	2	-
	34.0	34.0			50.0	12.5	40.0	42.9	28.6	33.4	62.5	31.4		20.0	
TOO EXPENSIVE	2	2	-	-	-	1	-	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
	3.8	3.8				12.5		7.1				5.7			
TOOK TOO LONG	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
	1.9	1.9						7.1				2.9			
MESSY	7	7	-	-	-	2	-	2	2	1	-	6	-	1	-
	13.2	13.2				25.0		14.3	28.6	8.3		17.1		10.0	
HARD TO DO	5	5	-	-	-	1	2	-	-	2	-	4	-	1	-
	9.4	9.4				12.5	20.0			16.7		11.4		10.0	
OTHER	10	10	-	-	-	-	2	4	3	1	1	4	-	5	-
	18.9	18.9					20.0	28.6	42.8	8.3	12.5	11.4		50.0	
NOT STATED	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	1	-	-	-
	1.9	1.9								8.3		2.9			

PROBLEMS ENCOUNTERED WHEN ADDING INSULATION TO HOME Q.15
BASE: THOSE WHO HAD PROBLEMS ADDING INSULATION TO HOME
BY METHOD OF HEATING HOME AND SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE

		METHOD OF HEATING HOME				SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE				
	TOTAL	HEAT-ING OIL	ELEC-TRIC-ITY	NATU-RAL GAS	OTHER	VERY	SOME-WHAT	NOT TOO	NOT AT ALL	DK/ NOT APPL
TOTAL	53	21	7	24	1	3	12	16	22	-
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
UREA FORMALDEHYDE FOAM INSULATION	11	4	2	5	-	-	5	4	2	-
	20.8	19.0	28.6	20.8			41.7	25.0	9.1	
POOR QUALITY MATERIALS	5	3	-	2	-	1	1	1	2	-
	9.4	14.3		8.3		33.4	8.4	6.3	9.1	
POOR WORKMANSHIP	18	10	-	7	1	1	1	7	9	-
	34.0	47.6		29.2	100.0	33.3	8.3	43.8	40.9	
TOO EXPENSIVE	2	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	2	-
	3.8			8.3					9.1	
TOOK TOO LONG	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	1	-
	1.9			4.2					4.5	
MESSY	7	4	-	3	-	-	-	2	5	-
	13.2	19.0		12.5				12.5	22.7	
HARD TO DO	5	1	2	2	-	1	1	1	2	-
	9.4	4.8	28.6	8.3		33.3	8.3	6.3	9.1	
OTHER	10	2	3	5	-	-	3	2	5	-
	18.9	9.5	42.8	20.8			25.0	12.5	22.7	
NOT STATED	1	-	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	-
	1.9			4.2			8.3			

MAIN REASON FOR NOT ADDING INSULATION TO HOME Q.16
BASE: THOSE WHO HAVE NOT ADDED INSULATION TO HOME IN LAST FEW YEARS
BY CITY AND AGE

		CITY									AGE			
	TOTAL	HALI -FAX	MONC -TON	QUE. CITY	MONT- REAL	OTT- AWA	TOR- ONTO	WINN- IPEG	CAL- GARY	VANC- OUVER	UNDER 35	35-44	45-54	55 & OVER
TOTAL	465	53	66	49	33	50	46	57	56	55	184	112	75	93
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
INSULATION SUFFICIENT	288	32	46	33	21	36	21	29	32	38	109	67	47	64
	62.0	60.4	69.7	67.4	63.6	72.0	45.6	50.9	57.1	69.1	59.2	59.8	62.7	68.8
NOT WORTH INVESTMENT	14	2	2	-	3	-	1	2	3	1	9	2	1	2
	3.0	3.8	3.0		9.1		2.2	3.5	5.4	1.8	4.9	1.8	1.3	2.2
DON'T,KNOW HOW	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-
	.2			2.0								.9		
TOO BUSY	15	2	1	3	-	-	1	3	2	3	9	4	2	-
	3.2	3.8	1.5	6.1			2.2	5.3	3.6	5.5	4.9	3.6	2.7	
NOT INTERESTED	12	3	1	2	-	-	4	-	1	1	5	1	3	3
	2.6	5.6	1.5	4.1			8.7		1.8	1.8	2.7	.9	4.0	3.2
NO MONEY	48	6	4	4	6	6	3	6	6	7	15	15	9	9
	10.3	11.3	6.1	8.2	18.2	12.0	6.5	10.5	10.7	12.7	8.2	13.4	12.0	9.7
OTHER	87	8	12	6	3	8	16	17	12	5	37	22	13	15
	18.7	15.1	18.2	12.2	9.1	16.0	34.8	29.8	21.4	9.1	20.1	19.6	17.3	16.1

MAIN REASON FOR NOT ADDING INSULATION TO HOME Q.16
BASE: THOSE WHO HAVE NOT ADDED INSULATION TO HOME IN LAST FEW YEARS
BY SEX, AGE OF HOME AND TYPE OF HOME

		SEX		AGE OF HOME				TYPE OF HOME						
	TOTAL	MALE	FE-MALE	LESS THAN 11	11-20 YEARS	21 AND OVER	DON'T KNOW/ REF.	DET-ACHED HOUSE	SEMI-DET-ACHED	2/3/4 PLEX	ROW/ TOWN HOUSE	FLAT/ APART-MENT	ALL OTHER	D/K REF-USED
TOTAL	465	247	213	235	100	125	5	356	26	31	21	12	17	2
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
INSULATION SUFFICIENT	288	157	128	161	54	71	2	230	18	12	15	2	10	1
	62.0	63.6	60.1	68.5	54.0	56.8	40.0	64.6	69.2	38.7	71.4	16.7	58.8	50.0
NOT WORTH INVESTMENT	14	11	3	6	4	4	-	10	1	2	-	-	1	-
	3.0	4.5	1.4	2.6	4.0	3.2		2.8	3.9	6.4			5.9	
DON'T KNOW HOW	1	1	-	-	-	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
	.2	.4				.8		.3						
TOO BUSY	15	8	7	6	1	8	-	9	1	3	1	-	1	-
	3.2	3.2	3.3	2.6	1.0	6.4		2.5	3.8	9.7	4.8		5.9	
NOT INTERESTED	12	6	6	4	4	3	1	6	-	3	-	2	1	-
	2.6	2.4	2.8	1.7	4.0	2.4	20.0	1.7		9.7		16.7	5.9	
NO MONEY	48	20	26	13	20	15	-	38	-	7	1	1	1	-
	10.3	8.1	12.2	5.5	20.0	12.0		10.7		22.6	4.8	8.3	5.9	
OTHER	87	44	43	45	17	23	2	62	6	4	4	7	3	1
	18.7	17.8	20.2	19.1	17.0	18.4	40.0	17.4	23.1	12.9	19.0	58.3	17.6	50.0

MAIN REASON FOR NOT ADDING INSULATION TO HOME Q.16
BASE: THOSE WHO HAVE NOT ADDED INSULATION TO HOME IN LAST FEW YEARS
BY HOME STATUS, HOUSEHOLD INCOME AND EDUCATION

		HOME STATUS			HOUSEHOLD INCOME						EDUCATION				
	TOTAL	OWN	RENT	DK/ REF- USED	UNDER $10M	$10M TO $14.9	$15M TO $24.9	$25M TO $39.9	$40M AND OVER	REF- USED	ELEM- ENT- ARY	SECON -DARY	COLL- EGE/ CEGEP	UNIVE- RSITY	REF- USED
TOTAL	465	465	-	-	16	37	94	171	70	77	47	223	53	140	2
	100.0	100.0			100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
INSULATION SUFFICIENT	288	288	-	-	11	24	58	104	43	48	34	140	25	88	1
	62.0	62.0			68.8	64.9	61.7	60.8	61.4	62.3	72.4	62.8	47.2	62.9	50.0
NOT WORTH INVESTMENT	14	14	-	-	-	1	1	8	2	2	-	4	3	7	-
	3.0	3.0				2.7	1.1	4.7	2.9	2.6		1.8	5.7	5.0	
DON'T KNOW HOW	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	1	-
	.2	.2						.6						.7	
TOO BUSY	15	15	-	-	-	1	3	8	2	1	1	5	3	6	-
	3.2	3.2				2.7	3.2	4.7	2.9	1.3	2.1	2.2	5.6	4.3	
NOT INTERESTED	12	12	-	-	1	2	2	2	2	3	1	8	1	2	-
	2.6	2.6			6.3	5.4	2.1	1.1	2.8	3.9	2.1	3.6	1.9	1.4	
NO MONEY	48	48	-	-	3	2	14	15	3	11	5	28	4	11	-
	10.3	10.3			18.7	5.4	14.9	8.8	4.3	14.3	10.6	12.6	7.5	7.9	
OTHER[6]	87	87	-	-	1	7	16	33	18	12	6	38	17	25	1
	18.7	18.7			6.2	18.9	17.0	19.3	25.7	15.6	12.8	17.0	32.1	17.8	50.0

MAIN REASON FOR NOT ADDING INSULATION TO HOME Q.16
BASE: THOSE WHO HAVE NOT ADDED INSULATION TO HOME IN LAST FEW YEARS
BY METHOD OF HEATING HOME AND SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE

		METHOD OF HEATING HOME				SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE				
	TOTAL	HEAT-ING OIL	ELEC-TRIC-ITY	NATU-RAL GAS	OTHER	VERY	SOME-WHAT	NOT TOO	NOT AT ALL	DK/ NOT APPL
TOTAL	465 100.0	127 100.0	109 100.0	197 100.0	32 100.0	61 100.0	113 100.0	150 100.0	114 100.0	27 100.0
INSULATION SUFFICIENT	288 62.0	72 56.7	80 73.4	114 57.9	22 68.8	38 62.3	68 60.2	96 64.0	68 59.7	18 66.7
NOT WORTH INVESTMENT	14 3.0	5 3.9	3 2.8	6 3.0	-	-	3 2.7	6 4.0	3 2.6	2 7.4
DON'T KNOW HOW	1 .2	1 .8	-	-	-	-	-	1 .7	-	-
TOO BUSY	15 3.2	4 3.2	2 1.8	9 4.6	-	-	4 3.5	7 4.7	3 2.6	1 3.7
NOT INTERESTED	12 2.6	6 4.7	1 .9	5 2.5	-	1 1.6	3 2.6	3 2.0	4 3.5	1 3.7
NO MONEY	48 10.3	20 15.7	4 3.7	22 11.2	2 6.2	8 13.1	15 13.3	11 7.3	14 12.3	-
OTHER	87 18.7	19 15.0	19 17.4	41 20.8	8 25.0	14 23.0	20 17.7	26 17.3	22 19.3	5 18.5

WHETHER INSTALLED WEATHER STRIPPING/CAULKING IN LAST FEW YEARS Q17
BASE: THOSE WHO OWN HOME
BY CITY AND AGE

		CITY									AGE			
	TOTAL	HALI -FAX	MONC -TON	QUE. CITY	MONT- REAL	OTT- AWA	TOR- ONTO	WINN- IPEG	CAL- GARY	VANC- OUVER	UNDER 35	35-44	45-54	55 & OVER
TOTAL	1173	131	164	116	70	135	119	177	119	141	389	314	192	275
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
YES	805	94	108	95	54	92	81	137	71	73	261	221	134	189
	68.6	71.7	65.9	81.9	77.1	68.2	68.1	77.4	59.7	51.8	67.1	70.4	69.8	68.7
NO	360	36	56	21	16	42	36	40	46	66	128	91	56	82
	30.7	27.5	34.1	18.1	22.9	31.1	30.2	22.6	38.6	46.8	32.9	29.0	29.2	29.8
NOT APPLICABLE	8	1	-	-	-	1	2	-	2	2	-	2	2	4
	.7	.8				.7	1.7		1.7	1.4		.6	1.0	1.5

WHETHER INSTALLED WEATHER STRIPPING/CAULKING IN LAST FEW YEARS Q17
BASE: THOSE WHO OWN HOME
BY SEX, AGE OF HOME AND TYPE OF HOME

		SEX		AGE OF HOME				TYPE OF HOME						
	TOTAL	MALE	FE-MALE	LESS THAN 11	11-20 YEARS	21 AND OVER	DON'T KNOW/ REF.	DET-ACHED HOUSE	SEMI-DET-ACHED	2/3/4 PLEX	ROW/ TOWN HOUSE	FLAT/ APART-MENT	ALL OTHER	D/K REF-USED
TOTAL	1173	597	557	377	268	516	12	940	61	77	32	23	37	3
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
YES	805	410	380	220	189	389	7	638	48	61	17	14	24	3
	68.6	68.7	68.2	58.4	70.5	75.4	58.3	67.9	78.7	79.2	53.1	60.9	64.9	100.0
NO	360	181	175	151	79	125	5	299	13	16	15	7	10	-
	30.7	30.3	31.4	40.0	29.5	24.2	41.7	31.8	21.3	20.8	46.9	30.4	27.0	
NOT APPLICABLE	8	6	2	6	-	2	-	3	-	-	-	2	3	-
	.7	1.0	.4	1.6		.4		.3				8.7	8.1	

WHETHER INSTALLED WEATHER STRIPPING/CAULKING IN LAST FEW YEARS Q17
BASE: THOSE WHO OWN HOME
BY HOME STATUS, HOUSEHOLD INCOME AND EDUCATION

		HOME STATUS			HOUSEHOLD INCOME						EDUCATION				
	TOTAL	OWN	RENT	DK/ REF- USED	UNDER $10M	$10M TO $14.9	$15M TO $24.9	$25M TO $39.9	$40M AND OVER	REF- USED	ELEM- ENT- ARY	SECON -DARY	COLL- EGE/ CEGEP	UNIVE- RSITY	REF- USED
TOTAL	1173	1173	-	-	33	102	241	423	181	193	134	583	137	313	6
	100.0	100.0			100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
YES	805	805	-	-	20	63	167	295	131	129	91	396	97	219	2
	68.6	68.6			60.6	61.8	69.3	69.7	72.4	66.8	67.9	67.9	70.8	70.0	33.3
NO	360	360	-	-	12	38	74	126	47	63	43	184	38	91	4
	30.7	30.7			36.4	37.2	30.7	29.8	26.0	32.7	32.1	31.6	27.7	29.1	66.7
NOT APPLICABLE	8	8	-	-	1	1	-	2	3	1	-	3	2	3	-
	.7	.7			3.0	1.0		.5	1.6	.5		.5	1.5	.9	

WHETHER INSTALLED WEATHER STRIPPING/CAULKING IN LAST FEW YEARS Q17
BASE: THOSE WHO OWN HOME
BY METHOD OF HEATING HOME AND SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE

		METHOD OF HEATING HOME				SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE				
	TOTAL	HEAT-ING OIL	ELEC-TRIC-ITY	NATU-RAL GAS	OTHER	VERY	SOME-WHAT	NOT TOO	NOT AT ALL	DK/ NOT APPL
TOTAL	1173	399	205	506	63	143	286	376	316	52
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
YES	805	288	144	336	37	103	196	262	208	36
	68.6	72.2	70.2	66.4	58.7	72.0	68.5	69.7	65.8	69.2
NO	360	110	59	165	26	39	89	114	102	16
	30.7	27.6	28.8	32.6	41.3	27.3	31.1	30.3	32.3	30.8
NOT APPLICABLE	8	1	2	5	-	1	1	-	6	-
	.7	.2	1.0	1.0		.7	.4		1.9	

WHEN INSTALLED WEATHER STRIPPING OR CAULKING/WHO INSTALLED Q.18/19
BASE: THOSE WHO HAVE INSTALLED WEATHER STRIPPING OR CAULKING IN LAST FEW YEARS
BY CITY AND AGE

		CITY									AGE			
	TOTAL	HALI-FAX	HONC-TON	QUE. CITY	MONT-REAL	OTT-AWA	TOR-ONTO	WINN-IPEG	CAL-GARY	VANC-OUVER	UNDER 35	35-44	45-54	55 & OVER
TOTAL	805	94	108	95	54	92	81	137	71	73	261	221	134	189
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
WHEN INSTALLED WEATHER STRIPPING/ CAULKING														
WITHIN THE LAST 2 YEARS	667	78	95	73	43	69	66	119	58	66	235	180	108	144
	82.9	83.0	88.0	76.9	79.6	75.0	81.5	86.9	81.7	90.4	90.0	81.4	80.6	76.2
BETWEEN 2 & 5 YEARS AGO	115	15	12	18	8	17	10	16	13	6	25	36	21	33
	14.3	15.9	11.1	18.9	14.8	18.5	12.4	11.7	18.3	8.2	9.6	16.3	15.7	17.5
MORE THAN 5 YEARS AGO	21	1	1	4	3	5	4	2	-	1	-	5	4	12
	2.6	1.1	.9	4.2	5.6	5.4	4.9	1.4		1.4		2.3	3.0	6.3
DON'T KNOW	2	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	1	-	1	-
	.2					1.1	1.2				.4		.7	
WHO INSTALLED WEATHER STRIPPING/ CAULKING														
HOMEOWNER	662	75	88	75	43	73	56	118	66	68	224	197	102	139
	82.3	79.8	81.5	78.9	79.6	79.4	69.2	86.1	93.0	93.2	85.8	89.2	76.1	73.5
CONTRACTOR	121	16	18	15	9	15	24	14	5	5	35	20	26	40
	15.0	17.0	16.7	15.8	16.7	16.3	29.6	10.2	7.0	6.8	13.4	9.0	19.4	21.2
OTHER	22	3	2	5	2	4	1	5	-	-	2	4	6	10
	2.7	3.2	1.8	5.3	3.7	4.3	1.2	3.7			.8	1.8	4.5	5.3

WHEN INSTALLED WEATHER STRIPPING OR CAULKING/WHO INSTALLED Q.18/19
BASE: THOSE WHO HAVE INSTALLED WEATHER STRIPPING OR CAULKING IN LAST FEW YEARS
BY SEX, AGE OF HOME AND TYPE OF HOME

		SEX		AGE OF HOME				TYPE OF HOME						
	TOTAL	MALE	FE-MALE	LESS THAN 11	11-20 YEARS	21 AND OVER	DON'T KNOW/ REF.	DET-ACHED HOUSE	SEMI-DET-ACHED	2/3/4 PLEX	ROW/ TOWN HOUSE	FLAT/ APART-MENT	ALL OTHER	D/K REF-USED
TOTAL	805	410	380	220	189	389	7	638	48	61	17	14	24	3
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
WHEN INSTALLED WEATHER STRIPPING/ CAULKING														
WITHIN THE LAST 2 YEARS	667	336	321	189	163	309	6	527	41	52	13	12	19	3
	82.9	82.0	84.5	85.9	86.2	79.4	85.7	82.6	85.4	85.2	76.5	85.7	79.2	100.0
BETWEEN 2 & 5 YEARS AGO	115	63	49	27	21	66	1	92	5	9	3	1	5	-
	14.3	15.4	12.9	12.3	11.1	17.0	14.3	14.4	10.4	14.8	17.6	7.2	20.8	
MORE THAN 5 YEARS AGO	21	10	10	4	3	14	-	17	2	-	1	1	-	-
	2.6	2.4	2.6	1.8	1.6	3.6		2.7	4.2		5.9	7.1		
DON'T KNOW	2	1	-	-	2	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	-
	.2	.2			1.1			.3						
WHO INSTALLED WEATHER STRIPPING/ CAULKING														
HOMEOWNER	662	345	305	194	160	303	5	536	36	43	13	10	22	2
	82.3	84.2	80.3	88.2	84.7	77.9	71.4	84.0	75.0	70.5	76.5	71.4	91.7	66.7
CONTRACTOR	121	58	60	24	24	71	2	87	9	15	3	4	2	1
	15.0	14.1	15.8	10.9	12.7	18.3	28.6	13.6	18.8	24.6	17.6	28.6	8.3	33.3
OTHER	22	7	15	2	5	15	-	15	3	3	1	-	-	-
	2.7	1.7	3.9	.9	2.6	3.8		2.4	6.2	4.9	5.9			

WHEN INSTALLED WEATHER STRIPPING OR CAULKING/WHO INSTALLED Q.18/19
BASE: THOSE WHO HAVE INSTALLED WEATHER STRIPPING OR CAULKING IN LAST FEW YEARS
BY HOME STATUS, HOUSEHOLD INCOME AND EDUCATION

		HOME STATUS			HOUSEHOLD INCOME						EDUCATION				
	TOTAL	OWN	RENT	DK/ REF- USED	UNDER $10M	$10M TO $14.9	$15M TO $24.9	$25M TO $39.9	$40M AND OVER	REF- USED	ELEM- ENT- ARY	SECON -DARY	COLL- EGE/ CEGEP	UNIVE- RSITY	REF- USED
TOTAL	805	805	-	-	20	63	167	295	131	129	91	396	97	219	2
	100.0	100.0			100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
WHEN INSTALLED WEATHER STRIPPING/ CAULKING															
WITHIN THE LAST 2 YEARS	667	667	-	-	17	54	143	250	101	102	69	332	81	183	2
	82.9	82.9			85.0	85.7	85.6	84.8	77.1	79.0	75.8	83.8	83.5	83.6	100.0
BETWEEN 2 & 5 YEARS AGO	115	115	-	-	2	7	21	37	27	21	18	51	15	31	-
	14.3	14.3			10.0	11.1	12.6	12.5	20.6	16.3	19.8	12.9	15.5	14.1	
MORE THAN 5 YEARS AGO	21	21	-	-	1	2	3	7	3	5	4	11	1	5	-
	2.6	2.6			5.0	3.2	1.8	2.4	2.3	3.9	4.4	2.8	1.0	2.3	
DON'T KNOW	2	2	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	1	-	2	-	-	-
	.2	.2						.3		.8		.5			
WHO INSTALLED WEATHER STRIPPING/ CAULKING															
HOMEOWNER	662	662	-	-	15	49	136	254	110	98	69	331	82	178	2
	82.3	82.3			75.0	77.8	81.4	86.1	84.0	76.0	75.8	83.6	84.5	81.3	100.0
CONTRACTOR	121	121	-	-	4	13	25	37	17	25	20	54	11	36	-
	15.0	15.0			20.0	20.6	15.0	12.5	13.0	19.4	22.0	13.6	11.4	16.4	
OTHER	22	22	-	-	1	1	6	4	4	6	2	11	4	5	-
	2.7	2.7			5.0	1.6	3.6	1.4	3.0	4.6	2.2	2.8	4.1	2.3	

WHEN INSTALLED WEATHER STRIPPING OR CAULKING/WHO INSTALLED Q.18/19
BASE: THOSE WHO HAVE INSTALLED WEATHER STRIPPING OR CAULKING IN LAST FEW YEARS
BY METHOD OF HEATING HOME AND SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE

		METHOD OF HEATING HOME				SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE				
	TOTAL	HEAT-ING OIL	ELEC-TRIC-ITY	NATU-RAL GAS	OTHER	VERY	SOME-WHAT	NOT TOO	NOT AT ALL	DK/ NOT APPL
TOTAL	805	288	144	336	37	103	196	262	208	36
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
WHEN INSTALLED WEATHER STRIPPING/ CAULKING										
WITHIN THE LAST 2 YEARS	667	232	118	287	30	88	175	208	169	27
	82.9	80.6	81.9	85.4	81.1	85.4	89.3	79.4	81.3	75.0
BETWEEN 2 & 5 YEARS AGO	115	44	20	44	7	13	19	45	31	7
	14.3	15.3	13.9	13.1	18.9	12.6	9.7	17.2	14.9	19.4
MORE THAN 5 YEARS AGO	21	11	6	4	-	2	1	8	8	2
	2.6	3.8	4.2	1.2		2.0	.5	3.0	3.8	5.6
DON'T KNOW	2	1	-	1	-	-	1	1	-	-
	.2	.3		.3			.5	.4		
WHO INSTALLED WEATHER STRIPPING/ CAULKING										
HOMEOWNER	662	229	110	294	29	86	164	216	167	29
	82.3	79.5	76.4	87.5	78.4	83.5	83.7	82.4	80.3	80.6
CONTRACTOR	121	49	30	35	7	14	27	39	34	7
	15.0	17.0	20.8	10.4	18.9	13.6	13.8	14.9	16.3	19.4
OTHER	22	10	4	7	1	3	5	7	7	-
	2.7	3.5	2.8	2.1	2.7	2.9	2.5	2.7	3.4	

COST OF INSTALLING WEATHER STRIPPING/WHETHER MONEY WAS WELL SPENT/WHETHER THERE WERE PROBLEMS WITH JOB Q.20/21/22
BASE: THOSE WHO HAVE INSTALLED WEATHER STRIPPING OR CAULKING IN LAST FEW YEARS
BY CITY AND AGE

		CITY									AGE			
	TOTAL	HALI -FAX	MONC -TON	QUE. CITY	MONT- REAL	OTT- AWA	TOR- ONTO	WINN- IPEG	CAL- GARY	VANC- OUVER	UNDER 35	35-44	45-54	55 & OVER
TOTAL	805	94	108	95	54	92	81	137	71	73	261	221	134	189
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
COST OF INSTALLING WEATHER STRIPPING/CAULKING														
UNDER $50	491	62	70	53	26	61	29	99	37	54	150	152	82	107
	61.0	66.0	64.8	55.8	48.1	66.3	35.8	72.3	52.1	74.0	57.5	68.8	61.2	56.6
$50 - $100	117	12	10	16	7	19	15	18	12	8	48	32	14	23
	14.5	12.8	9.3	16.9	13.0	20.7	18.5	13.1	16.9	10.9	18.4	14.5	10.5	12.2
OVER $100	103	10	18	16	16	5	19	9	9	1	38	15	20	30
	12.8	10.6	16.7	16.8	29.6	5.4	23.5	6.6	12.7	1.4	14.5	6.8	14.9	15.9
DON'T KNOW	94	10	10	10	5	7	18	11	13	10	25	22	18	29
	11.7	10.6	9.2	10.5	9.3	7.6	22.2	8.0	18.3	13.7	9.6	9.9	13.4	15.3
WHETHER MONEY WAS WELL SPENT														
YES	788	92	108	94	54	91	76	133	68	72	257	217	131	183
	97.9	97.9	100.0	98.9	100.0	98.9	93.8	97.1	95.8	98.6	98.5	98.2	97.8	96.8
NO	9	1	-	-	-	-	2	3	3	-	3	2	1	3
	1.1	1.1					2.5	2.2	4.2		1.1	.9	.7	1.6
DON'T KNOW	8	1	-	1	-	1	3	1	-	1	1	2	2	3
	1.0	1.0		1.1		1.1	3.7	.7		1.4	.4	.9	1.5	1.6
WHETHER THERE WERE PROBLEMS WITH JOB														
YES	26	2	4	2	4	-	2	8	3	1	8	9	3	6
	3.2	2.1	3.7	2.1	7.4		2.5	5.8	4.2	1.4	3.1	4.1	2.2	3.2
NO	779	92	104	93	50	92	79	129	68	72	253	212	131	183
	96.8	97.9	96.3	97.9	92.6	100.0	97.5	94.2	95.8	98.6	96.9	95.9	97.8	96.8
NOT APPLICABLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

COST OF INSTALLING WEATHER STRIPPING/WHETHER MONEY WAS WELL SPENT/WHETHER THERE WERE PROBLEMS WITH JOB Q.20/21/22
BASE: THOSE WHO HAVE INSTALLED WEATHER STRIPPING OR CAULKING IN LAST FEW YEARS
BY SEX, AGE OF HOME AND TYPE OF HOME

		SEX		AGE OF HOME				TYPE OF HOME						
	TOTAL	MALE	FE-MALE	LESS THAN 11 YEARS	11-20 YEARS	21 AND OVER	DON'T KNOW/ REF.	DET-ACHED HOUSE	SEMI-DET-ACHED	2/3/4 PLEX	ROW/ TOWN HOUSE	FLAT/ APART-MENT	ALL OTHER	D/K REF-USED
TOTAL	805	410	380	220	189	389	7	638	48	61	17	14	24	3
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
COST OF INSTALLING WEATHER STRIPPING/CAULKING														
UNDER $50	491	263	219	148	117	223	3	406	24	24	12	9	15	1
	61.0	64.1	57.6	67.3	61.9	57.3	42.9	63.6	50.0	39.3	70.6	64.3	62.5	33.3
$50 - $100	117	71	42	30	32	55	-	84	6	17	4	1	5	-
	14.5	17.3	11.1	13.6	16.9	14.1		13.2	12.5	27.9	23.5	7.1	20.8	
OVER $100	103	49	54	21	23	59	-	76	7	13	-	4	3	-
	12.8	12.0	14.2	9.6	12.2	15.2		11.9	14.6	21.3		28.6	12.5	
DON'T KNOW	94	27	65	21	17	52	4	72	11	7	1	-	1	2
	11.7	6.6	17.1	9.5	9.0	13.4	57.1	11.3	22.9	11.5	5.9		4.2	66.7
WHETHER MONEY WAS WELL SPENT														
YES	788	405	368	219	186	376	7	626	46	60	16	13	24	3
	97.9	98.8	96.8	99.5	98.4	96.7	100.0	98.1	95.8	98.4	94.1	92.9	100.0	100.0
NO	9	3	6	1	3	5	-	6	-	1	1	1	-	-
	1.1	.7	1.6	.5	1.6	1.3		1.0		1.6	5.9	7.1		
DON'T KNOW	8	2	6	-	-	8	-	6	2	-	-	-	-	-
	1.0	.5	1.6			2.0		.9	4.2					
WHETHER THERE WERE PROBLEMS WITH JOB														
YES	26	13	13	5	9	12	-	20	-	3	-	1	2	-
	3.2	3.2	3.4	2.3	4.8	3.1		3.1		4.9		7.1	8.3	
NO	779	397	367	215	180	377	7	618	48	58	17	13	22	3
	96.8	96.8	96.6	97.7	95.2	96.9	100.0	96.9	100.0	95.1	100.0	92.9	91.7	100.0
NOT APPLICABLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

COST OF INSTALLING WEATHER STRIPPING/WHETHER MONEY WAS WELL SPENT/WHETHER THERE WERE PROBLEMS WITH JOB Q.20/21/22
BASE: THOSE WHO HAVE INSTALLED WEATHER STRIPPING OR CAULKING IN LAST FEW YEARS
BY HOME STATUS, HOUSEHOLD INCOME AND EDUCATION

		HOME STATUS			HOUSEHOLD INCOME						EDUCATION				
	TOTAL	OWN	RENT	DK/ REF- USED	UNDER $10M	$10M TO $14.9	$15M TO $24.9	$25M TO $39.9	$40M AND OVER	REF- USED	ELEM- ENT- ARY	SECON -DARY	COLL- EGE/ CEGEP	UNIVE- RSITY	REF- USED
TOTAL	805	805	-	-	20	63	167	295	131	129	91	396	97	219	2
	100.0	100.0			100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
COST OF INSTALLING WEATHER STRIPPING/CAULKING															
UNDER $50	491	491	-	-	15	35	103	187	79	72	55	247	59	130	-
	61.0	61.0			75.0	55.5	61.7	63.4	60.3	55.8	60.4	62.4	60.8	59.4	
$50 ; $100	117	117	-	-	2	7	19	54	20	15	8	49	13	46	1
	14.5	14.5			10.0	11.1	11.4	18.3	15.3	11.6	8.8	12.4	13.4	21.0	50.0
OVER $100	103	103	-	-	1	10	25	29	22	16	12	49	14	28	-
	12.8	12.8			5.0	15.9	15.0	9.8	16.8	12.4	13.2	12.4	14.4	12.8	
DON'T KNOW	94	94	-	-	2	11	20	25	10	26	16	51	11	15	1
	11.7	11.7			10.0	17.5	11.9	8.5	7.6	20.2	17.6	12.8	11.4	6.8	50.0
WHETHER MONEY WAS WELL SPENT															
YES	788	788	-	-	20	61	164	288	130	125	91	388	96	211	2
	97.9	97.9			100.0	96.8	98.2	97.6	99.2	96.9	100.0	98.0	99.0	96.3	100.0
NO	9	9	-	-	-	2	-	4	1	2	-	3	1	5	-
	1.1	1.1				3.2		1.4	.8	1.6		.7	1.0	2.3	
DON'T KNOW	8	8	-	-	-	-	3	3	-	2	-	5	-	3	-
	1.0	1.0					1.8	1.0		1.5		1.3		1.4	
WHETHER THERE WERE PROBLEMS WITH JOB															
YES	26	26	-	-	-	2	8	11	4	1	3	8	7	8	-
	3.2	3.2				3.2	4.8	3.7	3.1	.8	3.3	2.0	7.2	3.7	
NO	779	779	-	-	20	61	159	284	127	128	88	388	90	211	2
	96.8	96.8			100.0	96.8	95.2	96.3	96.9	99.2	96.7	98.0	92.8	96.3	100.0
NOT APPLICABLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

COST OF INSTALLING WEATHER STRIPPING/WHETHER MONEY WAS WELL SPENT/WHETHER THERE WERE PROBLEMS WITH JOB Q.20/21/22
BASE: THOSE WHO HAVE INSTALLED WEATHER STRIPPING OR CAULKING IN LAST FEW YEARS
BY METHOD OF HEATING HOME AND SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE

		METHOD OF HEATING HOME				SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE				
	TOTAL	HEAT-ING OIL	ELEC-TRIC-ITY	NATU-RAL GAS	OTHER	VERY	SOME-WHAT	NOT TOO	NOT AT ALL	DK/ NOT APPL
TOTAL	805	288	144	336	37	103	196	262	208	36
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
COST OF INSTALLING WEATHER STRIPPING/CAULKING										
UNDER $50	491	169	88	210	24	57	126	163	127	18
	61.0	58.7	61.1	62.5	64.9	55.3	64.3	62.2	61.0	50.0
$50 - $100	117	40	20	54	3	12	31	40	33	1
	14.5	13.9	13.9	16.1	8.1	11.7	15.8	15.3	15.9	2.8
OVER $100	103	46	20	30	7	18	21	33	20	11
	12.8	16.0	13.9	8.9	18.9	17.5	10.7	12.6	9.6	30.5
DON'T KNOW	94	33	16	42	3	16	18	26	28	6
	11.7	11.4	11.1	12.5	8.1	15.5	9.2	9.9	13.5	16.7
WHETHER MONEY WAS WELL SPENT										
YES	788	284	141	326	37	101	191	258	202	36
	97.9	98.6	97.9	97.0	100.0	98.0	97.5	98.5	97.1	100.0
NO	9	1	1	7	-	1	3	2	3	-
	1.1	.4	.7	2.1		1.0	1.5	.8	1.5	
DON'T KNOW	8	3	2	3	-	1	2	2	3	-
	1.0	1.0	1.4	.9		1.0	1.0	.7	1.4	
WHETHER THERE WERE PROBLEMS WITH JOB										
YES	26	7	6	11	2	2	7	10	7	-
	3.2	2.4	4.2	3.3	5.4	1.9	3.6	3.8	3.4	
NO	779	281	138	325	35	101	189	252	201	36
	96.8	97.6	95.8	96.7	94.6	98.1	96.4	96.2	96.6	100.0
NOT APPLICABLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

PROBLEMS ENCOUNTERED WHEN INSTALLING WEATHER STRIPPING OR CAULKING Q.23
BASE: THOSE WHO HAD PROBLEMS INSTALLING WEATHER STRIPPING OR CAULKING
BY CITY AND AGE

		CITY								AGE			
	TOTAL	HALI-FAX	MONC-TON	QUE. CITY	MONT-REAL	OTT-AWA	TOR-ONTO	WINN-IPEG	CAL-GARY	VANC-OUVER	UNDER 35	35-44	45-54	55 & OVER
TOTAL	26 100.0	2 100.0	4 100.0	2 100.0	4 100.0	-	2 100.0	8 100.0	3 100.0	1 100.0	8 100.0	9 100.0	3 100.0	6 100.0
POOR QUALITY MATERIALS	2 7.7	-	1 25.0	-	-	-	-	1 12.5	-	-	-	1 11.1	-	1 16.7
POOR WORKMANSHIP	8 30.8	2 100.0	2 50.0	-	-	-	-	3 37.5	-	1 100.0	2 25.0	2 22.2	2 66.7	2 33.3
TOO EXPENSIVE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MESSY	4 15.4	-	1 25.0	1 50.0	-	-	1 50.0	-	1 33.3	-	2 25.0	2 22.2	-	-
HARD TO DO	11 42.3	-	-	-	4 100.0	-	2 100.0	3 37.5	2 66.7	-	4 50.0	3 33.3	1 33.3	3 50.0
OTHER	1 3.8	-	-	1 50.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1 11.1	-	-
NOT STATED	1 3.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	1 12.5	-	-	-	1 11.1	-	-

PROBLEMS ENCOUNTERED WHEN INSTALLING WEATHER STRIPPING OR CAULKING Q.23
BASE: THOSE WHO HAD PROBLEMS INSTALLING WEATHER STRIPPING OR CAULKING
BY SEX, AGE OF HOME AND TYPE OF HOME

		SEX		AGE OF HOME				TYPE OF HOME						
	TOTAL	MALE	FE-MALE	LESS THAN 11	11-20 YEARS	21 AND OVER	DON'T KNOW/ REF.	DET-ACHED HOUSE	SEMI-DET-ACHED	2/3/4 PLEX	ROW/ TOWN HOUSE	FLAT/ APART-MENT	ALL OTHER	D/K REF-USED
TOTAL	26 100.0	13 100.0	13 100.0	5 100.0	9 100.0	12 100.0	-	20 100.0	-	3 100.0	-	1 100.0	2 100.0	-
POOR QUALITY MATERIALS	2 7.7	1 7.7	1 7.7	-	-	2 16.7	-	2 10.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
POOR WORKMANSHIP	8 30.8	4 30.8	4 30.8	2 40.0	3 33.3	3 25.0	-	6 30.0	-	-	-	1 100.0	1 50.0	-
TOO EXPENSIVE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MESSY	4 15.4	2 15.4	2 15.4	1 20.0	2 22.2	1 8.3	-	3 15.0	-	-	-	-	1 50.0	-
HARD TO DO	11 42.3	5 38.4	6 46.2	2 40.0	4 44.4	5 41.7	-	8 40.0	-	3 100.0	-	-	-	-
OTHER	1 3.8	-	1 7.7	-	1 11.1	-	-	1 5.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
NOT STATED	1 3.8	1 7.7	-	-	-	1 8.3	-	1 5.0	-	-	-	-	-	-

PROBLEMS ENCOUNTERED WHEN INSTALLING WEATHER STRIPPING OR CAULKING Q.23
BASE: THOSE WHO HAD PROBLEMS INSTALLING WEATHER STRIPPING OR CAULKING
BY HOME STATUS, HOUSEHOLD INCOME AND EDUCATION

		HOME STATUS			HOUSEHOLD INCOME					EDUCATION				
	TOTAL	OWN	RENT	DK/ REF- USED	UNDER $10M	$10M TO $14.9	$15M TO $24.9	$25M TO $39.9	$40M AND OVER	REF- USED	ELEM- ENT- ARY	SECON -DARY	COLL- EGE/ CEGEP	UNIVE- RSITY	REF- USED
TOTAL	26	26	-	-	-	2	8	11	4	1	3	8	7	8	-
	100.0	100.0				100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
POOR QUALITY MATERIALS	2	2	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	1	1	-	-	-
	7.7	7.7						18.2			33.4	12.5			
POOR WORKMANSHIP	8	8	-	-	-	1	1	2	3	1	1	2	2	3	-
	30.8	30.8				50.0	12.5	18.2	75.0	100.0	33.3	25.0	28.6	37.5	
TOO EXPENSIVE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MESSY	4	4	-	-	-	-	1	2	1	-	1	1	-	2	-
	15.4	15.4					12.5	18.2	25.0		33.3	12.5		25.0	
HARD TO DO	11	11	-	-	-	1	4	6	-	-	-	3	4	4	-
	42.3	42.3				50.0	50.0	54.5				37.5	57.1	50.0	
OTHER	1	1	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-
	3.8	3.8					12.5						14.3		
NOT STATED	1	1	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
	3.8	3.8					12.5					12.5			

PROBLEMS ENCOUNTERED WHEN INSTALLING WEATHER STRIPPING OR CAULKING Q.23
BASE: THOSE WHO HAD PROBLEMS INSTALLING WEATHER STRIPPING OR CAULKING
BY METHOD OF HEATING HOME AND SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE

		METHOD OF HEATING HOME				SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE				
	TOTAL	HEAT-ING OIL	ELEC-TRIC-ITY	NATU-RAL GAS	OTHER	VERY	SOME-WHAT	NOT TOO	NOT AT ALL	DK/ NOT APPL
TOTAL	26 100.0	7 100.0	6 100.0	11 100.0	2 100.0	2 100.0	7 100.0	10 100.0	7 100.0	-
POOR QUALITY MATERIALS	2 7.7	-	1 16.7	1 9.1	-	-	-	-	2 28.6	-
POOR WORKMANSHIP	8 30.8	2 28.6	2 33.3	3 27.3	1 50.0	1 50.0	2 28.6	4 40.0	1 14.3	-
TOO EXPENSIVE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MESSY	4 15.4	-	2 33.3	1 9.1	1 50.0	-	1 14.3	1 10.0	2 28.5	-
HARD TO DO	11 42.3	5 71.4	1 16.7	5 45.4	-	1 50.0	3 42.8	6 60.0	1 14.3	-
OTHER	1 3.8	-	1 16.7	-	-	-	-	-	1 14.3	-
NOT STATED	1 3.8	-	-	1 9.1	-	-	1 14.3	-	-	-

MAIN REASON FOR NOT INSTALLING WEATHER STRIPPING OR CAULKING Q.24
BASE: THOSE WHO HAVE NOT INSTALLED WEATHER STRIPPING OR CAULKING IN LAST FEW YEARS
BY CITY AND AGE

		CITY									AGE			
	TOTAL	HALI -FAX	MONC -TON	QUE. CITY	MONT- REAL	OTT- AWA	TOR- ONTO	WINN- IPEG	CAL- GARY	VANC- OUVER	UNDER 35	35-44	45-54	55 & OVER
TOTAL	360	36	56	21	16	42	36	40	46	66	128	91	56	82
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
WEATHER STRIPPING/CAULKING SUFFICIENT	211	17	38	17	14	26	10	22	33	34	72	52	39	46
	58.6	47.2	67.9	80.9	87.5	61.9	27.8	55.0	71.7	51.5	56.3	57.1	69.6	56.1
NOT WORTH THE INVESTMENT	25	-	1	1	1	5	6	3	1	7	4	8	6	7
	6.9		1.8	4.8	6.3	11.9	16.6	7.5	2.2	10.6	3.1	8.8	10.7	8.5
DON'T KNOW HOW	7	2	-	-	-	2	1	-	1	1	2	3	-	2
	1.9	5.6				4.8	2.8		2.2	1.5	1.6	3.3		2.4
TOO BUSY	23	2	3	1	-	1	5	4	-	7	10	4	4	5
	6.4	5.6	5.3	4.8		2.4	13.9	10.0		10.6	7.8	4.4	7.1	6.1
NOT INTERESTED	24	3	1	-	-	4	2	4	2	8	10	8	1	5
	6.7	8.3	1.8			9.5	5.5	10.0	4.4	12.1	7.8	8.8	1.8	6.1
NO MONEY	14	3	2	-	1	-	-	-	2	6	5	5	-	4
	3.9	8.3	3.6		6.2				4.3	9.1	3.9	5.5		4.9
OTHER	50	8	10	2	-	2	10	7	6	4	23	11	5	10
	13.9	22.2	17.8	9.5		4.8	27.8	17.5	13.0	6.1	18.0	12.1	8.9	12.2
DON'T KNOW	3	-	1	-	-	-	1	-	1	-	1	-	2	-
	.8		1.8				2.8		2.2		.8		3.6	
REFUSED	6	1	-	-	-	2	1	1	-	1	2	1	-	3
	1.7	2.8				4.7	2.8	2.5		1.5	1.6	1.1		3.7

MAIN REASON FOR NOT INSTALLING WEATHER STRIPPING OR CAULKING Q.24
BASE: THOSE WHO HAVE NOT INSTALLED WEATHER STRIPPING OR CAULKING IN LAST FEW YEARS
BY SEX, AGE OF HOME AND TYPE OF HOME

		SEX		AGE OF HOME				TYPE OF HOME						
	TOTAL	MALE	FE-MALE	LESS THAN 11	11-20 YEARS	21 AND OVER	DON'T KNOW/ REF.	DET-ACHED HOUSE	SEMI-DET-ACHED	2/3/4 PLEX	ROW/ TOWN HOUSE	FLAT/ APART-MENT	ALL OTHER	D/K REF-USED
TOTAL	360	181	175	151	79	125	5	299	13	16	15	7	10	-
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
WEATHER STRIPPING/CAULKING SUFFICIENT	211	114	95	109	41	58	3	183	5	5	9	3	6	-
	58.6	63.0	54.3	72.2	51.9	46.4	60.0	61.2	38.5	31.3	60.0	42.8	60.0	
NOT WORTH THE INVESTMENT	25	12	13	9	3	13	-	17	2	3	-	1	2	-
	6.9	6.6	7.4	6.0	3.8	10.4		5.7	15.4	18.8		14.3	20.0	
DON'T KNOW HOW	7	2	4	-	3	4	-	6	-	1	-	-	-	-
	1.9	1.1	2.3		3.8	3.2		2.0		6.3				
TOO BUSY	23	12	11	4	8	11	-	20	-	1	1	-	1	-
	6.4	6.6	6.3	2.6	10.1	8.8		6.7		6.2	6.7		10.0	
NOT INTERESTED	24	11	13	7	9	7	1	17	-	1	4	1	1	-
	6.7	6.1	7.4	4.6	11.4	5.6	20.0	5.7		6.2	26.7	14.3	10.0	
NO MONEY	14	7	7	5	3	6	-	10	-	4	-	-	-	-
	3.9	3.9	4.0	3.3	3.8	4.8		3.3		25.0				
OTHER	50	22	27	18	10	21	1	41	4	1	1	2	1	-
	13.9	12.2	15.4	11.9	12.7	16.8	20.0	13.7	30.7	6.2	6.6	28.6	10.0	
DON'T KNOW	3	-	3	-	-	3	-	2	1	-	-	-	-	-
	.8		1.7			2.4		.7	7.7					
REFUSED	6	3	3	2	2	2	-	5	1	-	-	-	-	-
	1.7	1.7	1.7	1.3	2.5	1.6		1.7	7.7					

MAIN REASON FOR NOT INSTALLING WEATHER STRIPPING OR CAULKING Q.24
BASE: THOSE WHO HAVE NOT INSTALLED WEATHER STRIPPING OR CAULKING IN LAST FEW YEARS
BY HOME STATUS, HOUSEHOLD INCOME AND EDUCATION

		HOME STATUS			HOUSEHOLD INCOME						EDUCATION				
	TOTAL	OWN	RENT	DK/ REF- USED	UNDER $10M	$10M TO $14.9	$15M TO $24.9	$25M TO $39.9	$40M AND OVER	REF- USED	ELEM- ENT- ARY	SECON -DARY	COLL- EGE/ CEGEP	UNIVE- RSITY	REF- USED
TOTAL	360	360	-	-	12	38	74	126	47	63	43	184	38	91	4
	100.0	100.0			100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
WEATHER STRIPPING/CAULKING SUFFICIENT	211	211	-	-	6	21	31	77	35	41	27	108	23	52	1
	58.6	58.6			50.0	55.3	41.9	61.1	74.5	65.1	62.8	58.7	60.5	57.1	25.0
NOT WORTH THE INVESTMENT	25	25	-	-	1	4	6	7	4	3	4	9	3	8	1
	6.9	6.9			8.3	10.5	8.1	5.6	8.5	4.7	9.3	4.9	7.9	8.8	25.0
DON'T KNOW HOW	7	7	-	-	-	-	3	3	-	1	1	2	1	3	-
	1.9	1.9					4.1	2.4		1.6	2.4	1.1	2.6	3.3	
TOO BUSY	23	23	-	-	-	1	6	13	1	2	1	13	3	6	-
	6.4	6.4				2.6	8.1	10.3	2.1	3.2	2.3	7.1	7.9	6.6	
NOT INTERESTED	24	24	-	-	1	1	5	6	5	6	1	13	3	7	-
	6.7	6.7			8.3	2.6	6.8	4.8	10.6	9.5	2.3	7.0	7.9	7.7	
NO MONEY	14	14	-	-	2	5	2	2	1	2	1	11	-	2	-
	3.9	3.9			16.7	13.2	2.7	1.6	2.1	3.2	2.3	6.0		2.2	
OTHER	50	50	-	-	2	5	19	15	3	6	7	25	6	10	2
	13.9	13.9			16.7	13.2	25.7	11.9	6.4	9.5	16.3	13.6	15.8	11.0	50.0
DON'T KNOW	3	3	-	-	-	-	1	2	-	-	-	2	-	1	-
	.8	.8					1.3	1.6				1.1		1.1	
REFUSED	6	6	-	-	-	1	1	2	-	2	1	1	-	4	-
	1.7	1.7				2.6	1.3	1.6		3.2	2.3	.5		4.4	

MAIN REASON FOR NOT INSTALLING WEATHER STRIPPING OR CAULKING Q.24
BASE: THOSE WHO HAVE NOT INSTALLED WEATHER STRIPPING OR CAULKING IN LAST FEW YEARS
BY METHOD OF HEATING HOME AND SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE

		METHOD OF HEATING HOME				SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE				
	TOTAL	HEAT-ING OIL	ELEC-TRIC-ITY	NATU-RAL GAS	OTHER	VERY	SOME-WHAT	NOT TOO	NOT AT ALL	DK/ NOT APPL
TOTAL	360	110	59	165	26	39	89	114	102	16
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
WEATHER STRIPPING/CAULKING SUFFICIENT	211	58	44	87	22	22	51	62	63	13
	58.6	52.7	74.6	52.7	84.6	56.4	57.3	54.4	61.8	81.3
NOT WORTH THE INVESTMENT	25	7	2	15	1	3	7	9	6	-
	6.9	6.4	3.4	9.1	3.8	7.7	7.9	7.9	5.9	
DON'T KNOW HOW	7	3	1	3	-	1	1	3	2	-
	1.9	2.7	1.7	1.8		2.6	1.1	2.6	2.0	
TOO BUSY	23	8	2	13	-	1	5	10	6	1
	6.4	7.3	3.4	7.9		2.6	5.6	8.8	5.9	6.2
NOT INTERESTED	24	5	2	13	4	3	5	10	4	2
	6.7	4.5	3.4	7.9	15.4	7.7	5.6	8.8	3.9	12.5
NO MONEY	14	6	2	6	-	3	3	4	4	-
	3.9	5.5	3.4	3.6		7.7	3.4	3.5	3.9	
OTHER	50	19	5	24	2	6	17	12	15	-
	13.9	17.3	8.4	14.6	7.7	15.4	19.1	10.5	14.7	
DON'T KNOW	3	1	1	1	-	-	-	3	-	-
	.8	.9	1.7	.6				2.6		
REFUSED	6	3	-	3	-	1	-	2	3	-
	1.7	2.7		1.8		2.6		1.8	2.9	

EXPENSES PAID DIRECTLY BY SOMEONE IN THE HOUSEHOLD Q.25
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY CITY AND AGE

		CITY									AGE			
	TOTAL	HALI-FAX	MONC-TON	QUE. CITY	MONT-REAL	OTT-AWA	TOR-ONTO	WINN-IPEG	CAL-GARY	VANC-OUVER	UNDER 35	35-44	45-54	55 & OVER
TOTAL	1897	206	210	212	213	210	213	212	210	209	828	416	260	388
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
ELECTRICITY														
YES	1672	190	206	171	186	175	157	196	192	197	700	389	237	342
	89.5	92.7	98.1	82.2	87.3	88.8	75.8	93.3	91.9	94.7	86.1	94.9	91.5	89.5
NO	195	15	4	37	27	22	49	13	17	11	113	20	21	40
	10.4	7.3	1.9	17.8	12.7	11.2	23.7	6.2	8.1	5.3	13.9	4.9	8.1	10.5
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	2	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	1	1	-
	.1						.5	.5				.2	.4	
NOT APPLICABLE	28	1	-	4	-	13	6	2	1	1	15	6	1	6
	1.5	.5		1.9		6.2	2.8	.9	.5	.5	1.8	1.4	.4	1.5
HEATING														
YES	1444	151	179	141	145	152	135	191	171	178	567	356	210	307
	77.5	75.9	85.2	67.1	68.4	76.8	65.2	91.4	82.2	85.6	70.2	86.6	81.1	80.8
NO	416	48	31	69	67	46	71	17	36	30	241	53	48	73
	22.3	24.1	14.8	32.9	31.6	23.2	34.3	8.1	17.3	14.4	29.8	12.9	18.5	19.2
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	3	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	1	-	-	2	1	-
	.2						.5	.5	.5			.5	.4	
NOT APPLICABLE	34	7	-	2	1	12	6	3	2	1	20	5	1	8
	1.8	3.4		.9	.5	5.7	2.8	1.4	1.0	.5	2.4	1.2	.4	2.1

PERCENTAGED ON PEOPLE OTHER THAN 'NOT APPLICABLE'. 'NOT APPLICABLE' IS PERCENTAGED ON TOTAL BASE.

EXPENSES PAID DIRECTLY BY SOMEONE IN THE HOUSEHOLD Q.25
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY SEX, AGE OF HOME AND TYPE OF HOME

		SEX		AGE OF HOME				TYPE OF HOME						
	TOTAL	MALE	FE-MALE	LESS THAN 11	11-20 YEARS	21 AND OVER	DON'T KNOW/ REF.	DET-ACHED HOUSE	SEMI-DET-ACHED	2/3/4 PLEX	ROW/ TOWN HOUSE	FLAT/ APART-MENT	ALL OTHER	D/K REF-USED
TOTAL	1897	933	942	581	484	775	57	1042	87	181	78	457	47	5
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
ELECTRICITY														
YES	1672	839	812	486	416	733	37	1017	83	162	72	296	38	4
	89.5	90.8	87.9	84.8	86.5	95.8	74.0	97.8	98.8	92.0	92.3	67.1	84.4	80.0
NO	195	84	111	87	63	32	13	21	1	14	6	145	7	1
	10.4	9.1	12.0	15.2	13.1	4.2	26.0	2.0	1.2	8.0	7.7	32.9	15.6	20.0
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	2	1	1	-	2	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	-
	.1	.1	.1		.4			.2						
NOT APPLICABLE	28	9	18	8	3	10	7	2	3	5	-	16	2	-
	1.5	1.0	1.9	1.4	.6	1.3	12.3	.2	3.4	2.8		3.5	4.3	
HEATING														
YES	1444	726	698	442	333	649	20	997	83	139	59	124	38	4
	77.5	79.1	75.6	77.4	69.5	85.1	40.0	96.2	97.6	78.5	75.6	28.4	84.4	80.0
NO	416	190	224	129	143	114	30	37	2	38	19	312	7	1
	22.3	20.7	24.3	22.6	29.9	14.9	60.0	3.6	2.4	21.5	24.4	71.4	15.6	20.0
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	3	2	1	-	3	-	-	2	-	-	-	1	-	-
	.2	.2	.1		.6			.2				.2		
NOT APPLICABLE	34	15	19	10	5	12	7	6	2	4	-	20	2	-
	1.8	1.6	2.0	1.7	1.0	1.5	12.3	.6	2.3	2.2		4.4	4.3	

PERCENTAGED ON PEOPLE OTHER THAN 'NOT APPLICABLE'. 'NOT APPLICABLE' IS PERCENTAGED ON TOTAL BASE.

EXPENSES PAID DIRECTLY BY SOMEONE IN THE HOUSEHOLD Q.25
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY HOME STATUS, HOUSEHOLD INCOME AND EDUCATION

		HOME STATUS			HOUSEHOLD INCOME						EDUCATION				
	TOTAL	OWN	RENT	DK/ REF- USED	UNDER $10M	$10M TO $14.9	$15M TO $24.9	$25M TO $39.9	$40M AND OVER	REF- USED	ELEM- ENT- ARY	SECON -DARY	COLL- EGE/ CEGEP	UNIVE- RSITY	REF- USED
TOTAL	1897	1173	723	1	104	227	458	574	235	299	218	960	243	465	11
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
ELECTRICITY															
YES	1672	1145	527	-	77	184	397	530	224	260	198	840	206	420	8
	89.5	98.1	75.2		75.5	82.5	87.8	93.0	95.7	90.3	91.2	89.1	86.9	91.1	72.7
NO	195	21	173	1	25	39	55	40	10	26	19	103	31	40	2
	10.4	1.8	24.7	100.0	24.5	17.5	12.2	7.0	4.3	9.0	8.8	10.9	13.1	8.7	18.2
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	2	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	1	1
	.1	.1	.1							.7				.2	9.1
NOT APPLICABLE	28	6	22	-	2	4	6	4	1	11	1	17	6	4	-
	1.5	.5	3.0		1.9	1.8	1.3	.7	.4	3.7	.5	1.8	2.5	.9	
HEATING															
YES	1444	1134	310	-	56	143	327	489	209	220	167	730	175	366	6
	77.5	97.3	44.5		56.0	65.0	72.3	85.8	89.3	76.7	77.7	77.7	73.2	79.8	54.5
NO	416	30	385	1	44	77	125	80	25	65	48	209	64	91	4
	22.3	2.6	55.2	100.0	44.0	35.0	27.7	14.0	10.7	22.6	22.3	22.3	26.8	19.8	36.4
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	3	1	2	-	-	-	-	1	-	2	-	-	-	2	1
	.2	.1	.3					.2		.7				.4	9.1
NOT APPLICABLE	34	8	26	-	4	7	6	4	1	12	3	21	4	6	-
	1.8	.7	3.6		3.8	3.1	1.3	.7	.4	4.0	1.4	2.2	1.6	1.3	

PERCENTAGED ON PEOPLE OTHER THAN 'NOT APPLICABLE'. 'NOT APPLICABLE' IS PERCENTAGED ON TOTAL BASE.

EXPENSES PAID DIRECTLY BY SOMEONE IN THE HOUSEHOLD Q.25
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY METHOD OF HEATING HOME AND SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE

		METHOD OF HEATING HOME				SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE				
	TOTAL	HEAT-ING OIL	ELEC-TRIC-ITY	NATU-RAL GAS	OTHER	VERY	SOME-WHAT	NOT TOO	NOT AT ALL	DK/ NOT APPL
TOTAL	1897	589	418	708	120	257	533	600	424	83
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
ELECTRICITY										
YES	1672	556	322	663	99	213	460	536	387	76
	89.5	94.9	78.4	95.3	82.5	85.9	87.4	90.5	91.9	92.7
NO	195	30	88	32	21	35	64	56	34	6
	10.4	5.1	21.4	4.6	17.5	14.1	12.2	9.5	8.1	7.3
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	2	-	1	1	-	-	2	-	-	-
	.1		.2	.1			.4			
NOT APPLICABLE	28	3	7	12	-	9	7	8	3	1
	1.5	.5	1.7	1.7		3.5	1.3	1.3	.7	1.2
HEATING										
YES	1444	457	291	620	72	191	374	464	348	67
	77.5	77.9	71.3	89.2	60.5	77.0	71.2	78.5	83.7	80.7
NO	416	130	116	74	46	57	149	126	68	16
	22.3	22.1	28.4	10.7	38.7	23.0	28.4	21.3	16.3	19.3
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	3	-	1	1	1	-	2	1	-	-
	.2		.3	.1	.8		.4	.2		
NOT APPLICABLE	34	2	10	13	1	9	8	9	8	-
	1.8	.3	2.4	1.8	.8	3.5	1.5	1.5	1.9	

PERCENTAGED ON PEOPLE OTHER THAN 'NOT APPLICABLE'. 'NOT APPLICABLE' IS PERCENTAGED ON TOTAL BASE.

0156

COST OF HEATING HOME IN PAST 12 MONTHS Q.26
BASE: THOSE WHOSE HEATING IS PAID FOR DIRECTLY BY SOMEONE IN HOUSEHOLD
BY CITY AND AGE

		CITY									AGE			
	TOTAL	HALI -FAX	MONC -TON	QUE. CITY	MONT- REAL	OTT- AWA	TOR- ONTO	WINN- IPEG	CAL- GARY	VANC- OUVER	UNDER 35	35-44	45-54	55 & OVER
TOTAL	1444	151	179	141	145	152	135	191	171	178	567	356	210	307
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
UNDER $500	309	22	36	24	57	39	21	42	28	40	142	68	40	59
	21.4	14.6	20.1	17.0	39.3	25.6	15.6	22.0	16.4	22.5	25.0	19.1	19.0	19.2
$500 - $999	648	57	77	65	56	84	56	112	78	62	251	155	97	144
	44.9	37.7	43.0	46.1	38.6	55.3	41.5	58.6	45.6	34.8	44.3	43.5	46.2	46.9
$1000 - $1499	299	56	51	31	18	17	35	17	32	42	99	87	48	63
	20.7	37.1	28.5	22.0	12.4	11.2	25.9	8.9	18.7	23.6	17.5	24.4	22.9	20.5
$1500 - $2000	11	-	-	7	3	-	-	-	1	-	2	1	5	3
	.8			5.0	2.1				.6		.3	.3	2.4	1.0
DON'T KNOW	177	16	15	14	11	12	23	20	32	34	73	45	20	38
	12.2	10.6	8.4	9.9	7.6	7.9	17.0	10.5	18.7	19.1	12.9	12.7	9.5	12.4

COST OF HEATING HOME IN PAST 12 MONTHS Q.26
BASE: THOSE WHOSE HEATING IS PAID FOR DIRECTLY BY SOMEONE IN HOUSEHOLD
BY SEX, AGE OF HOME AND TYPE OF HOME

		SEX		AGE OF HOME				TYPE OF HOME						
	TOTAL	MALE	FE-MALE	LESS THAN 11	11-20 YEARS	21 AND OVER	DON'T KNOW/ REF.	DET-ACHED HOUSE	SEMI-DET-ACHED	2/3/4 PLEX	ROW/ TOWN HOUSE	FLAT/ APART-MENT	ALL OTHER	D/K REF-USED
TOTAL	1444	726	698	442	333	649	20	997	83	139	59	124	38	4
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
UNDER $500	309	159	149	98	66	143	2	171	17	33	18	58	12	-
	21.4	21.9	21.4	22.2	19.8	22.0	10.0	17.2	20.5	23.8	30.5	46.8	31.6	
$500 - $999	648	343	290	207	152	286	3	469	41	58	24	35	19	2
	44.9	47.3	41.5	46.8	45.7	44.1	15.0	47.0	49.4	41.7	40.7	28.2	50.0	50.0
$1000 - $1499	299	144	154	79	64	150	6	238	10	33	5	8	5	-
	20.7	19.8	22.1	17.9	19.2	23.1	30.0	23.9	12.0	23.7	8.5	6.5	13.1	
$1500 - $2000	11	6	5	2	3	6	-	9	-	1	-	1	-	-
	.8	.8	.7	.4	.9	.9		.9		.7		.8		
DON'T KNOW	177	74	100	56	48	64	9	110	15	14	12	22	2	2
	12.2	10.2	14.3	12.7	14.4	9.9	45.0	11.0	18.1	10.1	20.3	17.7	5.3	50.0

COST OF HEATING HOME IN PAST 12 MONTHS Q.26
BASE: THOSE WHOSE HEATING IS PAID FOR DIRECTLY BY SOMEONE IN HOUSEHOLD
BY HOME STATUS, HOUSEHOLD INCOME AND EDUCATION

		HOME STATUS			HOUSEHOLD INCOME						EDUCATION				
	TOTAL	OWN	RENT	DK/ REF- USED	UNDER $10M	$10M TO $14.9	$15M TO $24.9	$25M TO $39.9	$40M AND OVER	REF- USED	ELEM- ENT- ARY	SECON -DARY	COLL- EGE/ CEGEP	UNIVE- RSITY	REF- USED
TOTAL	1444	1134	310	-	56	143	327	489	209	220	167	730	175	366	6
	100.0	100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
UNDER $500	309	206	103	-	16	39	87	101	35	31	41	163	41	64	-
	21.4	18.2	33.2		28.6	27.3	26.6	20.7	16.8	14.1	24.6	22.3	23.4	17.5	
$500 - $999	648	542	106	-	21	69	142	232	84	100	67	349	72	158	2
	44.9	47.8	34.2		37.5	48.2	43.4	47.4	40.2	45.5	40.1	47.8	41.2	43.2	33.4
$1000 - $1499	299	253	46	-	11	21	58	108	55	46	35	132	37	93	2
	20.7	22.3	14.8		19.6	14.7	17.8	22.1	26.3	20.9	20.9	18.1	21.2	25.4	33.3
$1500 - $2000	11	11	-	-	-	1	1	4	3	2	-	4	2	5	-
	.8	1.0				.7	.3	.8	1.4	.9		.6	1.1	1.4	
DON'T KNOW	177	122	55	-	8	13	39	44	32	41	24	82	23	46	2
	12.2	10.7	17.8		14.3	9.1	11.9	9.0	15.3	18.6	14.4	11.2	13.1	12.5	33.3

COST OF HEATING HOME IN PAST 12 MONTHS Q.26
BASE: THOSE WHOSE HEATING IS PAID FOR DIRECTLY BY SOMEONE IN HOUSEHOLD
BY METHOD OF HEATING HOME AND SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE

		METHOD OF HEATING HOME				SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE				
	TOTAL	HEAT-ING OIL	ELEC-TRIC-ITY	NATU-RAL GAS	OTHER	VERY	SOME-WHAT	NOT TOO	NOT AT ALL	DK/ NOT APPL
TOTAL	1444	457	291	620	72	191	374	464	348	67
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
UNDER $500	309	66	77	125	40	41	90	97	70	11
	21.4	14.4	26.5	20.2	55.6	21.5	24.1	20.9	20.1	16.4
$500 - $999	648	206	117	304	21	87	170	208	159	24
	44.9	45.1	40.2	49.0	29.2	45.5	45.4	44.8	45.7	35.8
$1000 - $1499	299	142	51	102	4	33	68	103	79	16
	20.7	31.1	17.5	16.4	5.5	17.3	18.2	22.2	22.7	23.9
$1500 - $2000	11	5	5	1	-	2	3	2	3	1
	.8	1.1	1.7	.2		1.0	.8	.5	.9	1.5
DON'T KNOW	177	38	41	88	7	28	43	54	37	15
	12.2	8.3	14.1	14.2	9.7	14.7	11.5	11.6	10.6	22.4

METHOD OF HEATING THE HOME Q.27
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY CITY AND AGE

		CITY									AGE			
	TOTAL	HALI-FAX	MONC-TON	QUE. CITY	MONT-REAL	OTT-AWA	TOR-ONTO	WINN-IPEG	CAL-GARY	VANC-OUVER	UNDER 35	35-44	45-54	55 & OVER
TOTAL	1897	206	210	212	213	210	213	212	210	209	828	416	260	388
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
HEATING OIL	589	154	130	82	73	76	46	8	2	18	199	130	111	147
	31.1	74.7	61.9	38.7	34.3	36.2	21.6	3.8	1.0	8.6	24.0	31.2	42.7	37.9
ELECTRICITY	418	21	47	118	103	40	42	21	6	20	215	86	47	70
	22.0	10.2	22.4	55.7	48.4	19.0	19.7	9.9	2.9	9.6	26.0	20.7	18.1	18.1
NATURAL GAS	708	-	-	-	31	72	105	177	175	147	314	164	82	146
	37.3				14.5	34.3	49.3	83.5	83.3	70.3	37.9	39.4	31.5	37.6
WOOD	57	9	33	3	-	5	-	1	-	6	22	17	9	9
	3.0	4.4	15.7	1.4		2.4		.5		2.9	2.7	4.1	3.5	2.3
OTHER	63	2	-	6	5	6	4	4	20	16	38	10	9	6
	3.3	1.0		2.8	2.3	2.9	1.9	1.9	9.5	7.7	4.6	2.4	3.4	1.6
NOT APPLICABLE	27	18	-	2	-	4	3	-	-	-	18	4	1	4
	1.4	8.7		.9		1.9	1.4				2.2	1.0	.4	1.0
DON'T KNOW	35	2	-	1	1	7	13	1	7	2	22	5	1	6
	1.9	1.0		.5	.5	3.3	6.1	.4	3.3	.9	2.6	1.2	.4	1.5

METHOD OF HEATING THE HOME Q.27
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY SEX, AGE OF HOME AND TYPE OF HOME

		SEX		AGE OF HOME				TYPE OF HOME						
	TOTAL	MALE	FE-MALE	LESS THAN 11	11-20 YEARS	21 AND OVER	DON'T KNOW/ REF.	DET-ACHED HOUSE	SEMI-DET-ACHED	2/3/4 PLEX	ROW/ TOWN HOUSE	FLAT/ APART-MENT	ALL OTHER	D/K REF-USED
TOTAL	1897	933	942	581	484	775	57	1042	87	181	78	457	47	5
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
HEATING OIL	589	281	296	84	166	317	22	351	30	60	10	124	13	1
	31.1	30.1	31.4	14.5	34.3	40.9	38.6	33.7	34.5	33.1	12.8	27.1	27.7	20.0
ELECTRICITY	418	195	217	198	92	115	13	157	11	56	19	165	9	1
	22.0	20.9	23.0	34.1	19.0	14.8	22.8	15.1	12.7	30.9	24.4	36.1	19.1	20.0
NATURAL GAS	708	370	334	233	174	286	15	474	45	57	46	69	15	2
	37.3	39.7	35.5	40.1	36.0	36.9	26.3	45.5	51.7	31.5	59.0	15.1	31.9	40.0
WOOD	57	32	25	21	12	23	1	50	-	2	-	1	3	1
	3.0	3.4	2.7	3.6	2.5	3.0	1.8	4.8		1.1		.2	6.4	20.0
OTHER	63	32	31	24	26	13	-	10	1	2	3	43	4	-
	3.3	3.4	3.3	4.1	5.4	1.7		.9	1.1	1.1	3.8	9.4	8.5	
NOT APPLICABLE	27	12	15	9	5	9	4	-	-	1	-	25	1	-
	1.4	1.3	1.6	1.5	1.0	1.2	7.0			.6		5.5	2.1	
DON'T KNOW	35	11	24	12	9	12	2	-	-	3	-	30	2	-
	1.9	1.2	2.5	2.1	1.8	1.5	3.5			1.7		6.6	4.3	

METHOD OF HEATING THE HOME Q.27
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY HOME STATUS, HOUSEHOLD INCOME AND EDUCATION

		HOME STATUS			HOUSEHOLD INCOME						EDUCATION				
	TOTAL	OWN	RENT	DK/ REF- USED	UNDER $10M	$10M TO $14.9	$15M TO $24.9	$25M TO $39.9	$40M AND OVER	REF- USED	ELEM- ENT- ARY	SECON -DARY	COLL- EGE/ CEGEP	UNIVE- RSITY	REF- USED
TOTAL	1897	1173	723	1	104	227	458	574	235	299	218	960	243	465	11
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
HEATING OIL	589	399	190	-	34	63	148	180	58	106	93	284	75	134	3
	31.1	34.0	26.3		32.7	27.8	32.3	31.3	24.7	35.4	42.7	29.6	30.9	28.8	27.3
ELECTRICITY	418	205	213	-	33	53	118	117	43	54	50	207	70	88	3
	22.0	17.5	29.5		31.7	23.3	25.8	20.4	18.3	18.1	23.0	21.6	28.8	18.9	27.3
NATURAL GAS	708	506	201	1	26	77	147	228	117	113	55	373	78	199	3
	37.3	43.1	27.8	100.0	25.0	33.9	32.1	39.7	49.8	37.8	25.2	38.9	32.1	42.8	27.2
WOOD	57	51	6	-	4	9	13	17	7	7	7	37	5	8	-
	3.0	4.4	.8		3.9	4.0	2.8	3.0	3.0	2.3	3.2	3.8	2.1	1.7	
OTHER	63	12	51	-	2	10	12	23	8	8	5	29	10	18	1
	3.3	1.0	7.1		1.9	4.4	2.6	4.0	3.4	2.7	2.3	3.0	4.1	3.9	9.1
NOT APPLICABLE	27	-	27	-	3	3	11	4	1	5	4	13	3	7	-
	1.4		3.7		2.9	1.3	2.4	.7	.4	1.7	1.8	1.3	1.2	1.5	
DON'T KNOW	35	-	35	-	2	12	9	5	1	6	4	17	2	11	1
	1.9		4.8		1.9	5.3	2.0	.9	.4	2.0	1.8	1.8	.8	2.4	9.1

METHOD OF HEATING THE HOME Q.27
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY METHOD OF HEATING HOME AND SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE

		METHOD OF HEATING HOME				SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE				
	TOTAL	HEAT-ING OIL	ELEC-TRIC-ITY	NATU-RAL GAS	OTHER	VERY	SOME-WHAT	NOT TOO	NOT AT ALL	DK/ NOT APPL
TOTAL	1897	589	418	708	120	257	533	600	424	83
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
HEATING OIL	589	589	-	-	-	82	152	184	133	38
	31.1	100.0				31.9	28.5	30.7	31.4	45.8
ELECTRICITY	418	-	418	-	-	76	151	117	59	15
	22.0		100.0			29.6	28.3	19.5	13.9	18.1
NATURAL GAS	708	-	-	708	-	72	181	244	188	23
	37.3			100.0		28.0	33.9	40.7	44.3	27.7
WOOD	57	-	-	-	57	10	9	17	20	1
	3.0				47.5	3.9	1.7	2.8	4.7	1.2
OTHER	63	-	-	-	63	7	19	22	14	1
	3.3				52.5	2.7	3.6	3.7	3.3	1.2
NOT APPLICABLE	27	-	-	-	-	1	10	8	6	2
	1.4					.4	1.9	1.3	1.4	2.4
DON'T KNOW	35	-	-	-	-	9	11	8	4	3
	1.9					3.5	2.1	1.3	1.0	3.6

RECENTLY SWITCHED FROM HOME HEATING OIL Q.28
BASE: THOSE WHO USE ELECTRICITY/GAS/WOOD
BY CITY AND AGE

		CITY									AGE			
	TOTAL	HALI-FAX	MONC-TON	QUE. CITY	MONT-REAL	OTT-AWA	TOR-ONTO	WINN-IPEG	CAL-GARY	VANC-OUVER	UNDER 35	35-44	45-54	55 & OVER
TOTAL	1183	30	80	121	134	117	147	199	181	173	551	267	138	225
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
YES	146	12	25	18	20	24	20	10	-	16	52	37	22	35
	12.4	40.0	31.3	14.9	14.9	20.5	13.6	5.0		9.3	9.4	13.9	16.0	15.6
NO	1006	18	55	97	107	89	122	186	178	154	481	224	114	185
	85.0	60.0	68.7	80.2	79.9	76.1	83.0	93.5	98.3	89.0	87.3	83.9	82.6	82.2
DON'T KNOW	31	-	-	6	7	4	5	3	3	3	18	6	2	5
	2.6			4.9	5.2	3.4	3.4	1.5	1.7	1.7	3.3	2.2	1.4	2.2

RECENTLY SWITCHED FROM HOME HEATING OIL Q.28
BASE: THOSE WHO USE ELECTRICITY/GAS/WOOD
BY SEX, AGE OF HOME AND TYPE OF HOME

		SEX		AGE OF HOME				TYPE OF HOME						
	TOTAL	MALE	FE-MALE	LESS THAN 11	11-20 YEARS	21 AND OVER	DON'T KNOW/ REF.	DET-ACHED HOUSE	SEMI-DET-ACHED	2/3/4 PLEX	ROW/ TOWN HOUSE	FLAT/ APART-MENT	ALL OTHER	D/K REF-USED
TOTAL	1183	597	576	452	278	424	29	681	56	115	65	235	27	4
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
YES	146	77	66	28	29	86	3	105	6	18	1	14	2	-
	12.4	12.9	11.4	6.2	10.5	20.3	10.3	15.4	10.7	15.6	1.5	6.0	7.4	
NO	1006	509	490	418	240	324	24	569	48	93	64	203	25	4
	85.0	85.3	85.1	92.5	86.3	76.4	82.8	83.6	85.7	80.9	98.5	86.4	92.6	100.0
DON'T KNOW	31	11	20	6	9	14	2	7	2	4	-	18	-	-
	2.6	1.8	3.5	1.3	3.2	3.3	6.9	1.0	3.6	3.5		7.6		

RECENTLY SWITCHED FROM HOME HEATING OIL Q.28
BASE: THOSE WHO USE ELECTRICITY/GAS/WOOD
BY HOME STATUS, HOUSEHOLD INCOME AND EDUCATION

		HOME STATUS			HOUSEHOLD INCOME						EDUCATION				
	TOTAL	OWN	RENT	DK/ REF-USED	UNDER $10M	$10M TO $14.9	$15M TO $24.9	$25M TO $39.9	$40M AND OVER	REF-USED	ELEM-ENT-ARY	SECON-DARY	COLL-EGE/ CEGEP	UNIVE-RSITY	REF-USED
TOTAL	1183	762	420	1	63	139	278	362	167	174	112	617	153	295	6
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
YES	146	117	29	-	9	17	35	46	18	21	14	86	12	33	1
	12.4	15.4	6.9		14.3	12.2	12.6	12.7	10.8	12.1	12.5	13.9	7.9	11.2	16.7
NO	1006	640	365	1	52	119	230	310	144	151	97	513	137	254	5
	85.0	84.0	86.9	100.0	82.5	85.6	82.7	85.6	86.2	86.8	86.6	83.2	89.5	86.1	83.3
DON'T KNOW	31	5	26	-	2	3	13	6	5	2	1	18	4	8	-
	2.6	.6	6.2		3.2	2.2	4.7	1.7	3.0	1.1	.9	2.9	2.6	2.7	

RECENTLY SWITCHED FROM HOME HEATING OIL Q.28
BASE: THOSE WHO USE ELECTRICITY/GAS/WOOD
BY METHOD OF HEATING HOME AND SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE

		METHOD OF HEATING HOME				SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE				
	TOTAL	HEAT-ING OIL	ELEC-TRIC-ITY	NATU-RAL GAS	OTHER	VERY	SOME-WHAT	NOT TOO	NOT AT ALL	DK/ NOT APPL
TOTAL	1183	-	418	708	57	158	341	378	267	39
	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
YES	146	-	62	52	32	27	38	45	33	3
	12.4		14.8	7.3	56.1	17.1	11.2	11.9	12.4	7.7
NO	1006	-	342	639	25	128	290	322	232	34
	85.0		81.8	90.3	43.9	81.0	85.0	85.2	86.9	87.2
DON'T KNOW	31	-	14	17	-	3	13	11	2	2
	2.6		3.4	2.4		1.9	3.8	2.9	.7	5.1

REASONS FOR SWITCHING FROM HEATING OIL Q.29
BASE: RECENT SWITCHERS TO ELECTRICITY/GAS/WOOD
BY CITY AND AGE

		CITY								AGE			
	TOTAL	HALI -FAX	MONC -TON	QUE. CITY	MONT- REAL	OTT- AWA	TOR- ONTO	WINN- IPEG	CAL- GARY	VANC- OUVER	UNDER 35	35-44	45-54	55 & OVER
TOTAL	146	12	25	18	20	24	20	10	-	16	52	37	22	35
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
AFRAID OF SHORTAGE OF HEATING OIL	9	1	2	1	1	1	1	-	-	2	3	1	1	4
	6.2	8.3	8.0	5.6	5.0	4.2	5.0			12.5	5.8	2.7	4.5	11.4
HIGH COST OF HEATING OIL	100	9	21	7	12	18	12	9	-	11	36	29	11	24
	68.5	75.0	84.0	38.9	60.0	75.0	60.0	90.0		68.8	69.2	78.4	50.0	68.6
FEAR OF FURNACE FAILURE	22	-	2	9	3	4	1	1	-	2	6	7	6	3
	15.1		8.0	50.0	15.0	16.7	5.0	10.0		12.5	11.5	18.9	27.3	8.6
ELECTRICITY/NATURAL GAS POLLUTES LESS	9	-	-	-	1	2	2	1	-	3	6	-	1	2
	6.2				5.0	8.3	10.0	10.0		18.8	11.5		4.5	5.7
RECEIVED GRAND UNDER CANADA OIL SUBSTITUTION PROGRAM (COSP)	20	-	4	-	2	3	9	2	-	-	7	5	4	4
	13.7		16.0		10.0	12.5	45.0	20.0			13.5	13.5	18.2	11.4
OTHER	16	2	-	2	6	3	2	1	-	-	7	4	2	3
	11.0	16.7		11.1	30.0	12.5	10.0	10.0			13.5	10.8	9.1	8.6
DON'T KNOW/NOT STATED	2	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	1
	1.4				5.0	4.2					1.9			2.9

REASONS FOR SWITCHING FROM HEATING OIL Q.29
BASE: RECENT SWITCHERS TO ELECTRICITY/GAS/WOOD
BY SEX, AGE OF HOME AND TYPE OF HOME

		SEX		AGE OF HOME				TYPE OF HOME						
	TOTAL	MALE	FE-MALE	LESS THAN 11	11-20 YEARS	21 AND OVER	DON'T KNOW/ REF.	DET-ACHED HOUSE	SEMI-DET-ACHED	2/3/4 PLEX	ROW/ TOWN HOUSE	FLAT/ APART-MENT	ALL OTHER	D/K REF-USED
TOTAL	146	77	66	28	29	86	3	105	6	18	1	14	2	-
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
AFRAID OF SHORTAGE OF HEATING OIL	9	7	2	2	-	7	-	7	-	2	-	-	-	-
	6.2	9.1	3.0	7.1		8.1		6.7		11.1				
HIGH COST OF HEATING OIL	100	46	51	22	21	56	1	73	4	11	1	10	1	-
	68.5	59.7	77.3	78.6	72.4	65.1	33.3	69.5	66.7	61.1	100.0	71.4	50.0	
FEAR OF FURNACE FAILURE	22	13	9	-	5	17	-	18	1	3	-	-	-	-
	15.1	16.9	13.6		17.2	19.8		17.1	16.7	16.7				
ELECTRICITY/NATURAL GAS POLLUTES LESS	9	8	1	-	1	6	2	5	1	3	-	-	-	-
	6.2	10.4	1.5		3.4	7.0	66.7	4.8	16.7	16.7				
RECEIVED GRANT UNDER CANADA OIL SUBSTITUTION PROGRAM (COSP)	20	12	8	1	7	12	-	13	1	2	1	3	-	-
	13.7	15.6	12.1	3.6	24.1	14.0		12.4	16.7	11.1	100.0	21.4		
OTHER	16	10	6	3	6	7	-	10	1	1	-	3	1	-
	11.0	13.0	9.1	10.7	20.7	8.1		9.5	16.7	5.6		21.4	50.0	
DON'T KNOW/NOT STATED	2	1	1	1	-	1	-	1	-	1	-	-	-	-
	1.4	1.3	1.5	3.6		1.2		1.0		5.6				

REASONS FOR SWITCHING FROM HEATING OIL Q.29
BASE: RECENT SWITCHERS TO ELECTRICITY/GAS/WOOD
BY HOME STATUS, HOUSEHOLD INCOME AND EDUCATION

		HOME STATUS			HOUSEHOLD INCOME						EDUCATION				
	TOTAL	OWN	RENT	DK/ REF- USED	UNDER $10M	$10M TO $14.9	$15M TO $24.9	$25M TO $39.9	$40M AND OVER	REF- USED	ELEM- ENT- ARY	SECON -DARY	COLL- EGE/ CEGEP	UNIVE- RSITY	REF- USED
TOTAL	146	117	29	-	9	17	35	46	18	21	14	86	12	33	1
	100.0	100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
AFRAID OF SHORTAGE OF HEATING OIL	9	7	2	-	1	-	3	2	2	1	-	6	-	3	-
	6.2	6.0	6.9		11.1		8.6	4.3	11.1	4.8		7.0		9.1	
HIGH COST OF HEATING OIL	100	82	18	-	7	11	23	29	14	16	11	62	8	18	1
	68.5	70.1	62.1		77.8	64.7	65.7	63.0	77.8	76.2	78.6	72.1	66.7	54.5	100.0
FEAR OF FURNACE FAILURE	22	20	2	-	1	2	8	10	-	1	2	11	3	6	-
	15.1	17.1	6.9		11.1	11.8	22.9	21.7		4.8	14.3	12.8	25.0	18.2	
ELECTRICITY/NATURAL GAS POLLUTES LESS	9	7	2	-	1	4	1	2	1	-	2	3	-	4	-
	6.2	6.0	6.9		11.1	23.5	2.9	4.3	5.6		14.3	3.5		12.1	
RECEIVED GRAND UNDER CANADA OIL SUBSTITUTION PROGRAM (COSP)	20	14	6	-	3	2	5	7	1	2	1	10	1	8	-
	13.7	12.0	20.7		33.3	11.8	14.3	15.2	5.6	9.5	7.1	11.6	8.3	24.2	
OTHER	16	13	3	-	-	2	-	9	3	2	-	6	2	8	-
	11.0	11.1	10.3			11.8		19.6	16.7	9.5		7.0	16.7	24.2	
DON'T KNOW/NOT STATED	2	1	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	1	-	2	-	-	-
	1.4	.9	3.4				2.9			4.8		2.3			

REASONS FOR SWITCHING FROM HEATING OIL Q.29
BASE: RECENT SWITCHERS TO ELECTRICITY/GAS/WOOD
BY METHOD OF HEATING HOME AND SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE

		METHOD OF HEATING HOME				SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE				
	TOTAL	HEAT-ING OIL	ELEC-TRIC-ITY	NATU-RAL GAS	OTHER	VERY	SOME-WHAT	NOT TOO	NOT AT ALL	DK/ NOT APPL
TOTAL	146 100.0	-	62 100.0	52 100.0	32 100.0	27 100.0	38 100.0	45 100.0	33 100.0	3 100.0
AFRAID OF SHORTAGE OF HEATING OIL	9 6.2	-	3 4.8	3 5.8	3 9.4	-	3 7.9	4 8.9	2 6.1	-
HIGH COST OF HEATING OIL	100 68.5	-	37 59.7	35 67.3	28 87.5	19 70.4	26 68.4	30 66.7	22 66.7	3 100.0
FEAR OF FURNACE FAILURE	22 15.1	-	16 25.8	6 11.5	-	3 11.1	9 23.7	9 20.0	1 3.0	-
ELECTRICITY/NATURAL GAS POLLUTES LESS	9 6.2	-	2 3.2	7 13.5	-	2 7.4	1 2.6	3 6.7	3 9.1	-
RECEIVED GRAND UNDER CANADA OIL SUBSTITUTION PROGRAM (COSP)	20 13.7	-	5 8.1	11 21.2	4 12.5	2 7.4	3 7.9	11 24.4	4 12.1	-
OTHER	16 11.0	-	10 16.1	5 9.6	1 3.1	4 14.8	5 13.2	2 4.4	5 15.2	-
DON'T KNOW/NOT STATED	2 1.4	-	2 3.2	-	-	2 7.4	-	-	-	-

RECEIVED A GRANT UNDER CANADA OIL SUBSTITUTION PROGRAM Q.30
BASE: THOSE NOT MENTIONING COSP AS A REASON FOR SWITCHING
BY CITY AND AGE

		CITY								AGE			
	TOTAL	HALI -FAX	MONC -TON	QUE. CITY	MONT- REAL	OTT- AWA	TOR- ONTO	WINN- IPEG	CAL- GARY	VANC- OUVER	UNDER 35	35-44	45-54	55 & OVER
TOTAL	126	12	21	18	18	21	11	8	-	16	45	32	18	31
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
YES	46	3	8	6	5	5	3	6	-	9	13	13	8	12
	36.5	25.0	38.1	33.3	27.8	23.8	27.3	75.0		56.3	28.9	40.6	44.4	38.7
NO	77	8	13	12	12	15	8	2	-	7	30	19	10	18
	61.1	66.7	61.9	66.7	66.7	71.4	72.7	25.0		43.7	66.7	59.4	55.6	58.1
DON'T KNOW	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
	.8				5.5						2.2			
REFUSED	2	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	1
	1.6	8.3				4.8					2.2			3.2

RECEIVED A GRANT UNDER CANADA OIL SUBSTITUTION PROGRAM Q.30
BASE: THOSE NOT MENTIONING COSP AS A REASON FOR SWITCHING
BY SEX, AGE OF HOME AND TYPE OF HOME

		SEX		AGE OF HOME				TYPE OF HOME						
	TOTAL	MALE	FE-MALE	LESS THAN 11	11-20 YEARS	21 AND OVER	DON'T KNOW/ REF.	DET-ACHED HOUSE	SEMI-DET-ACHED	2/3/4 PLEX	ROW/ TOWN HOUSE	FLAT/ APART-MENT	ALL OTHER	D/K REF-USED
TOTAL	126	65	58	27	22	74	3	92	5	16	-	11	2	-
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0	
YES	46	20	25	7	7	32	-	38	-	6	-	2	-	-
	36.5	30.8	43.1	25.9	31.8	43.2		41.3		37.5		18.2		
NO	77	44	31	18	15	41	3	52	5	9	-	9	2	-
	61.1	67.7	53.5	66.7	68.2	55.4	100.0	56.5	100.0	56.3		81.8	100.0	
DON'T KNOW	1	1	-	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
	.8	1.5		3.7				1.1						
REFUSED	2	-	2	1	-	1	-	1	-	1	-	-	-	-
	1.6		3.4	3.7		1.4		1.1		6.2				

RECEIVED A GRANT UNDER CANADA OIL SUBSTITUTION PROGRAM Q.30
BASE: THOSE NOT MENTIONING COSP AS A REASON FOR SWITCHING
BY HOME STATUS, HOUSEHOLD INCOME AND EDUCATION

		HOME STATUS			HOUSEHOLD INCOME						EDUCATION				
	TOTAL	OWN	RENT	DK/ REF- USED	UNDER $10M	$10M TO $14.9	$15M TO $24.9	$25M TO $39.9	$40M AND OVER	REF- USED	ELEM- ENT- ARY	SECON -DARY	COLL- EGE/ CEGEP	UNIVE- RSITY	REF- USED
TOTAL	126	103	23	-	6	15	30	39	17	19	13	76	11	25	1
	100.0	100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
YES	46	42	4	-	1	3	14	13	9	6	6	23	5	11	1
	36.5	40.8	17.4		16.7	20.0	46.7	33.3	52.9	31.6	46.2	30.3	45.5	44.0	100.0
NO	77	59	18	-	5	12	14	26	8	12	7	50	6	14	-
	61.1	57.3	78.3		83.3	80.0	46.7	66.7	47.1	63.1	53.8	65.8	54.5	56.0	
DON'T KNOW	1	-	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
	.8		4.3				3.3					1.3			
REFUSED	2	2	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	1	-	2	-	-	-
	1.6	1.9					3.3			5.3		2.6			

RECEIVED A GRANT UNDER CANADA OIL SUBSTITUTION PROGRAM Q.30
BASE: THOSE NOT MENTIONING COSP AS A REASON FOR SWITCHING
BY METHOD OF HEATING HOME AND SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE

		METHOD OF HEATING HOME				SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE				
	TOTAL	HEAT -ING OIL	ELEC- TRIC- ITY	NATU- RAL GAS	OTHER	VERY	SOME- WHAT	NOT TOO	NOT AT ALL	DK/ NOT APPL
TOTAL	126	-	57	41	28	25	35	34	29	3
	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
YES	46	-	19	18	9	8	14	12	10	2
	36.5		33.3	43.9	32.1	32.0	40.0	35.3	34.5	66.7
NO	77	-	35	23	19	15	21	21	19	1
	61.1		61.4	56.1	67.9	60.0	60.0	61.8	65.5	33.3
DON'T KNOW	1	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
	.8		1.8			4.0				
REFUSED	2	-	2	-	-	1	-	1	-	-
	1.6		3.5			4.0		2.9		

CONSIDERATION GIVEN TO SWITCHING AWAY FROM HOME HEATING OIL Q.31
BASE: THOSE WHO USE OIL TO HEAT THEIR HOMES
BY CITY AND AGE

		CITY								AGE			
	TOTAL	HALI-FAX	MONC-TON	QUE. CITY	MONT-REAL	OTT-AWA	TOR-ONTO	WINN-IPEG	CAL-GARY	VANC-OUVER	UNDER 35	35-44	45-54	55 & OVER
TOTAL	589	154	130	82	73	76	46	8	2	18	199	130	111	147
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
YES	159	29	36	28	18	19	15	4	1	9	51	41	38	29
	27.0	18.8	27.7	34.1	24.6	25.0	32.6	50.0	50.0	50.0	25.6	31.5	34.2	19.7
NO	392	112	88	45	51	54	29	4	1	8	129	83	66	112
	66.6	72.7	67.7	54.9	69.9	71.1	63.1	50.0	50.0	44.4	64.8	63.9	59.5	76.2
DON'T KNOW	38	13	6	9	4	3	2	-	-	1	19	6	7	6
	6.4	8.5	4.6	11.0	5.5	3.9	4.3			5.6	9.6	4.6	6.3	4.1

CONSIDERATION GIVEN TO SWITCHING AWAY FROM HOME HEATING OIL Q.31
BASE: THOSE WHO USE OIL TO HEAT THEIR HOMES
BY SEX, AGE OF HOME AND TYPE OF HOME

		SEX		AGE OF HOME				TYPE OF HOME						
	TOTAL	MALE	FE-MALE	LESS THAN 11	11-20 YEARS	21 AND OVER	DON'T KNOW/ REF.	DET-ACHED HOUSE	SEMI-DET-ACHED	2/3/4 PLEX	ROW/ TOWN HOUSE	FLAT/ APART-MENT	ALL OTHER	D/K REF-USED
TOTAL	589	281	296	84	166	317	22	351	30	60	10	124	13	1
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
YES	159	98	59	23	48	87	1	122	8	13	2	9	5	-
	27.0	34.9	19.9	27.4	28.9	27.4	4.5	34.8	26.7	21.7	20.0	7.2	38.5	
NO	392	169	214	54	106	217	15	222	21	45	6	89	8	1
	66.6	60.1	72.3	64.3	63.9	68.5	68.2	63.2	70.0	75.0	60.0	71.8	61.5	100.0
DON'T KNOW	38	14	23	7	12	13	6	7	1	2	2	26	-	-
	6.4	5.0	7.8	8.3	7.2	4.1	27.3	2.0	3.3	3.3	20.0	21.0		

CONSIDERATION GIVEN TO SWITCHING AWAY FROM HOME HEATING OIL Q.31
BASE: THOSE WHO USE OIL TO HEAT THEIR HOMES
BY HOME STATUS, HOUSEHOLD INCOME AND EDUCATION

		HOME STATUS			HOUSEHOLD INCOME						EDUCATION				
	TOTAL	OWN	RENT	DK/ REF- USED	UNDER $10M	$10M TO $14.9	$15M TO $24.9	$25M TO $39.9	$40M AND OVER	REF- USED	ELEM- ENT- ARY	SECON -DARY	COLL- EGE/ CEGEP	UNIVE- RSITY	REF- USED
TOTAL	589	399	190	-	34	63	148	180	58	106	93	284	75	134	3
	100.0	100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
YES	159	143	16	-	4	11	36	64	21	23	13	75	22	49	-
	27.0	35.8	8.4		11.8	17.5	24.3	35.6	36.2	21.7	14.0	26.4	29.4	36.6	
NO	392	248	144	-	26	47	102	103	35	79	76	187	49	78	2
	66.6	62.2	75.8		76.5	74.6	68.9	57.2	60.4	74.5	81.7	65.9	65.3	58.2	66.7
DON'T KNOW	38	8	30	-	4	5	10	13	2	4	4	22	4	7	1
	6.4	2.0	15.8		11.7	7.9	6.8	7.2	3.4	3.8	4.3	7.7	5.3	5.2	33.3

CONSIDERATION GIVEN TO SWITCHING AWAY FROM HOME HEATING OIL Q.31
BASE: THOSE WHO USE OIL TO HEAT THEIR HOMES
BY METHOD OF HEATING HOME AND SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE

		METHOD OF HEATING HOME				SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE				
	TOTAL	HEAT -ING OIL	ELEC- TRIC- ITY	NATU- RAL GAS	OTHER	VERY	SOME- WHAT	NOT TOO	NOT AT ALL	DK/ NOT APPL
TOTAL	589	589	-	-	-	82	152	184	133	38
	100.0	100.0				100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
YES	159	159	-	-	-	19	32	48	50	10
	27.0	27.0				23.2	21.1	26.1	37.6	26.3
NO	392	392	-	-	-	61	106	120	79	26
	66.6	66.6				74.4	69.7	65.2	59.4	68.4
DON'T KNOW	38	38	-	-	-	2	14	16	4	2
	6.4	6.4				2.4	9.2	8.7	3.0	5.3

AWARENESS OF CANADA OIL SUBSTITUTION PROGRAM (COSP) Q.32
BASE: THOSE WHO USE OIL TO HEAT THEIR HOMES
BY CITY AND AGE

		CITY								AGE			
	TOTAL	HALI -FAX	MONC -TON	QUE. CITY	MONT- REAL	OTT- AWA	TOR- ONTO	WINN- IPEG	CAL- GARY	VANC- OUVER	UNDER 35	35-44	45-54	55 & OVER
TOTAL	589	154	130	82	73	76	46	8	2	18	199	130	111	147
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
YES	252	39	55	52	39	37	19	5	1	5	86	61	45	59
	42.8	25.3	42.3	63.4	53.4	48.7	41.3	62.5	50.0	27.8	43.2	46.9	40.5	40.1
NO	336	115	75	30	34	38	27	3	1	13	113	68	66	88
	57.0	74.7	57.7	36.6	46.6	50.0	58.7	37.5	50.0	72.2	56.8	52.3	59.5	59.9
DON'T KNOW	1	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-
	.2					1.3						.8		

AWARENESS OF CANADA OIL SUBSTITUTION PROGRAM (COSP) Q.32
BASE: THOSE WHO USE OIL TO HEAT THEIR HOMES
BY SEX, AGE OF HOME AND TYPE OF HOME

		SEX		AGE OF HOME				TYPE OF HOME						
	TOTAL	MALE	FE-MALE	LESS THAN 11	11-20 YEARS	21 AND OVER	DON'T KNOW/ REF.	DET-ACHED HOUSE	SEMI-DET-ACHED	2/3/4 PLEX	ROW/ TOWN HOUSE	FLAT/ APART-MENT	ALL OTHER	D/K REF-USED
TOTAL	589	281	296	84	166	317	22	351	30	60	10	124	13	1
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
YES	252	148	96	29	73	145	5	168	15	21	3	37	8	-
	42.8	52.7	32.4	34.5	44.0	45.8	22.7	47.9	50.0	35.0	30.0	29.8	61.5	
NO	336	133	200	55	93	171	17	183	15	38	7	87	5	1
	57.0	47.3	67.6	65.5	56.0	53.9	77.3	52.1	50.0	63.3	70.0	70.2	38.5	100.0
DON'T KNOW	1	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
	.2					.3				1.7				

AWARENESS OF CANADA OIL SUBSTITUTION PROGRAM (COSP) Q.32
BASE: THOSE WHO USE OIL TO HEAT THEIR HOMES
BY HOME STATUS, HOUSEHOLD INCOME AND EDUCATION

		HOME STATUS			HOUSEHOLD INCOME						EDUCATION				
	TOTAL	OWN	RENT	DK/ REF-USED	UNDER $10M	$10M TO $14.9	$15M TO $24.9	$25M TO $39.9	$40M AND OVER	REF-USED	ELEM-ENT-ARY	SECON-DARY	COLL-EGE/ CEGEP	UNIVE-RSITY	REF-USED
TOTAL	589	399	190	-	34	63	148	180	58	106	93	284	75	134	3
	100.0	100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
YES	252	197	55	-	11	21	60	90	25	45	28	111	38	75	-
	42.8	49.4	28.9		32.4	33.3	40.5	50.0	43.1	42.5	30.1	39.1	50.7	56.0	
NO	336	201	135	-	23	42	88	89	33	61	65	172	37	59	3
	57.0	50.4	71.1		67.6	66.7	59.5	49.4	56.9	57.5	69.9	60.6	49.3	44.0	100.0
DON'T KNOW	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
	.2	.2						.6				.3			

AWARENESS OF CANADA OIL SUBSTITUTION PROGRAM (COSP) Q.32
BASE: THOSE WHO USE OIL TO HEAT THEIR HOMES
BY METHOD OF HEATING HOME AND SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE

		METHOD OF HEATING HOME				SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE				
	TOTAL	HEAT -ING OIL	ELEC- TRIC- ITY	NATU- RAL GAS	OTHER	VERY	SOME- WHAT	NOT TOO	NOT AT ALL	DK/ NOT APPL
TOTAL	589	589	-	-	-	82	152	184	133	38
	100.0	100.0				100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
YES	252	252	-	-	-	33	69	79	57	14
	42.8	42.8				40.2	45.4	42.9	42.9	36.8
NO	336	336	-	-	-	49	83	104	76	24
	57.0	57.0				59.8	54.6	56.5	57.1	63.2
DON'T KNOW	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-
	.2	.2						.6		

0180

PLANS TO SPEND MONEY IN NEXT 12 MONTHS TO IMPROVE ENERGY EFFICIENCY OF HOME Q.33
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY CITY AND AGE

		CITY									AGE			
	TOTAL	HALI -FAX	MONC -TON	QUE. CITY	MONT- REAL	OTT- AWA	TOR- ONTO	WINN- IPEG	CAL- GARY	VANC- OUVER	UNDER 35	35-44	45-54	55 & OVER
TOTAL	1897	206	210	212	213	210	213	212	210	209	828	416	260	388
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
YES	482	35	69	46	36	62	49	91	45	49	217	125	72	68
	25.4	17.0	32.8	21.7	16.9	29.5	23.0	42.9	21.4	23.4	26.2	30.0	27.7	17.5
NO	1121	111	118	108	160	109	144	104	128	137	463	225	155	273
	59.1	53.9	56.2	51.0	75.1	51.9	67.6	49.1	61.0	65.6	55.9	54.1	59.6	70.4
NOT APPLICABLE	221	53	13	55	12	23	14	5	25	21	126	41	18	36
	11.7	25.7	6.2	25.9	5.6	11.0	6.6	2.3	11.9	10.0	15.2	9.9	6.9	9.3
DON'T KNOW	73	7	10	3	5	16	6	12	12	2	22	25	15	11
	3.8	3.4	4.8	1.4	2.4	7.6	2.8	5.7	5.7	1.0	2.7	6.0	5.8	2.8

PLANS TO SPEND MONEY IN NEXT 12 MONTHS TO IMPROVE ENERGY EFFICIENCY OF HOME Q.33
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY SEX, AGE OF HOME AND TYPE OF HOME

		SEX		AGE OF HOME				TYPE OF HOME						
	TOTAL	MALE	FE-MALE	LESS THAN 11	11-20 YEARS	21 AND OVER	DON'T KNOW/ REF.	DET-ACHED HOUSE	SEMI-DET-ACHED	2/3/4 PLEX	ROW/ TOWN HOUSE	FLAT/ APART-MENT	ALL OTHER	D/K REF-USED
TOTAL	1897	933	942	581	484	775	57	1042	87	181	78	457	47	5
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
YES	482	273	197	152	114	213	3	352	29	46	15	27	12	1
	25.4	29.3	20.9	26.2	23.6	27.5	5.3	33.8	33.3	25.4	19.2	5.9	25.6	20.0
NO	1121	530	582	348	279	462	32	619	46	106	51	265	30	4
	59.1	56.8	61.8	59.9	57.6	59.6	56.1	59.4	52.9	58.6	65.4	58.0	63.8	80.0
NOT APPLICABLE	221	102	118	59	78	67	17	21	6	21	8	160	5	-
	11.7	10.9	12.5	10.1	16.1	8.6	29.8	2.0	6.9	11.6	10.3	35.0	10.6	
DON'T KNOW	73	28	45	22	13	33	5	50	6	8	4	5	-	-
	3.8	3.0	4.8	3.8	2.7	4.3	8.8	4.8	6.9	4.4	5.1	1.1		

PLANS TO SPEND MONEY IN NEXT 12 MONTHS TO IMPROVE ENERGY EFFICIENCY OF HOME Q.33
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY HOME STATUS, HOUSEHOLD INCOME AND EDUCATION

		HOME STATUS			HOUSEHOLD INCOME						EDUCATION				
	TOTAL	OWN	RENT	DK/ REF- USED	UNDER $10M	$10M TO $14.9	$15M TO $24.9	$25M TO $39.9	$40M AND OVER	REF- USED	ELEM- ENT- ARY	SECON -DARY	COLL- EGE/ CEGEP	UNIVE- RSITY	REF- USED
TOTAL	1897	1173	723	1	104	227	458	574	235	299	218	960	243	465	11
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
YES	482	416	66	-	11	43	117	187	69	55	49	246	56	130	1
	25.4	35.5	9.1		10.6	18.9	25.6	32.6	29.4	18.4	22.5	25.6	23.0	28.0	9.1
NO	1121	687	434	-	68	143	252	324	147	187	134	567	151	260	9
	59.1	58.6	60.0		65.4	63.0	55.0	56.5	62.5	62.5	61.5	59.1	62.1	55.9	81.8
NOT APPLICABLE	221	9	211	1	20	36	71	45	15	34	25	107	31	57	1
	11.7	.7	29.2	100.0	19.2	15.9	15.5	7.8	6.4	11.4	11.4	11.1	12.8	12.2	9.1
DON'T KNOW	73	61	12	-	5	5	18	18	4	23	10	40	5	18	-
	3.8	5.2	1.7		4.8	2.2	3.9	3.1	1.7	7.7	4.6	4.2	2.1	3.9	

PLANS TO SPEND MONEY IN NEXT 12 MONTHS TO IMPROVE ENERGY EFFICIENCY OF HOME Q.33
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY METHOD OF HEATING HOME AND SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE

		METHOD OF HEATING HOME				SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE				
	TOTAL	HEAT-ING OIL	ELEC-TRIC-ITY	NATU-RAL GAS	OTHER	VERY	SOME-WHAT	NOT TOO	NOT AT ALL	DK/ NOT APPL
TOTAL	1897	589	418	708	120	257	533	600	424	83
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
YES	482	155	82	221	22	62	129	147	128	16
	25.4	26.3	19.6	31.2	18.3	24.1	24.2	24.5	30.2	19.3
NO	1121	327	267	408	80	150	317	357	241	56
	59.1	55.5	63.9	57.6	66.7	58.4	59.5	59.5	56.8	67.5
NOT APPLICABLE	221	77	59	46	18	32	65	78	38	8
	11.7	13.1	14.1	6.5	15.0	12.5	12.2	13.0	9.0	9.6
DON'T KNOW	73	30	10	33	-	13	22	18	17	3
	3.8	5.1	2.4	4.7	-	5.0	4.1	3.0	4.0	3.6

HOW MUCH TO BE SPENT ON IMPROVING HOME ENERGY EFFICIENCY Q.34
BASE: THOSE PLANNING TO SPEND MONEY
BY CITY AND AGE

		CITY									AGE			
	TOTAL	HALI-FAX	MONC-TON	QUE. CITY	MONT-REAL	OTT-AWA	TOR-ONTO	WINN-IPEG	CAL-GARY	VANC-OUVER	UNDER 35	35-44	45-54	55 & OVER
TOTAL	482	35	69	46	36	62	49	91	45	49	217	125	72	68
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
UNDER $100	53	2	2	4	4	8	7	15	4	7	34	8	5	6
	11.0	5.7	2.9	8.7	11.1	12.9	14.3	16.5	8.9	14.3	15.7	6.4	6.9	8.8
$100 - $500	166	10	18	14	10	25	19	35	18	17	82	39	19	26
	34.4	28.6	26.1	30.4	27.8	40.3	38.8	38.5	40.0	34.7	37.8	31.2	26.4	38.2
OVER $500	186	13	33	22	12	23	18	34	14	17	79	50	35	22
	38.6	37.1	47.8	47.8	33.3	37.1	36.7	37.3	31.1	34.7	36.4	40.0	48.6	32.4
DON'T KNOW	77	10	16	6	10	6	5	7	9	8	22	28	13	14
	16.0	28.6	23.2	13.1	27.8	9.7	10.2	7.7	20.0	16.3	10.1	22.4	18.1	20.6

HOW MUCH TO BE SPENT ON IMPROVING HOME ENERGY EFFICIENCY Q.34
BASE: THOSE PLANNING TO SPEND MONEY
BY SEX, AGE OF HOME AND TYPE OF HOME

		SEX		AGE OF HOME				TYPE OF HOME						
	TOTAL	MALE	FE-MALE	LESS THAN 11	11-20 YEARS	21 AND OVER	DON'T KNOW/ REF.	DET-ACHED HOUSE	SEMI-DET-ACHED	2/3/4 PLEX	ROW/ TOWN HOUSE	FLAT/ APART-MENT	ALL OTHER	D/K REF-USED
TOTAL	482	273	197	152	114	213	3	352	29	46	15	27	12	1
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
UNDER $100	53	27	23	21	13	19	-	35	4	4	3	6	1	-
	11.0	9.9	11.7	13.8	11.4	8.9		9.9	13.8	8.7	20.0	22.3	8.3	
$100 - $500	166	97	62	63	33	69	1	122	13	13	8	8	2	-
	34.4	35.5	31.5	41.5	29.0	32.4	33.3	34.7	44.8	28.3	53.4	29.6	16.7	
OVER $500	186	111	75	40	51	95	-	137	10	23	2	6	8	-
	38.6	40.7	38.0	26.3	44.7	44.6		38.9	34.5	50.0	13.3	22.2	66.7	
DON'T KNOW	77	38	37	28	17	30	2	58	2	6	2	7	1	1
	16.0	13.9	18.8	18.4	14.9	14.1	66.7	16.5	6.9	13.0	13.3	25.9	8.3	100.0

HOW MUCH TO BE SPENT ON IMPROVING HOME ENERGY EFFICIENCY Q.34
BASE: THOSE PLANNING TO SPEND MONEY
BY HOME STATUS, HOUSEHOLD INCOME AND EDUCATION

		HOME STATUS			HOUSEHOLD INCOME						EDUCATION				
	TOTAL	OWN	RENT	DK/ REF- USED	UNDER $10M	$10M TO $14.9	$15M TO $24.9	$25M TO $39.9	$40M AND OVER	REF- USED	ELEM- ENT- ARY	SECON -DARY	COLL- EGE/ CEGEP	UNIVE- RSITY	REF- USED
TOTAL	482	416	66	-	11	43	117	187	69	55	49	246	56	130	1
	100.0	100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
UNDER $100	53	40	13	-	1	10	6	19	12	5	6	26	3	17	1
	11.0	9.6	19.7		9.1	23.3	5.1	10.1	17.4	9.1	12.3	10.6	5.4	13.1	100.0
$100 - $500	166	146	20	-	3	12	46	66	21	18	12	73	26	55	-
	34.4	35.1	30.3		27.3	27.9	39.3	35.3	30.4	32.7	24.5	29.7	46.4	42.3	
OVER $500	186	165	21	-	5	13	45	74	32	17	20	99	19	48	-
	38.6	39.7	31.8		45.4	30.2	38.5	39.6	46.4	30.9	40.8	40.2	33.9	36.9	
DON'T KNOW	77	65	12	-	2	8	20	28	4	15	11	48	8	10	-
	16.0	15.6	18.2		18.2	18.6	17.1	15.0	5.8	27.3	22.4	19.5	14.3	7.7	

HOW MUCH TO BE SPENT ON IMPROVING HOME ENERGY EFFICIENCY Q.34
BASE: THOSE PLANNING TO SPEND MONEY
BY METHOD OF HEATING HOME AND SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE

		METHOD OF HEATING HOME				SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE				
	TOTAL	HEAT-ING OIL	ELEC-TRIC-ITY	NATU-RAL GAS	OTHER	VERY	SOME-WHAT	NOT TOO	NOT AT ALL	DK/ NOT APPL
TOTAL	482	155	82	221	22	62	129	147	128	16
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
UNDER $100	53	9	9	32	3	6	16	18	12	1
	11.0	5.8	11.0	14.5	13.6	9.7	12.4	12.3	9.4	6.3
$100 - $500	166	35	30	90	11	22	41	56	44	3
	34.4	22.6	36.6	40.7	50.0	35.5	31.8	38.1	34.4	18.8
OVER $500	186	73	31	75	6	23	52	54	50	7
	38.6	47.1	37.8	33.9	27.3	37.1	40.3	36.7	39.0	43.7
DON'T KNOW	77	38	12	24	2	11	20	19	22	5
	16.0	24.5	14.6	10.9	9.1	17.7	15.5	12.9	17.2	31.2

DESCRIPTION OF ENERGY EFFICIENCY OF HOME TODAY Q.35
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY CITY AND AGE

		CITY									AGE			
	TOTAL	HALI-FAX	MONC-TON	QUE. CITY	MONT-REAL	OTT-AWA	TOR-ONTO	WINN-IPEG	CAL-GARY	VANC-OUVER	UNDER 35	35-44	45-54	55 & OVER
TOTAL	1897	206	210	212	213	210	213	212	210	209	828	416	260	388
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
MY HOME IS ABOUT AS ENERGY EFFICIENT AS IT CAN BE	483	53	38	94	80	47	60	36	31	44	164	91	79	147
	25.5	25.7	18.1	44.3	37.6	22.4	28.2	17.0	14.8	21.1	19.8	21.9	30.4	37.9
A LITTLE IMPROVEMENT CAN BE MADE	526	40	57	65	61	79	44	61	61	57	219	135	73	98
	27.7	19.4	27.1	30.7	28.6	37.6	20.6	28.8	29.0	27.3	26.5	32.5	28.1	25.3
SOME IMPROVEMENT CAN BE MADE	587	63	88	29	32	64	76	82	85	67	288	125	77	96
	30.9	30.6	41.9	13.7	15.0	30.5	35.7	38.7	40.5	32.0	34.8	30.0	29.6	24.7
A LOT OF IMPROVEMENT CAN BE MADE	262	37	23	20	40	14	27	31	30	40	144	54	28	35
	13.8	18.0	11.0	9.4	18.8	6.7	12.7	14.6	14.3	19.1	17.4	13.0	10.8	9.0
DON'T KNOW	38	13	4	4	-	6	5	2	3	1	12	11	3	12
	2.0	6.3	1.9	1.9		2.8	2.3	.9	1.4	.5	1.4	2.6	1.1	3.1
REFUSED	1	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
	.1						.5				.1			

DESCRIPTION OF ENERGY EFFICIENCY OF HOME TODAY Q.35
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY SEX, AGE OF HOME AND TYPE OF HOME

		SEX		AGE OF HOME				TYPE OF HOME						
	TOTAL	MALE	FE-MALE	LESS THAN 11	11-20 YEARS	21 AND OVER	DON'T KNOW/ REF.	DET-ACHED HOUSE	SEMI-DET-ACHED	2/3/4 PLEX	ROW/ TOWN HOUSE	FLAT/ APART-MENT	ALL OTHER	D/K REF-USED
TOTAL	1897	933	942	581	484	775	57	1042	87	181	78	457	47	5
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
MY HOME IS ABOUT AS ENERGY EFFICIENT AS IT CAN BE	483	200	281	177	108	181	17	248	22	45	16	139	11	2
	25.5	21.4	29.8	30.5	22.3	23.4	29.8	23.8	25.3	24.9	20.5	30.4	23.4	40.0
A LITTLE IMPROVEMENT CAN BE MADE	526	260	255	177	140	200	9	331	26	47	23	82	16	1
	27.7	27.9	27.1	30.5	28.9	25.8	15.8	31.8	29.9	26.0	29.5	17.9	34.1	20.0
SOME IMPROVEMENT CAN BE MADE	587	325	254	168	164	241	14	349	28	46	26	125	12	1
	30.9	34.8	27.0	28.9	33.9	31.1	24.6	33.5	32.2	25.4	33.3	27.4	25.5	20.0
A LOT OF IMPROVEMENT CAN BE MADE	262	126	135	45	61	146	10	108	10	41	12	83	7	1
	13.8	13.5	14.3	7.7	12.6	18.8	17.5	10.3	11.5	22.6	15.4	18.2	14.9	20.0
DON'T KNOW	38	21	17	13	11	7	7	6	1	2	1	27	1	-
	2.0	2.3	1.8	2.2	2.3	.9	12.3	.6	1.1	1.1	1.3	5.9	2.1	
REFUSED	1	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-
	.1	.1		.2								.2		

DESCRIPTION OF ENERGY EFFICIENCY OF HOME TODAY Q.35
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY HOME STATUS, HOUSEHOLD INCOME AND EDUCATION

		HOME STATUS			HOUSEHOLD INCOME						EDUCATION				
	TOTAL	OWN	RENT	DK/ REF- USED	UNDER $10M	$10M TO $14.9	$15M TO $24.9	$25M TO $39.9	$40M AND OVER	REF- USED	ELEM- ENT- ARY	SECON -DARY	COLL- EGE/ CEGEP	UNIVE- RSITY	REF- USED
TOTAL	1897	1173	723	1	104	227	458	574	235	299	218	960	243	465	11
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
MY HOME IS ABOUT AS ENERGY EFFICIENT AS IT CAN BE	483	295	187	1	37	68	118	110	49	101	76	250	58	96	3
	25.5	25.2	25.9	100.0	35.6	30.0	25.8	19.2	20.9	33.8	34.9	26.0	23.9	20.6	27.3
A LITTLE IMPROVEMENT CAN BE MADE	526	379	147	-	20	48	103	190	84	81	49	269	65	138	5
	27.7	32.3	20.3		19.2	21.1	22.5	33.1	35.7	27.1	22.5	28.0	26.7	29.7	45.4
SOME IMPROVEMENT CAN BE MADE	587	379	208	-	27	63	167	189	76	65	63	291	79	152	2
	30.9	32.3	28.8		26.0	27.8	36.4	32.9	32.3	21.7	28.9	30.3	32.5	32.7	18.2
A LOT OF IMPROVEMENT CAN BE MADE	262	112	150	-	17	43	59	80	24	39	25	135	35	66	1
	13.8	9.5	20.8		16.3	18.9	12.9	13.9	10.2	13.1	11.4	14.1	14.4	14.2	9.1
DON'T KNOW	38	8	30	-	3	4	11	5	2	13	5	15	6	12	-
	2.0	.7	4.1		2.9	1.8	2.4	.9	.9	4.3	2.3	1.6	2.5	2.6	
REFUSED	1	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-
	.1		.1			.4								.2	

DESCRIPTION OF ENERGY EFFICIENCY OF HOME TODAY Q.35
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY METHOD OF HEATING HOME AND SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE

		METHOD OF HEATING HOME				SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE				
	TOTAL	HEATING OIL	ELECTRICITY	NATURAL GAS	OTHER	VERY	SOMEWHAT	NOT TOO	NOT AT ALL	DK/ NOT APPL
TOTAL	1897	589	418	708	120	257	533	600	424	83
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
MY HOME IS ABOUT AS ENERGY EFFICIENT AS IT CAN BE	483	135	176	130	28	70	142	163	84	24
	25.5	22.9	42.1	18.4	23.3	27.2	26.7	27.2	19.8	28.9
A LITTLE IMPROVEMENT CAN BE MADE	526	157	114	208	39	69	166	161	113	17
	27.7	26.7	27.3	29.4	32.5	26.9	31.1	26.8	26.7	20.5
SOME IMPROVEMENT CAN BE MADE	587	190	88	253	37	74	148	189	153	23
	30.9	32.3	21.1	35.7	30.8	28.8	27.8	31.5	36.1	27.7
A LOT OF IMPROVEMENT CAN BE MADE	262	94	34	109	14	42	62	79	65	14
	13.8	15.9	8.1	15.4	11.7	16.3	11.6	13.2	15.3	16.9
DON'T KNOW	38	13	6	8	2	2	15	8	8	5
	2.0	2.2	1.4	1.1	1.7	.8	2.8	1.3	1.9	6.0
REFUSED	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-
	.1								.2	

TEMPERATURE SETTING DURING DAY AND NIGHT Q.36
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY CITY AND AGE

		CITY									AGE			
	TOTAL	HALI -FAX	MONC -TON	QUE. CITY	MONT- REAL	OTT- AWA	TOR- ONTO	WINN- IPEG	CAL- GARY	VANC- OUVER	UNDER 35	35-44	45-54	55 & OVER
TOTAL	1897	206	210	212	213	210	213	212	210	209	828	416	260	388
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
DURING THE DAY														
UNDER 60F (UNDER 15C)	130	24	16	4	14	2	13	9	10	38	74	27	12	17
	6.9	11.7	7.6	1.9	6.6	1.0	6.1	4.3	4.8	18.2	8.9	6.5	4.6	4.4
60-63F (15-17C)	160	30	26	18	17	4	15	14	22	14	76	42	21	20
	8.4	14.6	12.4	8.5	8.0	1.9	7.1	6.6	10.5	6.7	9.2	10.1	8.1	5.2
64-66F (18-19C)	331	46	37	25	19	49	35	31	46	42	154	78	43	54
	17.5	22.3	17.6	11.8	8.9	23.3	16.4	14.6	21.9	20.1	18.6	18.7	16.5	13.9
67-69F (ABOUT 20C)	611	55	67	67	57	80	64	83	69	69	250	151	90	119
	32.2	26.7	31.9	31.6	26.8	38.1	30.0	39.2	32.8	33.0	30.2	36.3	34.6	30.7
70-72F (21-22C)	478	32	50	75	60	66	46	70	50	29	176	94	68	139
	25.2	15.5	23.8	35.4	28.2	31.4	21.6	33.0	23.8	13.9	21.3	22.6	26.2	35.8
OVER 72F (OVER 22C)	54	6	-	10	12	3	8	3	9	3	29	6	8	11
	2.8	2.9		4.7	5.6	1.4	3.8	1.4	4.3	1.4	3.5	1.4	3.1	2.8
DON'T KNOW	29	1	2	4	3	1	9	2	1	6	15	4	5	5
	1.5	.5	1.0	1.9	1.4	.5	4.2	.9	.5	2.9	1.8	1.0	1.9	1.3
NO THERMOSTAT	104	12	12	9	31	5	23	-	3	8	54	14	13	23
	5.5	5.8	5.7	4.2	14.5	2.4	10.8		1.4	3.8	6.5	3.4	5.0	5.9
DURING THE NIGHT														
UNDER 60F (UNDER 15C)	313	49	50	17	22	18	26	17	27	87	151	75	33	54
	16.5	23.8	23.8	8.0	10.3	8.6	12.2	8.0	12.9	41.6	18.2	18.0	12.7	13.9
60-63F (15-17C)	355	54	43	39	22	54	33	38	33	39	147	93	49	64
	18.7	26.2	20.5	18.4	10.3	25.7	15.5	17.9	15.7	18.7	17.8	22.3	18.8	16.5
64-66F (18-19C)	458	47	52	44	46	66	40	55	65	42	185	94	67	110
	24.2	22.8	24.8	20.8	21.6	31.4	18.8	26.0	31.0	20.1	22.4	22.6	25.8	28.4
67-69F (ABOUT 20C)	405	26	35	63	52	55	49	63	47	15	172	84	58	90
	21.3	12.6	16.7	29.7	24.4	26.2	23.0	29.7	22.4	7.2	20.8	20.2	22.3	23.2
70-72F (21-22C)	196	13	15	32	28	12	26	34	27	9	83	47	30	36
	10.3	6.3	7.1	15.1	13.2	5.7	12.2	16.0	12.8	4.3	10.0	11.3	11.5	9.3
OVER 72F (OVER 22C)	37	2	-	5	10	-	7	3	7	3	21	5	7	4
	2.0	1.0		2.4	4.7		3.3	1.4	3.3	1.4	2.5	1.2	2.7	1.0
DON'T KNOW	29	3	3	3	2	-	9	2	1	6	15	4	3	7
	1.5	1.5	1.4	1.4	.9		4.2	1.0	.5	2.9	1.8	1.0	1.2	1.8
NO THERMOSTAT	104	12	12	9	31	5	23	-	3	8	54	14	13	23
	5.5	5.8	5.7	4.2	14.6	2.4	10.8		1.4	3.8	6.5	3.4	5.0	5.9

TEMPERATURE SETTING DURING DAY AND NIGHT Q.36
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY SEX, AGE OF HOME AND TYPE OF HOME

		SEX		AGE OF HOME				TYPE OF HOME						
	TOTAL	MALE	FE-MALE	LESS THAN 11	11-20 YEARS	21 AND OVER	DON'T KNOW/ REF.	DET-ACHED HOUSE	SEMI-DET-ACHED	2/3/4 PLEX	ROW/ TOWN HOUSE	FLAT/ APART-MENT	ALL OTHER	D/K REF-USED
TOTAL	1897	933	942	581	484	775	57	1042	87	181	78	457	47	5
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
DURING THE DAY														
UNDER 60F (UNDER 15C)	130	63	67	53	28	45	4	71	9	12	5	29	4	-
	6.9	6.8	7.1	9.1	5.8	5.8	7.0	6.8	10.4	6.6	6.4	6.3	8.5	
60-63F (15-17C)	160	83	77	50	41	59	10	88	4	21	8	37	2	-
	8.4	8.9	8.2	8.6	8.5	7.6	17.5	8.4	4.6	11.6	10.3	8.1	4.3	
64-66F (18-19C)	331	161	167	99	92	128	12	202	18	29	17	60	5	-
	17.5	17.2	17.7	17.0	19.0	16.5	21.0	19.4	20.7	16.0	21.8	13.1	10.6	
67-69F (ABOUT 20C)	611	297	306	206	152	246	7	380	24	52	33	100	20	2
	32.2	31.8	32.5	35.5	31.4	31.7	12.3	36.5	27.6	28.7	42.3	21.9	42.6	40.0
70-72F (21-22C)	478	237	230	131	126	210	11	258	30	47	11	121	10	1
	25.2	25.4	24.4	22.6	26.0	27.1	19.3	24.8	34.5	26.0	14.1	26.5	21.3	20.0
OVER 72F (OVER 22C)	54	28	26	21	10	20	3	23	1	9	4	17	-	-
	2.8	3.0	2.8	3.6	2.1	2.6	5.3	2.2	1.1	5.0	5.1	3.7		
DON'T KNOW	29	12	17	7	6	13	3	4	1	2	-	20	2	-
	1.5	1.3	1.8	1.2	1.2	1.7	5.3	.4	1.1	1.1		4.4	4.2	
NO THERMOSTAT	104	52	52	14	29	54	7	16	-	9	-	73	4	2
	5.5	5.6	5.5	2.4	6.0	7.0	12.3	1.5		5.0		16.0	8.5	40.0
DURING THE NIGHT														
UNDER 60F (UNDER 15C)	313	157	156	113	74	112	14	174	13	30	13	72	11	-
	16.5	16.8	16.6	19.5	15.3	14.4	24.6	16.7	15.0	16.6	16.7	15.8	23.4	
60-63F (15-17C)	355	171	179	115	89	144	7	233	18	28	15	49	11	1
	18.7	18.3	19.0	19.8	18.4	18.6	12.3	22.4	20.7	15.5	19.2	10.7	23.4	20.0
64-66F (18-19C)	458	206	243	138	127	182	11	274	17	46	25	89	5	2
	24.2	22.1	25.8	23.8	26.2	23.5	19.3	26.3	19.5	25.4	32.1	19.5	10.6	40.0
67-69F (ABOUT 20C)	405	209	190	121	106	171	7	225	22	39	20	90	9	-
	21.3	22.4	20.2	20.8	21.9	22.1	12.3	21.6	25.3	21.5	25.6	19.7	19.2	
70-72F (21-22C)	196	107	87	60	47	83	6	97	16	24	1	53	5	-
	10.3	11.5	9.2	10.3	9.7	10.7	10.5	9.3	18.4	13.3	1.3	11.6	10.6	
OVER 72F (OVER 22C)	37	20	17	13	7	15	2	16	1	4	4	12	-	-
	2.0	2.1	1.8	2.2	1.5	1.9	3.5	1.5	1.1	2.2	5.1	2.6		
DON'T KNOW	29	11	18	7	5	14	3	7	-	1	-	19	2	-
	1.5	1.2	1.9	1.2	1.0	1.8	5.2	.7		.5		4.1	4.3	
NO THERMOSTAT	104	52	52	14	29	54	7	16	-	9	-	73	4	2
	5.5	5.6	5.5	2.4	6.0	7.0	12.3	1.5		5.0		16.0	8.5	40.0

TEMPERATURE SETTING DURING DAY AND NIGHT Q.36
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY HOME STATUS, HOUSEHOLD INCOME AND EDUCATION

		HOME STATUS			HOUSEHOLD INCOME						EDUCATION				
	TOTAL	OWN	RENT	DK/ REF- USED	UNDER $10M	$10M TO $14.9	$15M TO $24.9	$25M TO $39.9	$40M AND OVER	REF- USED	ELEM- ENT- ARY	SECON -DARY	COLL- EGE/ CEGEP	UNIVE- RSITY	REF- USED
TOTAL	1897	1173	723	1	104	227	458	574	235	299	218	960	243	465	11
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
DURING THE DAY															
UNDER 60F (UNDER 15C)	130	71	58	1	6	27	35	29	14	19	25	55	12	38	-
	6.9	6.1	8.0	100.0	5.8	11.9	7.6	5.1	6.0	6.4	11.5	5.7	4.9	8.2	
60-63F (15-17C)	160	102	58	-	9	20	47	43	21	20	14	80	17	48	1
	8.4	8.7	8.0		8.7	8.8	10.3	7.5	8.9	6.7	6.4	8.3	7.0	10.3	9.1
64-66F (18-19C)	331	218	113	-	12	35	75	108	37	64	23	178	44	83	3
	17.5	18.6	15.6		11.5	15.4	16.4	18.8	15.8	21.4	10.5	18.6	18.1	17.8	27.3
67-69F (ABOUT 20C)	611	420	191	-	20	57	126	211	99	98	61	292	83	172	3
	32.2	35.8	26.4		19.2	25.1	27.5	36.7	42.1	32.8	28.0	30.4	34.2	37.0	27.3
70-72F (21-22C)	478	308	170	-	36	56	118	147	46	75	66	262	61	86	3
	25.2	26.2	23.5		34.6	24.7	25.8	25.6	19.6	25.1	30.3	27.3	25.1	18.5	27.2
OVER 72F (OVER 22C)	54	27	27	-	5	6	13	17	9	4	8	26	8	12	-
	2.8	2.3	3.8		4.8	2.6	2.8	3.0	3.8	1.3	3.7	2.7	3.3	2.6	
DON'T KNOW	29	9	20	-	3	4	7	5	4	6	3	15	3	7	1
	1.5	.8	2.8		2.9	1.8	1.5	.9	1.7	2.0	1.4	1.6	1.2	1.5	9.1
NO THERMOSTAT	104	18	86	-	13	22	37	14	5	13	18	52	15	19	-
	5.5	1.5	11.9		12.5	9.7	8.1	2.4	2.1	4.3	8.2	5.4	6.2	4.1	
DURING THE NIGHT															
UNDER 60F (UNDER 15C)	313	190	122	1	19	50	80	90	35	39	54	131	32	96	-
	16.5	16.2	16.9	100.0	18.3	22.0	17.5	15.7	14.9	13.1	24.8	13.7	13.2	20.7	
60-63F (15-17C)	355	267	88	-	15	34	85	114	49	58	29	175	48	100	3
	18.7	22.8	12.2		14.4	15.0	18.6	19.9	20.9	19.4	13.3	18.2	19.8	21.5	27.3
64-66F (18-19C)	458	297	161	-	19	49	103	134	64	89	43	227	65	120	3
	24.2	25.3	22.3		18.3	21.6	22.5	23.3	27.2	29.8	19.7	23.6	26.7	25.8	27.3
67-69F (ABOUT 20C)	405	255	150	-	20	41	84	145	48	67	45	222	53	82	3
	21.3	21.8	20.7		19.2	18.1	18.3	25.3	20.4	22.4	20.6	23.1	21.8	17.6	27.2
70-72F (21-22C)	196	117	79	-	12	25	54	59	22	24	24	119	20	32	1
	10.3	10.0	10.9		11.5	11.0	11.8	10.3	9.4	8.0	11.0	12.4	8.2	6.9	9.1
OVER 72F (OVER 22C)	37	18	19	-	3	3	8	13	8	2	3	19	6	9	-
	2.0	1.5	2.6		2.9	1.3	1.7	2.2	3.4	.7	1.4	2.0	2.5	1.9	
DON'T KNOW	29	11	18	-	3	3	7	5	4	7	2	15	4	7	1
	1.5	.9	2.5		2.9	1.3	1.5	.9	1.7	2.3	.9	1.6	1.6	1.5	9.1
NO THERMOSTAT	104	18	86	-	13	22	37	14	5	13	18	52	15	19	-
	5.5	1.5	11.9		12.5	9.7	8.1	2.4	2.1	4.3	8.3	5.4	6.2	4.1	

TEMPERATURE SETTING DURING DAY AND NIGHT Q.36
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY METHOD OF HEATING HOME AND SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE

		METHOD OF HEATING HOME				SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE				
	TOTAL	HEAT-ING OIL	ELEC-TRIC-ITY	NATU-RAL GAS	OTHER	VERY	SOME-WHAT	NOT TOO	NOT AT ALL	DK/ NOT APPL
TOTAL	1897	589	418	708	120	257	533	600	424	83
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
DURING THE DAY										
UNDER 60F (UNDER 15C)	130	33	35	45	11	26	33	32	32	7
	6.9	5.6	8.4	6.4	9.2	10.1	6.2	5.4	7.6	8.5
60-63F (15-17C)	160	50	41	52	14	17	57	45	36	5
	8.4	8.5	9.8	7.4	11.7	6.6	10.7	7.5	8.5	6.0
64-66F (18-19C)	331	114	58	129	17	46	86	101	81	17
	17.5	19.4	13.9	18.2	14.2	17.9	16.1	16.8	19.1	20.5
67-69F (ABOUT 20C)	611	192	133	254	23	74	180	210	124	23
	32.2	32.6	31.8	35.9	19.2	28.8	33.8	35.0	29.2	27.7
70-72F (21-22C)	478	144	109	187	28	65	131	157	105	20
	25.2	24.4	26.1	26.4	23.3	25.3	24.6	26.2	24.8	24.1
OVER 72F (OVER 22C)	54	15	18	18	2	6	14	17	14	3
	2.8	2.5	4.3	2.5	1.6	2.4	2.6	2.8	3.3	3.6
DON'T KNOW	29	10	6	5	6	8	5	11	3	2
	1.5	1.7	1.4	.7	5.0	3.1	.9	1.8	.7	2.4
NO THERMOSTAT	104	31	18	18	19	15	27	27	29	6
	5.5	5.3	4.3	2.5	15.8	5.8	5.1	4.5	6.8	7.2
DURING THE NIGHT										
UNDER 60F (UNDER 15C)	313	88	74	121	23	45	83	102	69	14
	16.5	14.9	17.7	17.1	19.2	17.5	15.6	17.0	16.3	16.9
60-63F (15-17C)	355	123	81	124	20	40	95	117	90	13
	18.7	20.9	19.4	17.5	16.7	15.6	17.8	19.5	21.2	15.7
64-66F (18-19C)	458	155	89	180	22	57	133	153	97	18
	24.2	26.3	21.3	25.4	18.3	22.2	25.0	25.5	22.9	21.7
67-69F (ABOUT 20C)	405	128	90	165	15	59	127	125	79	15
	21.3	21.7	21.5	23.3	12.5	23.0	23.8	20.8	18.6	18.1
70-72F (21-22C)	196	50	45	81	12	31	49	58	46	12
	10.3	8.5	10.8	11.5	10.0	12.1	9.2	9.7	10.9	14.4
OVER 72F (OVER 22C)	37	6	15	13	2	5	13	7	10	2
	2.0	1.0	3.6	1.8	1.7	1.9	2.4	1.2	2.4	2.4
DON'T KNOW	29	8	6	6	7	5	6	11	4	3
	1.5	1.4	1.4	.9	5.8	1.9	1.1	1.8	.9	3.6
NO THERMOSTAT	104	31	18	18	19	15	27	27	29	6
	5.5	5.3	4.3	2.5	15.8	5.8	5.1	4.5	6.8	7.2

AGREEMENT OR DISAGREEMENT WITH STATEMENTS ON ENERGY SITUATION Q.37
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY CITY AND AGE

		CITY									AGE			
	TOTAL	HALI-FAX	MONC-TON	QUE. CITY	MONT-REAL	OTT-AWA	TOR-ONTO	WINN-IPEG	CAL-GARY	VANC-OUVER	UNDER 35	35-44	45-54	55 & OVER
TOTAL	1897	206	210	212	213	210	213	212	210	209	828	416	260	388
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
THE "ENERGY CRISIS" WAS A HOAX														
AGREE	945	105	130	101	100	87	108	111	105	96	380	211	151	201
	49.8	51.0	61.9	47.7	46.9	41.4	50.7	52.4	50.0	45.9	45.9	50.7	58.1	51.8
DISAGREE	854	80	79	103	102	115	93	90	94	98	410	186	97	159
	45.0	38.8	37.6	48.6	47.9	54.8	43.7	42.5	44.8	46.9	49.5	44.7	37.3	41.0
NOT APPLICABLE	56	8	-	2	10	3	8	5	5	15	22	9	7	18
	3.0	3.9		.9	4.7	1.4	3.7	2.3	2.4	7.2	2.7	2.2	2.7	4.6
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	42	13	1	6	1	5	4	6	6	-	16	10	5	10
	2.2	6.3	.5	2.8	.5	2.4	1.9	2.8	2.8		1.9	2.4	1.9	2.6
THERE IS NO REAL NEED TO CONSERVE ENERGY BECAUSE TECHNOLOGY WILL SOLVE THE PROBLEM														
AGREE	345	32	44	60	66	22	37	37	27	20	125	66	54	99
	18.2	15.5	20.9	28.3	31.0	10.5	17.4	17.5	12.9	9.6	15.1	15.9	20.8	25.5
DISAGREE	1490	166	165	136	138	188	172	164	177	183	692	339	191	264
	78.5	80.6	78.6	64.2	64.8	89.5	80.7	77.3	84.3	87.5	83.6	81.5	73.5	68.0
NOT APPLICABLE	40	2	1	7	9	-	3	7	4	6	5	8	10	17
	2.1	1.0	.5	3.3	4.2		1.4	3.3	1.9	2.9	.6	1.9	3.8	4.4
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	22	6	-	9	-	-	1	4	2	-	6	3	5	8
	1.2	2.9		4.2			.5	1.9	.9		.7	.7	1.9	2.1
IT'S PROBABLY NOT THAT DIFFICULT TO REDUCE THE AMOUNT OF ENERGY I USE														
AGREE	1314	143	117	135	163	143	140	170	156	145	612	286	173	241
	69.3	69.4	55.7	63.7	76.5	68.1	65.7	80.2	74.3	69.4	73.9	68.7	66.5	62.1
DISAGREE	565	61	93	75	49	66	67	40	51	63	210	128	84	140
	29.8	29.6	44.3	35.4	23.0	31.4	31.5	18.9	24.3	30.1	25.4	30.8	32.3	36.1
NOT APPLICABLE	11	1	-	1	1	1	4	-	2	1	4	2	2	3
	.6	.5		.5	.5	.5	1.9		.9	.5	.5	.5	.8	.8
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	7	1	-	1	-	-	2	2	1	-	2	-	1	4
	.3	.5		.4			.9	.9	.5		.2		.4	1.0

AGREEMENT OR DISAGREEMENT WITH STATEMENTS ON ENERGY SITUATION Q.37
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY SEX, AGE OF HOME AND TYPE OF HOME

		SEX		AGE OF HOME				TYPE OF HOME						
	TOTAL	MALE	FE-MALE	LESS THAN 11	11-20 YEARS	21 AND OVER	DON'T KNOW/ REF.	DET-ACHED HOUSE	SEMI-DET-ACHED	2/3/4 PLEX	ROW/ TOWN HOUSE	FLAT/ APART -MENT	ALL OTHER	D/K REF-USED
TOTAL	1897	933	942	581	484	775	57	1042	87	181	78	457	47	5
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
THE "ENERGY CRISIS" WAS A HOAX														
AGREE	945	510	421	283	243	397	22	546	48	83	34	209	21	4
	49.8	54.7	44.7	48.7	50.2	51.2	38.6	52.4	55.2	45.9	43.6	45.7	44.7	80.0
DISAGREE	854	383	463	278	209	337	30	437	35	92	43	223	23	1
	45.0	41.0	49.1	47.9	43.2	43.5	52.6	41.9	40.2	50.8	55.1	48.8	48.9	20.0
NOT APPLICABLE	56	27	29	14	13	25	4	34	1	4	1	14	2	-
	3.0	2.9	3.1	2.4	2.7	3.2	7.0	3.3	1.2	2.2	1.3	3.1	4.3	
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	42	13	29	6	19	16	1	25	3	2	-	11	1	-
	2.2	1.4	3.1	1.0	3.9	2.1	1.8	2.4	3.4	1.1		2.4	2.1	
THERE IS NO REAL NEED TO CONSERVE ENERGY BECAUSE TECHNOLOGY WILL SOLVE THE PROBLEM														
AGREE	345	196	146	110	81	146	8	180	19	40	12	84	10	-
	18.2	21.0	15.5	18.9	16.7	18.8	14.0	17.3	21.8	22.1	15.4	18.4	21.3	
DISAGREE	1490	719	752	459	386	599	46	830	67	131	65	355	37	5
	78.5	77.1	79.8	79.0	79.8	77.3	80.7	79.7	77.0	72.4	83.3	77.7	78.7	100.0
NOT APPLICABLE	40	11	29	7	11	20	2	19	1	8	1	11	-	-
	2.1	1.2	3.1	1.2	2.3	2.6	3.5	1.8	1.2	4.4	1.3	2.4		
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	22	7	15	5	6	10	1	13	-	2	-	7	-	-
	1.2	.7	1.6	.9	1.2	1.3	1.8	1.2		1.1		1.5		
IT'S PROBABLY NOT THAT DIFFICULT TO REDUCE THE AMOUNT OF ENERGY I USE														
AGREE	1314	662	635	403	335	536	40	714	61	127	59	320	28	5
	69.3	71.0	67.4	69.4	69.2	69.1	70.2	68.5	70.1	70.2	75.6	70.0	59.6	100.0
DISAGREE	565	266	294	173	145	230	17	318	26	53	18	131	19	-
	29.8	28.5	31.2	29.8	30.0	29.7	29.8	30.5	29.9	29.3	23.1	28.7	40.4	
NOT APPLICABLE	11	2	9	3	2	6	-	4	-	1	1	5	-	-
	.6	.2	1.0	.5	.4	.8		.4		.5	1.3	1.1		
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	7	3	4	2	2	3	-	6	-	-	-	1	-	-
	.3	.3	.4	.3	.4	.4		.6				.2		

AGREEMENT OR DISAGREEMENT WITH STATEMENTS ON ENERGY SITUATION Q.37
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY HOME STATUS, HOUSEHOLD INCOME AND EDUCATION

		HOME STATUS			HOUSEHOLD INCOME						EDUCATION				
	TOTAL	OWN	RENT	DK/ REF- USED	UNDER $10M	$10M TO $14.9	$15M TO $24.9	$25M TO $39.9	$40M AND OVER	REF- USED	ELEM- ENT- ARY	SECON -DARY	COLL- EGE/ CEGEP	UNIVE- RSITY	REF- USED
TOTAL	1897	1173	723	1	104	227	458	574	235	299	218	960	243	465	11
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
THE "ENERGY CRISIS" WAS A HOAX															
AGREE	945	616	329	-	55	102	219	305	122	142	118	503	113	204	7
	49.8	52.5	45.5		52.9	44.9	47.8	53.1	51.9	47.5	54.1	52.4	46.5	43.9	63.6
DISAGREE	854	504	349	1	43	108	216	249	103	135	82	415	115	239	3
	45.0	43.0	48.3	100.0	41.4	47.6	47.2	43.4	43.8	45.1	37.6	43.2	47.3	51.4	27.3
NOT APPLICABLE	56	31	25	-	4	9	15	14	6	8	8	26	11	10	1
	3.0	2.6	3.4		3.8	4.0	3.3	2.4	2.6	2.7	3.7	2.7	4.5	2.1	9.1
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	42	22	20	-	2	8	8	6	4	14	10	16	4	12	-
	2.2	1.9	2.8		1.9	3.5	1.7	1.1	1.7	4.7	4.6	1.7	1.7	2.6	
THERE IS NO REAL NEED TO CONSERVE ENERGY BECAUSE TECHNOLOGY WILL SOLVE THE PROBLEM															
AGREE	345	215	130	-	26	51	91	91	28	58	58	193	43	47	4
	18.2	18.3	18.0		25.0	22.5	19.9	15.9	11.9	19.4	26.6	20.1	17.7	10.1	36.4
DISAGREE	1490	922	567	1	73	166	354	473	200	224	142	740	193	409	6
	78.5	78.6	78.4	100.0	70.2	73.1	77.3	82.4	85.1	74.9	65.1	77.1	79.4	88.0	54.5
NOT APPLICABLE	40	22	18	-	4	7	9	7	4	9	10	20	2	7	1
	2.1	1.9	2.5		3.8	3.1	1.9	1.2	1.7	3.0	4.6	2.1	.8	1.5	9.1
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	22	14	8	-	1	3	4	3	3	8	8	7	5	2	-
	1.2	1.2	1.1		1.0	1.3	.9	.5	1.3	2.7	3.7	.7	2.1	.4	
IT'S PROBABLY NOT THAT DIFFICULT TO REDUCE THE AMOUNT OF ENERGY I USE															
AGREE	1314	801	512	1	63	153	312	414	172	200	140	670	179	317	8
	69.3	68.3	70.8	100.0	60.6	67.4	68.1	72.1	73.2	66.9	64.2	69.8	73.7	68.2	72.7
DISAGREE	565	365	200	-	41	70	141	154	62	97	75	281	64	142	3
	29.8	31.1	27.7		39.4	30.8	30.8	26.8	26.4	32.4	34.4	29.3	26.3	30.5	27.3
NOT APPLICABLE	11	3	8	-	-	1	3	4	1	2	1	6	-	4	-
	.6	.3	1.1			.5	.7	.7	.4	.7	.5	.6		.9	
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	7	4	3	-	-	3	2	2	-	-	2	3	-	2	-
	.3	.3	.4			1.3	.4	.4			.9	.3		.4	

AGREEMENT OR DISAGREEMENT WITH STATEMENTS ON ENERGY SITUATION Q.37
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY METHOD OF HEATING HOME AND SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE

		METHOD OF HEATING HOME				SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE				
	TOTAL	HEAT-ING OIL	ELEC-TRIC-ITY	NATU-RAL GAS	OTHER	VERY	SOME-WHAT	NOT TOO	NOT AT ALL	DK/ NOT APPL
TOTAL	1897	589	418	708	120	257	533	600	424	83
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
THE "ENERGY CRISIS" WAS A HOAX										
AGREE	945	310	203	336	71	113	206	324	266	36
	49.8	52.6	48.6	47.5	59.2	44.0	38.6	54.0	62.7	43.4
DISAGREE	854	245	198	335	43	136	298	251	134	35
	45.0	41.6	47.3	47.3	35.8	52.9	55.9	41.8	31.6	42.2
NOT APPLICABLE	56	16	12	23	3	4	18	16	13	5
	3.0	2.7	2.9	3.2	2.5	1.6	3.4	2.7	3.1	6.0
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	42	18	5	14	3	4	11	9	11	7
	2.2	3.1	1.2	2.0	2.5	1.5	2.1	1.5	2.6	8.4
THERE IS NO REAL NEED TO CONSERVE ENERGY BECAUSE TECHNOLOGY WILL SOLVE THE PROBLEM										
AGREE	345	110	93	108	23	50	93	93	96	13
	18.2	18.7	22.2	15.3	19.2	19.5	17.5	15.5	22.6	15.7
DISAGREE	1490	461	310	577	95	199	418	492	318	63
	78.5	78.3	74.2	81.5	79.2	77.4	78.4	82.0	75.0	75.9
NOT APPLICABLE	40	9	10	16	2	5	15	10	7	3
	2.1	1.5	2.4	2.2	1.6	1.9	2.8	1.7	1.7	3.6
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	22	9	5	7	-	3	7	5	3	4
	1.2	1.5	1.2	1.0		1.2	1.3	.8	.7	4.8
IT'S PROBABLY NOT THAT DIFFICULT TO REDUCE THE AMOUNT OF ENERGY I USE										
AGREE	1314	384	285	517	84	168	386	418	286	56
	69.3	65.2	68.2	73.0	70.0	65.4	72.4	69.7	67.5	67.5
DISAGREE	565	199	131	181	36	87	141	176	134	27
	29.8	33.8	31.4	25.6	30.0	33.8	26.5	29.3	31.6	32.5
NOT APPLICABLE	11	4	1	6	-	-	5	2	4	-
	.6	.7	.2	.8			.9	.3	.9	
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	7	2	1	4	-	2	1	4	-	-
	.3	.3	.2	.6		.8	.2	.7		

AGREEMENT OR DISAGREEMENT WITH STATEMENTS ON ENERGY SITUATION Q.37
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY CITY AND AGE

		CITY									AGE			
	TOTAL	HALI-FAX	MONC-TON	QUE. CITY	MONT-REAL	OTT-AWA	TOR-ONTO	WINN-IPEG	CAL-GARY	VANC-OUVER	UNDER 35	35-44	45-54	55 & OVER
TOTAL	1897	206	210	212	213	210	213	212	210	209	828	416	260	388
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
I BUDGET VERY CAREFULLY FOR FUEL AND ELECTRICITY BILLS														
AGREE	1123	137	147	134	134	124	113	130	91	112	449	252	156	262
	59.2	66.5	70.0	63.2	62.9	59.0	53.0	61.3	43.3	53.6	54.2	60.6	60.0	67.5
DISAGREE	629	58	60	43	66	69	70	71	102	89	302	150	81	96
	33.2	28.2	28.6	20.3	31.0	32.9	32.9	33.5	48.6	42.6	36.5	36.0	31.1	24.7
NOT APPLICABLE	142	11	3	35	13	17	30	8	17	8	77	14	21	29
	7.5	5.3	1.4	16.5	6.1	8.1	14.1	3.8	8.1	3.8	9.3	3.4	8.1	7.5
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	-	2	1
	.1							1.4					.8	.3
SOON EVERYONE IS GOING TO HAVE TO USE LESS ENERGY														
AGREE	1257	137	131	142	148	149	134	138	135	142	549	296	168	242
	66.3	66.5	62.4	67.0	69.5	71.0	62.9	65.1	64.3	68.0	66.3	71.2	64.6	62.4
DISAGREE	571	58	75	63	60	58	70	64	66	56	251	106	83	129
	30.1	28.2	35.7	29.7	28.2	27.6	32.9	30.2	31.4	26.8	30.3	25.5	31.9	33.2
NOT APPLICABLE	36	5	3	2	4	-	6	4	4	8	15	6	6	9
	1.9	2.4	1.4	.9	1.9		2.8	1.9	1.9	3.8	1.8	1.4	2.3	2.3
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	33	6	1	5	1	3	3	6	5	3	13	8	3	8
	1.7	2.9	.5	2.4	.4	1.4	1.4	2.8	2.4	1.4	1.6	1.9	1.2	2.1
IT IS USELESS FOR THE INDIVIDUAL CONSUMER TO DO ANYTHING ABOUT ENERGY CONSERVATION														
AGREE	232	24	24	31	49	15	28	22	17	22	96	48	29	58
	12.2	11.7	11.4	14.6	23.0	7.1	13.2	10.4	8.1	10.5	11.6	11.5	11.2	15.0
DISAGREE	1647	175	183	181	164	194	183	188	191	186	727	366	227	323
	86.8	84.9	87.1	85.4	77.0	92.4	85.9	88.7	91.0	89.0	87.8	88.0	87.3	83.2
NOT APPLICABLE	6	-	1	-	-	1	-	1	2	1	2	-	3	1
	.3		.5			.5		.5	.9	.5	.2		1.1	.3
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	12	7	2	-	-	-	2	1	-	-	3	2	1	6
	.7	3.4	1.0				.9	.4			.4	.5	.4	1.5

AGREEMENT OR DISAGREEMENT WITH STATEMENTS ON ENERGY SITUATION Q.37
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY SEX, AGE OF HOME AND TYPE OF HOME

		SEX		AGE OF HOME				TYPE OF HOME						
	TOTAL	MALE	FE-MALE	LESS THAN 11	11-20 YEARS	21 AND OVER	DON'T KNOW/ REF.	DET-ACHED HOUSE	SEMI-DET-ACHED	2/3/4 PLEX	ROW/ TOWN HOUSE	FLAT/ APART-MENT	ALL OTHER	D/K REF-USED
TOTAL	1897	933	942	581	484	775	57	1042	87	181	78	457	47	5
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
I BUDGET VERY CAREFULLY FOR FUEL AND ELECTRICITY BILLS														
AGREE	1123	534	573	319	284	489	31	667	59	116	51	201	26	3
	59.2	57.2	60.8	54.9	58.7	63.1	54.4	64.0	67.8	64.1	65.4	44.0	55.3	60.0
DISAGREE	629	347	276	201	156	253	19	358	27	59	24	147	14	-
	33.2	37.2	29.3	34.6	32.2	32.6	33.3	34.4	31.0	32.6	30.8	32.2	29.8	
NOT APPLICABLE	142	51	91	61	43	31	7	14	1	6	3	109	7	2
	7.5	5.5	9.7	10.5	8.9	4.0	12.3	1.3	1.2	3.3	3.8	23.8	14.9	40.0
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	3	1	2	-	1	2	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	-
	.1	.1	.2		.2	.3		.3						
SOON EVERYONE IS GOING TO HAVE TO USE LESS ENERGY														
AGREE	1257	589	653	396	316	508	37	661	62	126	53	320	31	4
	66.3	63.1	69.3	68.2	65.3	65.6	64.9	63.4	71.3	69.6	67.9	70.0	66.0	80.0
DISAGREE	571	315	249	170	149	235	17	335	24	47	23	127	15	-
	30.1	33.8	26.5	29.2	30.8	30.3	29.8	32.2	27.6	26.0	29.5	27.8	31.9	
NOT APPLICABLE	36	14	22	7	10	18	1	23	1	3	2	5	1	1
	1.9	1.5	2.3	1.2	2.1	2.3	1.8	2.2	1.1	1.6	2.6	1.1	2.1	20.0
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	33	15	18	8	9	14	2	23	-	5	-	5	-	-
	1.7	1.6	1.9	1.4	1.8	1.8	3.5	2.2		2.8		1.1		
IT IS USELESS FOR THE INDIVIDUAL CONSUMER TO DO ANYTHING ABOUT ENERGY CONSERVATION														
AGREE	232	115	115	67	54	94	17	110	13	19	11	72	7	-
	12.2	12.3	12.2	11.5	11.2	12.1	29.8	10.6	14.9	10.5	14.1	15.8	14.9	
DISAGREE	1647	810	817	510	424	673	40	917	74	160	67	384	40	5
	86.8	86.8	86.7	87.8	87.6	86.9	70.2	88.0	85.1	88.4	85.9	84.0	85.1	100.0
NOT APPLICABLE	6	3	3	2	3	1	-	5	-	1	-	-	-	-
	.3	.3	.3	.4	.6	.1		.5		.6				
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	12	5	7	2	3	7	-	10	-	1	-	1	-	-
	.7	.6	.8	.3	.6	.9		.9		.5		.2		

AGREEMENT OR DISAGREEMENT WITH STATEMENTS ON ENERGY SITUATION Q.37
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY HOME STATUS, HOUSEHOLD INCOME AND EDUCATION

		HOME STATUS			HOUSEHOLD INCOME						EDUCATION				
	TOTAL	OWN	RENT	DK/ REF-USED	UNDER $10M	$10M TO $14.9	$15M TO $24.9	$25M TO $39.9	$40M AND OVER	REF-USED	ELEM-ENT-ARY	SECON-DARY	COLL-EGE/ CEGEP	UNIVE-RSITY	REF-USED
TOTAL	1897	1173	723	1	104	227	458	574	235	299	218	960	243	465	11
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
I BUDGET VERY CAREFULLY FOR FUEL AND ELECTRICITY BILLS															
AGREE	1123	766	357	-	67	144	289	335	122	166	165	590	134	226	8
	59.2	65.3	49.4		64.4	63.4	63.1	58.4	51.9	55.5	75.7	61.5	55.1	48.6	72.7
DISAGREE	629	388	241	-	18	59	125	211	105	111	39	292	87	209	2
	33.2	33.1	33.3		17.3	26.0	27.3	36.7	44.7	37.1	17.9	30.4	35.8	45.0	18.2
NOT APPLICABLE	142	16	125	1	19	23	44	27	8	21	14	77	22	28	1
	7.5	1.4	17.3	100.0	18.3	10.1	9.6	4.7	3.4	7.0	6.4	8.0	9.1	6.0	9.1
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	3	3	-	-	-	1	-	1	-	1	-	1	-	2	-
	.1	.2				.5		.2		.4		.1		.4	
SOON EVERYONE IS GOING TO HAVE TO USE LESS ENERGY															
AGREE	1257	758	498	1	75	153	322	378	140	189	139	653	159	299	7
	66.3	64.6	68.9	100.0	72.1	67.4	70.3	65.8	59.6	63.2	63.8	68.0	65.4	64.3	63.6
DISAGREE	571	370	201	-	23	66	124	172	89	97	67	275	76	149	4
	30.1	31.5	27.8		22.1	29.1	27.1	30.0	37.9	32.5	30.7	28.6	31.3	32.0	36.4
NOT APPLICABLE	36	22	14	-	3	5	7	12	2	7	6	14	6	10	-
	1.9	1.9	1.9		2.9	2.2	1.5	2.1	.8	2.3	2.8	1.5	2.5	2.2	
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	33	23	10	-	3	3	5	12	4	6	6	18	2	7	-
	1.7	2.0	1.4		2.9	1.3	1.1	2.1	1.7	2.0	2.7	1.9	.8	1.5	
IT IS USELESS FOR THE INDIVIDUAL CONSUMER TO DO ANYTHING ABOUT ENERGY CONSERVATION															
AGREE	232	127	105	-	16	35	65	58	15	43	44	127	28	32	1
	12.2	10.8	14.5		15.4	15.4	14.2	10.1	6.4	14.4	20.2	13.3	11.5	6.9	9.1
DISAGREE	1647	1032	614	1	84	189	391	513	220	250	169	822	213	433	10
	86.8	88.0	84.9	100.0	80.8	83.3	85.4	89.4	93.6	83.6	77.5	85.6	87.7	93.1	90.9
NOT APPLICABLE	6	4	2	-	2	1	1	1	-	1	1	4	1	-	-
	.3	.3	.3		1.9	.4	.2	.2		.3	.5	.4	.4		
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	12	10	2	-	2	2	1	2	-	5	4	7	1	-	-
	.7	.9	.3		1.9	.9	.2	.3		1.7	1.8	.7	.4		

AGREEMENT OR DISAGREEMENT WITH STATEMENTS ON ENERGY SITUATION Q.37
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY METHOD OF HEATING HOME AND SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE

		METHOD OF HEATING HOME				SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE				
	TOTAL	HEAT-ING OIL	ELEC-TRIC-ITY	NATU-RAL GAS	OTHER	VERY	SOME-WHAT	NOT TOO	NOT AT ALL	DK/ NOT APPL
TOTAL	1897	589	418	708	120	257	533	600	424	83
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
I BUDGET VERY CAREFULLY FOR FUEL AND ELECTRICITY BILLS										
AGREE	1123	394	244	400	60	171	326	347	222	57
	59.2	66.9	58.4	56.5	50.0	66.5	61.2	57.9	52.3	68.7
DISAGREE	629	167	117	283	44	53	156	219	178	23
	33.2	28.4	28.0	40.0	36.7	20.6	29.3	36.5	42.0	27.7
NOT APPLICABLE	142	28	56	23	16	33	51	32	24	2
	7.5	4.7	13.4	3.2	13.3	12.9	9.5	5.3	5.7	2.4
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	3	-	1	2	-	-	-	2	-	1
	.1		.2	.3				.3		1.2
SOON EVERYONE IS GOING TO HAVE TO USE LESS ENERGY										
AGREE	1257	396	287	457	73	190	385	388	237	57
	66.3	67.2	68.7	64.6	60.8	73.9	72.2	64.7	55.9	68.7
DISAGREE	571	174	122	221	38	61	131	186	172	21
	30.1	29.6	29.2	31.2	31.7	23.7	24.6	31.0	40.6	25.3
NOT APPLICABLE	36	12	5	12	5	2	10	14	6	4
	1.9	2.0	1.2	1.7	4.2	.8	1.9	2.3	1.4	4.8
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	33	7	4	18	4	4	7	12	9	1
	1.7	1.2	.9	2.5	3.3	1.6	1.3	2.0	2.1	1.2
IT IS USELESS FOR THE INDIVIDUAL CONSUMER TO DO ANYTHING ABOUT ENERGY CONSERVATION										
AGREE	232	75	65	80	7	39	70	63	47	13
	12.2	12.8	15.6	11.3	5.8	15.2	13.1	10.5	11.1	15.7
DISAGREE	1647	503	352	622	113	215	461	532	375	64
	86.8	85.4	84.2	87.9	94.2	83.6	86.5	88.7	88.5	77.1
NOT APPLICABLE	6	2	1	3	-	1	1	3	1	-
	.3	.3	.2	.4		.4	.2	.5	.2	
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	12	9	-	3	-	2	1	2	1	6
	.7	1.5		.4		.8	.2	.3	.2	7.2

AGREEMENT OR DISAGREEMENT WITH STATEMENTS ON ENERGY SITUATION Q.37
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY CITY AND AGE

		CITY									AGE			
	TOTAL	HALI-FAX	MONC-TON	QUE. CITY	MONT-REAL	OTT-AWA	TOR-ONTO	WINN-IPEG	CAL-GARY	VANC-OUVER	UNDER 35	35-44	45-54	55 & OVER
TOTAL	1897	206	210	212	213	210	213	212	210	209	828	416	260	388
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
SINCE WE HAVE PLENTY OF GAS AND OIL THERE IS NO REAL NEED TO CONSERVE ENERGY														
AGREE	265	22	45	44	51	9	25	27	27	15	95	55	39	75
	14.0	10.7	21.4	20.8	24.0	4.3	11.7	12.7	12.8	7.2	11.5	13.2	15.0	19.3
DISAGREE	1602	176	165	164	160	199	187	177	181	192	725	356	214	303
	84.5	85.4	78.6	77.3	75.1	94.8	87.8	83.5	86.2	91.9	87.6	85.6	82.3	78.1
NOT APPLICABLE	14	-	-	3	2	2	1	2	1	2	3	2	4	5
	.7			1.4	.9	.9	.5	1.0	.5	.9	.3	.5	1.5	1.3
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	16	8	-	1	-	-	-	6	1	-	5	3	3	5
	.8	3.9		.5				2.8	.5		.6	.7	1.2	1.3
I RESENT UTILITIES AND GOVERNMENTS ASKING TO SAVE MORE ENERGY														
AGREE	567	73	86	58	78	47	65	65	55	39	236	117	73	138
	29.9	35.4	40.9	27.4	36.6	22.4	30.5	30.7	26.2	18.7	28.5	28.1	28.1	35.6
DISAGREE	1313	131	123	150	130	163	146	147	153	169	586	294	185	246
	69.2	63.6	58.6	70.8	61.0	77.6	68.5	69.3	72.8	80.8	70.8	70.7	71.1	63.4
NOT APPLICABLE	10	-	-	2	5	-	1	-	1	1	3	3	1	3
	.5			.9	2.4		.5		.5	.5	.4	.7	.4	.8
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	7	2	1	2	-	-	1	-	1	-	3	2	1	1
	.4	1.0	.5	.9			.5		.5		.3	.5	.4	.2
IF CANADIANS DON'T REDUCE THE AMOUNT OF GAS AND OIL THEY USE, IN A FEW YEARS CANADA WILL BE TOO DEPENDENT ON FOREIGN OIL PRODUCERS														
AGREE	885	85	97	130	134	78	103	91	78	88	402	200	113	169
	46.7	41.2	46.2	61.3	62.9	37.1	48.4	42.9	37.1	42.1	48.6	48.1	43.5	43.6
DISAGREE	896	98	110	67	73	118	101	102	120	106	395	189	128	181
	47.2	47.6	52.4	31.6	34.3	56.2	47.4	48.1	57.1	50.7	47.7	45.4	49.2	46.6
NOT APPLICABLE	59	8	1	7	5	2	8	9	6	13	15	14	11	19
	3.1	3.9	.5	3.3	2.3	1.0	3.7	4.3	2.9	6.2	1.8	3.4	4.2	4.9
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	57	15	2	8	1	12	1	10	6	2	16	13	8	19
	3.0	7.3	.9	3.8	.5	5.7	.5	4.7	2.9	1.0	1.9	3.1	3.1	4.9

AGREEMENT OR DISAGREEMENT WITH STATEMENTS ON ENERGY SITUATION Q.37
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY SEX, AGE OF HOME AND TYPE OF HOME

		SEX		AGE OF HOME				TYPE OF HOME						
	TOTAL	MALE	FE-MALE	LESS THAN 11	11-20 YEARS	21 AND OVER	DON'T KNOW/ REF.	DET-ACHED HOUSE	SEMI-DET-ACHED	2/3/4 PLEX	ROW/ TOWN HOUSE	FLAT/ APART-MENT	ALL OTHER	D/K REF-USED
TOTAL	1897	933	942	581	484	775	57	1042	87	181	78	457	47	5
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
SINCE WE HAVE PLENTY OF GAS AND OIL THERE IS NO REAL NEED TO CONSERVE ENERGY														
AGREE	265	144	120	81	77	96	11	130	11	30	12	74	8	-
	14.0	15.5	12.7	14.0	15.9	12.4	19.3	12.5	12.7	16.6	15.4	16.2	17.0	
DISAGREE	1602	781	800	494	400	662	46	894	75	150	66	373	39	5
	84.5	83.7	84.9	85.0	82.7	85.4	80.7	85.8	86.2	82.9	84.6	81.6	83.0	100.0
NOT APPLICABLE	14	3	11	3	3	8	-	8	-	-	-	6	-	-
	.7	.3	1.2	.5	.6	1.0		.8				1.3		
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	16	5	11	3	4	9	-	10	1	1	-	4	-	-
	.8	.5	1.2	.5	.8	1.2		.9	1.1	.5		.9		
I RESENT UTILITIES AND GOVERNMENTS ASKING TO SAVE MORE ENERGY														
AGREE	567	295	265	172	140	237	18	310	29	50	25	140	11	2
	29.9	31.6	28.1	29.6	28.9	30.6	31.6	29.7	33.3	27.6	32.1	30.6	23.4	40.0
DISAGREE	1313	631	667	404	336	536	37	725	57	128	53	311	36	3
	69.2	67.7	70.8	69.5	69.4	69.2	64.9	69.6	65.5	70.7	67.9	68.1	76.6	60.0
NOT APPLICABLE	10	3	7	2	5	1	2	3	-	3	-	4	-	-
	.5	.3	.8	.4	1.1	.1	3.5	.3		1.7		.9		
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	7	4	3	3	3	1	-	4	1	-	-	2	-	-
	.4	.4	.3	.5	.6	.1		.4	1.2			.4		
IF CANADIANS DON'T REDUCE THE AMOUNT OF GAS AND OIL THEY USE, IN A FEW YEARS CANADA WILL BE TOO DEPENDENT ON FOREIGN OIL PRODUCERS														
AGREE	885	390	485	275	233	353	24	458	38	95	35	237	18	4
	46.7	41.8	51.5	47.3	48.2	45.6	42.1	44.0	43.7	52.5	44.9	51.9	38.3	80.0
DISAGREE	896	507	378	281	215	368	32	504	43	79	40	202	27	1
	47.2	54.4	40.1	48.4	44.4	47.5	56.1	48.4	49.4	43.6	51.3	44.2	57.5	20.0
NOT APPLICABLE	59	17	42	13	14	32	-	44	2	3	2	7	1	-
	3.1	1.8	4.5	2.2	2.9	4.1		4.2	2.3	1.7	2.5	1.5	2.1	
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	57	19	37	12	22	22	1	36	4	4	1	11	1	-
	3.0	2.0	3.9	2.1	4.5	2.8	1.8	3.4	4.6	2.2	1.3	2.4	2.1	

AGREEMENT OR DISAGREEMENT WITH STATEMENTS ON ENERGY SITUATION Q.37
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY HOME STATUS, HOUSEHOLD INCOME AND EDUCATION

		HOME STATUS			HOUSEHOLD INCOME						EDUCATION				
	TOTAL	OWN	RENT	DK/ REF- USED	UNDER $10M	$10M TO $14.9	$15M TO $24.9	$25M TO $39.9	$40M AND OVER	REF- USED	ELEM- ENT- ARY	SECON -DARY	COLL- EGE/ CEGEP	UNIVE- RSITY	REF- USED
TOTAL	1897	1173	723	1	104	227	458	574	235	299	218	960	243	465	11
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
SINCE WE HAVE PLENTY OF GAS AND OIL THERE IS NO REAL NEED TO CONSERVE ENERGY															
AGREE	265	163	102	-	20	39	77	64	23	42	42	167	23	31	2
	14.0	13.9	14.1		19.2	17.2	16.8	11.2	9.8	14.1	19.3	17.4	9.5	6.7	18.2
DISAGREE	1602	993	608	1	78	181	379	504	210	250	169	779	215	430	9
	84.5	84.7	84.1	100.0	75.0	79.7	82.8	87.8	89.4	83.6	77.5	81.2	88.5	92.5	81.8
NOT APPLICABLE	14	6	8	-	3	2	1	4	1	3	2	7	3	2	-
	.7	.5	1.1		2.9	.9	.2	.7	.4	1.0	.9	.7	1.2	.4	
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	16	11	5	-	3	5	1	2	1	4	5	7	2	2	-
	.8	.9	.7		2.9	2.2	.2	.3	.4	1.3	2.3	.7	.8	.4	
I RESENT UTILITIES AND GOVERNMENTS ASKING TO SAVE MORE ENERGY															
AGREE	567	346	220	1	35	72	148	160	57	95	90	316	62	92	7
	29.9	29.5	30.5	100.0	33.6	31.7	32.3	27.9	24.3	31.8	41.3	32.9	25.5	19.8	63.6
DISAGREE	1313	819	494	-	68	150	304	412	176	203	127	630	180	372	4
	69.2	69.8	68.3		65.4	66.1	66.4	71.8	74.9	67.9	58.3	65.6	74.1	80.0	36.4
NOT APPLICABLE	10	4	6	-	-	4	3	-	2	1	-	8	1	1	-
	.5	.4	.8			1.8	.7		.8	.3		.9	.4	.2	
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	7	4	3	-	1	1	3	2	-	-	1	6	-	-	-
	.4	.3	.4		1.0	.4	.6	.3			.4	.6			
IF CANADIANS DON'T REDUCE THE AMOUNT OF GAS AND OIL THEY USE, IN A FEW YEARS CANADA WILL BE TOO DEPENDENT ON FOREIGN OIL PRODUCERS															
AGREE	885	527	357	1	55	115	225	254	95	141	92	446	131	211	5
	46.7	44.9	49.4	100.0	52.9	50.7	49.1	44.3	40.4	47.2	42.2	46.5	53.9	45.4	45.5
DISAGREE	896	564	332	-	37	104	206	294	125	130	106	465	99	220	6
	47.2	48.1	45.9		35.6	45.8	45.0	51.2	53.2	43.5	48.6	48.4	40.7	47.3	54.5
NOT APPLICABLE	59	44	15	-	4	3	16	12	9	15	6	23	8	22	-
	3.1	3.8	2.1		3.8	1.3	3.5	2.1	3.8	5.0	2.8	2.4	3.3	4.7	
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	57	38	19	-	8	5	11	14	6	13	14	26	5	12	-
	3.0	3.2	2.6		7.7	2.2	2.4	2.4	2.6	4.3	6.4	2.7	2.1	2.6	

AGREEMENT OR DISAGREEMENT WITH STATEMENTS ON ENERGY SITUATION Q.37
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY METHOD OF HEATING HOME AND SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE

		METHOD OF HEATING HOME				SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE				
	TOTAL	HEAT-ING OIL	ELEC-TRIC-ITY	NATU-RAL GAS	OTHER	VERY	SOME-WHAT	NOT TOO	NOT AT ALL	DK/ NOT APPL
TOTAL	1897	589	418	708	120	257	533	600	424	83
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
SINCE WE HAVE PLENTY OF GAS AND OIL THERE IS NO REAL NEED TO CONSERVE ENERGY										
AGREE	265	94	65	80	15	39	65	76	76	9
	14.0	15.9	15.6	11.3	12.5	15.2	12.2	12.7	17.9	10.9
DISAGREE	1602	484	348	619	104	216	459	519	343	65
	84.5	82.2	83.3	87.4	86.7	84.0	86.1	86.5	80.9	78.3
NOT APPLICABLE	14	4	4	4	-	2	8	3	-	1
	.7	.7	.9	.6		.8	1.5	.5		1.2
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	16	7	1	5	1	-	1	2	5	8
	.8	1.2	.2	.7	.8		.2	.3	1.2	9.6
I RESENT UTILITIES AND GOVERNMENTS ASKING TO SAVE MORE ENERGY										
AGREE	567	203	137	182	29	91	126	168	146	36
	29.9	34.5	32.8	25.7	24.2	35.4	23.6	28.0	34.5	43.4
DISAGREE	1313	381	276	523	88	165	400	426	277	45
	69.2	64.7	66.0	73.9	73.3	64.2	75.1	71.0	65.3	54.2
NOT APPLICABLE	10	2	4	2	1	-	6	3	-	1
	.5	.3	1.0	.3	.8		1.1	.5		1.2
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	7	3	1	1	2	1	1	3	1	1
	.4	.5	.2	.1	1.7	.4	.2	.5	.2	1.2
IF CANADIANS DON'T REDUCE THE AMOUNT OF GAS AND OIL THEY USE, IN A FEW YEARS CANADA WILL BE TOO DEPENDENT ON FOREIGN OIL PRODUCERS										
AGREE	885	273	237	303	45	155	310	262	122	36
	46.7	46.3	56.7	42.8	37.5	60.3	58.2	43.7	28.8	43.4
DISAGREE	896	276	160	360	69	92	183	306	279	36
	47.2	46.9	38.3	50.8	57.5	35.8	34.3	51.0	65.8	43.4
NOT APPLICABLE	59	19	11	26	2	6	20	15	13	5
	3.1	3.2	2.6	3.7	1.7	2.3	3.8	2.5	3.1	6.0
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	57	21	10	19	4	4	20	17	10	6
	3.0	3.6	2.4	2.7	3.3	1.6	3.7	2.8	2.3	7.2

AGREEMENT OR DISAGREEMENT WITH STATEMENTS ON ENERGY SITUATION Q.37
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY CITY AND AGE

		CITY									AGE			
	TOTAL	HALI-FAX	MONC-TON	QUE. CITY	MONT-REAL	OTT-AWA	TOR-ONTO	WINN-IPEG	CAL-GARY	VANC-OUVER	UNDER 35	35-44	45-54	55 & OVER
TOTAL	1897	206	210	212	213	210	213	212	210	209	828	416	260	388
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
I KNOW WHERE TO GET ACCURATE INFORMATION ON HOW TO SAVE ENERGY														
AGREE	1268	141	123	136	125	156	146	141	145	154	546	301	173	245
	66.8	68.5	58.6	64.2	58.7	74.3	68.5	66.5	69.0	73.7	66.0	72.4	66.5	63.2
DISAGREE	613	59	86	74	86	50	66	71	65	55	278	113	85	135
	32.3	28.6	40.9	34.9	40.4	23.8	31.0	33.5	31.0	26.3	33.6	27.2	32.7	34.8
NOT APPLICABLE	9	2	1	2	2	1	1	-	-	-	2	1	2	4
	.5	1.0	.5	.9	.9	.5	.5				.2	.2	.8	1.0
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	7	4	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	-	2	1	-	4
	.4	1.9				1.4					.2	.2		1.0
BUSINESSES WASTE FAR MORE ENERGY THAN CONSUMERS DO														
AGREE	1283	140	162	150	142	156	145	132	148	106	542	286	180	273
	67.6	68.0	77.1	70.8	66.7	74.3	68.1	62.3	70.5	50.7	65.5	68.7	69.2	70.4
DISAGREE	493	50	43	46	58	45	55	66	50	80	245	102	62	81
	26.0	24.3	20.5	21.7	27.2	21.4	25.8	31.1	23.8	38.3	29.6	24.5	23.9	20.9
NOT APPLICABLE	70	7	2	7	7	2	12	6	6	21	21	19	10	20
	3.7	3.4	1.0	3.3	3.3	1.0	5.6	2.8	2.9	10.0	2.5	4.6	3.8	5.1
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	51	9	3	9	6	7	1	8	6	2	20	9	8	14
	2.7	4.3	1.4	4.2	2.8	3.3	.5	3.8	2.8	1.0	2.4	2.2	3.1	3.6
GOVERNMENT AND BUSINESS SHOULD BE DOING THE ENERGY SAVING, NOT CONSUMERS														
AGREE	646	68	104	55	76	65	83	75	64	55	253	124	84	183
	34.1	33.0	49.5	25.9	35.7	30.9	39.0	35.4	30.5	26.3	30.6	29.8	32.3	47.2
DISAGREE	1209	132	106	157	134	139	122	132	139	147	560	285	165	196
	63.7	64.1	50.5	74.1	62.9	66.2	57.3	62.2	66.2	70.3	67.6	68.5	63.5	50.5
NOT APPLICABLE	26	4	-	-	3	1	6	1	4	7	9	4	8	5
	1.4	1.9			1.4	.5	2.8	.5	1.9	3.4	1.1	1.0	3.1	1.3
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	16	2	-	-	-	5	2	4	3	-	6	3	3	4
	.8	1.0				2.4	.9	1.9	1.4		.7	.7	1.1	1.0

AGREEMENT OR DISAGREEMENT WITH STATEMENTS ON ENERGY SITUATION Q.37
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY SEX, AGE OF HOME AND TYPE OF HOME

		SEX		AGE OF HOME				TYPE OF HOME						
	TOTAL	MALE	FE-MALE	LESS THAN 11	11-20 YEARS	21 AND OVER	DON'T KNOW/ REF.	DET-ACHED HOUSE	SEMI-DET-ACHED	2/3/4 PLEX	ROW/ TOWN HOUSE	FLAT/ APART-MENT	ALL OTHER	D/K REF-USED
TOTAL	1897	933	942	581	484	775	57	1042	87	181	78	457	47	5
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
I KNOW WHERE TO GET ACCURATE INFORMATION ON HOW TO SAVE ENERGY														
AGREE	1268	671	583	387	323	525	33	740	61	114	55	265	29	4
	66.8	71.9	61.9	66.6	66.7	67.7	57.9	71.0	70.1	63.0	70.5	58.0	61.7	80.0
DISAGREE	613	254	352	188	158	244	23	297	25	64	22	187	17	1
	32.3	27.3	37.4	32.4	32.7	31.5	40.4	28.5	28.7	35.4	28.2	40.9	36.2	20.0
NOT APPLICABLE	9	4	4	4	3	2	-	4	-	1	-	4	-	-
	.5	.4	.4	.7	.6	.3		.4		.5		.9		
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	7	4	3	2	-	4	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	-
	.4	.4	.3	.3		.5	1.7	.1	1.2	1.1	1.3	.2	2.1	
BUSINESSES WASTE FAR MORE ENERGY THAN CONSUMERS DO														
AGREE	1283	580	684	377	334	536	36	684	71	130	53	309	32	4
	67.6	62.2	72.6	64.9	69.0	69.2	63.1	65.6	81.6	71.8	67.9	67.6	68.1	80.0
DISAGREE	493	295	196	173	124	182	14	280	16	42	23	120	12	-
	26.0	31.6	20.8	29.8	25.6	23.5	24.6	26.9	18.4	23.2	29.5	26.3	25.5	
NOT APPLICABLE	70	34	35	20	9	36	5	46	-	4	1	15	3	1
	3.7	3.6	3.7	3.4	1.9	4.6	8.8	4.4		2.2	1.3	3.3	6.4	20.0
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	51	24	27	11	17	21	2	32	-	5	1	13	-	-
	2.7	2.6	2.9	1.9	3.5	2.7	3.5	3.1		2.8	1.3	2.8		
GOVERNMENT AND BUSINESS SHOULD BE DOING THE ENERGY SAVING, NOT CONSUMERS														
AGREE	646	299	345	190	154	273	29	328	31	65	25	181	15	1
	34.1	32.0	36.6	32.7	31.8	35.2	50.9	31.5	35.6	35.9	32.1	39.6	31.9	20.0
DISAGREE	1209	614	575	382	318	482	27	689	56	110	49	269	32	4
	63.7	65.8	61.1	65.8	65.7	62.2	47.4	66.1	64.4	60.8	62.8	58.9	68.1	80.0
NOT APPLICABLE	26	12	14	6	8	11	1	13	-	6	1	6	-	-
	1.4	1.3	1.5	1.0	1.7	1.4	1.7	1.2		3.3	1.3	1.3		
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	16	8	8	3	4	9	-	12	-	-	3	1	-	-
	.8	.9	.8	.5	.8	1.2		1.2			3.8	.2		

AGREEMENT OR DISAGREEMENT WITH STATEMENTS ON ENERGY SITUATION Q.37
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY HOME STATUS, HOUSEHOLD INCOME AND EDUCATION

		HOME STATUS			HOUSEHOLD INCOME						EDUCATION				
	TOTAL	OWN	RENT	DK/ REF- USED	UNDER $10M	$10M TO $14.9	$15M TO $24.9	$25M TO $39.9	$40M AND OVER	REF- USED	ELEM- ENT- ARY	SECON -DARY	COLL- EGE/ CEGEP	UNIVE- RSITY	REF- USED
TOTAL	1897	1173	723	1	104	227	458	574	235	299	218	960	243	465	11
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
I KNOW WHERE TO GET ACCURATE INFORMATION ON HOW TO SAVE ENERGY															
AGREE	1268	834	433	1	52	145	290	406	175	200	112	611	169	368	8
	66.8	71.1	59.9	100.0	50.0	63.9	63.3	70.7	74.5	66.9	51.4	63.7	69.6	79.2	72.7
DISAGREE	613	331	282	-	50	80	163	165	60	95	104	340	73	93	3
	32.3	28.2	39.0		48.1	35.2	35.6	28.8	25.5	31.8	47.7	35.4	30.0	20.0	27.3
NOT APPLICABLE	9	4	5	-	-	2	2	2	-	3	-	6	1	2	-
	.5	.4	.7			.9	.4	.3		1.0		.6	.4	.4	
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	7	4	3	-	2	-	3	1	-	1	2	3	-	2	-
	.4	.3	.4		1.9		.7	.2		.3	.9	.3		.4	
BUSINESSES WASTE FAR MORE ENERGY THAN CONSUMERS DO															
AGREE	1283	786	496	1	84	153	321	380	141	204	169	691	150	268	5
	67.6	67.0	68.6	100.0	80.8	67.4	70.1	66.2	60.0	68.2	77.5	72.0	61.7	57.6	45.5
DISAGREE	493	305	188	-	11	63	110	163	77	69	37	230	75	146	5
	26.0	26.0	26.0		10.6	27.8	24.0	28.4	32.8	23.1	17.0	23.9	30.9	31.4	45.4
NOT APPLICABLE	70	49	21	-	5	8	18	16	10	13	5	21	12	31	1
	3.7	4.2	2.9		4.8	3.5	3.9	2.8	4.2	4.4	2.3	2.2	4.9	6.7	9.1
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	51	33	18	-	4	3	9	15	7	13	7	18	6	20	-
	2.7	2.8	2.5		3.8	1.3	2.0	2.6	3.0	4.3	3.2	1.9	2.5	4.3	
GOVERNMENT AND BUSINESS SHOULD BE DOING THE ENERGY SAVING, NOT CONSUMERS															
AGREE	646	376	270	-	48	93	167	163	62	113	118	365	62	98	3
	34.1	32.1	37.4		46.1	41.0	36.4	28.4	26.4	37.8	54.1	38.0	25.5	21.1	27.3
DISAGREE	1209	772	436	1	55	129	282	402	165	176	97	578	177	350	7
	63.7	65.8	60.3	100.0	52.9	56.8	61.6	70.0	70.2	58.9	44.5	60.2	72.9	75.3	63.6
NOT APPLICABLE	26	12	14	-	1	3	5	5	6	6	1	13	1	10	1
	1.4	1.0	1.9		1.0	1.3	1.1	.9	2.6	2.0	.5	1.4	.4	2.1	9.1
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	16	13	3	-	-	2	4	4	2	4	2	4	3	7	-
	.8	1.1	.4			.9	.9	.7	.8	1.3	.9	.4	1.2	1.5	

AGREEMENT OR DISAGREEMENT WITH STATEMENTS ON ENERGY SITUATION Q.37
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY METHOD OF HEATING HOME AND SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE

		METHOD OF HEATING HOME				SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE				
	TOTAL	HEAT-ING OIL	ELEC-TRIC-ITY	NATU-RAL GAS	OTHER	VERY	SOME-WHAT	NOT TOO	NOT AT ALL	DK/ NOT APPL
TOTAL	1897	589	418	708	120	257	533	600	424	83
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
I KNOW WHERE TO GET ACCURATE INFORMATION ON HOW TO SAVE ENERGY										
AGREE	1268	401	260	501	74	173	338	406	307	44
	66.8	68.1	62.2	70.8	61.7	67.3	63.4	67.7	72.4	53.0
DISAGREE	613	182	153	204	46	80	189	192	116	36
	32.3	30.9	36.6	28.8	38.3	31.1	35.4	32.0	27.4	43.4
NOT APPLICABLE	9	4	4	1	-	2	3	2	1	1
	.5	.7	1.0	.1		.8	.6	.3	.2	1.2
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	7	2	1	2	-	2	3	-	-	2
	.4	.3	.2	.3		.8	.6			2.4
BUSINESSES WASTE FAR MORE ENERGY THAN CONSUMERS DO										
AGREE	1283	422	292	451	79	196	360	408	265	54
	67.6	71.6	69.8	63.7	65.8	76.3	67.5	68.0	62.5	65.1
DISAGREE	493	134	97	204	38	49	133	161	133	17
	26.0	22.8	23.2	28.8	31.7	19.1	25.0	26.8	31.4	20.5
NOT APPLICABLE	70	15	17	33	2	9	22	18	16	5
	3.7	2.5	4.1	4.7	1.7	3.5	4.1	3.0	3.8	6.0
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	51	18	12	20	1	3	18	13	10	7
	2.7	3.1	2.9	2.8	.8	1.1	3.4	2.2	2.3	8.4
GOVERNMENT AND BUSINESS SHOULD BE DOING THE ENERGY SAVING, NOT CONSUMERS										
AGREE	646	208	143	230	39	111	151	189	157	38
	34.1	35.3	34.2	32.5	32.5	43.2	28.3	31.5	37.0	45.8
DISAGREE	1209	370	269	456	79	143	365	400	257	44
	63.7	62.8	64.4	64.4	65.8	55.6	68.5	66.7	60.6	53.0
NOT APPLICABLE	26	6	5	12	2	2	9	8	7	-
	1.4	1.0	1.2	1.7	1.7	.8	1.7	1.3	1.7	
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	16	5	1	10	-	1	8	3	3	1
	.8	.9	.2	1.4		.4	1.5	.5	.7	1.2

AGREEMENT OR DISAGREEMENT WITH STATEMENTS ON ENERGY SITUATION Q.37
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY CITY AND AGE

		CITY									AGE			
	TOTAL	HALI-FAX	MONC-TON	QUE. CITY	MONT-REAL	OTT-AWA	TOR-ONTO	WINN-IPEG	CAL-GARY	VANC-OUVER	UNDER 35	35-44	45-54	55 & OVER
TOTAL	1897	206	210	212	213	210	213	212	210	209	828	416	260	388
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
THE SOLUTION TO THE ENERGY SITUATION WILL MEAN THAT ALL CANADIANS WILL HAVE TO CHANGE THEIR LIFESTYLES QUITE A BIT														
AGREE	1072	98	127	157	154	128	104	114	100	89	433	253	160	225
	56.5	47.6	60.5	74.1	72.3	61.0	48.8	53.8	47.6	42.6	52.3	60.8	61.5	58.0
DISAGREE	772	96	82	44	51	82	106	92	104	114	378	152	92	146
	40.7	46.6	39.0	20.8	23.9	39.0	49.8	43.4	49.6	54.5	45.7	36.5	35.4	37.6
NOT APPLICABLE	29	5	1	2	8	-	2	3	3	5	10	5	6	8
	1.5	2.4	.5	.9	3.8		.9	1.4	1.4	2.4	1.2	1.2	2.3	2.1
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	24	7	-	9	-	-	1	3	3	1	7	6	2	9
	1.3	3.4		4.2			.5	1.4	1.4	.5	.8	1.5	.8	2.3
ANY HOMEOWNER WHO SPENDS MONEY ON HOME INSULATION IS MAKING A GOOD INVESTMENT														
AGREE	1799	196	200	198	191	204	205	202	201	200	792	389	249	366
	94.8	95.1	95.2	93.4	89.7	97.1	96.2	95.3	95.7	95.7	95.7	93.5	95.8	94.3
DISAGREE	81	6	9	11	19	5	7	9	6	9	28	23	11	17
	4.3	2.9	4.3	5.2	8.9	2.4	3.3	4.2	2.9	4.3	3.4	5.5	4.2	4.4
NOT APPLICABLE	10	3	1	2	2	-	1	-	1	-	7	1	-	2
	.5	1.5	.5	.9	.9		.5		.5		.8	.3		.5
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	7	1	-	1	1	1	-	1	2	-	1	3	-	3
	.4	.5		.5	.5	.5		.5	.9		.1	.7		.8
IT IS IMPORTANT FOR INDIVIDUALS TO MAKE EFFORTS TO CONSERVE ENERGY														
AGREE	1806	198	200	208	193	199	207	200	200	199	787	396	252	366
	95.2	96.1	95.2	98.1	90.6	94.8	97.2	94.3	95.2	95.2	95.1	95.2	96.9	94.3
DISAGREE	81	6	10	4	20	9	6	10	10	6	38	16	8	19
	4.3	2.9	4.8	1.9	9.4	4.3	2.8	4.7	4.8	2.9	4.6	3.8	3.1	4.9
NOT APPLICABLE	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	3	2	2	-	1
	.3							1.0		1.4	.2	.5		.3
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	5	2	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	1	1	2	-	2
	.2	1.0				.9				.5	.1	.5		.5

AGREEMENT OR DISAGREEMENT WITH STATEMENTS ON ENERGY SITUATION Q.37
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY SEX, AGE OF HOME AND TYPE OF HOME

		SEX		AGE OF HOME				TYPE OF HOME						
	TOTAL	MALE	FE-MALE	LESS THAN 11	11-20 YEARS	21 AND OVER	DON'T KNOW/ REF.	DET-ACHED HOUSE	SEMI-DET-ACHED	2/3/4 PLEX	ROW/ TOWN HOUSE	FLAT/ APART-MENT	ALL OTHER	D/K REF-USED
TOTAL	1897	933	942	581	484	775	57	1042	87	181	78	457	47	5
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
THE SOLUTION TO THE ENERGY SITUATION WILL MEAN THAT ALL CANADIANS WILL HAVE TO CHANGE THEIR LIFESTYLES QUITE A BIT														
AGREE	1072	473	583	317	270	451	34	581	39	102	36	284	27	3
	56.5	50.7	61.9	54.6	55.8	58.2	59.6	55.8	44.8	56.4	46.1	62.2	57.5	60.0
DISAGREE	772	439	327	251	201	298	22	429	46	74	41	161	19	2
	40.7	47.0	34.7	43.2	41.5	38.5	38.6	41.2	52.9	40.9	52.6	35.2	40.4	40.0
NOT APPLICABLE	29	10	19	7	7	14	1	18	-	4	1	5	1	-
	1.5	1.1	2.0	1.2	1.5	1.8	1.8	1.7		2.2	1.3	1.1	2.1	
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	24	11	13	6	6	12	-	14	2	1	-	7	-	-
	1.3	1.2	1.4	1.0	1.2	1.5		1.3	2.3	.5		1.5		
ANY HOMEOWNER WHO SPENDS MONEY ON HOME INSULATION IS MAKING A GOOD INVESTMENT														
AGREE	1799	884	894	544	459	742	54	993	84	173	76	423	45	5
	94.8	94.8	94.9	93.6	94.8	95.7	94.7	95.3	96.6	95.6	97.4	92.6	95.7	100.0
DISAGREE	81	41	39	29	22	27	3	41	3	6	1	28	2	-
	4.3	4.4	4.2	5.0	4.6	3.5	5.3	3.9	3.4	3.3	1.3	6.1	4.3	
NOT APPLICABLE	10	3	7	5	2	3	-	3	-	1	-	6	-	-
	.5	.3	.7	.9	.4	.4		.3		.6		1.3		
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	7	5	2	3	1	3	-	5	-	1	1	-	-	-
	.4	.5	.2	.5	.2	.4		.5		.5	1.3			
IT IS IMPORTANT FOR INDIVIDUALS TO MAKE EFFORTS TO CONSERVE ENERGY														
AGREE	1806	880	904	554	461	738	53	1001	81	172	72	429	46	5
	95.2	94.3	96.0	95.3	95.3	95.2	93.0	96.1	93.1	95.0	92.3	93.9	97.9	100.0
DISAGREE	81	47	34	25	22	30	4	33	5	9	6	27	1	-
	4.3	5.1	3.6	4.3	4.5	3.9	7.0	3.1	5.8	5.0	7.7	5.9	2.1	
NOT APPLICABLE	5	3	2	1	-	4	-	4	1	-	-	-	-	-
	.3	.3	.2	.2		.5		.4	1.1					
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	5	3	2	1	1	3	-	4	-	-	-	1	-	-
	.2	.3	.2	.2	.2	.4		.4				.2		

AGREEMENT OR DISAGREEMENT WITH STATEMENTS ON ENERGY SITUATION Q.37
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY HOME STATUS, HOUSEHOLD INCOME AND EDUCATION

		HOME STATUS			HOUSEHOLD INCOME						EDUCATION				
	TOTAL	OWN	RENT	DK/ REF- USED	UNDER $10M	$10M TO $14.9	$15M TO $24.9	$25M TO $39.9	$40M AND OVER	REF- USED	ELEM- ENT- ARY	SECON -DARY	COLL- EGE/ CEGEP	UNIVE- RSITY	REF- USED
TOTAL	1897	1173	723	1	104	227	458	574	235	299	218	960	243	465	11
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
THE SOLUTION TO THE ENERGY SITUATION WILL MEAN THAT ALL CANADIANS WILL HAVE TO CHANGE THEIR LIFESTYLES QUITE A BIT															
AGREE	1072	653	418	1	72	131	269	313	120	167	141	541	142	241	7
	56.5	55.7	57.8	100.0	69.3	57.7	58.8	54.5	51.1	55.9	64.7	56.4	58.5	51.8	63.6
DISAGREE	772	484	288	-	28	90	176	248	107	123	65	396	97	210	4
	40.7	41.3	39.8		26.9	39.7	38.4	43.2	45.5	41.1	29.8	41.3	39.9	45.2	36.4
NOT APPLICABLE	29	20	9	-	2	5	6	7	4	5	6	14	1	8	-
	1.5	1.7	1.3		1.9	2.2	1.3	1.2	1.7	1.7	2.8	1.4	.4	1.7	
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	24	16	8	-	2	1	7	6	4	4	6	9	3	6	-
	1.3	1.3	1.1		1.9	.4	1.5	1.1	1.7	1.3	2.7	.9	1.2	1.3	
ANY HOMEOWNER WHO SPENDS MONEY ON HOME INSULATION IS MAKING A GOOD INVESTMENT															
AGREE	1799	1121	677	1	92	217	435	548	225	282	202	914	231	442	10
	94.8	95.6	93.6	100.0	88.5	95.6	95.0	95.5	95.7	94.3	92.7	95.2	95.1	95.1	90.9
DISAGREE	81	42	39	-	11	8	19	24	7	12	15	41	9	15	1
	4.3	3.6	5.4		10.6	3.5	4.1	4.2	3.0	4.0	6.9	4.3	3.7	3.2	9.1
NOT APPLICABLE	10	3	7	-	1	2	1	1	2	3	1	2	2	5	-
	.5	.2	1.0		.9	.9	.2	.2	.9	1.0	.4	.2	.8	1.1	
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	7	7	-	-	-	-	3	1	1	2	-	3	1	3	-
	.4	.6					.7	.1	.4	.7		.3	.4	.6	
IT IS IMPORTANT FOR INDIVIDUALS TO MAKE EFFORTS TO CONSERVE ENERGY															
AGREE	1806	1127	678	1	97	216	436	554	227	276	203	909	232	452	10
	95.2	96.1	93.8	100.0	93.3	95.2	95.2	96.5	96.6	92.3	93.1	94.7	95.5	97.2	90.9
DISAGREE	81	39	42	-	7	10	20	17	8	19	14	49	7	10	1
	4.3	3.3	5.8		6.7	4.4	4.4	3.0	3.4	6.4	6.4	5.1	2.9	2.2	9.1
NOT APPLICABLE	5	4	1	-	-	1	1	2	-	1	-	1	3	1	-
	.3	.3	.1			.4	.2	.3		.3		.1	1.2	.2	
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	5	3	2	-	-	-	1	1	-	3	1	1	1	2	-
	.2	.3	.3				.2	.2		1.0	.5	.1	.4	.4	

AGREEMENT OR DISAGREEMENT WITH STATEMENTS ON ENERGY SITUATION Q.37
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY METHOD OF HEATING HOME AND SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE

		METHOD OF HEATING HOME				SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE				
	TOTAL	HEAT-ING OIL	ELEC-TRIC-ITY	NATU-RAL GAS	OTHER	VERY	SOME-WHAT	NOT TOO	NOT AT ALL	DK/ NOT APPL
TOTAL	1897	589	418	708	120	257	533	600	424	83
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
THE SOLUTION TO THE ENERGY SITUATION WILL MEAN THAT ALL CANADIANS WILL HAVE TO CHANGE THEIR LIFESTYLES QUITE A BIT										
AGREE	1072	344	275	358	65	179	357	315	178	43
	56.5	58.4	65.8	50.5	54.2	69.7	67.0	52.5	42.0	51.8
DISAGREE	772	229	129	334	50	69	158	274	235	36
	40.7	38.9	30.8	47.2	41.7	26.8	29.6	45.7	55.4	43.4
NOT APPLICABLE	29	9	7	9	3	6	8	6	7	2
	1.5	1.5	1.7	1.3	2.5	2.3	1.5	1.0	1.7	2.4
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	24	7	7	7	2	3	10	5	4	2
	1.3	1.2	1.7	1.0	1.6	1.2	1.9	.8	.9	2.4
ANY HOMEOWNER WHO SPENDS MONEY ON HOME INSULATION IS MAKING A GOOD INVESTMENT										
AGREE	1799	557	392	680	112	243	501	579	400	76
	94.8	94.6	93.8	96.1	93.4	94.5	94.0	96.5	94.3	91.6
DISAGREE	81	26	22	25	7	12	25	19	19	6
	4.3	4.4	5.3	3.5	5.8	4.7	4.7	3.2	4.5	7.2
NOT APPLICABLE	10	3	4	-	-	2	4	1	2	1
	.5	.5	.9			.8	.7	.2	.5	1.2
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	7	3	-	3	1	-	3	1	3	-
	.4	.5		.4	.8		.6	.1	.7	
IT IS IMPORTANT FOR INDIVIDUALS TO MAKE EFFORTS TO CONSERVE ENERGY										
AGREE	1806	564	400	671	113	242	511	575	399	79
	95.2	95.8	95.7	94.8	94.2	94.2	95.9	95.8	94.1	95.2
DISAGREE	81	22	18	30	7	15	18	23	24	1
	4.3	3.7	4.3	4.2	5.8	5.8	3.4	3.8	5.7	1.2
NOT APPLICABLE	5	-	-	5	-	-	1	1	1	2
	.3			.7			.2	.2	.2	2.4
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	5	3	-	2	-	-	3	1	-	1
	.2	.5		.3			.5	.2		1.2

AGREEMENT OR DISAGREEMENT WITH STATEMENTS ON ENERGY SITUATION Q.37
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY CITY AND AGE

		CITY									AGE			
	TOTAL	HALI -FAX	MONC -TON	QUE. CITY	MONT- REAL	OTT- AWA	TOR- ONTO	WINN- IPEG	CAL- GARY	VANC- OUVER	UNDER 35	35-44	45-54	55 & OVER
TOTAL	1897	206	210	212	213	210	213	212	210	209	828	416	260	388
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
THE OIL COMPANIES HAVE PROFITED UNFAIRLY FROM THE ENERGY SITUATION														
AGREE	1287	154	179	168	161	146	131	145	85	117	550	297	180	259
	67.8	74.7	85.2	79.3	75.6	69.5	61.5	68.4	40.5	56.0	66.4	71.4	69.2	66.8
DISAGREE	440	28	28	31	36	55	62	35	101	63	213	82	49	93
	23.2	13.6	13.3	14.6	16.9	26.2	29.1	16.5	48.1	30.1	25.7	19.7	18.9	24.0
NOT APPLICABLE	91	8	2	4	11	4	16	14	8	24	34	21	20	16
	4.8	3.9	1.0	1.9	5.2	1.9	7.5	6.6	3.8	11.5	4.1	5.1	7.7	4.1
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	79	16	1	9	5	5	4	18	16	5	31	16	11	20
	4.2	7.8	.5	4.2	2.3	2.4	1.9	8.5	7.6	2.4	3.8	3.8	4.2	5.1
THE HIGH COST OF ENERGY HAS CAUSED ME SEVERE HARDSHIP														
AGREE	535	57	94	67	68	28	67	63	53	36	193	126	78	136
	28.2	27.7	44.8	31.6	31.9	13.3	31.5	29.7	25.2	17.2	23.3	30.3	30.0	35.1
DISAGREE	1338	144	115	143	142	177	143	145	156	173	624	284	180	247
	70.5	69.9	54.7	67.4	66.7	84.3	67.1	68.4	74.3	82.8	75.4	68.3	69.2	63.6
NOT APPLICABLE	15	3	1	1	2	4	1	2	1	-	7	4	2	2
	.8	1.4	.5	.5	.9	1.9	.5	1.0	.5		.8	.9	.8	.5
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	9	2	-	1	1	1	2	2	-	-	4	2	-	3
	.5	1.0		.5	.5	.5	.9	.9			.5	.5		.8
MY SPOUSE OR I AM VERY HANDY AT FIXING THINGS AROUND THE HOUSE														
AGREE	1401	140	166	160	137	154	156	167	160	159	624	321	195	259
	73.9	68.0	79.0	75.5	64.3	73.3	73.3	78.8	76.2	76.1	75.4	77.2	75.0	66.8
DISAGREE	443	53	43	43	63	46	55	42	50	48	182	90	59	110
	23.3	25.7	20.5	20.3	29.6	21.9	25.8	19.8	23.8	23.0	22.0	21.6	22.7	28.3
NOT APPLICABLE	53	13	1	9	13	10	2	3	-	2	22	5	6	19
	2.8	6.3	.5	4.2	6.1	4.8	.9	1.4		.9	2.6	1.2	2.3	4.9

AGREEMENT OR DISAGREEMENT WITH STATEMENTS ON ENERGY SITUATION Q.37
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY SEX, AGE OF HOME AND TYPE OF HOME

		SEX		AGE OF HOME				TYPE OF HOME						
	TOTAL	MALE	FE-MALE	LESS THAN 11	11-20 YEARS	21 AND OVER	DON'T KNOW/ REF.	DET-ACHED HOUSE	SEMI-DET-ACHED	2/3/4 PLEX	ROW/ TOWN HOUSE	FLAT/ APART-MENT	ALL OTHER	D/K REF-USED
TOTAL	1897	933	942	581	484	775	57	1042	87	181	78	457	47	5
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
THE OIL COMPANIES HAVE PROFITED UNFAIRLY FROM THE ENERGY SITUATION														
AGREE	1287	639	628	385	338	531	33	693	63	130	53	309	34	5
	67.8	68.5	66.7	66.3	69.8	68.5	57.9	66.5	72.4	71.8	67.9	67.6	72.4	100.0
DISAGREE	440	241	198	150	102	175	13	246	18	39	22	106	9	-
	23.2	25.8	21.0	25.8	21.1	22.6	22.8	23.6	20.7	21.6	28.2	23.2	19.1	
NOT APPLICABLE	91	26	65	28	20	37	6	54	1	8	2	22	4	-
	4.8	2.8	6.9	4.8	4.1	4.8	10.5	5.2	1.2	4.4	2.6	4.8	8.5	
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	79	27	51	18	24	32	5	49	5	4	1	20	-	-
	4.2	2.9	5.4	3.1	5.0	4.1	8.8	4.7	5.7	2.2	1.3	4.4		
THE HIGH COST OF ENERGY HAS CAUSED ME SEVERE HARDSHIP														
AGREE	535	273	256	141	140	231	23	295	24	55	16	130	13	2
	28.2	29.3	27.2	24.3	28.9	29.8	40.4	28.3	27.6	30.4	20.5	28.4	27.7	40.0
DISAGREE	1338	649	673	432	335	537	34	735	60	124	60	322	34	3
	70.5	69.6	71.4	74.3	69.2	69.3	59.6	70.5	69.0	68.5	76.9	70.5	72.3	60.0
NOT APPLICABLE	15	6	9	5	7	3	-	7	2	1	1	4	-	-
	.8	.6	1.0	.9	1.5	.4		.7	2.3	.6	1.3	.9		
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	9	5	4	3	2	4	-	5	1	1	1	1	-	-
	.5	.5	.4	.5	.4	.5		.5	1.1	.5	1.3	.2		
MY SPOUSE OR I AM VERY HANDY AT FIXING THINGS AROUND THE HOUSE														
AGREE	1401	751	633	426	366	567	42	821	68	137	58	284	29	4
	73.9	80.5	67.2	73.3	75.6	73.2	73.7	78.8	78.2	75.7	74.3	62.1	61.7	80.0
DISAGREE	443	170	268	136	108	188	11	210	17	39	18	142	16	1
	23.3	18.2	28.5	23.4	22.3	24.2	19.3	20.2	19.5	21.5	23.1	31.1	34.0	20.0
NOT APPLICABLE	53	12	41	19	10	20	4	11	2	5	2	31	2	-
	2.8	1.3	4.3	3.3	2.1	2.6	7.0	1.0	2.3	2.8	2.6	6.8	4.3	

CONSUMER CONTACT LIMITED - 8257-83 ENERGY STUDY 1983

AGREEMENT OR DISAGREEMENT WITH STATEMENTS ON ENERGY SITUATION Q.37
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY HOME STATUS, HOUSEHOLD INCOME AND EDUCATION

		HOME STATUS			HOUSEHOLD INCOME						EDUCATION				
	TOTAL	OWN	RENT	DK/ REF-USED	UNDER $10M	$10M TO $14.9	$15M TO $24.9	$25M TO $39.9	$40M AND OVER	REF-USED	ELEM-ENT-ARY	SECON-DARY	COLL-EGE/ CEGEP	UNIVE-RSITY	REF-USED
	1897	1173	723	1	104	227	458	574	235	299	218	960	243	465	11
TOTAL	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
THE OIL COMPANIES HAVE PROFITED UNFAIRLY FROM THE ENERGY SITUATION															
AGREE	1287	796	491	-	81	148	325	413	132	188	162	657	169	292	7
	67.8	67.9	67.9		77.9	65.2	71.0	72.0	56.2	62.9	74.3	68.4	69.5	62.8	63.6
DISAGREE	440	271	169	-	14	56	95	115	85	75	34	215	53	134	4
	23.2	23.1	23.4		13.5	24.7	20.7	20.0	36.2	25.1	15.6	22.4	21.8	28.8	36.4
NOT APPLICABLE	91	61	29	1	4	13	20	24	13	17	9	44	15	23	-
	4.8	5.2	4.0	100.0	3.8	5.7	4.4	4.2	5.5	5.7	4.1	4.6	6.2	5.0	
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	79	45	34	-	5	10	18	22	5	19	13	44	6	16	-
	4.2	3.8	4.7		4.8	4.4	3.9	3.8	2.1	6.3	6.0	4.6	2.5	3.4	
THE HIGH COST OF ENERGY HAS CAUSED ME SEVERE HARDSHIP															
AGREE	535	328	207	-	45	73	159	130	41	87	106	298	60	69	2
	28.2	28.0	28.6		43.3	32.2	34.7	22.7	17.5	29.1	48.6	31.1	24.7	14.8	18.2
DISAGREE	1338	831	506	1	56	151	293	438	193	207	110	654	180	385	9
	70.5	70.8	70.0	100.0	53.8	66.5	64.0	76.3	82.1	69.2	50.5	68.1	74.1	82.8	81.8
NOT APPLICABLE	15	8	7	-	1	3	5	3	-	3	-	6	2	7	-
	.8	.7	1.0		1.0	1.3	1.1	.5		1.0		.6	.8	1.5	
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	9	6	3	-	2	-	1	3	1	2	2	2	1	4	-
	.5	.5	.4		1.9		.2	.5	.4	.7	.9	.2	.4	.9	
MY SPOUSE OR I AM VERY HANDY AT FIXING THINGS AROUND THE HOUSE															
AGREE	1401	918	491	-	65	169	327	451	170	219	164	720	177	334	6
	73.9	77.6	67.9		62.5	74.4	71.4	78.6	72.3	73.2	75.2	75.0	72.8	71.8	54.5
DISAGREE	443	249	193	1	27	54	117	114	62	69	46	209	61	124	3
	23.3	21.2	26.7	100.0	26.0	23.8	25.5	19.9	26.4	23.1	21.1	21.8	25.1	26.7	27.3
NOT APPLICABLE	53	14	39	-	12	4	14	9	3	11	8	31	5	7	2
	2.8	1.2	5.4		11.5	1.8	3.1	1.5	1.3	3.7	3.7	3.2	2.1	1.5	18.2

AGREEMENT OR DISAGREEMENT WITH STATEMENTS ON ENERGY SITUATION Q.37
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY METHOD OF HEATING HOME AND SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE

		METHOD OF HEATING HOME				SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE				
	TOTAL	HEAT-ING OIL	ELEC-TRIC-ITY	NATU-RAL GAS	OTHER	VERY	SOME-WHAT	NOT TOO	NOT AT ALL	DK/ NOT APPL
TOTAL	1897	589	418	708	120	257	533	608	424	83
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
THE OIL COMPANIES HAVE PROFITED UNFAIRLY FROM THE ENERGY SITUATION										
AGREE	1287	444	304	408	91	196	373	397	268	53
	67.8	75.4	72.7	57.6	75.8	76.3	70.0	66.2	63.2	63.9
DISAGREE	440	104	81	220	20	49	104	146	130	11
	23.2	17.7	19.4	31.1	16.7	19.1	19.5	24.3	30.7	13.3
NOT APPLICABLE	91	22	20	36	7	7	29	32	13	10
	4.8	3.7	4.8	5.4	5.8	2.7	5.4	5.3	3.1	12.0
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	79	19	13	42	2	5	27	25	13	9
	4.2	3.2	3.1	5.9	1.7	1.9	5.1	4.2	3.0	10.8
THE HIGH COST OF ENERGY HAS CAUSED ME SEVERE HARDSHIP										
AGREE	535	178	130	177	41	97	143	148	118	29
	28.2	30.2	31.1	25.0	34.2	37.7	26.8	24.7	27.8	34.9
DISAGREE	1338	402	282	523	79	159	383	445	301	50
	70.5	68.3	67.5	73.9	65.8	61.9	71.9	74.2	71.0	60.3
NOT APPLICABLE	15	6	4	4	-	1	3	5	4	2
	.8	1.0	.9	.6		.4	.6	.8	1.0	2.4
DON'T KNOW/REFUSED	9	3	2	4	-	-	4	2	1	2
	.5	.5	.5	.5			.7	.3	.2	2.4
MY SPOUSE OR I AM VERY HANDY AT FIXING THINGS AROUND THE HOUSE										
AGREE	1401	423	297	555	91	194	383	442	322	60
	73.9	71.8	71.1	78.4	75.8	75.5	71.8	73.7	76.0	72.3
DISAGREE	443	143	108	140	27	49	132	143	99	20
	23.3	24.3	25.8	19.8	22.5	19.1	24.8	23.8	23.3	24.1
NOT APPLICABLE	53	23	13	13	2	14	18	15	3	3
	2.8	3.9	3.1	1.8	1.7	5.4	3.4	2.5	.7	3.6

NUMBER OF VEHICLES KEPT AT HOME Q.38A
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY CITY AND AGE

		CITY									AGE			
	TOTAL	HALI-FAX	MONC-TON	QUE. CITY	MONT-REAL	OTT-AWA	TOR-ONTO	WINN-IPEG	CAL-GARY	VANC-OUVER	UNDER 35	35-44	45-54	55 & OVER
TOTAL	1897	206	210	212	213	210	213	212	210	209	828	416	260	388
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
ONE	970	111	131	126	113	129	116	91	71	81	437	214	107	210
	51.1	53.9	62.4	59.4	53.1	61.4	54.5	42.9	33.8	38.8	52.8	51.5	41.2	54.1
TWO	534	54	47	45	30	41	57	83	92	84	229	145	90	69
	28.2	26.2	22.4	21.2	14.1	19.5	26.8	39.2	43.8	40.2	27.7	34.9	34.6	17.8
THREE	109	11	8	5	8	8	9	16	21	23	39	25	32	12
	5.7	5.3	3.8	2.4	3.7	3.8	4.2	7.5	10.0	11.0	4.7	6.0	12.3	3.1
FOUR OR MORE	44	4	5	2	-	-	3	7	15	8	23	6	10	5
	2.3	2.0	2.4	1.0			1.4	3.3	7.2	3.8	2.8	1.4	3.8	1.3
NONE	237	26	19	34	62	32	27	14	11	12	99	24	21	92
	12.5	12.6	9.0	16.0	29.1	15.3	12.7	6.6	5.2	5.7	11.9	5.8	8.1	23.7
DON'T KNOW	2	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	1	1	1	-	-
	.1						.4			.5	.1	.2		
REFUSED	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	1	-	-
	.1							.5				.2		

NUMBER OF VEHICLES KEPT AT HOME Q.38A
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY SEX, AGE OF HOME AND TYPE OF HOME

		SEX		AGE OF HOME				TYPE OF HOME						
	TOTAL	MALE	FE-MALE	LESS THAN 11	11-20 YEARS	21 AND OVER	DON'T KNOW/ REF.	DET-ACHED HOUSE	SEMI-DET-ACHED	2/3/4 PLEX	ROW/ TOWN HOUSE	FLAT/ APART-MENT	ALL OTHER	D/K REF-USED
TOTAL	1897	933	942	581	484	775	57	1042	87	161	78	457	47	5
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
ONE	970	508	449	297	229	414	30	477	51	103	44	264	29	2
	51.1	54.4	47.7	51.1	47.3	53.4	52.6	45.8	58.6	56.9	56.4	57.8	61.7	40.0
TWO	534	273	252	194	157	180	3	402	21	38	21	41	9	2
	28.2	29.3	26.8	33.4	32.4	23.3	5.3	38.6	24.1	21.0	26.9	9.0	19.2	40.0
THREE	109	53	56	37	26	45	1	83	6	9	3	4	4	-
	5.7	5.7	5.9	6.4	5.4	5.8	1.8	8.0	6.9	5.0	3.9	.9	8.5	
FOUR OR MORE	44	25	19	11	15	17	1	34	2	5	1	1	1	-
	2.3	2.7	2.0	1.9	3.1	2.2	1.7	3.2	2.3	2.8	1.3	.2	2.1	
NONE	237	72	165	42	55	118	22	44	7	25	9	147	4	1
	12.5	7.7	17.5	7.2	11.4	15.2	38.6	4.2	8.1	13.8	11.5	32.1	8.5	20.0
DON'T KNOW	2	1	1	-	1	1	-	1	-	1	-	-	-	-
	.1	.1	.1		.2	.1		.1		.5				
REFUSED	1	1	-	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
	.1	.1			.2			.1						

NUMBER OF VEHICLES KEPT AT HOME Q.38A
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY HOME STATUS, HOUSEHOLD INCOME AND EDUCATION

		HOME STATUS			HOUSEHOLD INCOME						EDUCATION				
	TOTAL	OWN	RENT	DK/ REF- USED	UNDER $10M	$10M TO $14.9	$15M TO $24.9	$25M TO $39.9	$40M AND OVER	REF- USED	ELEM- ENT- ARY	SECON -DARY	COLL- EGE/ CEGEP	UNIVE- RSITY	REF- USED
TOTAL	1897	1173	723	1	104	227	458	574	235	299	218	960	243	465	11
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
ONE	970	581	388	1	53	119	282	293	83	140	115	500	125	224	6
	51.1	49.5	53.7	100.0	51.0	52.4	61.6	51.1	35.3	46.8	52.8	52.1	51.4	48.2	54.5
TWO	534	416	118	-	4	42	89	214	104	81	36	265	70	160	3
	28.2	35.5	16.3		3.8	18.5	19.4	37.3	44.3	27.1	16.5	27.6	28.8	34.4	27.3
THREE	109	90	19	-	1	4	17	37	25	25	9	58	10	32	-
	5.7	7.7	2.6		1.0	1.8	3.7	6.4	10.6	8.4	4.1	6.0	4.1	6.9	
FOUR OR MORE	44	33	11	-	2	4	4	11	20	3	7	23	5	9	-
	2.3	2.8	1.5		1.9	1.8	.9	1.9	8.5	1.0	3.2	2.4	2.1	1.9	
NONE	237	51	186	-	44	58	66	17	3	49	51	113	33	38	2
	12.5	4.3	25.7		42.3	25.5	14.4	3.0	1.3	16.4	23.4	11.8	13.6	8.2	18.2
DON'T KNOW	2	1	1	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	1	-	1	-
	.1	.1	.2					.3				.1		.2	
REFUSED	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	1	-
	.1	.1								.3				.2	

NUMBER OF VEHICLES KEPT AT HOME Q.38A
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY METHOD OF HEATING HOME AND SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE

		METHOD OF HEATING HOME				SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE				
	TOTAL	HEAT-ING OIL	ELEC-TRIC-ITY	NATU-RAL GAS	OTHER	VERY	SOME-WHAT	NOT TOO	NOT AT ALL	DK/ NOT APPL
TOTAL	1897	589	418	708	120	257	533	600	424	83
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
ONE	970	335	242	293	67	150	274	311	197	38
	51.1	56.9	57.9	41.4	55.9	58.4	51.4	51.9	46.5	45.8
TWO	534	143	85	268	33	54	130	188	138	24
	28.2	24.3	20.3	37.9	27.5	21.0	24.4	31.3	32.5	28.9
THREE	109	30	12	63	3	8	28	33	36	4
	5.7	5.1	2.9	8.9	2.5	3.1	5.2	5.5	8.5	4.8
FOUR OR MORE	44	8	4	24	7	3	9	15	15	2
	2.3	1.3	1.0	3.4	5.8	1.2	1.7	2.5	3.5	2.4
NONE	237	73	75	58	10	42	91	51	38	15
	12.5	12.4	17.9	8.2	8.3	16.3	17.1	8.5	9.0	18.1
DON'T KNOW	2	-	-	1	-	-	-	2	-	-
	.1			.1				.3		
REFUSED	1	-	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	-
	.1			.1			.2			

-NEWEST VEHICLE - TYPE AND NUMBER OF CYLINDERS Q.388
BASE: THOSE WHO HAVE ONE OR MORE VEHICLES
BY CITY AND AGE

		CITY									AGE			
	TOTAL	HALI -FAX	MONC -TON	QUE. CITY	MONT- REAL	OTT- AWA	TOR- ONTO	WINN- IPEG	CAL- GARY	VANC- OUVER	UNDER 35	35-44	45-54	55 & OVER
TOTAL	1657	180	191	178	151	178	185	197	199	196	728	390	239	296
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
TYPE OF VEHICLE														
CAR	1366	153	153	157	125	159	166	161	138	152	612	296	194	262
	82.4	85.0	80.1	88.2	82.8	89.3	89.7	81.7	69.4	77.6	84.1	75.9	81.2	88.5
STATION WAGON	109	17	6	12	11	8	9	18	11	17	27	50	20	11
	6.6	9.4	3.2	6.7	7.3	4.5	4.9	9.2	5.5	8.7	3.7	12.8	8.4	3.7
LIGHT TRUCK	116	5	25	6	6	10	3	16	33	12	57	34	13	12
	7.0	2.8	13.1	3.4	4.0	5.6	1.6	8.1	16.6	6.1	7.8	8.7	5.4	4.1
VAN	55	5	5	2	9	1	7	2	15	9	27	8	12	8
	3.3	2.8	2.6	1.1	5.9	.6	3.8	1.0	7.5	4.6	3.7	2.1	5.0	2.7
OTHER	9	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	2	5	5	2	-	2
	.6		.5	.6					1.0	2.5	.7	.5		.7
REFUSED	2	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	1
	.1		.5							.5				.3
CYLINDERS														
4	509	76	39	62	55	51	63	41	46	76	267	113	66	62
	30.7	42.2	20.4	34.8	36.4	28.7	34.1	20.8	23.1	38.8	36.7	29.0	27.6	21.0
5	7	1	-	1	-	3	1	-	-	1	3	2	-	1
	.4	.6		.6		1.7	.5			.5	.4	.5		.3
6	441	42	58	43	36	57	54	54	43	53	187	105	65	83
	26.6	23.3	30.4	24.2	23.9	32.0	29.2	27.4	21.6	27.0	25.7	26.9	27.2	28.0
8	630	56	90	65	58	63	61	85	94	57	238	154	99	139
	38.0	31.1	47.1	36.5	38.4	35.4	33.0	43.2	47.3	29.1	32.7	39.5	41.4	47.0
OTHER	4	-	-	-	-	-	1	2	1	-	4	-	-	-
	.3						.5	1.0	.5		.5			
DON'T KNOW	65	5	3	7	2	4	5	15	15	9	29	16	9	11
	3.9	2.8	1.6	3.9	1.3	2.2	2.7	7.6	7.5	4.6	4.0	4.1	3.8	3.7
REFUSED	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	.1		.5											

-NEWEST VEHICLE - TYPE AND NUMBER OF CYLINDERS Q.38B
BASE: THOSE WHO HAVE ONE OR MORE VEHICLES
BY SEX, AGE OF HOME AND TYPE OF HOME

		SEX		AGE OF HOME				TYPE OF HOME						
	TOTAL	MALE	FE-MALE	LESS THAN 11	11-20 YEARS	21 AND OVER	DON'T KNOW/ REF.	DET-ACHED HOUSE	SEMI-DET-ACHED	2/3/4 PLEX	ROW/ TOWN HOUSE	FLAT/ APART-MENT	ALL OTHER	D/K REF-USED
TOTAL	1657	859	776	539	427	656	35	996	80	155	69	310	43	4
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
TYPE OF VEHICLE														
CAR	1366	691	656	444	349	544	29	798	70	126	60	275	34	3
	82.4	80.5	84.5	82.4	81.8	82.9	82.9	80.1	87.5	81.3	87.0	88.7	79.1	75.0
STATION WAGON	109	64	43	40	30	38	1	73	2	7	5	15	6	1
	6.6	7.5	5.5	7.4	7.0	5.8	2.9	7.3	2.5	4.5	7.3	4.8	14.0	25.0
'IGHT TRUCK	116	60	55	38	29	46	3	82	7	16	2	8	1	-
	7.0	7.0	7.1	7.1	6.8	7.0	8.6	8.3	8.8	10.3	2.9	2.6	2.3	
VAN	55	39	16	13	15	26	1	35	1	6	1	11	1	-
	3.3	4.5	2.1	2.4	3.5	4.0	2.8	3.5	1.2	3.9	1.4	3.6	2.3	
OTHER	9	3	6	4	3	2	-	6	-	-	1	1	1	-
	.6	.3	.8	.7	.7	.3		.6			1.4	.3	2.3	
REFUSED	2	2	-	-	1	-	1	2	-	-	-	-	-	-
	.1	.2			.2		2.8	.2						
CYLINDERS														
4	509	258	241	194	113	194	8	273	21	48	19	123	21	4
	30.7	30.0	31.1	36.0	26.5	29.6	22.9	27.4	26.3	31.0	27.5	39.7	48.8	100.0
5	7	5	2	3	1	3	-	4	1	-	-	.2	-	-
	.4	.6	.3	.5	.2	.4		.4	1.3			.6		
6	441	217	219	134	110	185	12	265	21	45	23	81	6	-
	26.6	25.3	28.2	24.9	25.8	28.2	34.3	26.6	26.2	29.0	33.3	26.1	14.0	
8	630	372	251	193	183	244	10	402	36	54	22	100	16	-
	38.0	43.3	32.3	35.8	42.8	37.2	28.6	40.4	45.0	34.8	31.9	32.3	37.2	
OTHER	4	3	1	-	2	2	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	-
	.3	.4	.1		.5	.3		.4						
DON'T KNOW	65	3	62	15	18	28	4	47	1	8	5	4	-	-
	3.9	.3	8.0	2.8	4.2	4.3	11.4	4.7	1.2	5.2	7.3	1.3		
REFUSED	1	1	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
	.1	.1					2.8	.1						

-NEWEST VEHICLE - TYPE AND NUMBER OF CYLINDERS Q.38B
BASE: THOSE WHO HAVE ONE OR MORE VEHICLES
BY HOME STATUS, HOUSEHOLD INCOME AND EDUCATION

		HOME STATUS			HOUSEHOLD INCOME						EDUCATION				
	TOTAL	OWN	RENT	DK/ REF-USED	UNDER $10M	$10M TO $14.9	$15M TO $24.9	$25M TO $39.9	$40M AND OVER	REF-USED	ELEM-ENT-ARY	SECON-DARY	COLL-EGE/ CEGEP	UNIVE-RSITY	REF-USED
TOTAL	1657	1120	536	1	60	169	392	555	232	249	167	846	210	425	9
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
TYPE OF VEHICLE															
CAR	1366	911	455	-	55	131	327	446	195	212	136	677	179	366	8
	82.4	81.3	84.9		91.7	77.5	83.4	80.4	84.1	85.2	81.4	80.0	85.2	86.1	88.9
STATION WAGON	109	84	24	1	-	14	23	41	18	13	5	55	15	33	1
	6.6	7.5	4.5	100.0		8.3	5.9	7.4	7.7	5.2	3.0	6.5	7.2	7.8	11.1
LIGHT TRUCK	116	84	32	-	4	16	26	42	12	16	16	75	11	14	-
	7.0	7.5	6.0		6.7	9.5	6.6	7.6	5.2	6.4	9.6	8.9	5.2	3.3	
VAN	55	34	21	-	1	7	15	23	4	5	7	34	5	9	-
	3.3	3.0	3.9		1.6	4.1	3.8	4.1	1.7	2.0	4.2	4.0	2.4	2.1	
OTHER	9	5	4	-	-	-	1	3	3	2	1	5	-	3	-
	.6	.5	.7				.3	.5	1.3	.8	.6	.6		.7	
REFUSED	2	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	1	2	-	-	-	-
	.1	.2				.6				.4	1.2				
CYLINDERS															
4	509	318	191	-	12	58	110	185	73	71	34	217	87	167	4
	30.7	28.4	35.6		20.0	34.3	28.1	33.3	31.5	28.5	20.4	25.7	41.4	39.3	44.5
5	7	4	3	-	-	1	1	1	2	2	-	3	-	3	1
	.4	.4	.6			.6	.2	.2	.9	.8		.4		.7	11.1
6	441	306	134	1	17	46	116	134	58	70	48	227	55	109	2
	26.6	27.3	25.0	100.0	28.4	27.2	29.6	24.2	25.0	28.1	28.7	26.8	26.2	25.6	22.2
8	630	444	186	-	29	59	151	212	94	85	75	360	64	129	2
	38.0	39.6	34.7		48.3	34.9	38.5	38.2	40.5	34.2	44.9	42.5	30.5	30.4	22.2
OTHER	4	4	-	-	-	-	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	4	-
	.3	.4						.7						.9	
DON'T KNOW	65	43	22	-	2	5	14	19	5	20	9	39	4	13	-
	3.9	3.8	4.1		3.3	3.0	3.6	3.4	2.1	8.0	5.4	4.6	1.9	3.1	
REFUSED	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-
	.1	.1								.4	.6				

+NEWEST VEHICLE - TYPE AND NUMBER OF CYLINDERS Q.38B
BASE: THOSE WHO HAVE ONE OR MORE VEHICLES
BY METHOD OF HEATING HOME AND SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE

		METHOD OF HEATING HOME				SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE				
	TOTAL	HEAT -ING OIL	ELEC- TRIC- ITY	NATU- RAL GAS	OTHER	VERY	SOME- WHAT	NOT TOO	NOT AT ALL	DK/ NOT APPL
TOTAL	1657	516	343	648	110	215	441	547	386	68
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
TYPE OF VEHICLE										
CAR	1366	442	301	499	88	177	361	459	319	50
	82.4	85.7	87.7	77.0	80.0	82.3	81.9	83.9	82.6	73.5
STATION WAGON	109	34	16	53	3	13	35	29	23	9
	6.6	6.6	4.7	8.2	2.7	6.0	7.9	5.3	6.0	13.2
LIGHT TRUCK	116	25	20	58	12	17	28	37	27	7
	7.0	4.8	5.8	9.0	10.9	7.9	6.3	6.8	7.0	10.3
VAN	55	14	4	31	6	7	14	19	13	2
	3.3	2.7	1.2	4.8	5.5	3.3	3.2	3.5	3.4	3.0
OTHER	9	-	2	6	1	1	3	2	3	-
	.6		.6	.9	.9	.5	.7	.3	.8	
REFUSED	2	1	-	1	-	-	-	1	1	-
	.1	.2		.1				.2	.2	
CYLINDERS										
4	509	155	121	180	38	62	136	174	122	15
	30.7	30.0	35.3	27.8	34.6	28.8	30.8	31.8	31.6	22.1
5	7	1	-	3	1	1	2	1	3	-
	.4	.2		.5	.9	.5	.5	.2	.8	
6	441	141	89	175	25	48	133	154	89	17
	26.6	27.3	25.9	27.0	22.7	22.3	30.2	28.2	23.1	25.0
8	630	202	119	255	44	96	151	197	159	27
	38.0	39.2	34.7	39.3	40.0	44.7	34.2	36.0	41.2	39.7
OTHER	4	1	-	3	-	-	-	4	-	-
	.3	.2		.5				.7		
DON'T KNOW	65	15	14	32	2	8	19	17	12	9
	3.9	2.9	4.1	4.9	1.8	3.7	4.3	3.1	3.1	13.2
REFUSED	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-
	.1	.2							.2	

-NEWEST VEHICLE - NUMBER OF DAYS DRIVEN PER WEEK Q.38B
BASE: THOSE WHO HAVE ONE OR MORE VEHICLES
BY CITY AND AGE

		CITY									AGE			
	TOTAL	HALI-FAX	MONC-TON	QUE. CITY	MONT-REAL	OTT-AWA	TOR-ONTO	WINN-IPEG	CAL-GARY	VANC-OUVER	UNDER 35	35-44	45-54	55 & OVER
TOTAL	1657	180	191	178	151	178	185	197	199	196	728	390	239	296
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
NUMBER OF DAYS														
1	22	2	-	2	-	-	6	1	5	6	10	4	4	4
	1.3	1.1		1.1			3.3	.5	2.5	3.1	1.4	1.0	1.7	1.4
2	48	7	5	7	5	9	6	2	3	4	18	12	8	10
	2.9	3.9	2.6	3.9	3.3	5.1	3.2	1.0	1.5	2.0	2.5	3.1	3.4	3.4
3	82	8	9	13	7	8	11	7	7	11	34	23	10	15
	5.0	4.4	4.7	7.3	4.6	4.5	5.9	3.6	3.5	5.6	4.7	5.9	4.2	5.1
4	40	6	5	5	1	5	3	5	5	5	18	10	5	7
	2.4	3.3	2.6	2.8	.7	2.8	1.6	2.5	2.5	2.6	2.5	2.6	2.1	2.4
5	710	82	84	86	67	61	78	94	85	73	341	174	111	83
	42.8	45.6	44.0	48.3	44.4	34.3	42.2	47.7	42.7	37.2	46.8	44.6	46.4	28.0
6	108	12	12	12	7	19	18	10	12	6	54	29	13	12
	6.5	6.7	6.3	6.8	4.6	10.7	9.7	5.1	6.1	3.1	7.4	7.4	5.4	4.0
7	254	23	21	19	28	36	41	24	29	33	138	56	41	19
	15.3	12.8	11.0	10.7	18.6	20.2	22.2	12.2	14.6	16.8	18.9	14.4	17.2	6.4
NOT USED FOR WORK OR SCHOOL TRIPS	377	37	52	33	36	38	20	51	51	58	108	78	46	143
	22.8	20.5	27.2	18.5	23.8	21.3	10.8	25.9	25.6	29.6	14.8	20.0	19.2	48.3
DON'T KNOW	15	3	2	1	-	2	2	3	2	-	7	4	1	3
	.9	1.7	1.1	.6		1.1	1.1	1.5	1.0		1.0	1.0	.4	1.0
REFUSED	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	.1		.5											

-NEWEST VEHICLE - NUMBER OF DAYS DRIVEN PER WEEK Q.38B
BASE: THOSE WHO HAVE ONE OR MORE VEHICLES
BY SEX, AGE OF HOME AND TYPE OF HOME

		SEX		AGE OF HOME				TYPE OF HOME						
	TOTAL	MALE	FE-MALE	LESS THAN 11	11-20 YEARS	21 AND OVER	DON'T KNOW/ REF.	DET-ACHED HOUSE	SEMI-DET-ACHED	2/3/4 PLEX	ROW/ TOWN HOUSE	FLAT/ APART-MENT	ALL OTHER	D/K REF-USED
TOTAL	1657	859	776	539	427	656	35	996	80	155	69	310	43	4
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
NUMBER OF DAYS														
1	22	12	10	7	5	9	1	10	2	3	2	5	-	-
	1.3	1.4	1.3	1.3	1.2	1.4	2.9	1.0	2.5	1.9	2.9	1.6		
2	48	23	23	10	16	21	1	26	4	6	2	8	2	-
	2.9	2.7	3.0	1.9	3.7	3.2	2.9	2.6	5.0	3.9	2.9	2.6	4.7	
3	82	41	39	24	24	33	1	44	3	8	5	18	4	-
	5.0	4.8	5.0	4.5	5.6	5.0	2.9	4.4	3.8	5.2	7.3	5.8	9.3	
4	40	26	13	12	10	17	1	26	1	2	1	7	3	-
	2.4	3.0	1.7	2.2	2.3	2.6	2.8	2.6	1.3	1.3	1.5	2.3	7.0	
5	710	367	336	249	187	260	14	432	35	72	31	122	17	1
	42.8	42.7	43.3	46.2	43.8	39.6	40.0	43.4	43.7	46.4	44.9	39.4	39.5	25.0
6	108	50	55	39	31	38	-	67	4	11	7	18	1	-
	6.5	5.8	7.1	7.2	7.3	5.8		6.7	5.0	7.1	10.1	5.8	2.3	
7	254	143	107	94	69	84	7	130	18	28	12	55	8	3
	15.3	16.7	13.8	17.4	16.2	12.8	20.0	13.1	22.5	18.1	17.4	17.7	18.6	75.0
NOT USED FOR WORK OR SCHOOL TRIPS	377	189	185	95	84	189	9	251	11	23	9	75	8	-
	22.8	22.0	23.8	17.6	19.7	28.8	25.7	25.2	13.7	14.8	13.0	24.2	18.6	
DON'T KNOW	15	7	8	9	1	5	-	9	2	2	-	2	-	-
	.9	.8	1.0	1.7	.2	.8		.9	2.5	1.3		.6		
REFUSED	1	1	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
	.1	.1					2.8	.1						

-NEWEST VEHICLE - NUMBER OF DAYS DRIVEN PER WEEK Q.38B
BASE: THOSE WHO HAVE ONE OR MORE VEHICLES
BY HOME STATUS, HOUSEHOLD INCOME AND EDUCATION

		HOME STATUS			HOUSEHOLD INCOME						EDUCATION				
	TOTAL	OWN	RENT	DK/ REF- USED	UNDER $10M	$10M TO $14.9	$15M TO $24.9	$25M TO $39.9	$40M AND OVER	REF- USED	ELEM- ENT- ARY	SECON -DARY	COLL- EGE/ CEGEP	UNIVE- RSITY	REF- USED
TOTAL	1657	1120	536	1	60	169	392	555	232	249	167	846	210	425	9
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
NUMBER OF DAYS															
1	22	13	9	-	-	4	3	8	4	3	1	9	4	8	-
	1.3	1.2	1.7			2.4	.8	1.5	1.7	1.2	.6	1.1	1.9	1.9	
2	48	37	11	-	2	2	9	20	10	5	11	23	6	8	-
	2.9	3.3	2.1		3.3	1.2	2.3	3.6	4.3	2.0	6.6	2.7	2.9	1.9	
3	82	54	28	-	3	8	21	28	15	7	7	34	16	25	-
	5.0	4.8	5.2		5.0	4.7	5.4	5.0	6.5	2.8	4.2	4.0	7.6	5.9	
4	40	28	12	-	2	4	9	13	2	10	3	17	7	13	-
	2.4	2.5	2.2		3.3	2.4	2.3	2.3	.9	4.0	1.8	2.0	3.3	3.1	
5	710	487	223	-	10	65	177	246	121	91	59	357	84	204	6
	42.8	43.5	41.6		16.7	38.5	45.1	44.3	52.1	36.6	35.3	42.2	40.0	48.0	66.7
6	108	79	29	-	3	11	24	47	8	15	10	56	18	24	-
	6.5	7.0	5.4		5.0	6.5	6.1	8.5	3.4	6.0	6.0	6.6	8.6	5.6	
7	254	148	106	-	10	29	64	79	31	41	19	150	35	50	-
	15.3	13.2	19.8		16.7	17.1	16.3	14.2	13.4	16.5	11.4	17.7	16.7	11.8	
NOT USED FOR WORK OR SCHOOL TRIPS	377	261	115	1	30	45	83	107	41	71	55	190	40	89	3
	22.8	23.3	21.5	100.0	50.0	26.6	21.2	19.3	17.7	28.5	32.9	22.5	19.0	20.9	33.3
DON'T KNOW	15	12	3	-	-	1	2	7	-	5	1	10	-	4	-
	.9	1.1	.5			.6	.5	1.3		2.0	.6	1.2		.9	
REFUSED	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-
	.1	.1								.4	.6				

-NEWEST VEHICLE - NUMBER OF DAYS DRIVEN PER WEEK Q.38B
BASE: THOSE WHO HAVE ONE OR MORE VEHICLES
BY METHOD OF HEATING HOME AND SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE

		METHOD OF HEATING HOME				SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE				
	TOTAL	HEAT -ING OIL	ELEC- TRIC- ITY	NATU- RAL GAS	OTHER	VERY	SOME- WHAT	NOT TOO	NOT AT ALL	DK/ NOT APPL
TOTAL	1657	516	343	648	110	215	441	547	386	68
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
NUMBER OF DAYS										
1	22	4	5	11	1	4	5	9	3	1
	1.3	.8	1.5	1.7	.9	1.9	1.1	1.7	.8	1.5
2	48	21	11	11	5	4	14	18	9	3
	2.9	4.0	3.2	1.7	4.5	1.9	3.2	3.3	2.3	4.4
3	82	28	19	28	6	14	21	24	21	2
	5.0	5.4	5.5	4.3	5.5	6.5	4.8	4.4	5.5	2.9
4	40	16	10	14	-	5	8	11	14	2
	2.4	3.1	2.9	2.2		2.3	1.8	2.0	3.6	2.9
5	710	213	152	285	46	85	211	223	164	27
	42.8	41.3	44.3	44.0	41.8	39.5	47.8	40.8	42.5	39.7
6	108	34	24	40	8	11	34	38	21	4
	6.5	6.6	7.0	6.2	7.3	5.1	7.7	6.9	5.4	5.9
7	254	67	56	103	14	40	63	80	58	13
	15.3	13.0	16.3	15.9	12.7	18.6	14.3	14.6	15.0	19.1
NOT USED FOR WORK OR SCHOOL TRIPS	377	129	61	152	28	50	83	139	90	15
	22.8	25.0	17.8	23.4	25.5	23.3	18.8	25.4	23.3	22.1
DON'T KNOW	15	3	5	4	2	2	2	5	5	1
	.9	.6	1.5	.6	1.8	.9	.5	.9	1.3	1.5
REFUSED	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-
	.1	.2							.3	

-NEWEST VEHICLE -NUMBER OF MILES DRIVEN PER WEEK Q.38B
BASE: THOSE WHO HAVE ONE OR MORE VEHICLES AND DRIVE TO WORK/SCHOOL
BY CITY AND AGE

		CITY									AGE			
	TOTAL	HALI -FAX	MONC -TON	QUE. CITY	MONT- REAL	OTT- AWA	TOR- ONTO	WINN- IPEG	CAL- GARY	VANC- OUVER	UNDER 35	35-44	45-54	55 & OVER
TOTAL	1280	143	139	145	115	140	165	146	148	138	620	312	193	153
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
MILES DRIVEN														
LESS THAN 50 MILES	448	62	56	52	51	43	56	40	43	45	212	103	68	64
	35.0	43.3	40.3	35.9	44.4	30.7	33.9	27.4	29.1	32.6	34.2	33.0	35.2	41.8
50 - 99 MILES	327	35	34	40	28	39	36	51	38	25	175	78	38	36
	25.5	24.5	24.5	27.6	24.3	27.9	21.8	34.9	25.7	18.1	28.2	25.0	19.7	23.5
100 - 149 MILES	191	15	18	12	13	31	23	21	28	30	92	45	36	18
	14.9	10.5	12.9	8.3	11.3	22.1	13.9	14.4	18.9	21.7	14.8	14.4	18.7	11.8
150 - 199 MILES	77	6	7	16	6	7	12	8	9	6	32	21	12	12
	6.0	4.2	5.0	11.0	5.2	5.0	7.3	5.5	6.1	4.4	5.2	6.7	6.2	7.8
200 - 249 MILES	48	4	3	3	4	8	8	3	8	7	20	14	11	3
	3.8	2.8	2.2	2.1	3.5	5.7	4.9	2.1	5.4	5.1	3.2	4.5	5.7	2.0
250 MILES AND OVER	107	11	13	18	9	4	13	10	11	18	52	31	16	8
	8.4	7.7	9.4	12.4	7.8	2.9	7.9	6.8	7.4	13.0	8.4	9.9	8.3	5.2
DON'T KNOW	73	10	7	3	4	7	15	11	9	7	34	17	11	11
	5.7	7.0	5.0	2.0	3.5	5.0	9.1	7.5	6.1	5.1	5.5	5.5	5.7	7.2
REFUSED	9	-	1	1	-	1	2	2	2	-	3	3	1	1
	.7		.7	.7		.7	1.2	1.4	1.3		.5	1.0	.5	.7

-NEWEST VEHICLE -NUMBER OF MILES DRIVEN PER WEEK Q.38B
BASE: THOSE WHO HAVE ONE OR MORE VEHICLES AND DRIVE TO WORK/SCHOOL
BY SEX, AGE OF HOME AND TYPE OF HOME

		SEX		AGE OF HOME				TYPE OF HOME						
	TOTAL	MALE	FE-MALE	LESS THAN 11	11-20 YEARS	21 AND OVER	DON'T KNOW/ REF.	DET-ACHED HOUSE	SEMI-DET-ACHED	2/3/4 PLEX	ROW/ TOWN HOUSE	FLAT/ APART-MENT	ALL OTHER	D/K REF-USED
TOTAL	1280	670	591	444	343	467	26	745	69	132	60	235	35	4
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
MILES DRIVEN														
LESS THAN 50 MILES	448	207	237	148	108	184	8	231	22	52	20	112	11	-
	35.0	30.9	40.1	33.3	31.5	39.4	30.8	31.0	31.9	39.4	33.3	47.7	31.4	
50 - 99 MILES	327	161	159	109	95	117	6	196	16	32	18	55	8	2
	25.5	24.0	26.9	24.6	27.7	25.1	23.1	26.3	23.2	24.2	30.0	23.4	22.9	50.0
100 - 149 MILES	191	115	73	67	52	71	1	122	11	21	9	19	9	-
	14.9	17.2	12.4	15.1	15.2	15.2	3.9	16.4	16.0	15.9	15.0	8.1	25.7	
150 - 199 MILES	77	52	24	36	28	13	-	49	6	3	4	12	2	1
	6.0	7.8	4.1	8.1	8.2	2.8		6.6	8.7	2.3	6.7	5.1	5.7	25.0
200 - 249 MILES	48	34	12	17	12	18	1	31	1	3	3	7	2	1
	3.8	5.1	2.0	3.8	3.5	3.8	3.8	4.1	1.4	2.3	5.0	3.0	5.7	25.0
250 MILES AND OVER	107	74	31	48	29	29	1	70	5	7	4	18	3	-
	8.4	11.0	5.2	10.8	8.4	6.2	3.8	9.4	7.2	5.3	6.7	7.6	8.6	
DON'T KNOW	73	23	50	18	16	33	6	41	8	13	2	9	-	-
	5.7	3.4	8.5	4.1	4.6	7.1	23.1	5.5	11.6	9.8	3.3	3.8		
REFUSED	9	4	5	1	3	2	3	5	-	1	-	3	-	-
	.7	.6	.8	.2	.9	.4	11.5	.7		.8		1.3		

0286

-NEWEST VEHICLE -NUMBER OF MILES DRIVEN PER WEEK Q.38B
BASE: THOSE WHO HAVE ONE OR MORE VEHICLES AND DRIVE TO WORK/SCHOOL
BY HOME STATUS, HOUSEHOLD INCOME AND EDUCATION

		HOME STATUS			HOUSEHOLD INCOME						EDUCATION				
	TOTAL	OWN	RENT	DK/ REF- USED	UNDER $10M	$10M TO $14.9	$15M TO $24.9	$25M TO $39.9	$40M AND OVER	REF- USED	ELEM- ENT- ARY	SECON -DARY	COLL- EGE/ CEGEP	UNIVE- RSITY	REF- USED
TOTAL	1280 100.0	859 100.0	421 100.0	-	30 100.0	124 100.0	309 100.0	448 100.0	191 100.0	178 100.0	112 100.0	656 100.0	170 100.0	336 100.0	6 100.0
MILES DRIVEN															
LESS THAN 50 MILES	448 35.0	281 32.7	167 39.7	-	14 46.7	47 37.9	120 38.8	149 33.3	69 36.1	49 27.5	51 45.5	223 34.0	56 32.9	116 34.5	2 33.3
50 - 99 MILES	327 25.5	213 24.8	114 27.1	-	7 23.3	30 24.2	81 26.2	116 25.9	41 21.5	52 29.2	23 20.5	159 24.2	53 31.2	89 26.5	3 50.0
100 - 149 MILES	191 14.9	145 16.9	46 10.9	-	3 10.0	16 12.9	42 13.6	69 15.4	36 18.8	25 14.0	14 12.5	98 14.9	26 15.3	53 15.8	-
150 - 199 MILES	77 6.0	57 6.6	20 4.7	-	1 3.3	8 6.5	16 5.2	28 6.3	15 7.9	9 5.1	5 4.5	40 6.1	12 7.1	20 5.9	-
200 - 249 MILES	48 3.8	35 4.1	13 3.1	-	-	4 3.2	8 2.6	23 5.1	8 4.2	5 2.8	3 2.7	25 3.8	6 3.5	13 3.9	1 16.7
250 MILES AND OVER	107 8.4	78 9.1	29 6.9	-	2 6.7	11 8.9	25 8.1	39 8.7	16 8.4	14 7.9	8 7.1	58 8.9	9 5.3	32 9.5	-
DON'T KNOW	73 5.7	44 5.1	29 6.9	-	3 10.0	8 6.4	15 4.9	19 4.2	6 3.1	22 12.4	7 6.3	49 7.5	6 3.5	11 3.3	-
REFUSED	9 .7	6 .7	3 .7	-	-	-	2 .6	5 1.1	-	2 1.1	1 .9	4 .6	2 1.2	2 .6	-

-NEWEST VEHICLE -NUMBER OF MILES DRIVEN PER WEEK Q.388
BASE: THOSE WHO HAVE ONE OR MORE VEHICLES AND DRIVE TO WORK/SCHOOL
BY METHOD OF HEATING HOME AND SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE

		METHOD OF HEATING HOME				SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE				
	TOTAL	HEAT -ING OIL	ELEC- TRIC- ITY	NATU- RAL GAS	OTHER	VERY	SOME- WHAT	NOT TOO	NOT AT ALL	DK/ NOT APPL
TOTAL	1280	387	282	496	82	165	358	408	296	53
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
MILES DRIVEN										
LESS THAN 50 MILES	448	164	109	139	25	68	135	137	86	22
	35.0	42.4	38.7	28.0	30.5	41.2	37.7	33.6	29.1	41.5
50 - 99 MILES	327	89	67	138	21	42	100	117	59	9
	25.5	23.0	23.8	27.8	25.6	25.5	27.9	28.7	19.9	17.0
100 - 149 MILES	191	47	31	97	14	20	45	62	57	7
	14.9	12.1	11.0	19.6	17.1	12.1	12.6	15.2	19.3	13.2
150 - 199 MILES	77	20	15	30	10	8	20	28	20	1
	6.0	5.2	5.3	6.0	12.2	4.8	5.6	6.9	6.8	1.9
200 - 249 MILES	48	15	15	15	3	3	10	15	17	3
	3.8	3.9	5.3	3.0	3.7	1.8	2.8	3.7	5.7	5.7
250 MILES AND OVER	107	24	37	38	6	13	24	30	35	5
	8.4	6.2	13.1	7.7	7.3	7.9	6.7	7.3	11.8	9.4
DON'T KNOW	73	27	6	34	2	11	22	16	19	5
	5.7	7.0	2.1	6.9	2.4	6.7	6.1	3.9	6.4	9.4
REFUSED	9	1	2	5	1	-	2	3	3	1
	.7	.2	.7	1.0	1.2		.6	.7	1.0	1.9

-SECOND NEWEST - TYPE AND NUMBER OF CYLINDERS Q.38C
BASE: THOSE WHO HAVE TWO OR MORE VEHICLES
BY CITY AND AGE

		CITY									AGE			
	TOTAL	HALI-FAX	MONC-TON	QUE. CITY	MONT-REAL	OTT-AWA	TOR-ONTO	WINN-IPEG	CAL-GARY	VANC-OUVER	UNDER 35	35-44	45-54	55 & OVER
TOTAL	687	69	60	52	38	49	69	106	128	115	291	176	132	86
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
TYPE OF VEHICLE														
CAR	526	55	39	47	33	38	51	83	90	89	216	140	104	66
	76.6	79.7	65.0	90.4	86.9	77.5	73.9	78.3	70.3	77.4	74.2	79.5	78.8	76.7
STATION WAGON	36	5	3	-	1	4	4	4	7	8	13	13	7	3
	5.2	7.3	5.0		2.6	8.2	5.8	3.8	5.5	6.9	4.5	7.4	5.3	3.5
LIGHT TRUCK	79	6	12	-	3	5	7	12	20	14	43	9	16	11
	11.5	8.7	20.0		7.9	10.2	10.2	11.3	15.6	12.2	14.8	5.1	12.1	12.8
VAN	32	3	3	3	1	2	5	6	6	3	14	10	3	5
	4.7	4.3	5.0	5.8	2.6	4.1	7.2	5.7	4.7	2.6	4.8	5.7	2.3	5.8
OTHER	13	-	2	2	-	-	2	1	5	1	5	4	2	1
	1.9		3.3	3.8			2.9	.9	3.9	.9	1.7	2.3	1.5	1.2
REFUSED	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	.1		1.7											
CYLINDERS														
4	169	22	9	15	13	11	13	18	30	38	77	41	37	13
	24.6	31.9	15.0	28.9	34.2	22.5	18.9	17.0	23.4	33.0	26.5	23.3	28.0	15.1
5	5	-	-	1	-	-	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	-
	.7			1.9			1.5	.9	.8	.9	.4	1.2	1.5	
6	172	21	13	9	8	18	19	34	22	28	79	40	32	21
	25.0	30.4	21.7	17.3	21.1	36.7	27.5	32.1	17.2	24.3	27.1	22.7	24.3	24.4
8	292	21	35	25	17	19	31	43	59	41	115	81	51	45
	42.5	30.4	58.3	48.1	44.7	38.8	44.9	40.6	46.1	35.7	39.5	46.0	38.6	52.3
OTHER	4	-	1	-	-	-	1	-	1	1	2	-	1	1
	.6		1.7				1.4		.8	.9	.7		.8	1.2
DON'T KNOW	44	5	1	2	-	1	4	10	15	6	17	12	9	6
	6.4	7.3	1.7	3.8		2.0	5.8	9.4	11.7	5.2	5.8	6.8	6.8	7.0
REFUSED	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	.2		1.6											

-SECOND NEWEST - TYPE AND NUMBER OF CYLINDERS Q.38C
BASE: THOSE WHO HAVE TWO OR MORE VEHICLES
BY SEX, AGE OF HOME AND TYPE OF HOME

		SEX		AGE OF HOME				TYPE OF HOME						
	TOTAL	MALE	FE-MALE	LESS THAN 11	11-20 YEARS	21 AND OVER	DON'T KNOW/ REF.	DET-ACHED HOUSE	SEMI-DET-ACHED	2/3/4 PLEX	ROW/ TOWN HOUSE	FLAT/ APART -MENT	ALL OTHER	D/K REF-USED
TOTAL	687	351	327	242	198	242	5	519	29	52	25	46	14	2
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
TYPE OF VEHICLE														
CAR	526	261	257	194	156	173	3	392	21	40	20	39	12	2
	76.6	74.3	78.6	80.2	78.8	71.5	60.0	75.5	72.4	76.9	80.0	84.8	85.7	100.0
STATION WAGON	36	15	20	10	9	16	1	27	4	2	-	3	-	-
	5.2	4.3	6.1	4.1	4.5	6.6	20.0	5.2	13.8	3.9		6.5		
LIGHT TRUCK	79	49	30	24	19	36	-	65	3	5	3	2	1	-
	11.5	14.0	9.2	9.9	9.6	14.9		12.5	10.4	9.6	12.0	4.4	7.2	
VAN	32	19	13	9	10	13	-	27	1	3	1	-	-	-
	4.7	5.4	4.0	3.7	5.1	5.4		5.2	3.4	5.8	4.0			
OTHER	13	6	7	5	4	4	-	7	-	2	1	2	1	-
	1.9	1.7	2.1	2.1	2.0	1.6		1.4		3.8	4.0	4.3	7.1	
REFUSED	1	1	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
	.1	.3					20.0	.2						
CYLINDERS														
4	169	84	83	65	54	50	-	122	7	17	6	11	5	1
	24.6	23.9	25.4	26.9	27.3	20.7		23.5	24.1	32.7	24.0	23.9	35.7	50.0
5	5	4	1	3	-	2	-	4	1	-	-	-	-	-
	.7	1.1	.3	1.2		.8		.8	3.5					
6	172	81	87	60	46	66	-	128	5	13	9	14	2	1
	25.0	23.1	26.6	24.8	23.2	27.3		24.7	17.2	25.0	36.0	30.4	14.3	50.0
8	292	175	114	98	87	104	3	227	16	19	7	17	6	-
	42.5	49.9	34.9	40.5	43.9	43.0	60.0	43.7	55.2	36.5	28.0	37.0	42.9	
OTHER	4	2	2	-	1	3	-	3	-	1	-	-	-	-
	.6	.6	.6		.5	1.2		.6		1.9				
DON'T KNOW	44	4	40	16	10	17	1	34	-	2	3	4	1	-
	6.4	1.1	12.2	6.6	5.1	7.0	20.0	6.5		3.9	12.0	8.7	7.1	
REFUSED	1	1	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
	.2	.3					20.0	.2						

-SECOND NEWEST - TYPE AND NUMBER OF CYLINDERS Q.38C
BASE: THOSE WHO HAVE TWO OR MORE VEHICLES
BY HOME STATUS, HOUSEHOLD INCOME AND EDUCATION

		HOME STATUS			HOUSEHOLD INCOME						EDUCATION				
	TOTAL	OWN	RENT	DK/ REF- USED	UNDER $10M	$10M TO $14.9	$15M TO $24.9	$25M TO $39.9	$40M AND OVER	REF- USED	ELEM- ENT- ARY	SECON -DARY	COLL- EGE/ CEGEP	UNIVE- RSITY	REF- USED
TOTAL	687	539	148	-	7	50	110	262	149	109	52	346	85	201	3
	100.0	100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
TYPE OF VEHICLE															
CAR	526	413	113	-	7	36	77	200	119	87	39	257	69	159	2
	76.6	76.6	76.4		100.0	72.0	70.0	76.4	79.9	79.8	75.0	74.3	81.2	79.1	66.7
STATION WAGON	36	31	5	-	-	5	6	9	9	7	-	21	5	10	-
	5.2	5.8	3.4			10.0	5.4	3.4	6.0	6.4		6.1	5.9	5.0	
LIGHT TRUCK	79	60	19	-	-	5	18	32	14	10	10	44	7	18	-
	11.5	11.1	12.8			10.0	16.4	12.2	9.4	9.2	19.2	12.7	8.2	8.9	
VAN	32	26	6	-	-	4	7	15	4	2	2	17	3	10	-
	4.7	4.8	4.0			8.0	6.4	5.7	2.7	1.9	3.9	4.9	3.5	5.0	
OTHER	13	8	5	-	-	-	2	6	3	2	-	7	1	4	1
	1.9	1.5	3.4				1.8	2.3	2.0	1.8		2.0	1.2	2.0	33.3
REFUSED	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-
	.1	.2								.9	1.9				
CYLINDERS															
4	169	131	38	-	1	15	24	63	39	27	3	78	23	62	3
	24.6	24.3	25.7		14.3	30.0	21.8	24.0	26.2	24.8	5.8	22.6	27.1	30.8	100.0
5	5	4	1	-	-	-	2	-	3	-	1	3	-	1	-
	.7	.8	.7				1.8		2.0		1.9	.9		.5	
6	172	125	47	-	1	10	29	66	32	34	11	98	26	37	-
	25.0	23.2	31.8		14.3	20.0	26.4	25.2	21.5	31.2	21.2	28.3	30.6	18.4	
8	292	241	51	-	4	20	47	117	69	35	30	143	33	86	-
	42.5	44.7	34.4		57.1	40.0	42.7	44.7	46.3	32.1	57.7	41.3	38.8	42.8	
OTHER	4	4	-	-	-	2	-	1	-	1	1	-	-	3	-
	.6	.7				4.0		.4		.9	1.9			1.5	
DON'T KNOW	44	33	11	-	1	3	8	15	6	11	5	24	3	12	-
	6.4	6.1	7.4		14.3	6.0	7.3	5.7	4.0	10.1	9.6	6.9	3.5	6.0	
REFUSED	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-
	.2	.2								.9	1.9				

-SECOND NEWEST - TYPE AND NUMBER OF CYLINDERS Q.38C
BASE: THOSE WHO HAVE TWO OR MORE VEHICLES
BY METHOD OF HEATING HOME AND SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE

		METHOD OF HEATING HOME				SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE				
	TOTAL	HEAT -ING OIL	ELEC- TRIC- ITY	NATU- RAL GAS	OTHER	VERY	SOME- WHAT	NOT TOO	NOT AT ALL	DK/ NOT APPL
TOTAL	687	181	101	355	43	65	167	236	189	30
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
TYPE OF VEHICLE										
CAR	526	145	73	278	27	47	133	183	140	23
	76.6	80.1	72.3	78.3	62.8	72.3	79.6	77.5	74.1	76.7
STATION WAGON	36	11	6	15	2	4	11	11	8	2
	5.2	6.1	5.9	4.2	4.7	6.2	6.6	4.7	4.3	6.7
LIGHT TRUCK	79	16	12	40	10	5	14	24	32	4
	11.5	8.8	11.9	11.3	23.2	7.7	8.4	10.2	16.9	13.3
VAN	32	8	6	15	3	4	6	14	8	-
	4.7	4.4	5.9	4.2	7.0	6.1	3.6	5.9	4.2	
OTHER	13	-	4	7	1	5	3	4	-	1
	1.9		4.0	2.0	2.3	7.7	1.8	1.7		3.3
REFUSED	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-
	.1	.6							.5	
CYLINDERS										
4	169	49	20	84	14	18	48	53	42	8
	24.6	27.1	19.8	23.7	32.6	27.7	28.8	22.5	22.2	26.7
5	5	-	2	3	-	-	1	2	2	-
	.7		2.0	.8			.6	.9	1.1	
6	172	51	23	86	10	22	42	56	45	7
	25.0	28.2	22.8	24.2	23.3	33.9	25.1	23.7	23.8	23.3
8	292	71	52	151	17	22	63	111	88	8
	42.5	39.2	51.5	42.5	39.5	33.8	37.7	47.0	46.6	26.7
OTHER	4	1	-	2	1	-	1	2	1	-
	.6	.6		.6	2.3		.6	.8	.5	
DON'T KNOW	44	8	4	29	1	3	12	12	10	7
	6.4	4.4	3.9	8.2	2.3	4.6	7.2	5.1	5.3	23.3
REFUSED	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-
	.2	.5							.5	

CONSUMER CONTACT LIMITED - #257-83 ENERGY STUDY 1983

-SECOND NEWEST - NUMBER OF DAYS DRIVEN PER WEEK Q.38C
BASE: THOSE WHO HAVE TWO OR MORE VEHICLES
BY CITY AND AGE

		CITY									AGE			
	TOTAL	HALI-FAX	MONC-TON	QUE. CITY	MONT-REAL	OTT-AWA	TOR-ONTO	WINN-IPEG	CAL-GARY	VANC-OUVER	UNDER 35	35-44	45-54	55 & OVER
TOTAL	687	69	60	52	38	49	69	106	128	115	291	176	132	86
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
NUMBER OF DAYS														
1	24	4	2	2	2	-	6	2	4	2	14	6	4	-
	3.5	5.8	3.3	3.9	5.3		8.7	1.9	3.1	1.8	4.8	3.4	3.0	
2	32	5	1	3	1	4	1	8	3	6	12	10	5	5
	4.7	7.3	1.7	5.8	2.6	8.2	1.5	7.6	2.3	5.2	4.1	5.7	3.8	5.8
3	36	1	3	3	2	2	3	6	7	9	20	8	7	1
	5.2	1.5	5.0	5.8	5.3	4.1	4.4	5.7	5.5	7.8	6.9	4.6	5.3	1.2
4	18	1	2	-	1	-	3	3	2	6	12	2	3	1
	2.6	1.4	3.3		2.6		4.3	2.8	1.6	5.2	4.1	1.1	2.3	1.1
5	279	36	15	28	16	25	24	47	44	43	115	73	64	27
	40.6	52.2	25.0	53.8	42.1	51.0	34.8	44.3	34.4	37.4	39.5	41.5	48.5	31.4
6	24	1	3	1	3	3	5	3	3	2	12	7	2	3
	3.5	1.4	5.0	1.9	7.9	6.1	7.2	2.8	2.3	1.7	4.1	4.0	1.5	3.5
7	73	6	5	4	6	8	10	7	16	11	33	18	17	5
	10.6	8.7	8.3	7.7	15.8	16.3	14.5	6.6	12.5	9.6	11.4	10.2	12.9	5.8
NOT USED FOR WORK OR SCHOOL TRIPS	190	12	27	10	7	6	17	27	49	35	69	50	29	41
	27.7	17.4	45.0	19.2	18.4	12.3	24.6	25.5	38.3	30.4	23.7	28.4	22.0	47.7
DON'T KNOW	10	3	1	1	-	1	-	3	-	1	4	2	1	3
	1.5	4.3	1.7	1.9		2.0		2.8		.9	1.4	1.1	.7	3.5
REFUSED	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	.1		1.7											

-SECOND NEWEST - NUMBER OF DAYS DRIVEN PER WEEK Q.38C
BASE: THOSE WHO HAVE TWO OR MORE VEHICLES
BY SEX, AGE OF HOME AND TYPE OF HOME

		SEX		AGE OF HOME				TYPE OF HOME						
	TOTAL	MALE	FE-MALE	LESS THAN 11	11-20 YEARS	21 AND OVER	DON'T KNOW/ REF.	DET-ACHED HOUSE	SEMI-DET-ACHED	2/3/4 PLEX	ROW/ TOWN HOUSE	FLAT/ APART-MENT	ALL OTHER	D/K REF-USED
TOTAL	687	351	327	242	198	242	5	519	29	52	25	46	14	2
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
NUMBER OF DAYS														
1	24	16	8	12	6	6	-	15	1	2	1	4	1	-
	3.5	4.6	2.4	5.0	3.0	2.5		2.9	3.5	3.9	4.0	8.7	7.1	
2	32	18	12	10	7	15	-	27	1	2	1	1	-	-
	4.7	5.1	3.7	4.1	3.5	6.2		5.2	3.5	3.9	4.0	2.2		
3	36	22	13	18	8	10	-	24	3	2	2	4	1	-
	5.2	6.3	4.0	7.4	4.1	4.1		4.6	10.4	3.9	8.0	8.7	7.1	
4	18	14	4	6	5	7	-	13	1	2	1	1	-	-
	2.6	4.0	1.2	2.5	2.5	2.9		2.5	3.4	3.8	4.0	2.2		
5	279	128	148	100	75	102	2	214	11	27	9	14	4	-
	40.6	36.5	45.3	41.3	37.9	42.1	40.0	41.2	37.9	51.9	36.0	30.4	28.6	
6	24	12	11	7	9	8	-	18	-	2	1	3	-	-
	3.5	3.4	3.4	2.9	4.6	3.3		3.5		3.8	4.0	6.5		
7	73	39	32	21	28	24	-	45	6	8	5	7	2	-
	10.6	11.1	9.8	8.7	14.1	9.9		8.7	20.7	15.4	20.0	15.2	14.3	
NOT USED FOR WORK OR SCHOOL TRIPS	190	98	92	64	58	66	2	154	5	6	5	12	6	2
	27.7	27.9	28.1	26.4	29.3	27.3	40.0	29.7	17.2	11.5	20.0	26.1	42.9	100.0
DON'T KNOW	10	3	7	4	2	4	-	8	1	1	-	-	-	-
	1.5	.8	2.1	1.7	1.0	1.7		1.5	3.4	1.9				
REFUSED	1	1	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
	.1	.3					20.0	.2						

-SECOND NEWEST - NUMBER OF DAYS DRIVEN PER WEEK Q.38C
BASE: THOSE WHO HAVE TWO OR MORE VEHICLES
BY HOME STATUS, HOUSEHOLD INCOME AND EDUCATION

		HOME STATUS			HOUSEHOLD INCOME						EDUCATION				
	TOTAL	OWN	RENT	DK/ REF- USED	UNDER $10M	$10M TO $14.9	$15M TO $24.9	$25M TO $39.9	$40M AND OVER	REF- USED	ELEM- ENT- ARY	SECON -DARY	COLL- EGE/ CEGEP	UNIVE- RSITY	REF- USED
TOTAL	687	539	148	-	7	50	110	262	149	109	52	346	85	201	3
	100.0	100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
NUMBER OF DAYS															
1	24	18	6	-	-	3	5	9	3	4	2	13	2	7	-
	3.5	3.3	4.1			6.0	4.6	3.4	2.0	3.7	3.9	3.8	2.4	3.5	
2	32	27	5	-	1	2	4	12	9	4	5	16	1	9	1
	4.7	5.0	3.4		14.3	4.0	3.6	4.6	6.1	3.7	9.6	4.6	1.2	4.5	33.4
3	36	24	12	-	-	5	5	14	7	5	-	15	11	10	-
	5.2	4.5	8.1			10.0	4.5	5.4	4.7	4.6		4.4	12.9	5.0	
4	18	12	6	-	-	3	2	7	2	4	-	8	2	8	-
	2.6	2.2	4.0			6.0	1.8	2.7	1.3	3.7		2.3	2.3	4.0	
5	279	224	55	-	3	16	44	102	71	43	17	141	27	93	1
	40.6	41.6	37.2		42.8	32.0	40.0	38.9	47.7	39.4	32.7	40.8	31.8	46.2	33.3
6	24	18	6	-	-	2	6	11	3	2	3	8	9	4	-
	3.5	3.3	4.0			4.0	5.5	4.2	2.0	1.8	5.8	2.3	10.6	2.0	
7	73	48	25	-	1	6	13	26	14	13	10	42	11	10	-
	10.6	8.9	16.9		14.3	12.0	11.8	9.9	9.4	11.9	19.2	12.1	12.9	5.0	
NOT USED FOR WORK OR SCHOOL TRIPS	190	157	33	-	2	13	31	76	40	28	13	97	21	58	1
	27.7	29.1	22.3		28.6	26.0	28.2	29.0	26.8	25.7	25.0	28.0	24.7	28.8	33.3
DON'T KNOW	10	10	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	5	1	6	1	2	-
	1.5	1.9						1.9		4.6	1.9	1.7	1.2	1.0	
REFUSED	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-
	.1	.2								.9	1.9				

-SECOND NEWEST - NUMBER OF DAYS DRIVEN PER WEEK Q.38C
BASE: THOSE WHO HAVE TWO OR MORE VEHICLES
BY METHOD OF HEATING HOME AND SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE

		METHOD OF HEATING HOME				SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE				
	TOTAL	HEAT-ING OIL	ELEC-TRIC-ITY	NATU-RAL GAS	OTHER	VERY	SOME-WHAT	NOT TOO	NOT AT ALL	DK/ NOT APPL
TOTAL	687 100.0	181 100.0	101 100.0	355 100.0	43 100.0	65 100.0	167 100.0	236 100.0	189 100.0	30 100.0
NUMBER OF DAYS										
1	24 3.5	8 4.4	3 3.0	13 3.7	-	1 1.5	4 2.4	10 4.2	9 4.8	-
2	32 4.7	11 6.1	6 5.9	15 4.2	-	1 1.5	9 5.4	12 5.1	9 4.8	1 3.3
3	36 5.2	5 2.8	6 5.9	20 5.6	4 9.3	2 3.1	15 9.0	10 4.2	8 4.2	1 3.3
4	18 2.6	7 3.9	2 2.0	8 2.3	1 2.3	1 1.5	2 1.2	8 3.4	5 2.7	2 6.7
5	279 40.6	82 45.3	45 44.5	134 37.7	17 39.5	27 41.5	73 43.7	96 40.7	75 39.7	8 26.7
6	24 3.5	10 5.5	3 3.0	8 2.2	2 4.7	2 3.1	7 4.2	10 4.2	3 1.6	2 6.7
7	73 10.6	18 9.9	10 9.9	39 11.0	4 9.3	9 13.9	20 12.0	23 9.8	18 9.5	3 10.0
NOT USED FOR WORK OR SCHOOL TRIPS	190 27.7	35 19.3	24 23.8	116 32.7	13 30.2	20 30.8	35 20.9	64 27.1	60 31.7	11 36.7
DON'T KNOW	10 1.5	4 2.2	2 2.0	2 .6	2 4.7	2 3.1	2 1.2	3 1.3	1 .5	2 6.6
REFUSED	1 .1	1 .6	-	-	-	-	-	-	1 .5	-

-SECOND NEWEST - NUMBER OF MILES DRIVEN PER WEEK Q.38C
BASE: THOSE WHO HAVE TWO OR MORE VEHICLES AND DRIVE TO WORK/SCHOOL
BY CITY AND AGE

		CITY									AGE			
	TOTAL	HALI-FAX	MONC-TON	QUE. CITY	MONT-REAL	OTT-AWA	TOR-ONTO	WINN-IPEG	CAL-GARY	VANC-OUVER	UNDER 35	35-44	45-54	55 & OVER
TOTAL	497	57	33	42	31	43	52	79	79	80	222	126	103	45
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
MILES DRIVEN														
LESS THAN 50 MILES	185	20	16	21	16	15	20	26	25	26	85	47	37	16
	37.2	35.1	48.5	50.0	51.6	34.9	38.5	32.9	31.6	32.5	38.3	37.3	35.9	35.6
50 - 99 MILES	121	12	5	9	6	11	12	24	27	14	61	24	26	10
	24.4	21.1	15.2	21.4	19.4	25.6	23.1	30.4	34.2	17.5	27.5	19.1	25.2	22.2
100 - 149 MILES	78	10	4	3	5	8	8	12	13	15	31	21	20	6
	15.7	17.5	12.1	7.2	16.1	18.6	15.4	15.2	16.5	18.8	14.0	16.7	19.4	13.3
150 - 199 MILES	26	1	-	1	-	2	1	7	5	9	8	8	8	2
	5.3	1.7		2.4		4.6	1.9	8.9	6.3	11.3	3.6	6.3	7.8	4.5
200 - 249 MILES	24	4	-	1	2	3	3	2	4	5	11	9	1	3
	4.8	7.0		2.4	6.5	7.0	5.8	2.5	5.1	6.2	5.0	7.1	1.0	6.7
250 MILES AND OVER	25	3	5	3	1	-	1	4	3	5	11	9	4	1
	5.0	5.3	15.1	7.1	3.2		1.9	5.1	3.8	6.2	4.9	7.1	3.9	2.2
DON'T KNOW	33	7	2	3	1	4	7	3	2	4	14	7	6	6
	6.6	12.3	6.1	7.1	3.2	9.3	13.4	3.8	2.5	5.0	6.3	5.6	5.8	13.3
REFUSED	5	-	1	1	-	-	-	1	-	2	1	1	1	1
	1.0		3.0	2.4				1.2		2.5	.4	.8	1.0	2.2

0289

-SECOND NEWEST - NUMBER OF MILES DRIVEN PER WEEK Q.38C
BASE: THOSE WHO HAVE TWO OR MORE VEHICLES AND DRIVE TO WORK/SCHOOL
BY SEX, AGE OF HOME AND TYPE OF HOME

		SEX		AGE OF HOME				TYPE OF HOME						
	TOTAL	MALE	FE-MALE	LESS THAN 11	11-20 YEARS	21 AND OVER	DON'T KNOW/ REF.	DET-ACHED HOUSE	SEMI-DET-ACHED	2/3/4 PLEX	ROW/ TOWN HOUSE	FLAT/ APART-MENT	ALL OTHER	D/K REF-USED
TOTAL	497	253	235	178	140	176	3	365	24	46	20	34	8	-
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
MILES DRIVEN														
LESS THAN 50 MILES	185	85	96	65	50	70	-	132	6	23	9	13	2	-
	37.2	33.6	40.9	36.5	35.7	39.8		36.2	25.0	50.0	45.0	38.2	25.0	
50 - 99 MILES	121	71	48	49	34	38	-	82	9	11	5	11	3	-
	24.4	28.1	20.4	27.5	24.3	21.6		22.5	37.5	23.9	25.0	32.4	37.5	
100 - 149 MILES	78	41	34	30	23	25	-	61	4	6	1	4	2	-
	15.7	16.2	14.5	16.9	16.4	14.2		16.7	16.7	13.0	5.0	11.8	25.0	
150 - 199 MILES	26	9	17	10	9	7	-	22	-	1	2	1	-	-
	5.3	3.5	7.2	5.6	6.4	4.0		6.0		2.2	10.0	3.0		
200 - 249 MILES	24	13	11	10	7	7	-	17	1	2	1	3	-	-
	4.8	5.1	4.7	5.6	5.0	4.0		4.6	4.2	4.3	5.0	8.8		
250 MILES AND OVER	25	22	3	6	10	9	-	23	-	1	-	1	-	-
	5.0	8.7	1.3	3.4	7.2	5.1		6.3		2.2		2.9		
DON'T KNOW	33	8	25	8	6	17	2	24	4	1	2	1	1	-
	6.6	3.2	10.6	4.5	4.3	9.6	66.7	6.6	16.6	2.2	10.0	2.9	12.5	
REFUSED	5	4	1	-	1	3	1	4	-	1	-	-	-	-
	1.0	1.6	.4		.7	1.7	33.3	1.1		2.2				

-SECOND NEWEST - NUMBER OF MILES DRIVEN PER WEEK Q.38C
BASE: THOSE WHO HAVE TWO OR MORE VEHICLES AND DRIVE TO WORK/SCHOOL
BY HOME STATUS, HOUSEHOLD INCOME AND EDUCATION

		HOME STATUS			HOUSEHOLD INCOME						EDUCATION				
	TOTAL	OWN	RENT	DK/ REF- USED	UNDER $10M	$10M TO $14.9	$15M TO $24.9	$25M TO $39.9	$40M AND OVER	REF- USED	ELEM- ENT- ARY	SECON -DARY	COLL- EGE/ CEGEP	UNIVE- RSITY	REF- USED
TOTAL	497	382	115	-	5	37	79	186	109	81	39	249	64	143	2
	100.0	100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
MILES DRIVEN															
LESS THAN 50 MILES	185	145	40	-	1	18	31	73	36	26	17	90	25	52	1
	37.2	38.0	34.8		20.0	48.7	39.3	39.2	33.0	32.1	43.6	36.2	39.1	36.4	50.0
50 - 99 MILES	121	87	34	-	1	9	20	49	24	18	7	55	15	43	1
	24.4	22.8	29.6		20.0	24.3	25.3	26.3	22.0	22.2	17.9	22.1	23.4	30.0	50.0
100 - 149 MILES	78	62	16	-	1	8	11	20	24	14	3	40	13	22	-
	15.7	16.2	13.9		20.0	21.6	13.9	10.8	22.0	17.3	7.7	16.1	20.3	15.4	
150 - 199 MILES	26	22	4	-	-	1	5	12	6	2	2	12	3	9	-
	5.3	5.7	3.5			2.7	6.3	6.5	5.5	2.5	5.1	4.8	4.7	6.3	
200 - 249 MILES	24	18	6	-	-	-	4	10	7	3	1	12	3	8	-
	4.8	4.7	5.2				5.1	5.4	6.4	3.7	2.6	4.8	4.7	5.6	
250 MILES AND OVER	25	19	6	-	1	1	2	8	7	6	4	14	1	6	-
	5.0	5.0	5.2		20.0	2.7	2.5	4.3	6.4	7.4	10.3	5.6	1.6	4.2	
DON'T KNOW	33	26	7	-	1	-	6	12	3	11	4	23	4	2	-
	6.6	6.8	6.1		20.0		7.6	6.4	2.8	13.6	10.2	9.2	6.2	1.4	
REFUSED	5	3	2	-	-	-	-	2	2	1	1	3	-	1	-
	1.0	.8	1.7					1.1	1.9	1.2	2.6	1.2		.7	

-SECOND NEWEST - NUMBER OF MILES DRIVEN PER WEEK Q.38C
BASE: THOSE WHO HAVE TWO OR MORE VEHICLES AND DRIVE TO WORK/SCHOOL
BY METHOD OF HEATING HOME AND SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE

		METHOD OF HEATING HOME				SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE				
	TOTAL	HEAT -ING OIL	ELEC- TRIC- ITY	NATU- RAL GAS	OTHER	VERY	SOME- WHAT	NOT TOO	NOT AT ALL	DK/ NOT APPL
TOTAL	497 100.0	146 100.0	77 100.0	239 100.0	30 100.0	45 100.0	132 100.0	172 100.0	129 100.0	19 100.0
MILES DRIVEN										
LESS THAN 50 MILES	185 37.2	59 40.4	36 46.7	82 34.3	7 23.3	19 42.2	52 39.4	60 34.9	47 36.4	7 36.8
50 - 99 MILES	121 24.4	25 17.1	15 19.5	73 30.6	6 20.0	8 17.8	39 29.5	44 25.6	28 21.7	2 10.5
100 - 149 MILES	78 15.7	25 17.1	11 14.3	37 15.5	5 16.7	4 8.9	19 14.4	33 19.2	21 16.3	1 5.3
150 - 199 MILES	26 5.3	6 4.1	2 2.6	13 5.4	5 16.7	4 8.9	4 3.0	9 5.2	9 7.0	-
200 - 249 MILES	24 4.8	6 4.1	3 3.9	12 5.0	2 6.7	4 8.9	5 3.8	9 5.2	4 3.1	2 10.5
250 MILES AND OVER	25 5.0	10 6.9	5 6.5	8 3.4	2 6.6	3 6.7	4 3.0	4 2.3	11 8.5	3 15.8
DON'T KNOW	33 6.6	14 9.6	3 3.9	12 5.0	3 10.0	3 6.6	8 6.1	10 5.8	8 6.2	4 21.1
REFUSED	5 1.0	1 .7	2 2.6	2 .8	-	-	1 .8	3 1.8	1 .8	-

-THIRD NEWEST - TYPE AND NUMBER OF CYLINDERS Q.36D
BASE: THOSE WHO HAVE THREE OR MORE VEHICLES
BY CITY AND AGE

		CITY									AGE			
	TOTAL	HALI -FAX	MONC -TON	QUE. CITY	MONT- REAL	OTT- AWA	TOR- ONTO	WINN- IPEG	CAL- GARY	VANC- OUVER	UNDER 35	35-44	45-54	55 & OVER
TOTAL	153	15	13	7	8	8	12	23	36	31	62	31	42	17
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
TYPE OF VEHICLE														
CAR	108	11	7	5	6	4	8	18	28	21	44	21	30	13
	70.6	73.3	53.8	71.4	75.0	50.0	66.7	78.3	77.8	67.8	71.0	67.7	71.4	76.5
STATION WAGON	8	1	-	-	1	-	1	5	-	-	4	1	-	3
	5.2	6.7			12.5		8.3	21.7			6.4	3.2		17.6
LIGHT TRUCK	14	1	3	1	1	-	1	-	2	5	5	3	5	1
	9.2	6.7	23.1	14.3	12.5		8.3		5.6	16.1	8.1	9.7	11.9	5.9
VAN	13	2	2	-	-	3	2	-	3	1	5	3	5	-
	8.5	13.3	15.4			37.5	16.7		8.3	3.2	8.1	9.7	11.9	
OTHER	9	-	-	1	-	1	-	-	3	4	4	3	2	-
	5.9			14.3		12.5			8.3	12.9	6.4	9.7	4.8	
REFUSED	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	.6		7.7											
CYLINDERS														
4	31	3	2	-	1	1	4	2	6	12	11	6	10	4
	20.3	20.0	15.4		12.5	12.5	33.3	8.7	16.7	38.7	17.8	19.4	23.8	23.5
5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
6	41	3	5	3	1	2	4	9	10	4	18	4	13	6
	26.8	20.0	38.5	42.9	12.5	25.0	33.3	39.1	27.8	12.9	29.0	12.9	30.9	35.3
8	62	5	5	3	5	4	2	11	15	12	25	16	17	4
	40.5	33.3	38.4	42.8	62.5	50.0	16.7	47.8	41.6	38.7	40.3	51.6	40.5	23.5
OTHER	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	1	-	-
	.7								2.8			3.2		
DON'T KNOW	17	4	-	1	1	1	2	1	4	3	8	4	2	3
	11.1	26.7		14.3	12.5	12.5	16.7	4.4	11.1	9.7	12.9	12.9	4.8	17.7
REFUSED	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	.6		7.7											

-THIRD NEWEST - TYPE AND NUMBER OF CYLINDERS Q.380
BASE: THOSE WHO HAVE THREE OR MORE VEHICLES
BY SEX, AGE OF HOME AND TYPE OF HOME

		SEX		AGE OF HOME				TYPE OF HOME						
	TOTAL	MALE	FE-MALE	LESS THAN 11	11-20 YEARS	21 AND OVER	DON'T KNOW/ REF.	DET-ACHED HOUSE	SEMI-DET-ACHED	2/3/4 PLEX	ROW/ TOWN HOUSE	FLAT/ APART-MENT	ALL OTHER	D/K REF-USED
TOTAL	153	78	75	48	41	62	2	117	8	14	4	5	5	-
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
TYPE OF VEHICLE														
CAR	108	54	54	34	33	40	1	82	6	8	3	5	4	-
	70.6	69.2	72.0	70.8	80.5	64.5	50.0	70.1	75.0	57.2	75.0	100.0	80.0	
STATION WAGON	8	6	2	2	-	6	-	7	-	1	-	-	-	-
	5.2	7.7	2.7	4.2		9.7		6.0		7.1				
LIGHT TRUCK	14	7	7	4	3	7	-	11	1	2	-	-	-	-
	9.2	9.0	9.3	8.3	7.3	11.3		9.4	12.5	14.3				
VAN	13	5	8	5	2	6	-	10	1	1	-	-	1	-
	8.5	6.4	10.7	10.4	4.9	9.7		8.5	12.5	7.1			20.0	
OTHER	9	5	4	3	3	3	-	6	-	2	1	-	-	-
	5.9	6.4	5.3	6.3	7.3	4.8		5.1		14.3	25.0			
REFUSED	1	1	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
	.6	1.3					50.0	.9						
CYLINDERS														
4	31	19	12	11	8	12	-	24	2	3	-	1	1	-
	20.3	24.3	16.0	22.9	19.5	19.4		20.5	25.0	21.4		20.0	20.0	
5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
6	41	18	23	11	12	18	-	31	3	3	2	1	1	-
	26.8	23.1	30.7	22.9	29.3	29.0		26.5	37.5	21.4	50.0	20.0	20.0	
8	62	40	22	20	17	25	-	49	2	6	1	1	3	-
	40.5	51.3	29.3	41.7	41.5	40.3		41.9	25.0	42.9	25.0	20.0	60.0	
OTHER	1	-	1	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
	.7		1.3	2.1				.9						
DON'T KNOW	17	-	17	5	4	7	1	11	1	2	1	2	-	-
	11.1		22.7	10.4	9.7	11.3	50.0	9.4	12.5	14.3	25.0	40.0		
REFUSED	1	1	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
	.6	1.3					50.0	.8						

-THIRD NEWEST - TYPE AND NUMBER OF CYLINDERS Q.380
BASE: THOSE WHO HAVE THREE OR MORE VEHICLES
BY HOME STATUS, HOUSEHOLD INCOME AND EDUCATION

		HOME STATUS			HOUSEHOLD INCOME						EDUCATION				
	TOTAL	OWN	RENT	DK/ REF-USED	UNDER $10M	$10M TO $14.9	$15M TO $24.9	$25M TO $39.9	$40M AND OVER	REF-USED	ELEM-ENT-ARY	SECON-DARY	COLL-EGE/ CEGEP	UNIVE-RSITY	REF-USED
TOTAL	153	123	30	-	3	8	21	48	45	28	16	81	15	41	-
	100.0	100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
TYPE OF VEHICLE															
CAR	108	88	20	-	1	5	19	33	30	20	8	56	12	32	-
	70.6	71.6	66.7		33.3	62.5	90.5	68.8	66.7	71.4	50.0	69.1	80.0	78.0	
STATION WAGON	8	8	-	-	-	1	2	2	2	1	1	4	-	3	-
	5.2	6.5				12.5	9.5	4.2	4.4	3.6	6.3	4.9		7.3	
LIGHT TRUCK	14	10	4	-	2	1	-	3	5	3	4	5	1	4	-
	9.2	8.1	13.3		66.7	12.5		6.2	11.1	10.7	25.0	6.2	6.7	9.8	
VAN	13	9	4	-	-	1	-	5	5	2	2	8	1	2	-
	8.5	7.3	13.3			12.5		10.4	11.1	7.1	12.5	9.9	6.7	4.9	
OTHER	9	7	2	-	-	-	-	5	3	1	-	8	1	-	-
	5.9	5.7	6.7					10.4	6.7	3.6		9.9	6.6		
REFUSED	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-
	.6	.8								3.6	6.2				
CYLINDERS															
4	31	26	5	-	-	3	1	10	13	4	1	16	4	10	-
	20.3	21.1	16.7			37.5	4.8	20.8	28.9	14.3	6.3	19.8	26.7	24.4	
5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
6	41	34	7	-	1	-	7	14	11	8	6	18	4	13	-
	26.8	27.7	23.3		33.4		33.3	29.2	24.5	28.6	37.5	22.2	26.7	31.7	
8	62	50	12	-	1	4	11	18	20	8	6	37	5	14	-
	40.5	40.7	40.0		33.3	50.0	52.4	37.5	44.4	28.6	37.5	45.7	33.3	34.2	
OTHER	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	1	-
	.7	.8						2.1						2.4	
DON'T KNOW	17	11	6	-	1	1	2	5	1	7	2	10	2	3	-
	11.1	8.9	20.0		33.3	12.5	9.5	10.4	2.2	25.0	12.5	12.3	13.3	7.3	
REFUSED	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-
	.6	.8								3.5	6.2				

-THIRD NEWEST - TYPE AND NUMBER OF CYLINDERS Q.380
BASE: THOSE WHO HAVE THREE OR MORE VEHICLES
BY METHOD OF HEATING HOME AND SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE

		METHOD OF HEATING HOME				SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE				
	TOTAL	HEAT -ING OIL	ELEC- TRIC- ITY	NATU- RAL GAS	OTHER	VERY	SOME- WHAT	NOT TOO	NOT AT ALL	DK/ NOT APPL
TOTAL	153	38	16	87	10	11	37	48	51	6
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
TYPE OF VEHICLE										
CAR	108	22	13	66	5	7	29	29	41	2
	70.6	57.9	81.3	75.9	50.0	63.6	78.4	60.4	80.4	33.3
STATION WAGON	8	3	1	3	1	-	2	4	-	2
	5.2	7.9	6.3	3.5	10.0		5.4	8.4		33.3
LIGHT TRUCK	14	6	1	4	3	3	-	5	5	1
	9.2	15.8	6.2	4.6	30.0	27.3		10.4	9.8	16.7
VAN	13	5	-	7	1	-	3	5	4	1
	8.5	13.2		8.0	10.0		8.1	10.4	7.8	16.7
OTHER	9	1	1	7	-	1	3	5	-	-
	5.9	2.6	6.2	8.0		9.1	8.1	10.4		
REFUSED	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-
	.6	2.6							2.0	
CYLINDERS										
4	31	8	1	20	2	2	5	12	11	1
	20.3	21.1	6.3	23.0	20.0	18.2	13.5	25.0	21.6	16.7
5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
6	41	7	4	24	5	3	8	13	17	-
	26.8	18.4	25.0	27.6	50.0	27.3	21.6	27.1	33.3	
8	62	16	9	34	3	4	19	18	19	2
	40.5	42.1	56.2	39.1	30.0	36.3	51.4	37.5	37.2	33.3
OTHER	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	1	-
	.7			1.1					2.0	
DON'T KNOW	17	6	2	8	-	2	5	5	2	3
	11.1	15.8	12.5	9.2		18.2	13.5	10.4	3.9	50.0
REFUSED	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-
	.6	2.6							2.0	

-THIRD NEWEST - NUMBER OF DAYS DRIVEN PER WEEK Q.38D
BASE: THOSE WHO HAVE THREE OR MORE VEHICLES
BY CITY AND AGE

		CITY									AGE			
	TOTAL	HALI -FAX	MONC -TON	QUE. CITY	MONT- REAL	OTT- AWA	TOR- ONTO	WINN- IPEG	CAL- GARY	VANC- OUVER	UNDER 35	35-44	45-54	55 & OVER
TOTAL	153 100.0	15 100.0	13 100.0	7 100.0	8 100.0	8 100.0	12 100.0	23 100.0	36 100.0	31 100.0	62 100.0	31 100.0	42 100.0	17 100.0
NUMBER OF DAYS														
1	4 2.6	1 6.7	-	-	1 12.5	-	-	-	2 5.6	-	3 4.9	-	1 2.4	-
2	8 5.2	-	1 7.7	-	1 12.5	-	-	2 8.7	2 5.6	2 6.5	7 11.3	-	1 2.4	-
3	3 2.0	-	-	1 14.3	-	-	-	1 4.3	-	1 3.2	-	1 3.2	2 4.8	-
4	5 3.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1 2.8	4 12.9	2 3.2	1 3.2	-	2 11.8
5	42 27.4	7 46.7	1 7.7	3 42.9	-	2 25.0	5 41.7	6 26.1	14 38.9	4 12.9	18 29.0	5 16.1	15 35.7	4 23.5
6	9 5.9	-	2 15.4	-	-	1 12.5	1 8.3	2 8.7	2 5.5	1 3.2	2 3.2	2 6.5	3 7.1	2 11.8
7	19 12.4	2 13.3	1 7.7	-	2 25.0	2 25.0	3 25.0	2 8.7	2 5.5	5 16.1	8 12.9	4 12.9	5 11.9	2 11.8
NOT USED FOR WORK OR SCHOOL TRIPS	59 38.6	5 33.3	7 53.8	3 42.8	4 50.0	2 25.0	2 16.7	10 43.5	13 36.1	13 42.0	21 33.9	18 58.1	15 35.7	5 29.4
DON'T KNOW	3 2.0	-	-	-	-	1 12.5	1 8.3	-	-	1 3.2	1 1.6	-	-	2 11.7
REFUSED	1 .6	-	1 7.7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

-THIRD NEWEST - NUMBER OF DAYS DRIVEN PER WEEK Q.36D
BASE: THOSE WHO HAVE THREE OR MORE VEHICLES
BY SEX, AGE OF HOME AND TYPE OF HOME

		SEX		AGE OF HOME				TYPE OF HOME						
	TOTAL	MALE	FE-MALE	LESS THAN 11	11-20 YEARS	21 AND OVER	DON'T KNOW/ REF.	DET-ACHED HOUSE	SEMI-DET-ACHED	2/3/4 PLEX	ROW/ TOWN HOUSE	FLAT/ APART-MENT	ALL OTHER	D/K REF-USED
TOTAL	153	78	75	48	41	62	2	117	8	14	4	5	5	-
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
NUMBER OF DAYS														
1	4	2	2	2	1	1	-	2	2	-	-	-	-	-
	2.6	2.6	2.7	4.2	2.5	1.6		1.7	25.0					
2	8	7	1	1	3	4	-	6	-	2	-	-	-	-
	5.2	9.0	1.3	2.1	7.3	6.5		5.1		14.3				
3	3	-	3	-	-	3	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	-
	2.0		4.0			4.9		2.6						
4	5	2	3	3	-	2	-	4	-	-	-	-	1	-
	3.3	2.5	4.0	6.2		3.2		3.4					20.0	
5	42	17	25	13	11	17	1	32	2	3	3	2	-	-
	27.4	21.8	33.3	27.1	26.8	27.4	50.0	27.4	25.0	21.4	75.0	40.0		
6	9	7	2	5	1	3	-	6	1	1	-	-	1	-
	5.9	9.0	2.7	10.4	2.4	4.8		5.1	12.5	7.2			20.0	
7	19	10	9	4	6	9	-	14	1	1	-	1	2	-
	12.4	12.8	12.0	8.3	14.6	14.5		12.0	12.5	7.1		20.0	40.0	
NOT USED FOR WORK OR SCHOOL TRIPS	59	31	28	18	19	22	-	48	2	6	1	1	1	-
	38.6	39.7	37.3	37.5	46.4	35.5		41.0	25.0	42.9	25.0	20.0	20.0	
DON'T KNOW	3	1	2	2	-	1	-	1	-	1	-	1	-	-
	2.0	1.3	2.7	4.2		1.6		.9		7.1		20.0		
REFUSED	1	1	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
	.6	1.3					50.0	.8						

-THIRD NEWEST - NUMBER OF DAYS DRIVEN PER WEEK Q.38D
BASE: THOSE WHO HAVE THREE OR MORE VEHICLES
BY HOME STATUS, HOUSEHOLD INCOME AND EDUCATION

		HOME STATUS			HOUSEHOLD INCOME						EDUCATION				
	TOTAL	OWN	RENT	DK/ REF-USED	UNDER $10M	$10M TO $14.9	$15M TO $24.9	$25M TO $39.9	$40M AND OVER	REF-USED	ELEM-ENT-ARY	SECON-DARY	COLL-EGE/ CEGEP	UNIVE-RSITY	REF-USED
TOTAL	153 100.0	123 100.0	30 100.0	-	3 100.0	8 100.0	21 100.0	48 100.0	45 100.0	28 100.0	16 100.0	81 100.0	15 100.0	41 100.0	-
NUMBER OF DAYS															
1	4 2.6	1 .8	3 10.0	-	-	-	1 4.8	1 2.1	2 4.5	-	-	1 1.2	1 6.7	2 4.9	-
2	8 5.2	6 4.9	2 6.7	-	-	-	4 19.0	1 2.1	2 4.4	1 3.6	-	7 8.7	-	1 2.4	-
3	3 2.0	3 2.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3 10.7	-	2 2.5	1 6.7	-	-
4	5 3.3	5 4.1	-	-	-	-	-	1 2.1	3 6.7	1 3.6	1 6.3	1 1.2	-	3 7.3	-
5	42 27.4	32 26.0	10 33.4	-	2 66.7	2 25.0	6 28.6	16 33.3	11 24.4	5 17.9	4 25.0	23 28.4	5 33.3	10 24.4	-
6	9 5.9	9 7.3	-	-	-	1 12.5	2 9.5	2 4.2	4 8.9	-	2 12.5	3 3.7	-	4 9.8	-
7	19 12.4	15 12.2	4 13.3	-	-	3 37.5	2 9.5	6 12.5	5 11.1	3 10.7	3 18.8	9 11.1	4 26.7	3 7.3	-
NOT USED FOR WORK OR SCHOOL TRIPS	59 38.6	49 39.8	10 33.3	-	1 33.3	2 25.0	6 28.6	20 41.6	18 40.0	12 42.8	5 31.2	32 39.5	4 26.6	18 43.9	-
DON'T KNOW	3 2.0	2 1.6	1 3.3	-	-	-	-	1 2.1	-	2 7.1	-	3 3.7	-	-	-
REFUSED	1 .6	1 .8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1 3.6	1 6.2	-	-	-	-

-THIRD NEWEST - NUMBER OF DAYS DRIVEN PER WEEK Q.380
BASE: THOSE WHO HAVE THREE OR MORE VEHICLES
BY METHOD OF HEATING HOME AND SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE

		METHOD OF HEATING HOME				SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE				
	TOTAL	HEAT -ING OIL	ELEC- TRIC- ITY	NATU- RAL GAS	OTHER	VERY	SOME- WHAT	NOT TOO	NOT AT ALL	DK/ NOT APPL
TOTAL	153 100.0	38 100.0	16 100.0	87 100.0	10 100.0	11 100.0	37 100.0	48 100.0	51 100.0	6 100.0
NUMBER OF DAYS										
1	4 2.6	1 2.6	-	3 3.5	-	-	3 8.1	-	-	1 16.7
2	8 5.2	2 5.3	2 12.5	4 4.6	-	1 9.1	4 10.8	2 4.2	1 2.0	-
3	3 2.0	1 2.6	-	2 2.3	-	-	3 8.1	-	-	-
4	5 3.3	1 2.6	-	4 4.6	-	1 9.1	1 2.7	2 4.2	1 2.0	-
5	42 27.4	12 31.6	3 18.8	26 29.9	-	2 18.2	9 24.4	12 25.0	17 33.3	2 33.3
6	9 5.9	2 5.3	-	5 5.7	2 20.0	2 18.2	1 2.7	5 10.4	1 2.0	-
7	19 12.4	5 13.2	3 18.8	10 11.5	1 10.0	1 9.1	5 13.5	10 20.8	2 3.9	1 16.7
NOT USED FOR WORK OR SCHOOL TRIPS	59 38.6	13 34.2	7 43.7	32 36.8	7 70.0	3 27.2	11 29.7	16 33.3	27 52.9	2 33.3
DON'T KNOW	3 2.0	-	1 6.2	1 1.1	-	1 9.1	-	1 2.1	1 2.0	-
REFUSED	1 .6	1 2.6	-	-	-	-	-	-	1 1.9	-

CONSUMER CONTACT LIMITED - #257-83 ENERGY STUDY 1983

-THIRD NEWEST - NUMBER OF MILES DRIVEN PER WEEK Q.38D
BASE: THOSE WHO HAVE THREE OR MORE VEHICLES AND DRIVE TO WORK/SCHOOL
BY CITY AND AGE

		CITY									AGE			
	TOTAL	HALI-FAX	MONC-TON	QUE. CITY	MONT-REAL	OTT-AWA	TOR-ONTO	WINN-IPEG	CAL-GARY	VANC-OUVER	UNDER 35	35-44	45-54	55 & OVER
TOTAL	94 100.0	10 100.0	6 100.0	4 100.0	4 100.0	6 100.0	10 100.0	13 100.0	23 100.0	18 100.0	41 100.0	13 100.0	27 100.0	12 100.0
MILES DRIVEN														
LESS THAN 50 MILES	35 37.2	3 30.0	1 16.7	-	4 100.0	1 16.7	5 50.0	5 38.5	9 39.1	7 38.9	19 46.4	5 38.5	10 37.1	1 8.3
50 - 99 MILES	15 16.0	-	1 16.7	1 25.0	-	-	1 10.0	4 30.7	4 17.4	4 22.2	7 17.1	1 7.7	5 18.5	2 16.7
100 - 149 MILES	16 17.0	2 20.0	1 16.7	-	-	2 33.3	1 10.0	1 7.7	7 30.4	2 11.1	6 14.6	4 30.7	4 14.8	2 16.7
150 - 199 MILES	7 7.5	1 10.0	1 16.7	-	-	1 16.7	2 20.0	2 15.4	-	-	1 2.4	2 15.4	2 7.4	2 16.7
200 - 249 MILES	3 3.2	1 10.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	1 4.4	1 5.6	1 2.4	-	2 7.4	-
250 MILES AND OVER	6 6.4	1 10.0	-	-	-	-	-	1 7.7	2 8.7	2 11.1	3 7.3	1 7.7	-	2 16.7
DON'T KNOW	10 10.6	2 20.0	1 16.6	3 75.0	-	2 33.3	1 10.0	-	-	1 5.6	4 9.8	-	4 14.8	2 16.6
REFUSED	2 2.1	-	1 16.6	-	-	-	-	-	-	1 5.5	-	-	-	1 8.3

0293

+THIRD NEWEST - NUMBER OF MILES DRIVEN PER WEEK Q.38D
BASE: THOSE WHO HAVE THREE OR MORE VEHICLES AND DRIVE TO WORK/SCHOOL
BY SEX, AGE OF HOME AND TYPE OF HOME

		SEX		AGE OF HOME				TYPE OF HOME						
	TOTAL	MALE	FE-MALE	LESS THAN 11	11-20 YEARS	21 AND OVER	DON'T KNOW/ REF.	DET-ACHED HOUSE	SEMI-DET-ACHED	2/3/4 PLEX	ROW/ TOWN HOUSE	FLAT/ APART-MENT	ALL OTHER	D/K REF-USED
TOTAL	94 100.0	47 100.0	47 100.0	30 100.0	22 100.0	40 100.0	2 100.0	69 100.0	6 100.0	8 100.0	3 100.0	4 100.0	4 100.0	-
MILES DRIVEN														
LESS THAN 50 MILES	35 37.2	12 25.5	23 48.9	9 30.0	12 54.6	14 35.0	-	24 34.8	3 50.0	4 50.0	3 100.0	-	1 25.0	-
50 - 99 MILES	15 16.0	12 25.5	3 6.4	6 20.0	6 27.3	3 7.5	-	13 18.9	-	1 12.5	-	1 25.0	-	-
100 - 149 MILES	16 17.0	7 14.9	9 19.2	6 20.0	2 9.1	8 20.0	-	15 21.7	-	-	-	-	1 25.0	-
150 - 199 MILES	7 7.5	3 6.4	4 8.5	2 6.7	-	5 12.5	-	5 7.3	1 16.7	-	-	-	1 25.0	-
200 - 249 MILES	3 3.2	2 4.3	1 2.1	2 6.7	-	1 2.5	-	3 4.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
250 MILES AND OVER	6 6.4	5 10.6	1 2.1	1 3.3	1 4.5	4 10.0	-	2 2.9	1 16.7	1 12.5	-	2 50.0	-	-
DON'T KNOW	10 10.6	4 8.5	6 12.8	4 13.3	-	5 12.5	1 50.0	5 7.2	1 16.6	2 25.0	-	1 25.0	1 25.0	-
REFUSED	2 2.1	2 4.3	-	-	1 4.5	-	1 50.0	2 2.9	-	-	-	-	-	-

-THIRD NEWEST - NUMBER OF MILES DRIVEN PER WEEK Q.38D
BASE: THOSE WHO HAVE THREE OR MORE VEHICLES AND DRIVE TO WORK/SCHOOL
BY HOME STATUS, HOUSEHOLD INCOME AND EDUCATION

		HOME STATUS			HOUSEHOLD INCOME						EDUCATION				
	TOTAL	OWN	RENT	DK/ REF- USED	UNDER $10M	$10M TO $14.9	$15M TO $24.9	$25M TO $39.9	$40M AND OVER	REF- USED	ELEM- ENT- ARY	SECON -DARY	COLL- EGE/ CEGEP	UNIVE- RSITY	REF- USED
TOTAL	94	74	20	-	2	6	15	28	27	16	11	49	11	23	-
	100.0	100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
MILES DRIVEN															
LESS THAN 50 MILES	35	26	9	-	1	3	6	9	11	5	2	19	3	11	-
	37.2	35.1	45.0		50.0	50.0	40.0	32.1	40.8	31.3	18.2	38.8	27.3	47.8	
50 - 99 MILES	15	10	5	-	-	-	2	4	6	3	-	10	1	4	-
	16.0	13.5	25.0				13.3	14.3	22.2	18.8		20.4	9.1	17.4	
100 - 149 MILES	16	14	2	-	-	1	5	7	2	1	3	6	4	3	-
	17.0	18.9	10.0			16.7	33.3	25.0	7.4	6.3	27.3	12.2	36.3	13.1	
150 - 199 MILES	7	7	-	-	-	1	1	3	-	2	2	2	1	2	-
	7.5	9.5				16.7	6.7	10.7		12.5	18.2	4.1	9.1	8.7	
200 - 249 MILES	3	2	1	-	-	1	-	1	1	-	-	2	-	1	-
	3.2	2.7	5.0			16.6		3.6	3.7			4.1		4.4	
250 MILES AND OVER	6	5	1	-	-	-	-	-	5	1	-	5	-	1	-
	6.4	6.8	5.0						18.5	6.2		10.2		4.3	
DON'T KNOW	10	9	1	-	1	-	1	4	1	3	3	4	2	1	-
	10.6	12.2	5.0		50.0		6.7	14.3	3.7	18.7	27.2	8.2	18.2	4.3	
REFUSED	2	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	1	1	-	-	-
	2.1	1.3	5.0						3.7	6.2	9.1	2.0			

-THIRD NEWEST - NUMBER OF MILES DRIVEN PER WEEK Q.38D
BASE: THOSE WHO HAVE THREE OR MORE VEHICLES AND DRIVE TO WORK/SCHOOL
BY METHOD OF HEATING HOME AND SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE

		METHOD OF HEATING HOME				SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE				
	TOTAL	HEAT -ING OIL	ELEC- TRIC- ITY	NATU- RAL GAS	OTHER	VERY	SOME- WHAT	NOT TOO	NOT AT ALL	DK/ NOT APPL
TOTAL	94 100.0	25 100.0	9 100.0	55 100.0	3 100.0	8 100.0	26 100.0	32 100.0	24 100.0	4 100.0
MILES DRIVEN										
LESS THAN 50 MILES	35 37.2	7 28.0	3 33.4	25 45.5	-	4 50.0	14 53.9	8 25.0	6 25.0	3 75.0
50 - 99 MILES	15 16.0	3 12.0	1 11.1	10 18.2	-	-	3 11.5	4 12.5	8 33.3	-
100 - 149 MILES	16 17.0	4 16.0	2 22.2	9 16.4	1 33.3	1 12.5	4 15.4	7 21.9	4 16.7	-
150 - 199 MILES	7 7.5	3 12.0	-	2 3.6	2 66.7	1 12.5	1 3.9	3 9.4	1 4.2	1 25.0
200 - 249 MILES	3 3.2	1 4.0	-	2 3.6	-	-	1 3.8	1 3.1	1 4.2	-
250 MILES AND OVER	6 6.4	1 4.0	1 11.1	4 7.3	-	1 12.5	1 3.8	3 9.4	1 4.2	-
DON'T KNOW	10 10.6	5 20.0	2 22.2	2 3.6	-	1 12.5	2 7.7	5 15.6	2 8.3	-
REFUSED	2 2.1	1 4.0	-	1 1.8	-	-	-	1 3.1	1 4.1	-

HOUSEHOLD INCOME AND CHANGE IN HOUSEHOLD INCOME OVER PAST YEAR Q.44/45A
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY CITY AND AGE

		CITY									AGE			
	TOTAL	HALI -FAX	MONC -TON	QUE. CITY	MONT- REAL	OTT- AWA	TOR- ONTO	WINN- IPEG	CAL- GARY	VANC- OUVER	UNDER 35	35-44	45-54	55 & OVER
TOTAL	1897	206	210	212	213	210	213	212	210	209	828	416	260	388
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
HOUSEHOLD INCOME														
UNDER $6,000	36	4	3	8	5	2	5	3	4	2	12	2	4	18
	1.9	2.0	1.4	3.8	2.4	1.0	2.3	1.4	1.9	.9	1.4	.5	1.5	4.6
$6,000 TO $9,999	68	11	11	9	14	1	5	8	3	5	24	9	7	28
	3.6	5.3	5.2	4.2	6.6	.5	2.3	3.8	1.4	2.4	2.9	2.1	2.7	7.2
$10,000 TO $14,999	227	26	19	16	43	7	44	18	17	36	105	29	20	72
	12.0	12.6	9.0	7.5	20.2	3.3	20.7	8.5	8.1	17.2	12.7	7.0	7.7	18.6
$15,000 TO $19,999	236	18	39	36	35	20	22	27	19	20	113	46	28	49
	12.4	8.7	18.6	17.0	16.4	9.5	10.3	12.7	9.0	9.6	13.6	11.0	10.8	12.6
$20,000 TO $24,999	222	25	30	30	19	30	26	22	21	19	115	39	34	34
	11.7	12.1	14.3	14.2	8.9	14.3	12.2	10.4	10.0	9.1	13.9	9.4	13.1	8.8
$25,000 TO $29,999	234	24	25	37	28	33	18	32	17	20	118	57	30	29
	12.3	11.7	11.9	17.4	13.1	15.7	8.5	15.1	8.1	9.6	14.3	13.7	11.5	7.5
$30,000 TO $39,999	340	38	39	26	20	44	43	37	55	38	160	101	51	28
	17.9	18.5	18.6	12.3	9.4	20.9	20.2	17.5	26.2	18.2	19.3	24.3	19.6	7.2
$40,000 OR MORE	235	27	13	31	22	1	20	28	48	45	90	64	45	36
	12.4	13.1	6.2	14.6	10.3	.5	9.4	13.2	22.9	21.5	10.9	15.4	17.3	9.3
REFUSED	299	33	31	19	27	72	30	37	26	24	91	69	41	94
	15.8	16.0	14.8	9.0	12.7	34.3	14.1	17.4	12.4	11.5	11.0	16.6	15.8	24.2
CHANGE IN HOUSEHOLD INCOME														
INCREASED	800	102	103	67	84	89	97	102	89	67	374	185	104	136
	42.2	49.5	49.1	31.6	39.4	42.4	45.5	48.1	42.4	32.1	45.2	44.5	40.0	35.0
DECREASED	357	32	28	62	46	24	28	36	50	50	158	88	52	59
	18.8	15.5	13.3	29.2	21.6	11.4	13.2	17.0	23.8	23.9	19.1	21.2	20.0	15.2
STAYED THE SAME	669	61	75	78	72	85	78	66	66	87	271	132	91	173
	35.3	29.6	35.7	36.8	33.8	40.5	36.6	31.1	31.4	41.6	32.7	31.7	35.0	44.6
DON'T KNOW	56	8	4	4	10	10	7	6	3	4	22	6	11	15
	2.9	3.9	1.9	1.9	4.7	4.8	3.3	2.8	1.4	1.9	2.6	1.4	4.2	3.9
REFUSED	15	3	-	1	1	2	3	2	2	1	3	5	2	5
	.8	1.5		.5	.5	.9	1.4	1.0	1.0	.5	.4	1.2	.8	1.3

HOUSEHOLD INCOME AND CHANGE IN HOUSEHOLD INCOME OVER PAST YEAR Q.44/45A
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY SEX, AGE OF HOME AND TYPE OF HOME

		SEX		AGE OF HOME				TYPE OF HOME						
	TOTAL	MALE	FE-MALE	LESS THAN 11	11-20 YEARS	21 AND OVER	DON'T KNOW/ REF.	DET-ACHED HOUSE	SEMI-DET-ACHED	2/3/4 PLEX	ROW/ TOWN HOUSE	FLAT/ APART-MENT	ALL OTHER	D/K REF-USED
TOTAL	1897	933	942	581	484	775	57	1042	87	181	78	457	47	5
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
HOUSEHOLD INCOME														
UNDER $6,000	36	14	22	9	9	16	2	11	2	3	1	17	2	-
	1.9	1.5	2.3	1.5	1.9	2.1	3.5	1.1	2.3	1.6	1.3	3.7	4.3	
$6,000 TO $9,999	68	26	42	11	20	30	7	22	2	8	-	35	1	-
	3.6	2.8	4.5	1.9	4.1	3.9	12.3	2.1	2.3	4.4		7.7	2.1	
$10,000 TO $14,999	227	122	105	68	54	95	10	92	8	23	7	95	2	-
	12.0	13.1	11.1	11.7	11.2	12.3	17.6	8.8	9.2	12.7	9.0	20.8	4.3	
$15,000 TO $19,999	236	93	142	59	75	96	6	103	6	29	9	86	2	1
	12.4	10.0	15.1	10.2	15.5	12.4	10.5	9.9	6.9	16.0	11.5	18.8	4.2	20.0
$20,000 TO $24,999	222	106	113	68	49	97	8	103	16	26	15	56	6	-
	11.7	11.4	12.0	11.7	10.1	12.5	14.0	9.9	18.4	14.4	19.2	12.3	12.8	
$25,000 TO $29,999	234	124	107	84	56	94	-	135	10	26	13	41	9	-
	12.3	13.3	11.4	14.5	11.6	12.1		13.0	11.5	14.4	16.7	9.0	19.1	
$30,000 TO $39,999	340	189	140	129	81	126	4	236	17	30	11	35	10	1
	17.9	20.2	14.9	22.2	16.7	16.2	7.0	22.6	19.5	16.6	14.1	7.6	21.3	20.0
$40,000 OR MORE	235	135	100	81	72	80	2	166	8	19	9	22	11	-
	12.4	14.4	10.6	13.9	14.9	10.3	3.5	15.9	9.2	10.5	11.5	4.8	23.4	
REFUSED	299	124	171	72	68	141	18	174	18	17	13	70	4	3
	15.8	13.3	18.1	12.4	14.0	18.2	31.6	16.7	20.7	9.4	16.7	15.3	8.5	60.0
CHANGE IN HOUSEHOLD INCOME														
INCREASED	800	396	397	259	200	318	23	444	39	79	35	181	21	1
	42.2	42.4	42.2	44.6	41.3	41.0	40.4	42.6	44.8	43.6	44.9	39.6	44.7	20.0
DECREASED	357	179	176	102	98	150	7	192	14	27	15	97	11	1
	18.8	19.2	18.7	17.6	20.3	19.4	12.3	18.4	16.1	14.9	19.2	21.2	23.4	20.0
STAYED THE SAME	669	326	330	203	171	274	21	374	28	70	24	159	13	1
	35.3	34.9	35.0	34.9	35.3	35.3	36.8	35.9	32.2	38.7	30.8	34.8	27.7	20.0
DON'T KNOW	56	24	32	13	12	27	4	21	5	5	4	18	1	2
	2.9	2.6	3.4	2.2	2.5	3.5	7.0	2.0	5.8	2.8	5.1	4.0	2.1	40.0
REFUSED	15	8	7	4	3	6	2	11	1	-	-	2	1	-
	.8	.9	.7	.7	.6	.8	3.5	1.1	1.1			.4	2.1	

HOUSEHOLD INCOME AND CHANGE IN HOUSEHOLD INCOME OVER PAST YEAR Q.44/45A
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY HOME STATUS, HOUSEHOLD INCOME AND EDUCATION

		HOME STATUS			HOUSEHOLD INCOME						EDUCATION				
	TOTAL	OWN	RENT	DK/ REF- USED	UNDER $10M	$10M TO $14.9	$15M TO $24.9	$25M TO $39.9	$40M AND OVER	REF- USED	ELEM- ENT- ARY	SECON -DARY	COLL- EGE/ CEGEP	UNIVE- RSITY	REF- USED
TOTAL	1897	1173	723	1	104	227	458	574	235	299	218	960	243	465	11
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
HOUSEHOLD INCOME															
UNDER $6,000	36	9	27	-	36	-	-	-	-	-	12	18	1	5	-
	1.9	.8	3.7		34.6						5.5	1.9	.4	1.1	
$6,000 TO $9,999	68	24	44	-	68	-	-	-	-	-	27	27	8	6	-
	3.6	2.0	6.1		65.4						12.4	2.8	3.3	1.3	
$10,000 TO $14,999	227	102	124	1	-	227	-	-	-	-	36	120	22	49	-
	12.0	8.7	17.1	100.0		100.0					16.5	12.5	9.0	10.5	
$15,000 TO $19,999	236	120	116	-	-	-	236	-	-	-	33	143	23	37	-
	12.4	10.2	16.0				51.5				15.2	14.9	9.5	7.9	
$20,000 TO $24,999	222	121	101	-	-	-	222	-	-	-	26	119	32	45	-
	11.7	10.3	14.0				48.5				11.9	12.4	13.2	9.7	
$25,000 TO $29,999	234	163	71	-	-	-	-	234	-	-	15	129	36	52	2
	12.3	13.9	9.8					40.8			6.9	13.4	14.8	11.2	18.2
$30,000 TO $39,999	340	260	80	-	-	-	-	340	-	-	16	161	59	104	-
	17.9	22.2	11.1					59.2			7.3	16.8	24.3	22.4	
$40,000 OR MORE	235	181	54	-	-	-	-	-	235	-	16	84	26	108	1
	12.4	15.4	7.5						100.0		7.3	8.7	10.7	23.2	9.1
REFUSED	299	193	106	-	-	-	-	-	-	299	37	159	36	59	8
	15.8	16.5	14.7							100.0	17.0	16.6	14.8	12.7	72.7
CHANGE IN HOUSEHOLD INCOME															
INCREASED	800	508	291	1	36	90	188	283	119	84	74	404	99	221	2
	42.2	43.3	40.2	100.0	34.6	39.6	41.0	49.3	50.7	28.1	33.9	42.1	40.8	47.5	18.2
DECREASED	357	204	153	-	20	49	97	104	48	39	30	195	55	77	-
	18.8	17.4	21.2		19.2	21.6	21.2	18.1	20.4	13.1	13.8	20.3	22.6	16.5	
STAYED THE SAME	669	419	250	-	47	88	168	181	68	117	99	331	82	151	6
	35.3	35.7	34.6		45.2	38.8	36.7	31.5	28.9	39.1	45.4	34.5	33.8	32.5	54.5
DON'T KNOW	56	30	26	-	1	-	5	6	-	44	15	23	4	12	2
	2.9	2.6	3.6		1.0		1.1	1.1		14.7	6.9	2.4	1.6	2.6	18.2
REFUSED	15	12	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	15	-	7	3	4	1
	.8	1.0	.4							5.0		.7	1.2	.9	9.1

HOUSEHOLD INCOME AND CHANGE IN HOUSEHOLD INCOME OVER PAST YEAR Q.44/45A
BASE: ALL RESPONDENTS
BY METHOD OF HEATING HOME AND SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE

		METHOD OF HEATING HOME				SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE				
	TOTAL	HEAT-ING OIL	ELEC-TRIC-ITY	NATU-RAL GAS	OTHER	VERY	SOME-WHAT	NOT TOO	NOT AT ALL	DK/ NOT APPL
TOTAL	1897	589	418	708	120	257	533	600	424	83
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
HOUSEHOLD INCOME										
UNDER $6,000	36	12	12	8	1	7	13	10	2	4
	1.9	2.1	2.9	1.1	.9	2.7	2.4	1.7	.5	4.8
$6,000 TO $9,999	68	22	21	18	5	11	20	16	14	7
	3.6	3.7	5.0	2.6	4.2	4.3	3.8	2.7	3.3	8.5
$10,000 TO $14,999	227	63	53	77	19	27	66	77	51	6
	12.0	10.7	12.7	10.9	15.8	10.5	12.4	12.8	12.0	7.2
$15,000 TO $19,999	236	75	62	71	15	46	72	64	48	6
	12.4	12.7	14.8	10.0	12.5	17.9	13.5	10.7	11.3	7.2
$20,000 TO $24,999	222	73	56	76	10	30	80	67	33	12
	11.7	12.4	13.4	10.7	8.3	11.7	15.0	11.2	7.8	14.5
$25,000 TO $29,999	234	75	65	75	16	29	69	72	57	7
	12.3	12.7	15.6	10.6	13.3	11.3	12.9	12.0	13.4	8.4
$30,000 TO $39,999	340	105	52	153	24	28	88	130	88	6
	17.9	17.8	12.4	21.6	20.0	10.9	16.5	21.6	20.8	7.2
$40,000 OR MORE	235	58	43	117	15	27	52	74	70	12
	12.4	9.9	10.3	16.5	12.5	10.5	9.8	12.3	16.5	14.5
REFUSED	299	106	54	113	15	52	73	90	61	23
	15.8	18.0	12.9	16.0	12.5	20.2	13.7	15.0	14.4	27.7
CHANGE IN HOUSEHOLD INCOME										
INCREASED	800	256	165	297	56	79	242	267	179	33
	42.2	43.5	39.5	41.9	46.7	30.7	45.4	44.5	42.2	39.8
DECREASED	357	93	89	141	23	64	99	102	79	13
	18.8	15.8	21.3	19.9	19.2	24.9	18.6	17.0	18.7	15.7
STAYED THE SAME	669	210	151	249	37	103	172	207	154	33
	35.3	35.6	36.1	35.2	30.8	40.1	32.3	34.5	36.3	39.7
DON'T KNOW	56	23	11	16	3	8	14	21	9	4
	2.9	3.9	2.6	2.3	2.5	3.1	2.6	3.5	2.1	4.8
REFUSED	15	7	2	5	1	3	6	3	3	-
	.8	1.2	.5	.7	.8	1.2	1.1	.5	.7	

PERCENTAGE INCREASE IN FAMILY INCOME Q.45B
BASE: THOSE WHOSE FAMILY INCOME HAS INCREASED
BY CITY AND AGE

		TOTAL	CITY									AGE			
			HALI-FAX	MONC-TON	QUE. CITY	MONT-REAL	OTT-AWA	TOR-ONTO	WINN-IPEG	CAL-GARY	VANC-OUVER	UNDER 35	35-44	45-54	55 & OVER
TOTAL		800	102	103	67	84	89	97	102	89	67	374	185	104	136
		100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
LESS THAN 3% ------ X2		58	11	6	6	6	2	5	11	5	6	17	9	7	25
		7.3	10.8	5.8	8.9	7.1	2.3	5.2	10.8	5.6	9.0	4.6	4.9	6.7	18.4
3 - 7%	5	322	37	45	27	42	59	30	42	21	19	128	84	48	62
		40.3	36.3	43.7	40.3	50.0	66.3	30.9	41.2	23.6	28.4	34.2	45.4	46.2	45.6
8 - 12%	10	217	33	29	18	23	13	35	21	25	20	116	51	26	24
		27.1	32.3	28.2	26.9	27.4	14.6	36.1	20.6	28.1	29.9	31.0	27.6	25.0	17.6
13 - 17%	15	53	5	5	3	2	3	8	7	14	6	36	8	6	3
		6.6	4.9	4.9	4.5	2.4	3.4	8.2	6.9	15.7	8.9	9.6	4.3	5.8	2.2
18 - 22%	20	32	1	2	3	1	3	5	4	9	4	21	6	3	2
		4.0	1.0	1.9	4.5	1.2	3.4	5.2	3.9	10.1	6.0	5.6	3.2	2.9	1.5
MORE THAN 22% ----- X25		47	5	3	7	4	2	4	5	11	6	29	11	4	3
		5.9	4.9	2.9	10.4	4.8	2.2	4.1	4.9	12.4	8.9	7.8	5.9	3.8	2.2
DON'T KNOW		65	10	13	3	5	5	10	10	3	6	25	14	9	16
		8.1	9.8	12.6	4.5	5.9	5.6	10.3	9.8	3.4	8.9	6.7	7.6	8.6	11.8
REFUSED		6	-	-	-	1	2	-	2	1	-	2	2	1	1
		.7				1.2	2.2		1.9	1.1		.5	1.1	1.0	.7
*** MEAN (EXCLUDES DK/REFUSED)		8.92	8.23	7.97	9.48	7.72	7.12	9.54	8.36	12.12	10.28	10.14	8.66	8.13	6.39
S.D.		5.98	5.40	4.86	6.84	5.15	4.62	5.52	5.96	7.01	6.71	6.30	5.77	5.32	4.62
S.E.		.22	.56	.51	.85	.58	.51	.59	.62	.76	.86	.33	.44	.54	.42

0261

PERCENTAGE INCREASE IN FAMILY INCOME Q.45B
BASE: THOSE WHOSE FAMILY INCOME HAS INCREASED
BY SEX, AGE OF HOME AND TYPE OF HOME

			SEX		AGE OF HOME				TYPE OF HOME							
		TOTAL	MALE	FE-MALE	LESS THAN 11	11-20 YEARS	21 AND OVER	DON'T KNOW/ REF.	DET-ACHED HOUSE	SEMI-DET-ACHED	2/3/4 PLEX	ROW/ TOWN HOUSE	FLAT/ APART-MENT	ALL OTHER	D/K REF-USED
TOTAL		800	396	397	259	200	318	23	444	39	79	35	181	21	1
		100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
LESS THAN 3% ------	X2	58	15	43	12	14	29	3	32	-	4	3	17	2	-
		7.3	3.8	10.9	4.6	7.0	9.1	13.1	7.2		5.1	8.6	9.4	9.5	
3 - 7%	5	322	150	167	105	74	134	9	175	13	32	17	74	10	1
		40.3	37.9	42.1	40.6	37.0	42.2	39.1	39.4	33.3	40.5	48.6	40.9	47.6	100.0
8 - 12%	10	217	128	89	76	63	74	4	119	17	21	12	42	6	-
		27.1	32.3	22.4	29.3	31.5	23.3	17.4	26.8	43.6	26.6	34.3	23.2	28.6	
13 - 17%	15	53	34	19	20	11	22	-	27	1	4	-	21	-	-
		6.6	8.6	4.8	7.7	5.5	6.9		6.1	2.6	5.1		11.6		
18 - 22%	20	32	21	10	10	6	15	1	19	4	3	1	4	1	-
		4.0	5.3	2.5	3.9	3.0	4.7	4.3	4.3	10.3	3.8	2.9	2.2	4.8	
MORE THAN 22% -----	X25	47	27	20	15	14	14	4	27	-	8	1	10	1	-
		5.9	6.8	5.0	5.8	7.0	4.4	17.4	6.1		10.1	2.8	5.5	4.8	
DON'T KNOW		65	19	45	19	15	29	2	43	4	5	-	12	1	-
		8.1	4.8	11.3	7.3	7.5	9.1	8.7	9.7	10.2	6.3		6.6	4.7	
REFUSED		6	2	4	2	3	1	-	2	-	2	1	1	-	-
		.7	.5	1.0	.8	1.5	.3		.4		2.5	2.8	.6		
*** MEAN (EXCLUDES DK/REFUSED)		8.92	9.77	8.03	9.18	9.14	8.50	10.05	8.99	9.43	9.69	7.53	8.74	7.95	5.00
S.D.		5.98	6.05	5.76	5.83	6.03	5.82	8.21	6.07	4.59	6.72	4.64	5.90	5.56	-
S.E.		.22	.31	.30	.37	.44	.34	1.79	.30	.77	.79	.79	.45	1.24	-

0262

PERCENTAGE INCREASE IN FAMILY INCOME Q.45B
BASE: THOSE WHOSE FAMILY INCOME HAS INCREASED
BY HOME STATUS, HOUSEHOLD INCOME AND EDUCATION

		HOME STATUS				HOUSEHOLD INCOME						EDUCATION				
	TOTAL	OWN	RENT	DK/ REF- USED	UNDER $10M	$10M TO $14.9	$15M TO $24.9	$25M TO $39.9	$40M AND OVER	REF- USED	ELEM- ENT- ARY	SECON -DARY	COLL- EGE/ CEGEP	UNIVE- RSITY	REF- USED
TOTAL	800	508	291	1	36	90	188	283	119	84	74	404	99	221	2
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
LESS THAN 3% ------ X2	58	32	26	-	14	8	14	15	4	3	12	30	8	8	-
	7.3	6.3	9.0		38.9	8.9	7.5	5.3	3.4	3.6	16.2	7.4	8.1	3.6	
3 - 7% 5	322	209	112	1	9	41	84	121	31	36	34	178	37	73	-
	40.3	41.2	38.5	100.0	25.0	45.6	44.7	42.8	26.1	42.8	45.9	44.1	37.4	33.0	
8 - 12% 10	217	143	74	-	6	28	46	84	44	9	14	96	31	76	-
	27.1	28.2	25.4		16.7	31.1	24.5	29.7	37.0	10.7	18.9	23.8	31.3	34.4	
13 - 17% 15	53	32	21	-	-	4	12	21	13	3	1	26	6	20	-
	6.6	6.3	7.2			4.4	6.4	7.4	10.9	3.6	1.4	6.4	6.1	9.1	
18 - 22% 20	32	19	13	-	1	3	7	8	11	2	1	9	4	18	-
	4.0	3.7	4.5		2.8	3.3	3.7	2.8	9.2	2.4	1.4	2.2	4.0	8.1	
MORE THAN 22% ----- X25	47	21	26	-	3	1	7	19	13	4	4	24	5	14	-
	5.9	4.1	8.9		8.3	1.1	3.7	6.7	10.9	4.8	5.4	5.9	5.0	6.3	
DON'T KNOW	65	49	16	-	3	5	17	14	3	23	8	37	7	11	2
	8.1	9.6	5.5		8.3	5.6	9.0	4.9	2.5	27.4	10.8	9.2	7.1	5.0	100.0
REFUSED	6	3	3	-	-	-	1	1	-	4	-	4	1	1	-
	.7	.6	1.0				.5	.4		4.7		1.0	1.0	.5	
*** MEAN (EXCLUDES DK/REFUSED)	8.92	8.61	9.47	5.00	6.91	7.60	8.25	9.05	11.58	8.09	7.11	8.48	8.86	10.29	-
S.D.	5.98	5.49	6.66	-	6.92	4.43	5.45	5.90	6.62	6.00	5.62	5.87	5.73	6.10	-
S.E.	.22	.25	.40	-	1.20	.48	.41	.36	.61	.79	.69	.30	.60	.42	-

0263

PERCENTAGE INCREASE IN FAMILY INCOME Q.45B
BASE: THOSE WHOSE FAMILY INCOME HAS INCREASED
BY METHOD OF HEATING HOME AND SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE

		METHOD OF HEATING HOME				SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE				
	TOTAL	HEAT-ING OIL	ELEC-TRIC-ITY	NATU-RAL GAS	OTHER	VERY	SOME-WHAT	NOT TOO	NOT AT ALL	DK/ NOT APPL
TOTAL	800	256	165	297	56	79	242	267	179	33
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
LESS THAN 3% ------ X2	58	19	12	20	4	6	21	18	8	5
	7.3	7.4	7.3	6.7	7.1	7.6	8.7	6.8	4.5	15.2
3 - 7% 5	322	110	74	106	22	34	97	107	70	14
	40.3	43.0	44.8	35.7	39.3	43.0	40.1	40.1	39.1	42.4
8 - 12% 10	217	72	42	81	17	17	67	77	53	3
	27.1	28.1	25.5	27.3	30.4	21.5	27.7	28.8	29.6	9.1
13 - 17% 15	53	10	13	22	2	2	11	18	19	3
	6.6	3.9	7.9	7.4	3.6	2.5	4.5	6.8	10.6	9.1
18 - 22% 20	32	9	3	18	2	3	6	14	7	2
	4.0	3.5	1.8	6.1	3.6	3.8	2.5	5.2	3.9	6.1
MORE THAN 22% ----- X25	47	11	11	21	4	10	16	10	10	1
	5.9	4.3	6.7	7.1	7.1	12.7	6.6	3.7	5.6	3.0
DON'T KNOW	65	24	9	25	5	6	22	21	11	5
	8.1	9.4	5.4	8.4	8.9	7.6	9.1	7.9	6.1	15.1
REFUSED	6	1	1	4	-	1	2	2	1	-
	.7	.4	.6	1.3		1.3	.8	.7	.6	
*** MEAN (EXCLUDES DK/REFUSED)	8.92	8.28	8.67	9.68	8.98	9.61	8.63	8.77	9.41	7.86
S.D.	5.98	5.47	5.92	6.40	6.10	7.28	6.00	5.57	5.80	6.09
S.E.	.22	.36	.47	.39	.85	.85	.40	.35	.44	1.15

0264

PERCENTAGE DECREASE IN FAMILY INCOME Q.45B
BASE: THOSE WHOSE INCOME HAS DECREASED
BY CITY AND AGE

		CITY									AGE			
	TOTAL	HALI-FAX	MONC-TON	QUE. CITY	MONT-REAL	OTT-AWA	TOR-ONTO	WINN-IPEG	CAL-GARY	VANC-OUVER	UNDER 35	35-44	45-54	55 & OVER
TOTAL	357	32	28	62	46	24	28	36	50	50	158	88	52	59
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
LESS THAN 3% ------ X2	14	2	1	1	3	2	1	3	1	-	7	3	4	-
	3.9	6.3	3.6	1.6	6.5	8.3	3.6	8.3	2.0		4.4	3.4	7.7	
3 - 7% 5	56	7	4	14	6	4	3	6	2	10	35	12	5	4
	15.7	21.9	14.3	22.6	13.1	16.7	10.7	16.7	4.0	20.0	22.1	13.7	9.6	6.8
8 - 12% 10	56	3	1	14	10	2	6	5	9	6	25	14	9	8
	15.7	9.4	3.6	22.6	21.8	8.3	21.4	13.9	18.0	12.0	15.8	15.9	17.3	13.6
13 - 17% 15	22	2	4	3	-	2	2	1	5	3	8	9	2	3
	6.2	6.2	14.3	4.8		8.3	7.2	2.8	10.0	6.0	5.1	10.2	3.8	5.1
18 - 22% 20	53	5	3	19	10	1	3	2	2	8	21	12	11	9
	14.8	15.6	10.7	30.7	21.7	4.2	10.7	5.5	4.0	16.0	13.3	13.6	21.2	15.2
MORE THAN 22% ----- X25	110	7	9	10	14	9	10	10	23	18	51	26	16	17
	30.8	21.9	32.1	16.1	30.4	37.5	35.7	27.8	46.0	36.0	32.3	29.6	30.8	28.8
DON'T KNOW	46	6	6	1	3	4	3	9	8	5	11	12	5	18
	12.9	18.7	21.4	1.6	6.5	16.7	10.7	25.0	16.0	10.0	7.0	13.6	9.6	30.5
*** MEAN (EXCLUDES DK/REFUSED)	16.10	14.38	17.14	14.54	15.95	15.95	16.68	14.48	18.86	17.00	15.33	16.20	16.45	18.29
S.D.	8.28	8.61	8.16	7.44	8.31	9.20	8.05	9.18	7.42	8.12	8.62	7.98	8.21	7.13
S.E.	.46	1.69	1.74	.95	1.26	2.05	1.61	1.76	1.14	1.21	.71	.91	1.19	1.11

0265

CONSUMER CONTACT LIMITED - #257-83 ENERGY STUDY 1983

PERCENTAGE DECREASE IN FAMILY INCOME Q.45B
BASE: THOSE WHOSE INCOME HAS DECREASED
BY SEX, AGE OF HOME AND TYPE OF HOME

	TOTAL	SEX: MALE	SEX: FEMALE	AGE OF HOME: LESS THAN 11	AGE OF HOME: 11-20 YEARS	AGE OF HOME: 21 AND OVER	AGE OF HOME: DON'T KNOW/ REF.	TYPE OF HOME: DETACHED HOUSE	TYPE OF HOME: SEMI-DETACHED	TYPE OF HOME: 2/3/4 PLEX	TYPE OF HOME: ROW/ TOWN HOUSE	TYPE OF HOME: FLAT/ APARTMENT	TYPE OF HOME: ALL OTHER	TYPE OF HOME: D/K REFUSED
	357	179	176	102	98	150	7	192	14	27	15	97	11	1
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
TOTAL														
LESS THAN 3% ------ X2	14	5	8	5	3	4	2	4	2	3	-	5	-	-
	3.9	2.8	4.6	4.9	3.1	2.7	28.6	2.1	14.3	11.1		5.2		
3 - 7% 5	56	33	22	16	20	20	-	28	3	3	1	17	4	-
	15.7	18.4	12.5	15.7	20.4	13.3		14.6	21.4	11.1	6.7	17.5	36.4	
8 - 12% 10	56	29	27	20	19	15	2	25	2	3	3	18	4	1
	15.7	16.2	15.3	19.6	19.4	10.0	28.6	13.0	14.3	11.1	20.0	18.5	36.3	100.0
13 - 17% 15	22	12	10	7	7	8	-	15	3	-	-	3	1	-
	6.2	6.7	5.7	6.9	7.1	5.3		7.8	21.4			3.1	9.1	
18 - 22% 20	53	24	29	15	10	28	-	34	1	8	-	9	1	-
	14.8	13.4	16.5	14.7	10.2	18.7		17.7	7.2	29.6		9.3	9.1	
MORE THAN 22% ----- X25	110	64	46	32	30	45	3	53	2	9	7	38	1	-
	30.8	35.8	26.1	31.4	30.6	30.0	42.8	27.6	14.3	33.4	46.7	39.2	9.1	
DON'T KNOW	46	12	34	7	9	30	-	33	1	1	4	7	-	-
	12.9	6.7	19.3	6.8	9.2	20.0		17.2	7.1	3.7	26.6	7.2		
### MEAN (EXCLUDES DK/REFUSED)	16.10	16.32	16.03	15.74	15.18	17.19	14.14	16.53	11.85	16.77	19.09	16.11	10.91	10.00
S.D.	8.28	8.32	8.13	8.24	8.36	8.00	9.88	7.87	7.75	8.54	7.92	8.76	6.32	-
S.E.	.46	.64	.68	.84	.88	.73	3.73	.62	2.15	1.67	2.39	.92	1.90	-

0266

CONSUMER CONTACT LIMITED - #257-83 ENERGY STUDY 1983

PERCENTAGE DECREASE IN FAMILY INCOME Q.45B
BASE: THOSE WHOSE INCOME HAS DECREASED
BY HOME STATUS, HOUSEHOLD INCOME AND EDUCATION

		HOME STATUS			HOUSEHOLD INCOME					EDUCATION				
	TOTAL	OWN	RENT	DK/ REF-USED	UNDER $10M	$10M TO $14.9	$15M TO $24.9	$25M TO $39.9	$40M AND OVER	REF-USED	ELEM-ENT-ARY	SECON-DARY	COLL-EGE/ CEGEP	UNIVE-RSITY	REF-USED
TOTAL	357	204	153	-	20	49	97	104	48	39	30	195	55	77	-
	100.0	100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
LESS THAN 3% ------ X2	14	5	9	-	1	4	2	3	2	2	3	7	3	1	-
	3.9	2.5	5.9		5.0	8.2	2.1	2.9	4.2	5.1	10.0	3.6	5.5	1.3	
3 - 7% 5	56	32	24	-	4	11	14	15	6	6	5	29	8	14	-
	15.7	15.7	15.7		20.0	22.4	14.4	14.4	12.5	15.4	16.7	14.9	14.5	18.2	
8 - 12% 10	56	30	26	-	-	9	17	15	11	4	2	32	10	12	-
	15.7	14.7	17.0			18.4	17.5	14.4	22.9	10.3	6.7	16.4	18.2	15.6	
13 - 17% 15	22	15	7	-	-	3	5	9	3	2	1	14	5	2	-
	6.2	7.3	4.6			6.1	5.2	8.7	6.2	5.1	3.3	7.2	9.1	2.6	
18 - 22% 20	53	41	12	-	1	2	13	19	13	5	3	24	8	18	-
	14.8	20.1	7.8		5.0	4.1	13.4	18.3	27.1	12.8	10.0	12.3	14.5	23.4	
MORE THAN 22% ----- X25	110	48	62	-	10	17	35	34	11	3	9	65	14	22	-
	30.8	23.5	40.5		50.0	34.7	36.1	32.7	22.9	7.7	30.0	33.3	25.5	28.5	
DON'T KNOW	46	33	13	-	4	3	11	9	2	17	7	24	7	8	-
	12.9	16.2	8.5		20.0	6.1	11.3	8.6	4.2	43.6	23.3	12.3	12.7	10.4	
### MEAN (EXCLUDES DK/REFUSED)	16.10	15.88	16.38	-	18.25	14.41	16.91	16.80	15.74	12.68	15.26	16.34	15.23	16.41	-
S.D.	8.28	7.82	8.78	-	9.43	9.01	8.11	7.91	7.53	7.82	9.39	8.26	8.10	7.96	-
S.E.	.46	.59	.74	-	2.35	1.32	.87	.81	1.11	1.66	1.95	.63	1.16	.95	-

0267

CONSUMER CONTACT LIMITED - #257-83 ENERGY STUDY 1983

PERCENTAGE DECREASE IN FAMILY INCOME Q.45B
BASE: THOSE WHOSE INCOME HAS DECREASED
BY METHOD OF HEATING HOME AND SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE

		METHOD OF HEATING HOME				SERIOUSNESS OF ENERGY SHORTAGE				
	TOTAL	HEAT-ING OIL	ELEC-TRIC-ITY	NATU-RAL GAS	OTHER	VERY	SOME-WHAT	NOT TOO	NOT AT ALL	DK/ NOT APPL
TOTAL	357 100.0	93 100.0	89 100.0	141 100.0	23 100.0	64 100.0	99 100.0	102 100.0	79 100.0	13 100.0
LESS THAN 3% ------ X2	14 3.9	4 4.3	4 4.5	4 2.8	1 4.4	4 6.2	6 6.1	1 1.0	2 2.5	1 7.7
3 - 7% 5	56 15.7	17 18.3	21 23.6	16 11.4	1 4.3	15 23.4	13 13.1	20 19.6	7 8.9	1 7.7
8 - 12% 10	56 15.7	16 17.2	14 15.7	24 17.0	-	11 17.2	19 19.2	20 19.6	6 7.6	-
13 - 17% 15	22 6.2	6 6.5	1 1.1	11 7.8	4 17.4	3 4.7	3 3.0	7 6.9	8 10.1	1 7.7
18 - 22% 20	53 14.8	12 12.9	24 27.0	15 10.6	2 8.7	8 12.5	18 18.2	13 12.7	13 16.4	1 7.7
MORE THAN 22% ----- X25	110 30.8	22 23.6	21 23.6	49 34.8	12 52.2	14 21.9	27 27.3	31 30.4	36 45.6	2 15.4
DON'T KNOW	46 12.9	16 17.2	4 4.5	22 15.6	3 13.0	9 14.1	13 13.1	10 9.8	7 8.9	7 53.8
*** MEAN (EXCLUDES DK/REFUSED)	16.10	14.71	14.98	16.96	20.35	13.60	15.66	15.67	19.15	15.33
S.D.	8.28	8.28	8.27	8.03	6.87	8.46	8.28	8.11	7.33	9.07
S.E.	.46	.94	.89	.73	1.53	1.14	.89	.84	.86	3.70

0268

Number/
Numéro

2

WORKING PAPER ONLY - NOT TO BE QUOTED
DOCUMENT DE TRAVAIL SEULEMENT - NE PAS CITER

A SURVEY OF THE CANADIAN PUBLIC'S ATTITUDES TOWARDS THE ENERGY SITUATION

- VOLUME II -

Prepared By:

Dr. Gerald Keller
Dr. Gordon H.G. McDougall
School of Business and Economics
Wilfrid Laurier University
Waterloo, Ontario
N2L 3C5

Presented To:

Consumer Energy Conservation Research
Consumer and Corporate Affairs Canada

June 1983

The views presented in this paper are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views or positions of the Department of C.C.A.

PREFACE

Since 1975 annual surveys have been conducted to measure the Canadian public's attitudes towards the energy situation. In 1979 major changes in both the questionnaire and sampling procedures were instituted. Details concerning the previous studies and changes are contained in the technical appendix accompanying this report and in the following reports:

1. "A Study of the Canadian Public's Attitudes Toward the Energy Situation in Canada", Wave I-IV, Department of Energy, Mines and Resources, 1975-1978.

2. "Analysis of the Energy Situation Attitudes Survey", Final Report, Consumer Research and Evaluation Branch, Consumer and Corporate Affairs, January 1979.

3. "A Survey of the Public's Attitudes Toward the Energy Situation", Volume I, Energy, Mines and Resources, May 1979.

4. "A Survey of the Canadian Public's Attitudes Toward the Energy Situation", Volume II, Consumer Research and Evaluation Branch, Consumer and Corporate Affairs, Canada, June 1979.

5. "A Survey of the Public's Attitudes Toward the Energy Situation", Volume I, Consumer Research and Evaluation Branch, Consumer and Corporate Affairs, Canada, May 1980.

6. "A Survey of the Canadian Public's Attitudes Toward the Energy Situation", Volume II and Technical Appendix, Consumer and Corporate Affairs, Canada, June 1980.

7. "A Survey of the Public's Attitudes Toward the Energy Situation", Volume I, Consumer and Corporate Affairs Canada, May 1981.

8. "A Survey of the Canadian Public's Attitudes Toward the Energy Situation," Volume II and Technical Appendix, Consumer and Corporate Affairs, Canada, June 1981.

9. "A Survey of the Public's Attitudes Toward the Energy Situation", Volume I, Consumer and Corporate Affairs, Canada, June 1982.

10. "A Survey of the Canadian Public's Attitudes Toward the Energy Situation," Volume II and Technical Appendix, Consumer and Corporate Affairs, Canada, August 1982.

11. A Survey of the Public's Attitudes Toward the Energy Situation", Volume I, Consumer and Corporate Affairs, Canada, April 1983.

A summary report covering the first six years entitled Energy: Canadians' Attitudes and Reactions (1975-1980) has been published by Consumer and Corporate Affairs Canada.

The objective of this report is to provide further analysis of the data presented in Volume I (1983) and to provide a number of linkages across all nine years in which the surveys have been conducted.

Dr. Gerald Keller
Dr. Gordon H.G. McDougall
Waterloo, Ontario
June 1983

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
PREFACE	i
TABLE OF CONTENTS	iii
LIST OF TABLES	iv
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	vi
INTRODUCTION	1
Overview	1
Technical Issues	1
Report Sequence	1
TRENDS: 1975 to 1983	2
Attitudes	2
Self-Reported Energy Conserving Behaviors	5
Self-Reported Activities Related to CHIP and COSP	6
Support for Possible Government Conservation Measures	7
Attitudes and Demographics	7
Self-Reported Behaviors and Demographics	8
Attitudes, Behaviors and Regions	8
Conclusions	9
FURTHER ANALYSIS: 1983 SURVEY	10
Introduction	10
Relationship Between Attitudes and the Other Indices	10
Automobile Ownership, Usage and Demographics	11
Characteristics of Homeowners Who Have Added Insulation and Weatherstripping/Caulking	14
Description of Severe Hardship Respondents	16
Relationship Between Perceived Cost Increases and Activities and Demographics	17
Demographic Profile of CHIP and COSP Users	17
Conclusions	18
OTHER RELATED RESEARCH	18
CONCLUSIONS AND IMPLICATIONS	19
Conclusions	19
Implications	22

LIST OF TABLES

	Page
Table 1 - Problems Facing Canadians Today (1975, 1978-1983)	25
Table 2 - Perceived Seriousness of Selected Issues in Canada (1975-1983)	26
Table 3 - Importance of Individual Efforts to Conserve Energy (1975-1982)	27
Table 4 - Attitudes Towards the Energy Situation (1979-1983).....	28
Table 5 - Perceived Cost Increase in Past 12 Months (1979-1983)	29
Table 6 - Actual Fuel Cost Increase in Past 12 Months (1979-1983)	30
Table 7 - Differences Between Perceived and Actual Cost Increases (1980-1983)	31
Table 8 - Self-Reported Energy Conserving Behaviors and Activities (1979-1983)	32
Table 9 - Average Thermostat Settings (1979-1983)	33
Table 10 - Awareness and Participation in CHIP and COSP (1982-1983)	34
Table 11 - Degree of Support for Possible Government Conservation Measures (1979-1983)	35
Table 12 - Ranking of Cities by Attitudes and Behaviors (1979-1983)	36
Table 13 - Highlights of the 1983 Survey - Volume I	37
Table 14 - Overview of Indices	39
Table 15 - Significant Relationships Between Attitudes and Other Indices	40
Table 16 - Significant Relationships Within Indices	41
Table 17 - Significant Relationships Between Demographics and the Indices	42

LIST OF TABLES (cont'd.)

Page

Table 18 - Number of Vehicles Owned 43

Table 19 - Types of Vehicles Owned, by Number of Vehicles Owned 44

Table 20 - Combinations of Vehicles Owned by Multiple Vehicle Households 45

Table 21 - Distribution of Vehicles by Number of Cylinders 46

Table 22 - Number of Cylinders per Vehicle, by Number of Vehicles Owned 47

Table 23 - Commuting Activity per Week by Number of Vehicles Owned 48

Table 24 - Relationship Between Vehicle Ownership and Usage 49

Table 25 - Relationship Between Vehicle Ownership and Demographics 50

Table 26 - Characteristics of Homeowners Who Added Insulation and Weatherstripping/Caulking 51

Table 27 - Profile of Severe Hardship Respondents (Highlights) ... 52

Table 28 - Perceived Cost Increases by Attitudes and Behaviors ... 53

Talbe 29 - Perceived Cost Increases by Demographics 54

Table 30 - Demographic Profile of Those Aware of or Have Participated in CHIP and COSP 55

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The analysis of the 1983 survey of the Canadian public's attitudes towards the energy situation has lead to the following conclusions:

- Energy shortage is not an issue, rising energy prices are. Consumers perceive prices as rising faster than they actually have and, as a consequence, many claim they budget carefully for fuel and electricity, the vast majority see spending money on insulation as a good investment, and one-quarter plan to spend money within the next year to improve the energy efficiency of their homes.

- Surprisingly, in light of the perception of energy prices, self-reported conservation actions and behaviors declined in 1983 compared to 1982. The possibility exists that some consumers have become accustomed to higher prices and are reverting to previous behavior patterns.

- Awareness and participation levels in COSP and CHIP are high and continued government activity in these areas appears warranted.

- A detailed analysis of two conservation behaviors - adding insulation and weatherstripping/caulking - provided some interesting results. Those who had performed these behaviors tended to be knowledgeable about energy, were satisfied that the money was well spent, and planned to do more. Those who didn't felt they had sufficient insulation/ weatherstripping and many did not plan to improve the energy efficiency of their home within the next year.

- There has been a modest trend towards downsizing cars between 1981 and 1983. However, multiple car households still remain as disproportionately heavy users of gasoline.

The following recommendations results from the analysis and conclusions:

- If information programs are contemplated, the programs should emphasize energy efficient and/or energy management and avoid the use of the word conservation. By focusing on energy efficiency, programs can be tied to saving money and can also be linked to existing incentive programs.

- There is the opportunity to focus on a subsegment of the population - homeowners who believe their homes are energy efficient but are not. Some simple straightforward questions and answers for this group may lead to some conservation activity.

- Although there has been some downsizing of the automobile fleet, the trend could be accelerated if the fuel consumption stickers remained on new cars until they were sold. There is strong consumer support for a mandatory labelling program and this evidence might be used to encourage car dealers to leave the stickers on the cars.

- A segment of the population claim they didn't know where to get accurate information on how to save energy. Some consideration might be given to raising the visibility of HEATLINE to address this issue.

INTRODUCTION

Overview

Based on a telephone survey of approximately 1,900 male and female heads-of-households in nine cities, Consumer Contact, a marketing research firm, has prepared a report on the Canadian public's attitudes towards the energy situation (Volume I). This report (Volume II) presents additional analyses of the 1983 data and provides some comparisons with the eight previous annual surveys conducted in this area. The major objective of this report is:

- To provide an understanding of the relationship between Canadians' attitudes, behaviors, and program support with respect to the energy situation.

Technical Issues

A number of technical issues dealing with the sampling procedures, the questionnaire comparability over the nine years, the method used to calculate the indices, and detailed results are contained in the technical appendix. For those interested, the appendix is available from Consumer and Corporate Affairs, Canada.

Report Sequence

The report contains three major sections:

- Trends: 1975 to 1983
- Further Analysis: 1983 Survey
- Conclusions and Implications

TRENDS: 1975 to 1983

This section presents comparable findings between 1975 and 1983. Comparisons across the years by question are reported, followed by a discussion of the relationships between selected questions.

Attitudes

Concern about the energy issue reached its highest level in 1980 and 1981. In those years when respondents were asked about "the problems we ... Canadians ... should be most concerned about" approximately 1 in 5 Canadians mentioned energy. In 1982 and 1983 approximately 1 in 15 Canadians (6 and 8 percent respectively) mentioned energy, a dramatic decline which reflects current economic conditions (Table 1).

Canadians are more concerned with the "big" issues. In 1982, they were unemployment, inflation, and interest rates and in 1983 unemployment was the main issue of concern (Table 2). The cost of energy was regarded as very or somewhat serious by 85 percent of those questioned, closely following inflation at 87 percent. Energy shortage, as an issue, has continued to decline and is now at its lowest level since the surveys have been conducted with only 42 percent of the respondents considering it as very or somewhat serious. It appears likely that respondents in the future will be concerned with energy prices and the notion of shortages will continue to decline.

As in previous years, the importance of individual efforts to conserve energy continues to be considered important by the vast majority of Canadians (Table 3). Most of the Canadian public appears to have

accepted the idea that individual efforts to conserve are important and acceptance has remained high - around the 90 percent level - over the eight year period.

Attitudes have also been measured through a series of questions which tap specific aspects of the energy situation (Table 4). Overall, attitudes towards the energy situation have changed considerably from 1980, the year in which people were most concerned about energy and only one-third could be considered hostile (e.g. - the energy crisis is a hoax). In 1983, Canadians continued the trend of the previous two years. Two-thirds felt that oil companies have profited unfairly from the energy situation and one-half felt that the "energy crisis" was a hoax. Interestingly, although two-thirds of respondents felt that soon everyone is going to have to use less energy, this was down from 79 percent in 1982 and 86 percent in 1980. In fact, all the attitude questions dealing with the need to conserve showed a decline in 1983. Thus, while Canadians accept the idea that conservation is a "good thing" (Table 3), the perception that we need to conserve because of possible shortages or other events is declining.

Evidence that higher energy prices are having an effect is shown by the results to two questions. Fifty-nine percent of respondents stated that they budget very carefully for fuel and electricity bills and 28 percent stated that the high cost of energy had caused them severe hardships. Further, the proportion who felt that spending money on home insulation was a good investment reached its highest level in 1983 with 19 out of 20 respondents agreeing with the statement. Finally, two-

thirds of the respondents felt that they knew where to go to get accurate information on how to save energy. In summary, the energy situation now appears to be centered on price. Canadians are resentful about the higher prices, particularly when there is no threat of shortages. However, the higher prices have forced many of them to view energy as a household activity that must be managed.

Another attitude which has been measured for the past five years has been the perceived increase in the cost of energy (Table 5). In 1983, Canadians estimated that the price of gasoline had risen by approximately 25 percent in the previous 12 months, heating oil by 21 percent, natural gas by 20 percent and electricity by 16 percent. These perceived increases were the second highest estimates over the last five years; in 1982 the highest estimates were recorded.

Actual cost increases for 1979 to 1983 are presented in Table 6. Recognizing that the components vary somewhat (e.g. - piped and bottled gas is slightly different from natural gas) and the increases are based on national surveys, comparisons between respondent perceptions and reality are interesting. As shown in Table 7, respondents have tended to overestimate annual fuel cost increases in the last two years. The major shock in terms of increased prices occured in 1981 (Table 6) and it is possible that there is a lag between actual and perceived increases in in fuel costs. In 1983, the estimates for all four fuel types exceeded the actual increase in costs. These perceptions suggest that consumers are relatively sensitive about energy costs and perceive these costs as rising considerably faster than the Consumer Price Index (Table 6).

Overall, consumer attitudes towards the energy situation in 1983 indicate a continuing hostility towards those who have managed the energy situation and a declining interest in the need to conserve or preserve our resources. Consumers are now overestimating energy price increases and this price sensitivity may account for the relatively large proportion of respondents who regard insulation as a good investment and for the fact that six in ten report they budget very carefully for fuel and electricity bills.

Self-Reported Energy Conserving Behaviors

Across the board in 1983, fewer Canadians reported they were engaged in conservation activities (Table 8). The decline in the proportion (between 1982 and 1983) was marginal in most cases (e.g. - using less hot water declined from 51 to 47 percent between 1982 and 1983) but the fact that all conservation activities declined suggests that the interest or effort in performing these behaviours is lessening.

These results are somewhat surprising considering that the respondents perceived energy costs as rising relatively dramatically during the past year. In 1982, the perception of rising energy costs appeared to translate into increases in self-reported conservation actions. While it is only speculation, it may be that consumers are not fully integrating energy conservation into their lifestyles and a "wear-out" effect is occuring. That is, in spite of higher energy prices, some consumers are reverting to previous behaviors because it is easier. A further indicator of conservation activity is the average temperature settings (during the day and at night) of Canadian households. Since 1979, temperature set-

tings during the day have declined by approximately one degree fahrenheit and by approximately two degrees fahrenheit at night (Table 9). In 1983, the average day-night settings of 67°F and 65°F could be regarded as reasonable from a conservation perspective.

In summary, the self-reported conservation behaviors have declined slightly in 1983, relative to 1982. Unlike 1982, where increased levels of conservation behavior accompanied perceived energy cost increases, in 1983 respondents behaviors did not follow their cost perceptions to the same degree.

Self-Reported Activities Related to CHIP and COSP

The level of awareness of CHIP is extremely high among Canadians; approximately eight out of ten homeowners have heard of CHIP (Table 10) in 1982 and 1983. Further, approximately one in four homeowners has received a CHIP grant (23 percent in 1982, 27 percent in 1983). These results, particularly the awareness levels, are very good for this type of program. In fact, any consideration for further advertising support to increase the awareness of the CHIP program is probably not warranted.

Of those homeowners who currently heat with oil, 50 percent have head of COSP. Of the homeowners who have recently switched from oil to another fuel, 48 percent reported receiving a COSP grant. Again, the level of awareness and participation rates in COSP are quite high and the increase in awareness between 1982 and 1983 (42 to 50 percent) reflects a solid promotional effort. A later section of the report will provide further details on these two programs (e.g. - demographic characteristics of users).

Support for Possible Government Conservation Measures

Since 1979 a series of questions focused on support for various government conservation measures and programs (Table 11). Beginning in 1981, support for all programs has tended to decline, particularly programs that propose energy price increases and regulatory programs. The one exception is the mandatory fuel consumption sticker program for new cars which was supported by eight out of ten respondents in 1983. Canadians also prefer voluntary programs, particularly those with an information component (e.g. - showing people how to save energy). As an interesting sidelight, when respondents evaluated a mandatory home inspection, 45 percent were in favor (1982). When the same program was described as a voluntary program, 70 percent were in favor (1983).

Attitudes and Demographics

In past years, the relationships between two attitude variables, "perceived seriousness of energy shortage" and "importance of individual efforts to conserve energy" and six demographic and geographic variables, age, sex, language, education, city and income, were analyzed to determine if any demographic profile existed. The results, not shown, reveal no clear-cut consistent pattern between attitudes and demographics. Considering both attitude measures, it is reasonable to conclude that attitudes towards energy, particularly the "importance of individual efforts" are not consistently related to demographic characteristics. In addition, the fact that fewer Canadians each year see an energy shortage as serious suggests that this attitude measure is somewhat less relevant in tapping energy concern.

Self-Reported Behaviors and Demographics

Four energy conserving behaviors (using less hot water, turning down the thermostat, driving less, and turning off lights) were also analyzed with respect to the demographics (results not shown). Again, as in previous years, the results support the general conclusion that no clear, consistent demographic profile of high or low participation in energy conserving behaviors can be established over the years. While differences do exist based on demographic characteristics, the differences do not occur in all years and are not consistent when they do occur. It is appropriate to suggest that considering both attitudes and behaviors, no demographic profile can be established.

Attitudes, Behaviors and Regions

In an attempt to determine what regional differences, if any, existed on a consistent basis, the two attitude and the four behavior measures were examined by region for the past five years. Each time a city was significantly above the average of all cities it received a score of one. The results (Table 12) reveal that residents of Quebec City and Montreal have shown the greatest degree of concern regarding energy shortages. Residents of Halifax and Toronto were highest on "the importance of individual efforts to conserve" and people in Ottawa and Halifax had the highest participation rate on energy conserving behaviors over the five years. Winnipeg and Calgary residents could be described as having the lowest interest and concern about energy and conservation. There is no clear explanation for these results. They appear to be a function of

provincial energy pricing policies, cultural differences, and other non-obvious factors. Further, there have been shifts in concern and participation rates over the years suggesting either instability in people's attitudes/behaviors or changing opinions by region.

Conclusions

Based on the preceding analysis of the nine annual surveys the following conclusions can be stated:

- 1980 has proven to be the year in which Canadians were most concerned about the energy situation. The last three years have shown a continued decline in interest and concern. In 1982 and 1983 Canadians were primarily concerned about some aspect of the economic situation. The interesting contrast between the last two years is that in 1982 respondent interest/concern over energy declined but the participation rates in self-reported conservation behaviors increased. The explanation was that higher energy prices encouraged conservation. In 1983, interest continued to decline and participation rates in conservation activities declined as well in spite of the fact that respondents perceived energy prices increasing more than 20 percent in the past year. The explanation for this difference between 1982 and 1983 may be that Canadians are becoming accustomed to higher energy prices and are reverting to previous behaviors.

- While participation rates in self-report energy conserving behaviors declined in 1983, the effect of higher prices is still evident. Six out of 10 people budget carefully for fuel and electricity bills, one in three people claim energy prices have caused them severe hardships, over nine in 10 people agree that spending money on insulation is a good investment and three out of 10 people plan to spend money within the next 12 months to improve the energy efficiency of their home.

- Discontent about the management of the energy situation in Canada remained high. One-half of the respondents felt that the energy crisis was a hoax, and support for most government conservation programs declined. On the other hand, respondents did favor government information programs.

- Awareness and participation in two government programs (CHIP and COSP) was high and had increased between 1982 and 1983.

FURTHER ANALYSIS: 1983 SURVEY

Introduction

The first volume of this study (prepared by Consumer Contact) reported the general findings and provided a number of cross tabulations. The highlights of Volume I are presented in Table 12. This volume (Volume II) provides further analysis of the 1983 data by creating a series of indices which measure attitudes, program support, and self-reported behaviors. The indices (described in Table 14) were created in order to facilitate the analysis, and are simply the average of between two and seventeen questions. These averages were then multiplied by 100 and then grouped into three categories (low, medium and high). This part of the analysis is described more fully in the technical appendix. The details concerning the sequence of this analysis and the method used to construct each index are also presented in the technical appendix. As well, some further analysis on automobile ownership and usage patterns and other matters is provided in this section of the report.

Relationship Between Attitudes and Other Indices

As shown in Table 15 those holding hostile attitudes generally do not support price/tax increases. They do however support mandatory programs. It is possible that those who are hostile feel that cost increases hurt them more than others but that mandatory programs would tend to be more equitable and thus more favourable. In addition hostility is not related to energy conserving activities.

As in previous years, the "need to conserve energy" attitude is related in the expected direction to virtually all support for programs and energy conserving behavior. This attitude is the most critical component in terms of explaining behavior or program support.

A relatively high degree of consistency was obtained when a "within index" analysis was conducted (Table 16). For attitudes, program support, and behaviors the relationships were in the predicted direction. That is, those supporting mandatory programs also support price/tax increase programs. Those adopting a large number of energy conserving behaviors also set their thermostats lower.

In the past, those scoring high on the "need to conserve energy" attitude could not be described in demographic terms as their profile changes from year to year. In 1983, as in 1982, a constant pattern became evident (Table 17). The "need to conserve" was related in both years to younger, female, and French-speaking respondents.

Automobile Ownership, Usage and Demographics

Because private transportation is an important component of oil demand in Canada additional analysis was conducted on automobile ownership and usage patterns. The trend in the number of cars per household between 1981 and 1983 (Table 18) reveals that there has been a slight increase in one car households accompanied by a decline in multiple car households. Considering the economic conditions over the past three years, a more substantial shift might have been expected. The average number of cars owned has remained virtually constant since 1981 and in 1983 stood at 1.3 per household.

The type of vehicle owned has shown a slight change over the three years; station wagon ownership has declined (Table 19). Eight out of ten vehicles owned are cars, followed by light trucks, station wagons and vans. Examining the combinations of vehicles owned by multiple vehicle households (Table 20), there has been a slight shift towards car owning households (i.e. - two cars, three cars), a larger shift to the car-light truck combination and a decline in the car-station wagon combination.

Two of the major ways in which energy conservation can be achieved in the private transportation sector are improved new vehicle efficiency and consumer purchase of smaller cars (i.e. - downsizing). Information on the magnitude of downsizing is available for the last three years. As shown in Table 21, the proportion of four cylinder vehicles owned has increased with an equivalent decrease in eight cylinder vehicles. Assuming a 50 percent difference in fuel consumption between a four versus an eight cylinder vehicle, the effect of this change should be a reduction in total fuel demand for private vehicles of 2 percent. These results provide some indication of the shift in downsizing over the three years and the conservation gains to be achieved by this method.

Also of interest is the shift in cylinders per vehicle by single and multiple vehicle households. Since 1981, there has been a tendency for multiple vehicle households to downsize more than single vehicle households (Table 22). The average cylinders per vehicle for three vehicle households has declined from 6.6 in 1981 to 6.3 in 1983, the corresponding figures for two vehicle households are 6.4 and 6.2. In general, multiple vehicle households have slightly reduced their vehicle size with

respect to cylinders. It should also be noted that the average cylinders per vehicle is virtually the same regardless of the number of vehicles owned by the household.

The primary use of household vehicles is for commuting which can constitute up to 70 percent of total household driving. To see how commuting patterns have changed, if at all, between 1981 and 1983, the commuting activity (as measured by number of days per week a vehicle is used to get to work or school) was examined (Table 23). For one-vehicle households, 60 percent used their vehicle for commuting five days per week or more and the pattern was relatively stable over the three years. Approximately 80 percent of two-vehicle households had at least one vehicle being used for commuting five days per week or more. All three-vehicle households had at least one vehicle being used for commuting five days or more When the results for multiple vehicle households are examined it is apparent that 40 percent or more of the households have two family members either working full-time or attending school. Thus, the primary purpose of additional cars in a household is for commuting.

Table 24 summarizes the key variables just discussed and serves to re-emphasize that multiple vehicle households had, on average, similar size vehicles (as measured by cylinders) to single vehicle households. For two vehicle households in 1983, each vehicle averaged 6.2 cylinders and for three vehicle households, the average number of cylinders per vehicle was 6.3. Similarly, the average number of days <u>each</u> vehicle was driven to work or school (i.e. - used for commuting) was virtually the same, approximately 3.7 days per week. Thus, multiple vehicle house-

holds do not practice conservation activities when compared to single vehicle households, in terms of either the size of their vehicles or the number of days commuting. Clearly, multiple vehicle households are heavy users of gasoline and offer targets for various conservation programs.

The demographic characteristics for each category of vehicle ownership (none, one, two, three or more) for 1982 and 1983 are presented in Table 25. A clear demographic profile can be established which is consistent for both years for vehicle ownership; as household vehicle ownership levels increase so does; family income, the percent owning their own home, the percent speaking English, the number of people residing in the home and the number of people in the household who work full-time. The forces which influence households to own vehicle(s) are very straightforward and heavy users of gasoline can easily be identified through this type of demographic analysis.

Characteristics of Homeowners Who Have Added Insulation and Weatherstripping/Caulking

To provide a better understanding of consumer behavior and conservation, homeowners were questioned in some depth about two activities - adding insulation and weatherstripping/caulking. Sixty percent of the homeowners questioned have added insulation (Table 26), 51 percent of them installed the insulation themselves, most did it within the last two years (55 percent) and spent, on average, $750. Nineteen out of twenty felt the money was well spent and only 7 percent stated they had problems with the job; the major problem being poor workmanship.

The homeowners who added insulation, compared to those who didn't, have taken more conservation actions, have read more about conservation, state that they know where to get information on how to save energy and view themselves as handy at fixing things around the house. They live in older homes and many received a CHIP grant. Interesting ly, they feel that their homes can be improved in terms of energy efficiency and they plan to spend money in the next 12 months to improve the efficiency. Thus, the "insulated" group is more predisposed to carry out further conservation activities than those homeowners who have not added insulation. The main reason given by those who have not added insulation for their "inactivity" was that their home had sufficient insulation. Finally, no demographic differences existed between those who have or have not added insulation.

The results for the homeowners who added weatherstripping/caulking were similar to the "insulators". The major differences were in the proportion who did it themselves (82 versus 51 percent), the timing of the activity (83 percent had done it within the last two years) and the amount spent (on average $50). Only three percent had problems and the major problem was that it was "hard to do". In terms of most of the remaining characteristics the profile for those who had weatherstripped was the same as those who added insulated. Again it is worth noting that these households planned to do more conservation activities in the future.

It should also be mentioned that a significant relationship existed between the perceived energy efficiency of the home and the age of the

home; respondents who lived in newer homes considered them more energy efficient.

As a sidelight, the relationship between responses to the question "I know where to get accurate information to save energy" and 19 energy conservation behaviors and activities was examined. For 13 of the 19 activities (68 percent) those who responded "yes" to the accurate information questions exhibited significantly higher participation rates than those who responded "no". This suggests that, for some people, a barrier to doing various conservation activities may be a lack of knowledge of where to get information. However, providing information to these people may not be sufficient to get them to act. That is, knowledge can overcome some barriers but others, such as low motivation levels, may remain.

Description of Severe Hardship Respondents

A new question was added to the 1982 survey which identify those respondents who felt the high cost of energy had caused them severe hardships. In 1983, 28 percent of the respondents felt it had. Table 27 provides a profile of these respondents, and as might be expected, they tend to have lower income and education levels. As well, they tend to be French-speaking. On average, they were more hostile than the remainder of the sample. They also reported a higher level of conservation actions than did the "non-hardship" respondents. Similar findings were reported in 1982. These results offer some insights as to the characteristics, attitudes, and behaviors of respondents who perceive high energy prices as causing them problems. In capsule form, they could be des-

cribed as; primarly working class or poor, hostile about the situation, but reporting higher levels of conservation. It is likely that the higher levels of conservation are due to economic circumstances, not choice.

Relationship Between Perceived Cost Increases and Activities and Demographics

As mentioned earlier, perceived energy cost increases in the past twelve months averaged approximately 20 percent for the four fuel types. Further analysis was conducted to determine if there was any relationship between these perceptions and conservation activities and/or demographics. A relationship was found between hostility and price estimates: those who scored high on the hostility index estimated higher price increases for three of four fuels than did those who scored low (or medium) on hostility (Table 28). Further, energy conservation activities were associated with cost estimates: those scoring higher on the conservation indices tended to estimate higher costs. These results provide further support for the idea that those respondents who perceived energy cost increases have a higher participation level in conservation activities.

Analysis of the cost estimates and demographics (Table 29) indicate that higher cost estimates were given by; older respondents, those with lower education levels and English-speaking. While differences existed across cities there was no apparent pattern (e.g. - East versus West).

Demographic Profile of CHIP and COSP Users

To determine who was being reached by two government conservation programs, a demographic profile of users and participants in CHIP and

COSP was determined (Table 30). In contrast to 1982 when a demographic profile of those who had heard of CHIP was evident (e.g. - upscale, English-speaking), the 1983 results show that, generally, those who have heard of or received a CHIP grant have similar demographic characteristics to those who haven't heard of CHIP or received a grant. Those who have heard of COSP tend to be male, French-speaking and have higher education levels. In summary, both programs now appear to be reaching (or not reaching) all strata of the Canadian public, regardless of their demographic characteristics.

Conclusions

The major relationships established in the 1983 survey are:

- As in previous years, the strongest link between attitudes and the other indices is the "need to conserve". Those holding this positive attitude, analagous to "the importance of individual efforts to conserve energy", exhibit strong support for programs, including price/tax increases, and they state they are engaging in energy conserving behaviors.

- Again, as in the past four years, a reasonable degree of consistency exists between the indices in each area. This provides some confidence that the measures used are fairly reliable and have some degree of face validity.

OTHER RELATED RESEARCH

Those readers interested in this area should be aware that a number of studies have been conducted in Canada on energy conservation in the consumer sector. Some of the major investigations which have been conducted for Consumer and Corporate Affairs Canada are:

- Energy Consumption and Conservation Patterns in Canadian Households.

- Point-of-Purchase Energy Information Disclosure and Consumer Choice of Household Appliances.
- Economic and Behavioral Analyses of Microeconomic Consumer Energy Conservation Policies.
- An Analysis of ENER$AVE.
- Consumer Energy Research: An Annotated Bibliography (1980, 1982, 1983).
- The Role of Home Energy Audits in Facilitating Residential Retrofits.
- Consumer Energy Conservation Options-Professional and Consumer Perspectives.
- An Initial Evaluation of the Canadian Oil Substitution Program: Converter and Non-Converter Profiles.

In addition, research sponsored by Consumer and Corporate Affairs has lead to the publication of Consumers and Energy Conservation: International Perspectives on Research and Policy Options (Praeger, New York), 1981.

CONCLUSIONS AND IMPLICATIONS

Conclusions

The major conclusions to be drawn from the preceding analysis are:

1. In 1983 unemployment was the major concern of most Canadians. As an issue, the possibility of energy shortages continues to decline and is now at its lowest level since the surveys were introduced in 1975. Hostile attitudes towards business and governments handling of the energy situation continue and support for most conservation programs declined. Fewer people held attitudes which reflect a need to conserve because of dwindling resources.

2. The price of energy is a matter of concern for many Canadians. In 1983 respondents estimated that energy prices increased by approximately 20 percent over the past twelve months. In reality, the increases were considerably less (approximately 12 percent). These perceptions suggest that consumers are basing their estimates, in part, on the "shock" prices of 1981. Although the price estimates were high, slightly fewer Canadians (than in 1982) reported engaging in energy conserving behaviors. The possibility exists that some people may now becoming accustomed to high, and increasing, energy prices and are reverting to previous behaviors. It should be noted, however, that the decline in conservation activities was slight (in most cases 3 to 5 percent).

3. Many people are receptive to or are planning further conservation activities. Nineteen in twenty respondents consider anyone who spends money on insulation is making a good investment, one in four plan to spend money within the next 12 months to improve the energy efficiency of their home and approximately seven in ten feel that some improvement in the energy efficiency of their home can be made.

4. Awareness of and usage of CHIP and COSP are high; eight in ten homeowners have heard of CHIP and approximately one in four received a grant. One-half of the homeowners who heat with oil have heard of COSP and one-half of the "switches" received a grant.

5. With the exception of some voluntary or informational programs, support for possible government conservation measures is at its lowest recorded level. This is undoubtedly a reflection of the previously mentioned hostile attitudes towards the managers of the energy situation.

6. In contrast to some of the results in the previous two years, relationships between attitudes, program support and self-reported behaviors concerning energy were logically consistent. For example, the need to conserve had a significant, positive relationship to the self-reported behaviors. This suggests that attitudes and self-reported behaviors were related in 1983 but because of the mixed results in prior years some caution is warranted concerning these findings.

7. A detailed analysis of vehicle ownership and wage patterns indicated that their is a slight shift towards vehicle downsizing (average number of cylinders per vehicle declined from 6.4 to 6.2 between 1981 and 1983) which is occuring mainly in multiple vehicle households. However, multiple vehicle households continue to operate their vehicles as much, if not more than single vehicle households for commuting. These heavy users account for a disproportionate level of gasoline consumption.

8. A detailed examination of homeowners who added insulation and weatherstripping/caulking revealed that the vast majority felt the

money was well spent and they planned to spend more money to improve the energy efficiency of their home. These individuals might be described as active, satisfied investors in energy efficiency who plan to continue this practice.

9. Approximately three in ten respondents stated that the high cost of energy had caused them severe hardships. These people are downscale (lower income and education levels) and they report higher participation rates in conservation activities than do the "non-hardship" respondents.

Implications

Finally, the major implications of the analysis and conclusions are:

1. Few Canadians are now concerned about energy shortages. No attempt should be made to encourage conservation because of possible energy shortages.

2. Canadians are concerned about rising energy prices. They perceive that these prices are rising faster than in actual fact, and undoubtedly, part of the high hostility level is due to this perception. Any further increases in price are likely to be accompanied by more hostility.

3. Self-reported conservation behaviors declined somewhat in 1983 relative to 1982 suggesting that some Canadians may be accepting the

higher energy prices and reverting to previous behaviors. However, it is clear that actual or perceived energy price increases remain as the single most important influence with respect to encouraging conservation or efficient energy management.

4. A detailed analysis of two conservation activities - insulation and weatherstripping/caulking - offered some interesting results. Those who have performed the activities feel they are knowledgeable about energy, were satisfied with the job and felt the money was well spent. They also plan to do more in the coming twelve months. For this segment, conservation or energy management has been a positive experience and they are likely to continue with further efficiency measures. The other segment, those who have not insulated or weatherstripped, felt they had sufficient insulation and weatherstripping and don't plan to do much. This segment can be divided into two subsegments; those who have relatively energy efficient homes and those who don't. It is likely that many consumers in both subsegments don't know the energy efficiency of their homes. It might be worthwhile to encourage these people to assess their home's efficiency. This could be done by an information programs that asks the question: How energy efficient is your home? The "answer" could be offered by getting them to collect simple facts such as the number of inches of insulation in the attic or whether there are any drafts around windows/doors. Regardless of the program, the objective is to reach a segment of homeowners who don't think they need to improve efficiency but in fact do.

5. There has been a slight downsizing of vehicles between 1981 and 1983 indicating, in part, the impact of higher gasoline prices. Because of the strong support offered by respondents for mandatory fuel consumption labels on new automobiles, the federal department responsible for this program might consider using this evidence to encourage new car dealers to keep the stickers on the cars.

6. Both CHIP and COSP received high awareness and participation levels. Continuation of current efforts would be appropriate with these programs.

7. Any new thrusts in terms of information programs to encourage conservation should probably focus on energy efficiency rather than using the word "conservation". The connotations that can be linked to efficiency (e.g. - save money, comfort) are more powerful than any that may be linked to conservation.

8. One segment of consumers claim they don't know where to get accurate information on how to save energy. Some consideration might be give to raising the visibility of HEATLINE to address this issue.

TABLE 1

Problems Facing Canadians Today (1975, 1978-1983)

Mentioned (percentage)[a]	1975	1978	1979	1980	1981	1982	1983
Energy only	2	2	4	5	5	1	1
Energy and another issue	6	5	13	17	16	5	7
Other issue	87	90	77	70	70	92	88
No issue	5	3	6	8	9	2	4
	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Total energy mentions	8	7	17	22	21	6	8
N =	1422	1409	1654	1637	1606	1659	1897

Question: "Of all the problems facing people living in Canada, which ones do you feel we should be most concerned about today? (PROBE) Are there any other areas of concern?"

[a] Includes "Don't Know" responses.

TABLE 2

Perceived Seriousness of Selected Issues in Canada (1975-1983)

Percent Stating Issue is Very Serious or Somewhat Serious[a]

Issue	1975	1976	1977	1978	1979	1980	1981	1982	1983
Inflation	92	90	91	92	92	95	93	95	87
Unemployment	73	76	87	94	88	82	80	96	98
Energy Shortage	45	60	63	62	60	66	57	49	42
Cost of Energy	-	-	-	-	80	82	80	82	85
Pollution	-	-	-	-	69	72	72	68	71
Interest Rates	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	95	67
N =	1422	1436	1413	1409	1654	1637	1606	1659	1897

Question: "I would like you to think about the following issues and tell me how seriously you feel each one is affecting us in Canada today. Would you say it is very seriously affecting Canada, somewhat seriously, not too seriously, or not seriously at all?"

[a] Includes "Don't Know" responses.

TABLE 3

Importance of Individual Efforts to Conserve Energy (1975-1983)

Percent Stating Individual Efforts are Very or Somewhat Important[a]

	1975	1976	1977	1978	1979	1980	1981	1982	1983[b]
	87	91	92	95	92	93	93	93	95
N =	1422	1436	1413	1409	1654	1637	1606	1659	1897

Question: "How important do you feel efforts by individuals to conserve energy can be? Do you feel these efforts would be very important, somewhat important, not too important, or not important at all?"

[a] Includes "Don't Know" responses.

[b] In 1983, the question was: "Do you agree or disagree with the following statement: It is important for individuals to make efforts to conserve energy".

TABLE 4

Attitudes Towards the Energy Situation (1979-1983)

	Percentage Agreeing[a]				
	1979	1980	1981	1982	1983
Hostility					
The "Energy Crisis" was a hoax.	37	37	41	50	50
I resent utilities and governments asking me to save more energy.	30	27	28	35	30
Businesses waste far more energy than consumers do.	66	68	65	63	68
Government and business should be doing the energy saving not consumers.	31	27	29	33	34
The oil companies have profited unfairly from the energy situation	_b	-	68	68	68
Need to Conserve					
There is no real need to conserve energy because technology will solve the problem.	18	15	16	21	18
There is no real need to conserve energy since we have plenty of gas and oil.	17	14	14	13	14
Soon everyone is going to have to use less energy.	80	86	82	79	66
If Canadians don't reduce the amount of gas and oil they use, in a few years Canada will be too dependent on foreign oil producers.	61	67	58	53	47
The solution to the energy situation will mean that all Canadians will have to change their lifestyles quite a bit	-	-	67	68	57
Other					
It's probably not that difficult to reduce the amount of energy I use.	73	77	71	70	69
It is useless for the individual consumer to do anything about energy conservation.	14	13	12	15	12
Any homeowner who spends money on home insulation is making a good investment	-	-	93	91	95
The high cost of energy has caused me severe hardship	-	-	-	33	28
I budget very carefully for fuel and electricity bills	-	-	-	-	59
I know where to get accurate information on how to save energy	-	-	-	-	67

Question: "I am going to read some statements made about the energy situation. I'd like to know, for each, whether you agree or disagree. (READ * STATEMENT) Do you agree or disagree?"

a Includes "Don't Know" responses.
b Question were not asked in that year.

TABLE 5

Perceived Cost Increase in Past 12 Months (1979-1983)

Energy Source	Average Percentage Increase[a]				
	1979	1980	1981	1982	1983
* Heating Oil	15.2	16.5	19.0	24.9	20.7
Electricity	14.7	13.0	14.0	18.5	15.8
Gasoline	14.7	17.6	19.9	29.8	24.9
Natural Gas	14.6	14.1	16.7	22.2	19.7

Question: "What about the cost of energy? By about what percentage do you think the cost of (FIRST * PHRASE) has increased in the last twelve months? You may not know exactly, but I'd like your best guess."

[a] Excludes "Don't Know" and "Not Applicable" responses.

TABLE 6

Actual Fuel Cost Increase in Past 12 Months (1979-1983)[a]

Energy Source	Average Percentage Increase[a]				
	1979	1980	1981	1982	1983
Fuel oil and other liquid fuel[b]	N/A	19.5	39.6	29.6	12.8
Electricity[b]	N/A	8.0	9.7	10.6	8.5
Gasoline[b]	N/A	19.0	9.6	27.5	12.6
Piped and bottled gas[b]	N/A	7.5	26.8	28.5	12.2
Water, fuel, and electricity[b]	8.6	10.9	22.9	21.4	11.0
Energy[c]	6.9	16.0	30.0	19.8	N/A
Consumer Price Index[c]	8.9	9.0	12.0	11.4	8.3

[a] Source: The Consumer Price Index, Catalogue 62-001, Statistics Canada, Various Issues.

[b] Increases calculated on a March to March basis.

[c] Increased calculated on an annual basis.

TABLE 7

Differences Between Perceived and Actual Cost Increases (1980-83)

Energy Source	Difference (Perceived minus Actual			
	1980	1981	1982	1983
Heating Oil	- 3.0[a]	-20.6	- 4.7	+ 7.9
Electricity	+ 5.0	- 4.3	+ 7.9	+ 7.3
Gasoline	- 1.4	+10.3	+ 2.3	+12.3
Natural Gas	+ 6.6	-10.1	- 6.3	+ 7.5

[a] Read: Respondents, on average, underestimated the increase in heating oil prices in the last twelve months (1980).

TABLE 8

Self-Reported Energy Conserving Behaviors and Activities (1979-1983)
Percent of Eligible Respondents Engaging In:[a]

	1979	1980	1981	1982	1983
Home Related					
Using less hot water	36	47	41	51	47
Turning down thermostat	71	86	80	83	78
Turning off lights more often	79	86	82	85	80
Keeping the hot water at lower temperature	36	47	46	52	48
Making improvements to home insulation	58	69	68	69	60[c]
Furnace serviced yearly	79	79	77	77	76
Installed weatherstripping/ caulking	_[b]	-	65	76	69[c]
Installed storm windows & doors	-	-	59	61	57
Installed a timer on thermostat	-	-	17	21	14
Transportation Related					
Driving less	43	57	54	59	56
Purchase smaller car	33	45	49	49	48
Car serviced more often	46	55	53	49	-
Car tuned up twice per year	-	-	-	-	81
Using public transportation more	41	46	40	43	36
Participating in car pool	29	27	27	25	24
Check the tire pressure on my car at least once a month	-	-	-	68	62
Information Seeking					
Had a home energy audit conducted	-	14	17	19	19
Read "100 Ways to Save... Home"	34	40	38	-	-
Read "The Car Mileage Booklet"	26	27	26	-	-
Read "Keeping the Heat In"	26	27	23	-	-
Read "The Fuel Consumption Guide"	-	-	-	-	37
Read "The Car Economy Book"	-	-	-	-	25
Obtained literature about how to save energy	-	-	-	68	-
Often talk with my friends or neighbors about how to save energy	-	-	-	45	46
N =	1654	1637	1606	1659	1897

Question: "Since the start of the energy situation a few years ago, some people have changed some of the things they do. I will now read to you a list of things people have or have not done to conserve energy. Please tell me "YES" or "NO" for each statement as it applies to you.

a "No answers" removed.
b - not asked.
c - asked of homeowners only in 1983.

TABLE 9

Average Thermostat Settings (1979-1983)

	Day	Night
1979	67.8[a]	66.7
1980	67.1	66.1
1981	66.9	65.9
1982	66.8	65.0
1983	67.1	64.6

[a] Degrees Fahrenheit.

TABLE 10

Awareness of and Participation in CHIP and COSP (1982-83)

	Homeowners	
	1982	1983
	%	%
CHIP		
- Heard of Program	78	82
- Received a Grant	23	27
COSP	Homeowners who heat with oil	
- Heard of Program	42	50
	Homeowners who have switched from oil to other energy form	
- Received a Grant	35	48
	Homeowners who heat with form other than oil (over total oil users)	
- Recently switched from oil to other energy form	13	15

TABLE 11

Degree of Support for Possible Government Conservation Measures (1979-1983)

	Percentage Supporting				
	1979	1980	1981	1982	1983
Price Increase Programs					
A special tax on cars that get less than 20 miles per gallon.	55	63	57	45	35
Pricing electricity so that the cost per kilowatt hour becomes more expensive the more you use.	42	50	41	43	-
A special tax on gasoline to provide funds for oil exploration and technology improvements.	-	-	-	-	16
A tax on all heating fuels, electricity and gasoline to encourage people to conserve.	22	28	23	20	-
Increasing the price of all heating fuels, electricity, and gasoline to encourage people to conserve.	-	-	-	-	11
Regulatory Programs					
A requirement that all homes, new and old, would have to be insulated to a certain standard before they could be sold.	-	81	76	73	68
A program which requires automobile manufacturers to put fuel consumption stickers on all new cars that are for sale.	-	-	-	-	81
A program that requires all homeowners to pay $25 to have their house rated for energy efficiency. A certified person would examine the house and make recommendations.	-	57	51	45	-
Rationing the amount of gasoline, heating oil and electricity each person can use.	25	27	22	18	16
A government program to limit energy used for leisure and vacation travel	-	-	31	29	-
Voluntary Programs					
A program that assists homeowners in having their houses rated for energy efficiency. For a fee of $25 a certified person would examine the house and make recommendations.	-	-	-	-	70
Subsidies to improve public transit to encourage people to leave their cars at home.	82	85	82	82	-
A program which shows people how to improve the insulation of their homes.	-	-	-	-	90

Question: "I would like to read to you a few suggestions that people have made while discussing the energy situation. As I read each one, would you tell me whether you would support or not support the suggestion. (READ * STATEMENT)

TABLE 12

Ranking of Cities by Attitudes and Beahviors (1979-1983)[a]

City	Serious About Energy Shortage Score (out of 5)	Importance of Individual Efforts Score (out of 4)	Participation in Few Energy Conserving Behaviors Score (out of 17)
Halifax	3	4	15
Quebec City	5	1	11
Montreal	5	6	6
Ottawa	4	3	17
Toronto	0	4	10
Winnipeg	0	3	3
Calgary	0	3	3
Vancouver	0	3	13

[a] Scores are the number of times city was at or above the average of all cities across the five years (only years with statistically significant results were included).

TABLE 13

Highlights of the 1983 Survey - Volume I

- As an issue, energy shortages is at its lowest level since the annual surveys began in 1975. Only 42 percent of Canadians stated that energy shortages were a very or somewhat serious issue, down 7 percent from 1982 and down 24 percent from 1980. The cost of energy is now one of the big three issues on Canadians' minds (unemployment and inflation are the other two) replacing interest rates.

- Hostility and cynicism towards business and governments handling of the energy situation remains high. As in 1982, 50 percent of the people think the energy crisis was a hoax.

- Perception of energy price increases in the last year was the second highest recorded, following 1982. People thought that, in the past 12 months, heating oil prices had gone up 21 percent, electricity up by 16 percent, gasoline up by 25 percent, and natural gas up by 20 percent.

- With respect to self-reported energy conserving activities, in 1983 all home related behaviors declined suggesting a lessening of interest in conservation. As well, transportation related conservation behaviors declined.

- Knowledge of the CHIP program was high with approximately three out of four people having heard of CHIP and 18 percent participating in the program. Knowledge of COSP was relatively high - 43 percent having heard of the program and 45 percent of those who had recently switched from heating oil to another fuel had received a COSP grant.

- Support for possible government conservation programs continued to decline in 1983. In particular only a small minority supported energy prices increases. Support was highest for voluntary programs such as information programs.

- Insulation activities had been performed by 60 percent of homeowners and approximately 40 percent of those people had spent more than $500. Weatherstripping and caulking had been done by about 7 out of 10 homeowners and approximately 60 percent spent less than $50 on this activity. In the case of insulation, one-half of the homeowners did the job themselves, 80 percent of the homeowners did the weatherstripping themselves.

- Thermostat settings during the day have declined slightly over the past four years; average day-time setting is 67°F. Night temperature settings have declined approximately 1°C since 1979.

TABLE 13 (cont'd)

- Thirty-six percent of homeowners plan to spend money in the next 12 months to improve the energy efficiency of their home and, of those, 35 percent planned to spend between $100 and $500 and 40 percent plan to spend more than $500.

- Approximately one in four homeowners felt their house was about as energy efficient as it could be, the remainder felt that verying degrees of improvement in energy efficiency could be made.

- Twenty-eight percent of the respondents felt that the high cost of energy had caused them severe hardships.

TABLE 14

Overview of Indices

Index	Number of Questions Used in Constructing Index	Sample Question
Attitudes		
Hostility	5	The "energy crisis" was a hoax
Need to conserve	5	Soon everyone is going to have to use less energy
Behaviors		
Self-reported conserving behaviors	17	Driving less than a few years ago
In-home energy conserving actions	7	Using less hot water than a few years ago
Transportation related energy conserving actions	4	Read the Government booklet "The Fuel Consumption Guide"
Thermostat setting	2	At what temperature do you set your thermostat during the day?
Program Support		
Price/Tax increase	3	A special tax on cars that get less than 20 mpg
Mandatory Restriction	3	Rationing the amount of gasoline, heating oil, and electricity each person can use

TABLE 15

Significant Relationships Between Attitudes and Other Indices

Comparison	Direction 1980	1981	1982	1983
Hostility versus program support				
- price/tax increase	negative	positive	-	negative
- mandatory	-	positive	positive	positive
Hostility versus behaviors				
- energy conserving	-	-	-	-
- in-home conserving	-	-	-	positive
- transportation conserving	-	positive	positive	-
- information conserving	-	-	-	-
- thermostat setting	-	-	-	-
Need to conserve versus program support				
- price/tax increase	positive	positive	positive	positive
- mandatory	positive	positive	positive	positive
Need to conserve versus self-reported behaviors				
- energy conserving	positive	positive	positive	positive
- in-home conserving	positive	positive	positive	positive
- transportation conserving	positive	positive	positive	positive
- information conserving	-	-	positive	positive
- thermostat setting	negative	-	-	negative

TABLE 16

Significant Relationships Within Indices

The "Hostility" Attitude is:

- negatively related to the "need to conserve" attitude

The price/tax increase support index was positively related to the mandatory program support index.

The six self-reported behaviors (conserving, perceived overall energy use, thermostat setting, and the three types of energy conserving actions) were generally related to each other in the expected direction.

Relationships not mentioned are not statistically significant based on Pearson correlation (significance level of 5%).

TABLE 17

Significant Relationships Between Demographics And The Indices[a]

Index		Demographic Categories Which Are High on Index				
		Age	Sex	Language	Income	Education
Attitudes						
- Hostility	1983	Older	-	French	Lower	Lower
	1982	Older	Female	French	Lower	Lower
- Need to conserve	1983	Younger	Female	French	-	Higher
	1982	Younger	Female	French	Lower	-
Program Support						
- Price/Tax increase	1983	-	Male	-	-	Higher
	1982	-	-	-	-	Higher
- Mandatory	1983	-	Female	French	Lower	Lower
	1982	-	-	French	Lower	Lower
Behavior						
- Energy conserving actions	1983	Older	-	-	Lower	-
	1982	-	Female	French	Lower	Lower
- In-home conserving actions	1983	Older	Female	-	Lower	Lower
	1982	Older	Female	-	-	Lower
- Transportation conserving actions	1983	Older	Female	French	Lower	-
	1982	-	-	French	Lower	-
- Information conserving actions	1983	Older	Male	-	-	-
		-	-	French	Lower	-
- Energy use compared to last year	1983					
	1982	Younger	-	-	-	-
- Day/Night thermostat setting	1983	-	-	French	-	Lower
	1982	Older	-	French	-	-

[a] Based on chi-square tests (significant level of 5%).

[b] Higher income groups had a higher knowledge score than lower income groups.

TABLE 18

Number of Vehicles Owned (Percentage)

Number of Vehicles	1981	1982	1983	Change 1983-81
None	12.1	11.4	12.5	+ .4
One	48.8	50.1	51.2	+ 2.4
Two	30.1	28.7	28.2	- 1.9
Three	6.0	7.4	5.8	- .2
Four or more	3.0	2.4	2.3	- .7
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0
N =	1593	1653	1894	
Average number of vehicles owned/household	1.4	1.4	1.3	
Average number of vehicles owned/vehicle owning household	1.6	1.6	1.5	

TABLE 19

Types of Vehicles Owned, by Number of Vehicles Owned

Number of Vehicles Owned

Type of Vehicle	1981 One	Two	Three	Total	%	1982 One	Two	Three	Total	%	1983 One	Two	Three	Total	%	Change 1983-81
Car	664	753	280	1697	81.0	728	725	354	1807	82.1	834	844	322	2000	81.2	+ .2
Station Wagon	62	77	22	161	7.7	47	73	20	140	6.4	66	59	29	254	6.3	-1.4
Light truck	33	72	57	162	7.7	33	89	55	177	8.0	39	114	56	209	8.5	+ .8
Van	12	44	19	75	3.6	11	41	24	76	3.5	27	41	31	99	4.0	+ .4
Total vehicles	771	946	378	2095	100.0	819	928	453	2200	100.0	966	1058	438	2462	100.0	0.0
Number of households	771	473	126	1370		819	464	151	1434		966	529	146	1641		

TABLE 20

Combinations of Vehicles Owned by Multiple Vehicle Households
(Percentage)

Two vehicle households

Combinations	1981	1982	1983	Change 1983-81
Two cars	61.5	61.9	62.7	+ 1.2
Car, station wagon	13.4	9.3	8.4	- 5.0
Car, light truck	14.1	16.3	18.6	+ 4.5
Car, van	8.6	7.7	6.8	- 1.7
Other than car	2.4	4.8	3.4	+ 1.0
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0
Number of households	467	454	526	

Three vehicle households

	1981	1982	1983	Change 1983-81
Three cars	42.5	48.2	43.6	+ 1.1
Two cars, other	40.8	39.4	44.4	+ 3.6
One car, others	16.7	12.4	12.0	- 4.7
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0
Number of households	120	137	133	

TABLE 21

Distribution of Vehicles by Number of Cylinders
(Percentage)

Number of cylinders	1981	1982	1983	Change 1983-81
Four	26.1	30.6	30.1	+ 4.0
Six	27.4	26.8	28.2	+ .8
Eight	46.5	42.6	41.7	- 4.8
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0
Number of vehicles	2061	2131	2360	
Average number of cylinders/vehicle	6.4	6.2	6.2	- .2
Average number of cylinders/vehicle owning household	9.9	9.6	9.3	- .6

TABLE 22

Number of Cylinders per Vehicle, by Number of Vehicles Owned
(Percentage)

Number of Cylinders/car	Number of Vehicles Owned												
	1981				1982				1983				Change
	One	Two	Three	Total	One	Two	Three	Total	One	Two	Three	Total	1983-81
Four	26.3	27.7	22.2	26.1	29.5	30.8	28.2	29.8	29.9	31.6	26.6	30.1	+ 4.0
Six	30.3	25.9	26.2	27.6	29.9	26.4	22.0	26.8	29.5	25.8	29.8	28.0	+ .4
Eight	43.4	46.4	51.6	46.3	40.6	42.8	49.8	43.4	40.6	42.6	43.6	41.9	- 4.4
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Total vehicles	746	892	378	2016	759	874	414	2047	943	986	369	2298	-
Number of households	746	446	126	1318	759	437	138	1334	943	493	123	1559	-
Average cylinders/car	6.3	6.4	6.6	6.4	6.2	6.2	6.4	6.3	6.2	6.2	6.3	6.3	-.1

TABLE 23

Commuting Activity per Week by Number of Vehicles Owned
(Percentage)

One vehicle households

Commuting Activity	1981	1982	1983	Change 1983-81
Non-commuter (not used)	21.4	19.7	24.6	+ 3.2
Low commuter (4 days or less)	14.9	17.7	13.4	- 1.5
Average commuter (5 days)	36.4	52.9	39.4	+ 3.5
Heavy commuter (more than 5 days)	27.3	9.7	22.1	- 5.2
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0
Total households	776	821	964	-
Average days commuting	3.9	3.8	3.8	-.1

Two vehicle households

Commuting Activity	1981	1982	1983	Change 1983-81
Low commuter (either used less than 5 days)	13.0	12.7	13.2	+ .2
Single commuter (one used 5 or more days)	25.0	29.0	30.3	+ 5.3
Single plus commuter (one used 5 or more, other less than 5)	28.5	23.2	16.9	-11.6
Double commuter (both used 5 or more days)	33.5	35.1	39.6	+ 6.1
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0
Total households	477	465	522	-
Average days commuting	7.6	7.5	7.6	0.0

Three vehicle households

Commuting Activity	1981	1982	1983	Change 1983-81
Low (one used 5 days or more, others less than 5)	37.8	33.6	43.6	+ 5.8
Medium (two used 5 days or more, one less than 5)	39.2	45.4	34.2	- 5.0
Heavy commuter (three used 5 days or more)	23.0	21.0	22.2	- .8
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0
Total households	143	152	149	
Average days commuting	10.8	11.1	10.3	-.5
Average days commuting (all households)	5.8	5.7	5.6	-.2

TABLE 24

Relationship Between Vehicle Ownership and Usage

Number of Vehicles Owned	Percent of Sample[a]		Average Number of Cylinders		Average Number of Days Driven to Work/School	
	1982	1983	1982	1983	1982	1983
One	57	59	6.2	6.2	3.8	3.9
Two	32	32	6.2	6.2	3.7	3.8
Three or More	11	9	6.4	6.3	3.6	3.5
Total	100	100				
Mean			6.2	6.2	3.7	3.7

[a] Excludes households with no vehicle.

TABLE 25

Relationship Between Vehicle Ownership and Demographics

Average

Number of Vehicles Owned	Percent of Sample		Total Family Income		Percent Owning Home		Percent English Speaking		Number of People in Household		Number Working Full-time in Household	
	1982	1983	1982	1983	1982	1983	1982	1983	1982	1983	1982	1983
None	11	13	$15,000	$15,600	22	22	61	59	1.8	2.0	.7	.7
One	50	51	$24,700	$25,100	54	60	66	70	2.7	2.8	1.2	1.2
Two	29	28	$33,200	$32,000	78	78	83	85	3.2	3.4	1.6	1.5
Three or More	10	8	$39,100	$34,700	79	80	86	89	4.1	4.0	2.1	1.9
	100	100										
Total Sample			$27,600	$26,700	60	62	69	74	2.9	3.0	1.4	1.3

TABLE 26

Characteristics of Homeowners Who Added Insulation and Weatherstripping/Caulking

Characteristic	Insulation	Weatherstripping/ Caulking
Have done activity	60%	69%
Did it themselves (versus contractor installed)	51%	82%
Activity done: within last two years	55%	83%
between 2 and 5 years	40%	14%
more than 5 years ago	5%	3%
Average amount spent	$ 750	$ 50
Money was well spent	95%	99%
Problems with job	7%	3%
Major problem	poor workmanship	hard to do
Other characteristics[a]	more conservation actions more information seeking no demographics	more conservation actions more information seeking no demographics except French-speaking have higher participation
Very handy fixing things around house	yes	yes
Budget carefully for fuel and electricity bills	-	yes
Know where to get information on how to save energy	yes	yes
Age of home	older	older
Received a CHIP grant	yes	yes
Perception of energy efficiency of home	can improve	can improve
Plan to spend money to improve efficiency	yes	yes

[a] These and following categories compared to homeowners who did not perform activity.

TABLE 27

Profile of Severe Hardship Respondents (Highlights)

	Hardship Respondents
Demographics	
- Age	Older
- Sex	-
- Education	Lower
- Income	Lower ($25,000 and under)
- Language	French
- City	Moncton, Quebec City, Montreal, Toronto, Winnipeg
Attitudes	
- Hostility	Higher
Reported Conservation Behaviors	
- In-home conserving actions	Higher
- Transportation conserving actions	Higher
- Information conserving actions	Higher

a Those respondents who agreed with the statement; "The high cost of energy has caused me severe hardships".

TABLE 28

Perceived Cost Increases by Attitudes and Behaviors

	Heating Oil	Electricity	Gasoline	Natural Gas
Average Increase[a]	20.7	15.8	24.9	19.7
Hostility				
Low	19.7	-[b]	23.4	18.4
Medium	20.5	-	24.7	19.4
High	22.2	-	26.6	21.7
Energy conserving actions				
Low	-	13.9	22.9	17.9
Medium	-	15.6	24.6	19.6
High	-	17.7	27.2	21.3
In-Home conserving actions				
Low	-	14.0	23.0	-
Medium	-	16.0	25.2	-
High	-	17.1	26.7	-
Transportation conserving actions				
Low	-	-	24.1	-
Medium	-	-	24.7	-
High	-	-	27.1	-

[a] Excludes "Don't Know" and "Not Applicable" responses.

[b] No significant differences between the average cost increases in electricity for the three levels of hostility.

TABLE 29

Perceived Cost Increases by Demographics

	Heating Oil	Electricity	Gasoline	Natural Gas
Average Increase[a]	20.7	15.8	24.9	19.7
Age				
18-34	19.3	14.5	23.5	17.5
35-44	20.9	16.9	25.1	21.6
45-54	21.9	16.4	26.5	21.7
55 & over	23.1	16.8	26.9	21.8
Sex				
Female	-[b]	14.8	-	-
Male	-	16.7	-	-
Education				
Elementary	21.9	-	26.2	22.9
Secondary	21.7	-	25.8	20.5
Univ./College	19.2	-	23.3	18.1
Income				
0-15,000	-	-	-	19.9
15-25,000	-	-	-	17.7
25-40,000	-	-	-	19.6
Over 40,000	-	-	-	20.2
Language				
French	17.8	14.1	22.1	14.1
English	21.6	16.4	25.8	20.8
City				
Halifax	22.4	18.2	25.8	21.1
Moncton	20.6	11.5	23.5	12.7
Quebec City	17.9	14.1	22.0	13.2
Montreal	17.4	13.9	22.0	13.6
Ottawa	20.6	19.3	27.8	20.5
Toronto	22.0	14.8	25.6	18.5
Winnipeg	23.5	10.7	26.5	22.9
Calgary	21.5	21.1	22.6	24.8
Vancouver	20.2	17.9	27.8	17.3

[a] Excludes "Don't Know and "Not Applicable" responses.

[b] No significant differences between the average cost increase of heating oil for males and females.

TABLE 30

Demographic Profile of Those Aware of or Have Participated in CHIP and COSP

Demographics	CHIP		COSP	
	Heard of	Received Grant	Heard of	Received Grant
Age	-	Older	-	-
Sex	Male	Female	Male	-
Education	-	-	Higher	-
Income	-	-	-	-
Language	-	-	French	-
City	Moncton Quebec City Toronto Winnipeg Calgary	Halifax Montreal Toronto	Quebec City Montreal Ottawa Toronto	-

Number/
Numéro

3

WORKING PAPER ONLY - DO NOT QUOTE
DOCUMENT DE TRAVAIL SEULEMENT - NE PAS CITER

A SURVEY OF THE CANADIAN PUBLIC'S ATTITUDES TOWARDS THE ENERGY SITUATION

- VOLUME II -

TECHNICAL APPENDIX

Prepared By:

Dr. Gerald Keller
Dr. Gordon H.G. McDougall
School of Business and Economics
Wilfrid Laurier University
Waterloo, Ontario
N2L 3C5

Presented To:

Consumer Energy Conservation Research
Consumer and Corporate Affairs Canada

June 1983

The views presented in this paper are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views or positions of the Department of C.C.A.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Table of Contents..... i

Purpose of Technical Appendix..... 1
Objectives..... 1
Sampling Procedures (1975 to 1983)..... 2
Questionnaire Comparability (1975 to 1983)..... 3
Further Analysis..... 3
Index Construction..... 3

Tables

Table 1 - Sampling Procedures - 1975-1978..... 5
Table 2 - Sampling Procedures - 1979-1983 6
Table 3 - Sample Sizes, By City (1975-1983) 7
Table 4 - Question Comparability (1975-1983)..... 8
Table 5 - Sequence of Analysis, 1983 Survey 10
Table 6A - Construction of Hostility Index 11
Table 6B - Construction of Need to Conserve Index 12
Table 6C - Construction of Price/Tax Increase Support Index 13
Table 6D - Construction of Mandatory Restriction Programs Support Index 14
Table 6E - Construction of Energy Conserving Actions Index..... 15
Table 6F - Construction of In-Home Energy Conserving Actions Index 16
Table 6G - Construction of Transportation Related Energy Conserving Actions Index 17
Table 6H - Construction of Information Related Energy Conserving Actions Index 18
Table 6I - Construction of Day/Night Thermostat Setting Index..... 19

INTRODUCTION

Purpose of Technical Appendix

This 1983 survey conducted by Consumer Contact on behalf of Consumer and Corporate Affairs Canada, was the ninth annual survey of the Canadian public's attitudes towards the energy situation. Some of the results of the survey were presented in a Consumer Contact report titled "A Survey of the Public's Attitudes Toward the Energy Situation - Volume I" (April, 1983). Further analysis was performed by the authors of this appendix. Those results are presented in Volume II (June, 1983).

The purpose of this technical appendix is to summarize in tabular form the important statistics and the formulas of the indices used to draw conclusions in Volume II.

Objectives

The general objectives for the 1983 survey were:

- To identify the public's level of concern with respect to the energy situation (shortages and costs).
- To identify the public's attitudes towards energy conservation.
- To identify the public's current energy conserving behaviors.
- To identify the public's reaction to general policy alternatives and to specific government programs concerning energy.

These general objectives have been accomplished through the preparation and presentation of Volume I by Consumer Contact. The specific objectives of Volume II are:

- To compare the 1983 results with the eight previous annual surveys to provide a longitudinal view of the Canadian public's views concerning energy.

- To conduct further analysis of the 1983 survey to provide a better understanding of the relationship between Canadian's attitudes, and behavior with respect to the energy situation.

Sampling Procedures (1975 to 1983)

Between 1975 and 1978, the surveys were based on telephone interviews with respondents fifteen years of age or older (Table 1). In all cases, the youngest person at home at the time was interviewed. With the 1979 survey, interviews were conducted with the male or female head of household (Table 2) and Ottawa was added to the seven cities previously used in the survey (Table 3).

The major consequence of changing the sampling procedure was to reduce the validity of year by year comparisons. The reasons for this are that in 1979-83 there were few respondents under 18 years of age and between 1975-78 at least some of the respondents were not heads of households. In order to allow comparisons the 1975-78 statistics were recalculated after removing the 15-17 year old respondents (approximately 20% of those surveyed). This does not make the surveys totally comparable but it was felt that a large proportion of the 18 and over respondents in the 1975-78 surveys were probably heads of household. Caution however is required when interpreting this section of the analysis.

The effect of removing the 15 to 17 year olds from the 1975 to 1978 questionnaires was examined for those questions concerning attitudes and behaviors. Except for the behavior "driving less" few differences were

observed with or without the 15 to 17 year olds. This was expected because during the years 1975 to 1978 age, in most cases, was not a significant determinant for either attitudes or behaviors. As there were few significant differences between the age groups, the exclusion of the 15 to 17 years old respondents will not alter the major conclusions reached in previous years.

Questionnaire Comparability (1975 to 1983)

In 1979 major revisions were made to the questionnaire. The consequence of this action was that most questions are no longer comparable between the surveys. The questions that are comparable across the five years are listed in Table 4. The "linkage" analysis will use only these questions.

Further Analysis

The first volume of this study (prepared by Consumer Contact) reported the findings at a general level and provided a series of cross tabulations of the data. This report provides further analysis of the 1983 data by creating a series of indices (introduced in the 1979 study) which measures attitudes, program support, and behavior. The sequence for this analysis is described in Table 5. The method used to construct each index is presented in Tables 6A to 6I.

Index Construction

The questionnaire had a series of questions which measured attitudes, support for various programs and behavior. To provide a clearer

picture of these measurements nine indices were created. There are two attitude indices describing hostility and need to conserve. The two program support indices are price/tax increase and mandatory restrictions. There are five indices which measure energy conserving behaviors. These are energy conserving actions, day/night thermostat setting, in-home energy conserving actions, transportation related energy conserving actions and information related energy conserving actions. Each index was composed of between two and seventeen questions. For simplicity the results were coded as low, medium and high. The statistical test on which the results were based is the chi-square test.

Table 1

Sampling Procedures - 1975 to 1978

Results of the four studies were based on telephone interviews conducted with male and female respondents fifteen years of age or older. In all cases the youngest person home at the time of the interview, who qualified, was interviewed.

Quota sampling procedures were used in these studies. In all the centres studied both sex and age quotas were applied. All samples had to be fifty percent male and fifty percent female. The age quota required that fifty of the two hundred and fifty sample in each of the English cities be fifteen years of age, but less than eighteen. The remaining two hundred had to be eighteen years of age or over.

The only exceptions were Quebec City and Montreal, where the young quota (15 but less than 18) was increased to seventy-five giving a total sample of no less than 275 in each centre. Fieldwork was conducted in March and April of each year.

Table 2

Sampling Procedures - 1979 to 1983

Results of the 1979 to 1983 surveys are based on telephone interviews carried out in nine centres. Telephone numbers in the sample were selected, using a random procedure from the current telephone directories. Four replicates of numbers were selected for each city, each replicate being a random sample in its own right. Each replicate was exhausted before moving into the next one. The samples for all the cities were pre-selected at the head office of the research firm conducting the survey. A one initial call plus one call-back procedure was specified to improve the possibility of contacting people not at home initially. Only the female or male head of household was interviewed, the sex to be interviewed being specified on each questionnaire. Where there were two heads of household of the same sex, the one with the next birthday was selected.

Table 3

Sample Sizes, By City (1975-83)

	1975	1976	1977	1978	1979	1980	1981	1982	1983
Halifax	250	250	270	251	206	200	201	200	208
Moncton	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	210
Quebec City	293	288	281	275	205	207	200	207	212
Montreal	278	288	277	276	214	205	200	201	213
Ottawa	-	-	-	-	206	205	200	213	210
Toronto	251	262	260	251	206	205	203	202	213
Winnipeg	249	253	237	250	205	204	201	205	212
Calgary	249	250	246	255	206	205	201	224	210
Vancouver	251	249	244	250	206	206	200	207	209
Total Sample	1821	1840	1815	1808	1654	1637	1606	1659	1897
Number of respondents age 15 to 17	399	404	402	399	-	-	-	-	-
Sample (excluding 15 to 17 age group)	1422	1436	1413	1409	1654	1637	1606	1659	1897

Table 4

Question Comparability (1975-1983)

1. Unaided - importance of issues - 1975, 1978-1983.

Of all the problems facing people living in Canada, which ones do you feel we should be most concerned about today? (PROBE) Are there any other areas of concern?

2. Aided - importance of issues - 1975-1983

I would like you to think about the following issues and tell me how seriously you feel each one is affecting us in Canada today. Let's start with (READ * PHRASE). Would you say it is very seriously affecting Canada, somewhat seriously, not too seriously, or not seriously at all? (CONTINUE DOWN LIST, AND FROM TOP DOWN, UNTIL ALL HAVE BEEN READ).

	Very Seriously	Somewhat Seriously	Not too Seriously	Not Seriously At All	Don't Know	Not Applicable
*Inflation	1.....	2.....	3.....	4.....	5.....	0
Cost of Energy[a]	1.....	2.....	3.....	4.....	5.....	0
Unemployment	1.....	2.....	3.....	4.....	5.....	0
Pollution	1.....	2.....	3.....	4.....	5.....	0
Energy Shortage	1.....	2.....	3.....	4.....	5.....	0
Interest Rates[b]	1.....	2.....	3.....	4.....	5.....	0

[a] Appeared in 1979 to 1983.
[b] Appeared in 1982 to 1983.

3. Stated Behaviors concerning energy - 1975-1979

Between 1975 and 1978 the question was phrased as follows:

I will now read you a list of things people say they are doing now to conserve energy. Please tell me which one(s), if any, you yourself are currently doing? READ LIST.

	Yes	No	Doesn't Apply	Don't Know
Keeping the thermostat at a lower temperature	1	2	3	V
Driving less	1	2	3	V
Using less hot water	1	2	3	V
Turning off lights more often	1	2	3	V

Table 4 (continued)

In 1979 the question was phrased as follows:

I will now read to you a list of things people may or may not be doing to conserve energy. Please tell me "YES" or "NO" for each statement as it applies to you.

	Yes	No	Not Applicable
Keeping the thermostat at a lower temperature than a year ago	1	2	0
Turning off lights in your home more often than last year	1	2	0
Using less hot water than a year ago	1	2	0
Driving less than a year ago	1	2	0

In 1980 to 1983 the question was phrased as follows:

Since the start of the energy situation a few years ago, some people have changed some things they do. I will now read to you a list of things people have or have not done to conserve energy. Please tell me "YES" or "NO" for each statement as it applies to you.

	Yes	No	Not Applicable
*Keeping the thermostat at a lower temperature than a few years ago	1	2	0
Turning off lights in your home more often than a few years ago	1	2	0
Using less hot water than a few years ago	1	2	0
Driving less than a few years ago	1	2	0

NOTE: A number of other behaviors were measured during the eight years but only the common behaviors are listed above.

Table 5

Sequence of Analysis, 1983 Survey

Step	Activity	Comments
1	Create Attitude, Program Support and Behavior Indices	See Tables 6A to 6I for method used to calculate indices.
2	Identify proportion of sample on each index.	
3	Identify relationships between Attitudes, Program Support and Behavior	
4	Identify demographic characteristics related to Attitudes, Program Support and Behavior	

Table 6A

Construction of Hostility Index

I am going to read some statements made about the energy situation. I'd like to know, for each, whether you agree or disagree.

	Agree	Disagree	Not Applicable
The "Energy Crisis" was a hoax.	1	0	0
I resent utilities and governments asking me to save more energy.	1	0	0
Businesses waste far more energy than consumers do.	1	0	0
Government and business should be doing the energy saving, not consumers.	1	0	0
The oil companies have profited unfairly from the energy situation	1	0	0

Index = Total x 100 ÷ (5 minus number of "Not Applicable")

Low: 0 ≤ Index ≤ 34
Medium: 34 < Index ≤ 67
High: 67 < Index ≤ 100

Table 6B

Construction of Need to Conserve Index

I am going to read some statements made about the energy situation. I'd like to know, for each, whether you agree or disagree.

	Agree	Disagree	Not Applicable
There is no real need to conserve energy because technology will solve the problem.	0	1	0
Since we have plenty of gas and oil, there is no real need to conserve energy.	0	1	0
Soon everyone is going to have to use less energy.	1	0	0
If Canadian's don't reduce the amount of gas and oil they use, in a few years Canada will be too dependent on foreign oil producers.	1	0	0
The solution to the energy situation will mean that all Canadians will have to change their lifestyles quite a bit.	1	0	0

Index = Total x 100 ÷ (5 minus number of "Not Applicable")

Low: $0 \leq \text{Index} \leq 34$
Medium: $34 < \text{Index} \leq 67$
High: $67 < \text{Index} \leq 100$

Table 6C

Construction of Price/Tax Increase Support Index

I would like to read to you a few suggestions that people have made while iscussing the energy situation. As I read each one, would you tell me whether you would support or not support the suggestion.

	Support	Not Support	Not Applicable
A special tax on cars that get less than 20 miles to the gallon.	1	0	0
Increasing the price of all heating fuels, electricity and gasoline to encourage people to conserve.	1	0	0
A special tax on gasoline to provide funds for oil exploration and technology improvements.	1	0	0

Index = Total x 100 ÷ (3 minus number of "Not Applicable")

Low: Index = 0
Medium: Index = 33 1/3 or 50
High: Index = 66 2/3 or 100

Table 6D

Construction of Mandatory Restriction Programs Support Index

I would like to read to you a few suggestions that people have made while discussing the energy situation. As I read each one, would you tell me whether you would support or not support the suggestion.

	Support	Not Support	Not Applicable
Rationing the amount of gasoline, heating oil and electricity each person can use.	1	0	0
A program which requires automobile manufacturers to put fuel consumption stickers on all new cars that are for sale.	1	0	0
A requirement that all homes, new and old, would have to be insulated to a certain standard before they could be sold.	1	0	0

Index = Total x 100 ÷ (3 minus number of "Not Applicable").

Low: $0 < \text{Index} < 34$
Medium: $34 < \text{Index} < 67$
High: $67 < \text{Index} < 100$

Table 6E

Construction of Energy Conserving Actions Index

Since the start of the energy situation a few years ago, some people have changed some things they do. I will now read to you a list of things people have or have not done to conserve energy. Please tell me "YES" or "NO" for each statement as it applies to you.

	Yes	No	Not Applicable
Keeping the thermostat at a lower temperature than a few years ago.	1	0	0
Turning off lights in your home more often than a few years ago.	1	0	0
Keeping the hot water heater at a lower temperature than a few years ago.	1	0	0
Using less hot water than a few years ago.	1	0	0
Driving less than a few years ago.	1	0	0
Bought a smaller car than the one you had a few years ago.	1	0	0
Having your car tuned up at least twice a year.	1	0	0
Using public transportation more often than a few years ago.	1	0	0
Have your furnace serviced at least once a year.	1	0	0
Participate in a car pool/sharing a ride to work with others.	1	0	0
Check the tire pressures on my car at least once a month.	1	0	0
Had a home energy audit done - this involves having a certified service person examine the house and rate it for energy efficiency.	1	0	0
Often talk with my friends and neighbours about how to save energy.	1	0	0
Installed storm windows/doors	1	0	0
Installed a timer controlled thermostat	1	0	0
Read the Government booklet "The Fuel Consumption Guide".	1	0	0
Read the Government booklet "The Car Economy Book".	1	0	0

Index = Total x 100 ÷ (17 minus number of "Not Applicable").

Low: $0 < \text{Index} < 34$
Medium: $34 < \text{Index} < 67$
High $67 < \text{Index} < 100$

Table 6F

Construction of In-Home Energy Conserving Actions Index

Since the start of the energy situation a few years ago, some people have changed some things they do. I will now read to you a list of things eople have or have not done to conserve energy. Please tell me "YES" or "NO" for each statement as it applies to you.

	Yes	No	Not Applicable
Keeping the thermostat at a lower temperature than a few years ago.	1	0	0
Turning off lights in your home more often than a few years ago.	1	0	0
Keeping the hot water heater at a lower temperature than a few years ago.	1	0	0
Using less hot water than a few years ago.	1	0	0
Have your furnace serviced at least once a year.	1	0	0
Installed a timer controlled thermostat	1	0	0
Installed storm windows/doors	1	0	0

Index = Total x 100 ÷ (7 minus number of "Not Applicable").

Low: $0 \leq \text{Index} \leq 34$
Medium: $34 < \text{Index} \leq 67$
High $67 < \text{Index} \leq 100$

Table 6G

Construction of Transportation Related Energy Conserving Actions Index

Since the start of the energy situation a few years ago, some people have changed some things they do. I will now read to you a list of things people have or have not done to conserve energy. Please tell me "YES" or "NO" for each statement as it applies to you.

	Yes	No	Not Applicable
Driving less than a few years ago.	1	0	0
Bought a smaller car than the one you had a few years ago.	1	0	0
Having your car tuned up at least twice a year.	1	0	0
Using public transportation more often than a few years ago.	1	0	0
Check the tire pressure on my car at least once a month.	1	0	0
Participate in a car pool/sharing a ride to work with others.	1	0	0

Index = Total x 100 ÷ (6 minus number of "Not Applicable").

Low: $0 \leq \text{Index} \leq 34$
Medium: $34 < \text{Index} \leq 67$
High $67 < \text{Index} \leq 100$

Table 6H

Construction of Information Related Energy Conserving Actions Index

Since the start of the energy situation a few years ago, some people have changed some things they do. I will now read to you a list of things eople have or have not done to conserve energy. Please tell me "YES" or "NO" for each statement as it applies to you.

	Yes	No	Not Applicable
Read the Government booklet "The Fuel Consmption Guide".	1	0	0
Read the Government booklet "The Car Economy Book".	1	0	0
Often talk with my friends and neighbours about how to save energy.	1	0	0

Index = Total x 100 ÷ (3 minus number of "Not Applicable").

Low: $0 \leq \text{Index} \leq 34$
Medium: $34 < \text{Index} \leq 67$
High $67 < \text{Index} \leq 100$

Table 6I

Construction of Day/Night Thermostat Setting Index

At what temperature do you set your thermostat during the day? During the night?

	During the Day	During the night
Under 60°F (under 15°C)	1	1
60 to 63°F (15 to 17°C)	2	2
64 to 66°F (18 to 19°C)	3	3
67 to 69°F (About 20°C)	4	4
70 to 72°F (21 to 22°C)	5	5
Over 72°F (over 22°C)	6	6
Don't Know	0	0
No Thermostat	0	0
Off	0	0

Index = Total x 100 ÷ (2 minus number of "Don't Know" and "No Thermostat")

Low: Index < 300
Medium: 300 ≤ Index ≤ 450
High: 450 < Index ≤ 600

POOR

QUALITY

ORIGINAL